PLACENTIA BAY ATLANTIC SALMON AQUACULTURE PROJECT ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS MONITORING PLAN (EEMP): FISH, SEA TURTLES, MARINE MAMMALS, AND SEABIRDS

CORREGNE

October 2019

Placentia Bay Atlantic Salmon Aquaculture Project Environmental Effects Monitoring Plan:

Fish, Sea Turtles, Marine Mammals, and Seabirds

Prepared by

LGL Limited Box 13248, Station A

388 Kenmount Road St. John's, NL A1B 4A5

Prepared for

Grieg NL Seafarms Ltd. 205 McGettigan Blvd. Marystown, NL A0E 2M0

Rev. No.	Revision	Date	Approved
0			
1			
2			
3			

October 2019 LGL Project No. FA0159E

Suggested format for citation:

LGL Limited. 2019. Placentia Bay Atlantic Salmon Aquaculture Project. Environmental Effects Monitoring Plan: Fish, Sea Turtles, Marine Mammals, and Seabirds. LGL Rep. FA0159E. Rep. by LGL Limited, St. John's, NL for Grieg NL, Marystown, NL. 12 p. + appendix.

Table of Contents

List of	Figures			iii					
List of	Tables .			iii					
1.0	Introdu	ction		1					
2.0	Objectives and Scheduling of Monitoring1								
3.0	Monitoring Design/Methodology								
	 3.1 Monitoring in Support of Mitigation Measures 3.1.1 Potential Vessel Strikes 								
		3.1.1	Potential Vessel Strikes	2					
		3.1.2	Entanglement in Sea Cage Equipment	3					
		3.1.3	Bird Strandings on Project Vessels	3					
		3.1.4	Estranged Sea Cage Equipment	4					
		3.1.5	Use of Therapeutants	4					
	3.2	Collect	tion of Systematic and Opportunistic Data on Marine Wildlife	5					
		3.2.1	Systematic Observations	5					
		3.2.2	Opportunistic Sightings	6					
		3.2.3	Species at Risk	6					
		3.2.4	DFO's Monitoring Program for Non-Native/Aquatic Invasive Species	6					
	3.3	Trainir	ng of Project Personnel	7					
4.0	Freque	ncy, Du	ration and Geographic Extent of Monitoring	7					
5.0	Report	ing and	Response Mechanisms	7					
	5.1	Monito	pring in Support of Mitigation Measures	8					
		5.1.1	Potential Vessel Strikes	8					
		5.1.2	Entanglement in Sea Cage Equipment	8					
		5.1.3	Bird Strandings on Project Vessels	9					
		5.1.4	Estranged Sea Cage Equipment	9					
		5.1.5	Use of Therapeutants	9					
	5.2	Collect	tion of Systematic and Opportunistic Data on Marine Wildlife	9					
		5.2.1	Birds	10					
		5.2.2	Marine Mammals, Sea Turtles, and Marine Fish	10					
		5.2.3	River Otters	10					
		5.2.4	Species at Risk	10					
		5.2.5	DFO's Monitoring Program for Non-Native/Aquatic Invasive Species	10					
	5.3	Techni	cal Report	10					
6.0	Approa	ach to M	Ionitor Cumulative Effects	11					
7.0	Proced	ures to A	Assess Effectiveness of Monitoring and Follow-up Programs, Mitigation						
	Measu	res, and	Recovery Programs	11					
8.0	Comm	unicatio	n Plan to Describe the Results	11					
9.0	D.0 Literature Cited								
Append	lix A - I	List of S	pecies at Risk in Placentia Bay	A-1					

List of Figures

Figure 3.1.	Location of Grieg NL sea cage sites and planned transit routes relative to
	biologically sensitive areas for marine wildlife in Placentia Bay, Newfoundland2
Figure 3.2.	An example of a feed/accommodation barge

List of Tables

Page

Table 4.1.	Summary	of	frequency,	duration,	and	geographic	extent	of	marine	wildlife	
	monitoring										7

Page

1.0 Introduction

As part of the environmental assessment (EA) process for the proposed Placentia Bay Atlantic Salmon Aquaculture Project, Grieg NL was required to prepare and submit Environmental Effects Monitoring Plans (EEMP) subsequent to the completion of the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) but prior to initiation of Project construction (see Section 7.4 in EIS Guidelines, Department of Municipal Affairs and Environment [DMAE] 2018). Additionally, the release of the Placentia Bay Atlantic Salmon Aquaculture Project from further environmental assessment by DMAE on 5 September 2018 was subject to Grieg NL meeting a series of terms and conditions including eight components requiring an EEMP. The EEMP for Fish, Sea Turtles, Marine Mammals, and Seabirds is presented in this document. This EEMP is designed to monitor and document marine wildlife, with emphasis on species at risk, in the immediate vicinity of the sea cage sites and during routine vessel transits between the sea cage sites and crew change and resupply sites.

Grieg NL is committed to implementation of this EEMP as an essential component of its Placentia Bay Atlantic Salmon Aquaculture Project. The organization of this document closely follows the requirements outlined in Section 7.4 of the EIS Guidelines (DMAE 2018). The EEMP will be reviewed on an annual basis and updated as-needed throughout the Project life.

