REGISTRATION FORM

PURSUANT OF PART 10, ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT

SECTION 49 OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT ACT

Name of Undertaking:

Forest Management District 4, 5, 6, and 8 (Planning Zone 3) Five Year Operating Plan 2017-2021

Proponent:

(i) Department of Fisheries, Forestry, and Agrifoods
Forest Service of Newfoundland and Labrador

(ii) Assistant Deputy Minister

Mr. Stephen Balsam
Forest Service of Newfoundland and Labrador
(709) 637-2627

(iii) Principal Contact Person

Mr. Ivan Downton
Forest Ecosystem Management Director
(709) 637-2349

The Undertaking:

(i) Nature of Undertaking

To conduct forestry activities (harvesting, silviculture and primary road construction) from 2017 to 2021 in Forest Management Planning Zone 3.

(ii) Purpose/Rationale/Need for Undertaking

This undertaking will enable the Forest Services Branch to harvest approximately 1,486,787 m3 of core landbase timber, construct approximately 452 kilometres of forest access road construction and undertake appropriate Silviculture prescriptions.

This undertaking is necessary to maintain and/or expand the existing commercial industry and allow domestic harvest for home heating.

Description of Undertaking:

(i) Geographic Location

Planning Zone 3 encompasses FMD's 4, 5, 6 and 8. It extends from Seal Bay in the northwest, easterly along the coast to New-Wes-Valley in the northeast, then southerly to Terra Nova National Park in the east and then west along the northern edge of the Bay Du' Nord Wilderness Area to the general area of the Bay D'Espoir Highway near Great Gull Lake. Major towns located within the zone include Point Leamington, Botwood, Gander and Gambo. The Districts share common ecoregion characteristics and for forest management purposes have been combined to form Planning Zone 3. The overview and operational maps in the plan outline the general and exact locations of the zone.

(ii) Physical Features

The topography in the area contains a diversity of terrain types with generally rolling topography dissected by several large valleys including: Southwest Gander River, Northwest Gander River and Gander River valleys.

(iii) Operation

Commercial harvesting will be carried out manually and with shortwood harvesters and forwarders and domestic harvesting will be done by chainsaw with extraction be snowmobile and ATV. Roads will be constructed using excavators and/or bulldozers and silviculture will be carried out using brushsaws, pottiputkis, shovels and prescribed burning tools where required. All buffer requirements and operations will be carried out in accordance with the Environmental Protection Guidelines for Ecologically Based Forest Resource Management and the Forestry Act. Operations will commence upon approval of undertaking and continue yearly until 2021.

(iv) Occupants

Loggers, equipment operators, truckers, sawmillers, silviculture workers and domestic cutters.

Approval of the Undertaking:

Commercial and domestic cutting permits as well as operating permits will be required from the District Forest Management Offices.

Schedule:

This plan is scheduled to commence upon approval and end on December 31, 2021.

Oct 31, 2016
Date

Ivan Downton
Assistant Deputy Minister
Mr. Stephen Balsam
Forestry Services Branch

Crown Five Year Operating Plan

Forest Management Districts 4, 5, 6 and 8

ZONE 3

January 1, 2017- December 31, 2021



Newfoundland Labrador

FOREST MANAGEMENT FIVE YEAR OPERATING PLAN
for
FOREST MANAGEMENT DISTRICTS 04, 05, 06, & 08

Government of Newfoundland and Labrador
Department of Fisheries, Forestry and Agrifoods
Forest Service of Newfoundland and Labrador

for the 5 year period from January 1, 2017 to December 31, 2021

I hereby certify that I have prepared this forest management plan to the best of my professional skill and judgment in accordance with requirements of the *Forestry Act.*

Dave Poole, RPF
Regional Ecosystem Planner
Forest Service of Newfoundland and Labrador
Department of Fisheries, Forestry and Agrifoods

Date

Brian Carter
District Ecosystem Manager
Forest Service of Newfoundland and Labrador
Department of Fisheries, Forestry and Agrifoods

Date

Rebecca Parsons, RPF
District Ecosystem Manager
Forest Service of Newfoundland and Labrador
Department of Fisheries, Forestry and Agrifoods

Date

Tim Andrews, RPF
District Ecosystem Manager
Forest Service of Newfoundland and Labrador
Department of Fisheries, Forestry and Agrifoods

Date

Table of Contents

List of Tables

List of Figures

List of Appendices

INTRODUCTION

This five year operating plan incorporates the new provincial planning requirements. In the past, there were five major planning documents; the provincial sustainable forest management strategy, the district strategy document, the five year operating plan, the annual operating plan, and the past annual report. This new planning framework has eliminated the district strategy document; however, its former contents are now split between the provincial sustainable forest management strategy and the five year operating plan. Sections that are provincial in scope such as carbon, global warming and criteria and indicators are now included in the provincial sustainable forest management strategy while sections that are more descriptive or depict local conditions such as values, forest characterization and ecosystem description are moved to the five year operating plan. Linkages between strategies from the provincial sustainable forest management strategy and on the ground activities in the five year operating plan will be provided where applicable.

Another major change to the planning process is the creation of eight planning zones on the island which are based primarily on ecoregion composition. Districts 04, 05, 06 and 08 are adjacent and