2.0 Objectives and Scheduling of Monitoring

There are two primary components of the EEMP for 'Fish, Sea Turtles, Marine Mammals, and Seabirds':

- 1. Monitoring of marine wildlife at the Grieg NL sea cage sites and established transit routes for the purposes of implementing mitigation measures.
- 2. Collection of systematic and/or opportunistic data on marine species to provide information on the occurrence, distribution and relative abundance of animals at the Grieg NL sea cage sites and established transit routes.

The overall objectives of this EEMP are to allow verification of predictions made in the EIS as well as determine the efficacy of mitigation measures designed to minimize project effects on marine wildlife. Monitoring for marine wildlife, including species at risk, will generally be conducted on a daily basis (weather permitting). Detailed monitoring schedules and potential response actions specific to marine wildlife are described in detail in later sections.

3.0 Monitoring Design/Methodology

As described in the Grieg NL EIS (LGL 2018), there are many species of marine mammals, marine fish, sea turtles, and birds as well as numerous biologically sensitive areas within and adjacent to Placentia Bay (Figure 3.1). Some of these marine species are considered at risk (see Appendix A for a listing). Systematic data on marine wildlife will be collected in support of mitigation measures designed to minimize the risk and/or effects of vessel strikes, entanglement, bird strandings, estranged/lost aquaculture gear, and (potential) use of therapeutants. Systematic and/or opportunistic data on marine wildlife will be collected to provide information on the occurrence, distribution and relative abundance of



Figure 3.1. Location of Grieg NL sea cage sites and planned transit routes relative to biologically sensitive areas for marine wildlife in Placentia Bay, Newfoundland.

animals at the Grieg NL sea cage sites and established transit routes. Project personnel will receive training on the identification of marine wildlife species as well as data collection procedures.

3.1 Monitoring in Support of Mitigation Measures

As discussed in the Grieg NL EIS and Marine Operations Environmental Protection Plan (EPP), there are several mitigation measures designed to minimize the risk of Project activities on marine wildlife and vice versa. An appropriate monitoring design and protocols are essential for proper implementation of mitigation measures and is a priority for the marine wildlife EEMP. Monitoring methodology for vessel strikes, entanglement, bird strandings, estranged gear, and therapeutant effects are discussed below.

3.1.1 Potential Vessel Strikes

As described in Sections 7.3.3.2 and 7.3.4.2 of the EIS, there is some limited potential for Project vessels to strike and injure and/or cause mortality of marine mammals and sea turtles. However, this potential is greatly reduced because Project vessels (other than the smaller crew change boats and work boats) will minimize speed to <10 knots and will travel at constant speed and course when possible. Vessel crews

(captain and/or mates) will maintain a watch for marine mammals and sea turtles (as well as other potential hazards) ahead of the vessel at all times when transiting. Incidental sightings of marine mammals and sea turtles (as well as large pelagic fish, i.e., tunas and sharks) will be recorded on data sheets and required evasive actions (i.e., change in course, reduction in speed) to minimize the likelihood of striking or close approach to marine fauna will be documented.

3.1.2 Entanglement in Sea Cage Equipment

As described in Section 2.5.2.2 of the EIS, it is possible that marine mammals, sea turtles, river otters, wild fish, and birds may become entangled in the sea cage nets, including the bird net (in the case of birds), and in the case of some animals in the associated mooring and buoy lines. Grieg NL personnel will monitor both the above-surface and below-surface components of the active sea cages on a daily basis (weather permitting). The above-surface and near surface components of the sea cage system will be monitored visually by Grieg personnel on site. The sea cage net will be monitored for entanglements via underwater video cameras, software and sensors which will primarily be used to monitor salmon satiation and activity. During monitoring of salmon feeding events (i.e., 8–12 hours per day), Grieg NL personnel (located on site in the barge or remotely via video feeds) will also view the sea cage for entanglements (and any breaches in the netting). Monitoring effort will be recorded.

If a bird becomes entangled, Grieg NL will follow established procedures to release the bird (which will be developed in consultation with Environment and Climate Change Canada-Canadian Wildlife Service, ECCC-CWS). Grieg NL will have a Migratory Bird Handling Permit (issued by CWS; see below) in place and will follow reporting requirements. Any entanglement of marine mammals, river otters, wild fish, and sea turtles will be reported immediately by phone to the Marine Mammal Coordinator, Fisheries and Oceans Canada (DFO) NL Region. The Marine Mammal Coordinator will coordinate a response with Tangly Whales (i.e., the Whale Release and Strandings Group). Grieg NL will provide access and support (as required) to Tangly Whales to respond to the incident. In extreme circumstances, if all methods have failed to release an animal and it is posing a serious threat to the integrity of the nets (or the safety of personnel), lethal measures may be considered. Before such actions are taken, DFO will be consulted. Any entanglements will be carefully documented and reported to DFO.

3.1.3 Bird Strandings on Project Vessels

As reviewed in Section 7.3.2.2 of the EIS, birds may be attracted and potentially strand on Project vessels including the stationary barge at each active sea cage site as well as attending crew change boats, supply and service vessels. The Leach's Storm-petrel is particularly vulnerable to stranding on vessels in the Newfoundland offshore. To minimize the potential for attraction, lighting on Project vessels will be minimized to the extent that it is safe and practical to do so. Each barge will be systematically and thoroughly searched for stranded birds each morning by trained vessel crew (see Section 3.3). Any stranded birds will be handled and released as per established ECCC-CWS protocols. Required information on each stranding will be searched for stranded birds in the morning prior to use and upon return to port. Any stranded birds will be handled and released as per ECCC-CWS protocols and

¹ Grieg NL will have a Migratory Bird Handling Permit (issued by ECCC-CWS) in place.

required data will be recorded. It is anticipated that bird strandings data will be required by ECCC-CWS on an annual basis. Grieg NL will comply with all reporting requirements.

3.1.4 Estranged Sea Cage Equipment

There is a remote possibility that gear including netting, lines, and structural elements of a sea cage may become estranged and lost (i.e., during an extreme weather event). While much of the gear would likely remain in place, entangled in the various mooring lines, some gear might break free to drift and create both a navigation hazard and a source for entanglement with other (e.g., fishing) gear present in the region. This potential type of accident is considered in Grieg NL's Emergency Response Plan. Of direct relevance to this EEMP, is the possibility that estranged sea cage equipment may pose an entanglement risk to marine wildlife.

To minimize the risk of estranged and lost gear, routine inspection schedules will identify issues of equipment that may be wearing or broken and can be repaired or replaced before becoming lost or estranged. If extreme weather is approaching, these issues will be addressed immediately (i.e., any identified loose equipment will be secured immediately). Should gear become lost, Grieg NL will undertake routine surveillance of shoreline, particularly after storms to retrieve lost gear. Lost/estranged gear will be reported to DFO's Conservation and Protection office in Marystown. [Likewise, any loss of equipment will be reported to DFLR and retrieved as per the standard terms and conditions/requirements of the Crown Lands Lease, and Code of Containment (i.e., where it impacts containment of farmed fish)]. In addition, Grieg NL will conduct monthly inspections and clean-up of shorelines of Project debris (as required) within 1 km of an active sea cage site. Gear inspections and surveillance for any lost gear will be documented.

3.1.5 Use of Therapeutants

As described in Section 2.5.2.2 of the EIS and Grieg NL's Fish Health Management Plan, the use of therapeutants to minimize sea lice is considered a last resort relative to a suite of other mitigation measures (e.g., cleaner fish, sea lice skirt, sub-feeder, functional feed). With regard to antibiotic use, fish populations do not receive antibiotics in the absence of disease, but medications can be used to minimize, and to some extent mitigate, disease events that veterinarians recognize seasonally or can arise following a stressor.

Although Grieg NL will attempt to avoid the use of drugs and pesticides, the use of any drugs or pesticides by Grieg NL will be regulated and require approval. The *Aquaculture Activities Regulations* (AAR) (Section 3) authorizes only deposits of (a) drugs whose sale is permitted or otherwise authorized, or whose importation is not prohibited under the *Food and Drug Act* (FDA) and; (b) pest control products that are registered, or whose use is authorized under the *Pest Control Products Act* (PCPA). In addition, conditions in Sections 4 through 14 of the AAR must be met. To be authorized, the deposit of a substance during treatment must be in compliance with other laws, including the federal FDA and PCPA. If the substance is a drug, its sale must be in compliance with the federal FDA and if it is a prescription drug under the FDA, the drug must be prescribed by a veterinarian. If the substance is a pest control product (pesticide), its use must be in compliance with the PCPA. In addition to adhering to Sections 3–14, as per Annex 10 of the AAR (DFO 2018), Grieg NL will notify the Regional Aquaculture Management Office (RAMO) 72 hours in advance of therapeutant use and will monitor for moribund and deceased wild fish

outside of the sea cage(s) for a period of 96 hours after therapeutant use. Monitoring effort and any observations of potentially affected wild fish will be recorded and reported as per AAR requirements in Annex 1 (DFO 2018).

3.2 Collection of Systematic and Opportunistic Data on Marine Wildlife

The consistent presence of Project vessels at and transiting to/from active sea cage sites provides an opportunity to collect data on marine wildlife including species at risk throughout the year and across years. These data will provide information on the distribution and abundance of marine wildlife as well as biodiversity in the area. It may also afford an opportunity to examine trends in marine wildlife occurrence across years. However, it should be recognized that effort to collect data on marine wildlife will have to be balanced with the requirements of Grieg NL personnel to conduct their primary job duties, i.e., the construction, operation, maintenance and eventual decommissioning of sea cage sites.

3.2.1 Systematic Observations

Trained Grieg NL personnel will conduct periodic, systematic watches for marine mammals and sea turtles (as well as potential sightings of large fish, e.g., shark, tuna) from the primary feed/accommodation barge (Figure 3.2) located at each active sea cage site. It is anticipated that three surveys (each nominally 15 minutes in duration) will be conducted on a daily basis—in the early morning, mid-day, and early evening. Systematic surveys will be conducted when adequate survey conditions permit (i.e., visibility >1 km and Beaufort Wind Force \leq 4).



Figure 3.2. An example of a feed/accommodation barge.

Marine wildlife observations will be recorded onto a data sheet and later entered into an electronic database (e.g., Microsoft Excel). Monitoring effort, sightings details (including position—latitude and longitude, distance and bearing from vessel), and environmental conditions will be recorded. Grieg NL will explore the possible use of recording data directly into a handheld tablet device or computer with dedicated software. The device will automatically record Global Positioning System (GPS) location, date/time and facilitate data entry via pre-filled menus.

3.2.2 Opportunistic Sightings

Trained Grieg NL personnel on Project vessels will collect data on marine wildlife sightings (i.e., marine mammals, sea turtles, river otters, and large fish) on an opportunistic basis. This includes opportunistic sightings detected from barges at sea cage sites and crew change vessels. Grieg NL will also encourage third-party vessel providers (i.e., supply, feed, dead hold, well boat, service vessels) to record and report incidental sightings of marine wildlife. Incidental sightings will be recorded on data sheets. As a minimum, opportunistic marine wildlife sightings will be provided to DFO on an annual basis.

Trained personnel will also record observations of the following marine wildlife activity:

- Large aggregations of gregarious bird species like cormorants and gulls that may roost on sea cage infrastructure (e.g., sea cage collars).
- Major instances of piscivorous diving birds actively feeding around sea cage sites (e.g., northern gannets).
- Presence of, and predator strikes of the sea cage net from large, solitary fish (e.g., shark, tuna) or gregarious species working together (e.g., tuna, seals, river otters) in an effort to access sea cage fish.
- Bird attraction to lighting at the barge and/or sea cages at night (including weather conditions).

The collection of these data may assist Grieg NL with documenting potential bird and marine wildlife attraction to the sea cage sites. These data can help with the development of an adaptive management approach to deter wildlife interactions with the sea cages.

3.2.3 Species at Risk

Grieg NL personnel will record sightings of all species at risk observed at the sea cage sites and during transit to the sea cage sites. Appendix A provides a listing (as of October 2019) of species at risk that may occur in Placentia Bay. Data will be submitted to DFO (marine mammals, sea turtles, and fish) and ECCC-CWS (birds) as appropriate. Information on the species at risk sightings will be recorded on systematic or opportunistic sighting forms as appropriate. In addition, and where possible, photos will be acquired of the species at risk. The species at risk list will be reviewed and updated on an annual basis or more frequently if required.

If a North Atlantic right whale (considered critically endangered; Endangered on Schedule 1 of the *Species at Risk Act* [SARA]) is sighted, DFO will be contacted immediately and provided with details of the sightings (time, location, heading and activity of whale).

3.2.4 DFO's Monitoring Program for Non-Native/Aquatic Invasive Species

Grieg NL will assist DFO's monitoring program for Non-Native/Aquatic Invasive Species and regularly examine cages and mooring equipment for Aquatic Invasive Species occurrence. This includes reporting any incidence of vase and golden star tunicates as well as green crab.

3.3 Training of Project Personnel

Project personnel will receive training from experienced biologist(s) on the identification of the various marine mammal, large fish (i.e., shark/tuna), sea turtle, river otter, invasive species, and bird species that are expected to occur in Placentia Bay. Emphasis will be placed on species at risk. Marine personnel will also be trained in data recording procedures, reporting requirements, and appropriate response actions (e.g., bird strandings and marine wildlife entanglement). Training will be provided to Grieg NL marine personnel.

4.0 Frequency, Duration and Geographic Extent of Monitoring

The frequency, duration, and geographic extent of marine wildlife monitoring are summarized in Table 4.1. Figure 3.1 shows the locations of the sea cage sites as well as the crew change and resupply routes where monitoring will occur.

v 1											
Marine Wildlife Monitoring Type	Frequency ^a	Geographic Extent									
A. In Support of Mitigation											
Potential Vessel Strikes	Each Project Vessel Transit	Life of Project	Vessel transit routes between sea cage, crew change, and resupply sites								
Entanglement in Sea Cage	Daily	Operations Phase	Active sea cages in each BMA								
Bird Strandings	Daily	Life of Project	All Project vessels								
Estranged Sea Cage Equipment	Monthly ^b	Operations Phase	Area around sea cage and adjacent shoreline (within 1 km)								
Therapeutant Use °	Daily ^d	Operations Phase	Area around sea cage where therapeutant used								
B. Systematic/Opportunistic											
Sea Cage Sites: Systematic	Daily	Operations Phase	Visible area								
Opportunistic	When Sighted	Life of Project	Variable								
C. Species at Risk											
Systematic Monitoring	See A. and B.	See A. and B.	See A. and B.								
Opportunistic Sightings	When Sighted	Life of Project	See A. and B.								
D. Non-Native/Aquatic Invasive Species	Daily	Operations Phase	Active sea cages in each BMA								

Table 4.1. Summary of frequency, duration, and geographic extent of marine wildlife monitoring.

^a The frequency of monitoring is weather dependent.

^b In the unlikely event of estranged/lost sea cage equipment, monitoring will occur more frequently.

^c Grieg NL will only use therapeutants as a last resort and as per the AAR.

^d As per Annex 10 of the AAR (DFO 2018), Grieg NL will monitor for moribund and deceased wild fish outside of the sea cage(s) for a period of 96 hours after therapeutant use.

5.0 Reporting and Response Mechanisms

Reporting, and in some cases data submission, procedures for each of Grieg NL's marine wildlife monitoring components are provided below. For monitoring components which are designed to support mitigation measures, response mechanisms are also included as appropriate. An adaptive management approach will be employed to monitor and mitigate potential wildlife interactions with marine project activities. Available data will also be used to verify EIS predictions as appropriate.

5.1 Monitoring in Support of Mitigation Measures

Reporting and response mechanisms for vessel strikes, entanglement, bird strandings, and therapeutant effects on wild fish are discussed below.

5.1.1 Potential Vessel Strikes

Incidental sightings of marine mammals and sea turtles (as well as large pelagic fish, i.e., tunas and sharks) and any required evasive actions (i.e., change in course, reduction in speed) to minimize the likelihood of striking or close approach to marine fauna will be recorded on data sheets. These data will be submitted to DFO on an annual basis.

In the unlikely event that a marine mammal or sea turtle is struck by a project vessel the following reporting will occur:

- DFO will be contacted immediately through their 24-hour emergency contact number (1-888-895-3003) and appropriate steps will be taken to document the event.
- Additionally, any marine mammal or sea turtle accidentally struck (i.e., vessel strike) or any dead carcass will be reported immediately to the Canadian Coast Guard (CCG) on Very High Frequency (VHF) Channel 16.
- Details of the vessel strike will be documented including the information in the "Marine Mammal Interaction Form" available at http://www.dfo-mpo.gc.ca/species-especes/mammals-mammiferes/report-rapport/observer-observateur-eng.asp. This form and accompanying imagery (photos and/or video) will be submitted do DFO.

In the Technical Report (Section 5.3) prepared for this EEMP, Grieg NL will examine the frequency and nature of close encounters with marine mammals and sea turtles and associated evasive actions taken by Project vessels (as well as any vessel strikes) to verify EIS predictions and determine if mitigation measures require change. Any changes in mitigation measures will be discussed with DFO.

5.1.2 Entanglement in Sea Cage Equipment

Monitoring effort for entanglements, both above- and below-surface sea cage components, will be recorded. Any entanglement of marine mammals, river otters, wild fish, and sea turtles will be reported immediately by phone to the Marine Mammal Coordinator, DFO NL Region. The Marine Mammal Coordinator will coordinate a response with Tangly Whales (i.e., the Whale Release and Strandings Group). Grieg NL will provide access and support (as required) to Tangly Whales to respond to the incident. Any entanglements will be carefully documented and reported to DFO.

If a bird becomes entangled, Grieg NL will follow established procedures to release the bird. Grieg NL will follow reporting requirements as stipulated in its Migratory Bird Handling Permit (which will be issued by CWS).

In the Technical Report (Section 5.3) prepared for this EEMP, Grieg NL will examine the frequency and nature of entanglements in sea cage equipment to determine if monitoring effort and mitigation measures require change. This will be done in consultation with DFO. Grieg NL will undertake more frequent

reviews of the adequacy of monitoring effort and mitigation measures for entanglements if necessary. Data will also be used to verify EIS predictions.

5.1.3 Bird Strandings on Project Vessels

Required information on each bird stranding on Project vessels will be recorded in the prescribed ECCC-CWS datasheet as per the Migratory Bird Handling Permit requirements². It is anticipated that bird strandings data will be required by ECCC-CWS on an annual basis. Grieg NL will comply with all reporting requirements.

In the Technical Report (Section 5.3) prepared for this EEMP, Grieg NL will examine the frequency and nature of bird strandings to determine if monitoring effort and mitigation measures require change. Grieg NL will undertake more frequent reviews of the adequacy of monitoring effort and mitigation measures for strandings if necessary and in consultation with ECCC-CWS. Data will also be used to verify EIS predictions.

5.1.4 Estranged Sea Cage Equipment

As noted previously, of direct relevance to this EEMP, is the possibility that estranged/lost sea cage equipment may pose an entanglement risk to marine wildlife. Surveillance for any lost gear will be documented as will any interactions of lost gear with marine wildlife including any entanglements (see Section 5.1.2). Any loss of gear will be reported and described to the CCG and the DFO Conservation and Protection office in Marystown immediately upon discovery. Likewise, any loss of equipment will be reported to DFLR and retrieved as per the standard terms and conditions/requirements of the Crown Lands Lease, and Code of Containment (i.e., where it impacts containment of farmed fish)]. The Technical Report for this EEMP will include details of lost gear incidences.

5.1.5 Use of Therapeutants

Monitoring effort for and observations of moribund and wild fish mortalities near sea cages during periods of therapeutant use will be recorded. This information will be provided to DFO (as per AAR Annex 10; DFO 2018) and also included in the Technical Report for this EEMP. In the unlikely event that moribund and wild fish mortalities are observed during periods of therapeutant use, Grieg NL will consult with DFO to determine the adequacy of monitoring and mitigation measures and to implement changes if necessary.

5.2 Collection of Systematic and Opportunistic Data on Marine Wildlife

Data collected systematically and opportunistically on the occurrence of marine wildlife at the sea cage sites and during vessel transits will be provided to the appropriate regulatory agency in electronic format. In most cases, data will be provided on an annual basis; details are provided below.

² Grieg NL will have a Migratory Bird Handling Permit (issued by ECCC-CWS) in place.

5.2.1 Birds

Incidental sightings of birds recorded by Project personnel will be provided to ECCC-CWS on an annual basis. Data will be provided electronically (likely as an Excel file) and will also be summarized and included in the Technical Report (see Section 5.3).

5.2.2 Marine Mammals, Sea Turtles, and Marine Fish

As outlined in Sections 3.1 and 3.2, trained Grieg NL personnel will collect both systematic and opportunistic data on marine mammals, sea turtles, and marine fish. Data will be provided to DFO electronically (likely as an Excel file) and will also be summarized and included in the Technical Report (see Section 5.3).

5.2.3 River Otters

Trained Grieg NL personnel will record river otter observations made during systematic surveys as well any otters sighted opportunistically. Data will be provided to Department of Fisheries and Land Resources (DFLR) electronically (likely as an Excel file) and will also be summarized and included in the Technical Report (see Section 5.3).

5.2.4 Species at Risk

Grieg NL personnel will record sightings of species at risk observed at the sea cage sites and during transit to the sea cage sites. Data will be submitted to DFO (marine mammals, sea turtles, and fish) and ECCC-CWS (birds) as appropriate. Information on the species at risk sightings will be recorded on systematic or opportunistic sighting forms as appropriate. In addition, and where possible, photos will be acquired of the species at risk.

If a North Atlantic right whale is sighted, DFO will be contacted immediately (at 24-hour emergency contact number (1-888-895-3003) and via e-mail to Dr. Jack Lawson at DFO, John.Lawson@dfo-mpo.gc.ca) and provided with details of the sightings (time, location, heading and activity of whale).

5.2.5 DFO's Monitoring Program for Non-Native/Aquatic Invasive Species

Grieg NL will report observations of Aquatic Invasive Species including any incidence of vase and golden star tunicates as well as green crab in their annual EEMP report. Also, the first instances of Aquatic Invasive Species will be reported to the Aquatic Invasive Species hotline (1-855-862-1815).

5.3 Technical Report

An annual technical report will be prepared on or before 31 March which presents the findings of the Fish, Sea Turtles, Marine Mammals, and Seabirds EEMP as outlined in Sections 5.1 and 5.2. The report will also examine the data to verify effects predictions made in the EIS and the efficacy of mitigation measures.

6.0 Approach to Monitor Cumulative Effects

The EIS Guidelines (DMAE 2018) require that each EEMP include methods for monitoring cumulative effects of the Grieg NL's Project with existing and future developments in the Project Area. As stated in the EIS, given the mitigation measures which will be implemented by Grieg NL and the general lack of spatial overlap amongst projects, the potential cumulative effects including those on marine wildlife were considered limited. It will be challenging to ascertain the cumulative effects, if any, on marine wildlife of the Project along with existing and future developments at the sea cage sites and transit routes. Visual monitoring for marine wildlife will be routinely conducted at all active Grieg NL sea cages and transit route sites during the life of the Project. Over time, these data may provide some indication of trends in marine wildlife occurrence, distribution and abundance relative to Grieg NL's activities along with other developments. Grieg NL will examine these potential inter-annual trends relative to its Project activities and other activities within and near its Project Area every five years. The findings will be presented in the Technical Report for this EEMP.

7.0 Procedures to Assess Effectiveness of Monitoring and Follow-up Programs, Mitigation Measures, and Recovery Programs

As described throughout Section 5.0, data collected during the monitoring program for marine wildlife, including any mitigation actions taken, will be examined on an annual basis (or more frequently if necessary) to determine the efficacy of monitoring and mitigation measures. [Recovery programs for marine wildlife are not anticipated.] The appropriate regulatory agency (i.e., DFO, DFLR, and/or ECCC-CWS) will also be consulted and adaptive management steps will be undertaken if required. For example, if monitoring during crew change transits indicates the persistent presence of foraging whales during summer, Grieg NL, in consultation with DFO, would consider modifying the location of its transit route. Likewise, if seabirds were routinely stranding on Project barges, further changes may have to be made to change vessel lighting or increase monitoring effort for stranded birds; this would be done in consultation with ECCC-CWS.

8.0 Communication Plan to Describe the Results

As per 'Condition c' in the Government of Newfoundland and Labrador's Project release letter, Grieg NL will include the results of the 'Fish, Sea Turtles, Marine Mammals, and Seabirds' monitoring program within its annual report on EEMPs. This report on EEMPs will be publicly available on the Grieg NL website.³

9.0 Literature Cited

COSEWIC (Committee on the Status of Endangered Wildlife in Canada). 2019. Available at:

https://www.canada.ca/en/environment-climate-change/services/committee-status-endangered-wildlife.html [Accessed 18 October 2019].

³ In addition, Grieg NL will publicly release all confirmed reports of disease and fish escapes within 24 hours and the use of chemotherapeutants (i.e., antibiotics, vaccinations, and anesthetics) and pesticides on an annual basis.

DFO (Department of Fisheries and Oceans). 2019. Search aquatic species at risk. Fisheries and Oceans Canada, Ottawa, ON. Available at:

http://www.dfo-mpo.gc.ca/species-especes/sara-lep/identify-eng.html [Accessed 10 April 2019].

- DFO. 2018. Aquaculture Activities Regulations Guidance Document. Available at DFO website http://www.dfo-mpo.gc.ca/aquaculture/management-gestion/aar-raa-gd-eng.htm
- DMAE (Department of Municipal Affairs and Environment). 2018. Environmental Impact Statement Guidelines for the Placentia Bay Atlantic Salmon Aquaculture Project. Prepared by the Newfoundland and Labrador Department of Municipal Affairs and Environment, 8 March 2018. 38 p. Available at: https://www.mae.gov.nl.ca/env_assessment/projects/Y2016/1834/index.html
- ESA (*Endangered Species Act*). 2002. Consolidated Newfoundland and Labrador Regulation 57/02: Endangered Species List Regulations. (Online). Government of Newfoundland and Labrador, St. John's: Environment and Conservation, 2016. Available at:

http://www.assembly.nl.ca/Legislation/sr/Regulations/rc020057.htm [Accessed 10 April 2019].

- LGL (Limited). 2018. Environmental Impact Statement of the Placentia Bay Atlantic Salmon Aquaculture Project. LGL Rep. FA0144. Rep. by LGL Limited, St. John's, NL, for Grieg NL, Marystown, NL. 528 p. + appendices.
- SARA (*Species at Risk Act* website). 2019. Welcome to the Species at Risk Public Registry. Government of Canada. Available at: http://www.sararegistry.gc.ca/default.asp?lang=en&n=24F7211B-1 [Accessed 18 October 2019].
- SARA. 2002. Consolidated Government of Canada Bill C-5, S.C. 2002, c. 29. (Online). Available at: http://laws.justice.gc.ca/eng/acts/S-15.3/index.html [Accessed 10 April 2019].

Appendix A

List of Species at Risk in Placentia Bay

Species			SARA			COS	SEWIC		ESA			
Common Name	Scientific Name	End.	Thr.	Sp. Con.	End.	Thr.	Sp. Con.	Cnd.	End.	Thr.	Vul.	Cnd.
Marine Fish												
White Shark (Atlantic pop.)	Carcharodon carcharias	Sch. 1			Х							
Northern Wolffish	Anarhichas denticulatus		Sch. 1			Х						
Spotted Wolffish	A. minor		Sch. 1			Х						
Atlantic Wolffish	A. lupus			Sch. 1			Х					
Atlantic Cod (NL pop.)	Gadus morhua				Х							
Atlantic Cod (Laurentian North pop.)	G. morhua				Х							
Porbeagle Shark	Lamna nasus				Х							
Shortfin Mako Shark (Atlantic pop.)	Isurus oxyrinchus				Х							
Atlantic Bluefin Tuna	Thunnus thynnus				Х							
Cusk	Brosme brosme				Х							
Deepwater Redfish (Gulf of St. Lawrence – Laurentian Channel pop.)	Sebastes mentella				Х							
Winter Skate (Eastern Scotian Shelf – Newfoundland pop.)	Leucoraja ocellata				Х							
Roundnose Grenadier	Coryphaenoides rupestris				Х							
Acadian Redfish (Atlantic pop.)	S. fasciatus					Х						
American Plaice (NL pop.)	Hippoglossoides platessoides					Х						
Deepwater Redfish (Northern pop.)	S. mentella					Х						
Lumpfish	Cyclopterus lumpus					Х						
White Hake (Atlantic and Northern Gulf of St. Lawrence pop.)	Urophycis tenuis					Х						
Basking Shark (Atlantic pop.)	Cetorhinus maximus						Х					
Smooth Skate (Laurentian-Scotian pop.)	Malacoraja senta						Х					
Spiny Dogfish (Atlantic pop.)	Squalus acanthias						Х					
Thorny Skate	Amblyraja radiata		1				Х					
Atlantic Mackerel	Scomber scombrus		1					HP				
Alewife	Alosa pseudoharengus							MP				
American Shad	A. sapidissima		1					MP				
Pollock	Pollachius virens							MP				
Yellowtail Flounder	Limanda ferruginea							MP				
Anadromous Fish ^a				•		•	•	•				
Atlantic Salmon (South Newfoundland	Salmo salar					Х						
Atlantic Sturgeon (Maritimes pop.)	Acipenser oxvrinchus					X						
Catadromous Fish ^b			1	I	1	1	1	I	I	1		
American Eel	Anguilla rostrata					Х					Х	
	2			1	1		1	1	1			

Table A-1. SARA-, COSEWIC-, and ESA-listed marine species/populations and land birds that may occur in Placentia Bay.

Species			SARA			COS	SEWIC		ESA			
Common Name	Scientific Name	End.	Thr.	Sp. Con.	End.	Thr.	Sp. Con.	Cnd.	End.	Thr.	Vul.	Cnd.
Freshwater Fish						•						
Banded Killifish (Newfoundland pop.)	Fundulus diaphanus			Sch. 1			Х				Х	
Mummichog	F. heteroclitus											Х
Arctic Char (landlocked)	Salvelinus alpinus							LP				
Lake Trout	S. namaycush							LP				
Molluscs		•	•	•		•	•	•			-	
Eastern Pearl Mussel	Margaritifera margaritifera							LP				
Marine Mammals		•	•	•		•	•	•			-	
Blue Whale (Atlantic pop.)	Balaenoptera musculus	Sch. 1			Х							
North Atlantic Right Whale	Eubalaena glacialis	Sch. 1			Х							
Sei Whale (Atlantic pop.)	B. borealis				Х							
Northern Bottlenose Whale (1: Scotian		(1)										
Shelf pop./ 2: Davis Strait-Baffin Bay-	Hyperoodon ampullatus	(1) Sah 1			(1) X		(2) X					
Labrador Sea pop. ^c)		Sell. 1										
Fin Whale (Atlantic pop.)	B. physalus			Sch. 1			Х					
Sowerby's Beaked Whale	Mesoplodon bidens			Sch. 1			Х					
Harbour Porpoise (Northwest Atlantic	Phonoana phonoana		Sah 2				v					
pop.)	I nocoena procoena		5011. Z				Л					
Humpback Whale (Western North Atlantic	Magantara novacangliac			Sch 3								
pop.)	megapiera novaeangiae			5cn. 5								
Killer Whale (Northwest Atlantic / Eastern	Orcinus orca						x					
Arctic pop. °)	oremus oreu											
Cuvier's Beaked Whale	Ziphius cavirostris							HP				
Sperm Whale	Physeter macrocephalus							MP				
Hooded Seal	Cystophora cristata							MP				
Harp Seal	Pagophilus groenlandicus							LP				
Sea Turtles						-						
Leatherback Sea Turtle (Atlantic pop.)	Dermochelys coriacea	Sch. 1			Х							
Loggerhead Sea Turtle	Caretta caretta	Sch. 1			Х							
Birds - Land						-						
Bank Swallow	Riparia riparia		Sch. 1			Х						
Barn Swallow	Hirundo rustica		Sch. 1			Х						
Bobolink	Dolichonyx oryzivorus		Sch. 1			Х					Х	
Chimney Swift	Chaetura pelagica									Х		
Common Nighthawk ^d	Chordeiles minor		Sch. 1				Х			X		
Olive-sided Flycatcher ^d	Contopus cooperi		Sch. 1				Х			X		
Red Crossbill	Loxia curvirostra percna		Sch. 1			Х			Х			
Peregrine Falcon ^d	Falco peregrinus anatum /			Sch. 1						1	Х	

Species		SARA				COS	SEWIC		ESA			
Common Name	Scientific Name	End.	Thr.	Sp. Con.	End.	Thr.	Sp. Con.	Cnd.	End.	Thr.	Vul.	Cnd.
	tundrius											
Rusty Blackbird	Euphagus carolinus			Sch. 1			Х				Х	
Short-eared Owl	Asio flammeus			Sch. 1			Х				Х	
Evening Grosbeak	Coccothraustes vespertinus			Sch. 1			Х					
Gray-cheeked Thrush	Catharus minimus										Х	
Newfoundland Gray-cheeked Thrush	C. minimus minimus							HP		Х		
Horned Lark	Eremophila alpestris							HP				
Blackpoll Warbler	Setophaga striata							MP				
Snowy Owl	Nyctea scandiaca							MP				
American Kestrel	F. sparverius							LP				
Pine Siskin	Spinus pinus							LP				
Birds - Aquatic												
Eskimo Curlew ^e	Numenius borealis	Sch. 1			Х				Х			
Ivory Gull	Pagophila eburnea	Sch. 1			Х				Х			
Piping Plover	Charadrius melodus melodus	Sch. 1			Х				Х			
Red Knot	Calidris canutus rufa	Sch. 1			Х				Х			
Hudsonian Godwit	Limosa haemastica					Х						
Barrow's Goldeneye (Eastern pop.)	Bucephala islandica			Sch. 1			Х				Х	
Harlequin Duck (Eastern pop.)	Histrionicus histrionicus			Sch. 1			Х				Х	
Red-necked Phalarope	Phalaropus lobatus			Sch. 1			Х					
Dunlin	Calidris alpina							HP				
Pectoral Sandpiper	C. melanotos							HP				
Sanderling	C. alba							HP				
Semipalmated Sandpiper	C. pusilla							HP				
Killdeer	Charadrius vociferus							HP				
Whimbrel	Numenius phaeopus							HP				
American Golden-plover	Pluvialis dominica							MP				
Arctic Tern	Sterna paradisaea							MP				
Leach's Storm-petrel	Oceanodroma leucorhoa							LP				

Source: COSEWIC website (2019); DFO (2019); NL ESA (2002); and SARA websites (2002, 2019); accessed October 2019.

Legend: "End." = Endangered; "Thr." = Threatened; "Sp. Con." = Special Concern; "Cnd." = Candidate Species; "Vul." = Vulnerable (equivalent to Special Concern); "Sch." = Schedule; "HP" = High Priority; "MP" = Mid Priority; "LP" = Low Priority; "pop." = population(s); "NL" = Newfoundland and Labrador.

^a Anadromous fish inhabit marine waters and migrate to freshwater to spawn.

^b Catadromous fish inhabit freshwater and migrate to marine waters to spawn.

^c Currently under consideration for addition to Schedule 1 of SARA (SARA website 2019).

^d Currently under consideration for status change under Schedule 1 of SARA (SARA website 2019).

^e Although a portion of the southwards migration route of Eskimo Curlew traditionally included NL before the population collapsed in the late-1800s, Eskimo Curlew are thought to be extinct in the Study Area, with no confirmed breeding records in North America for over 100 years or confirmed sighting records since 1963 (COSEWIC 2009).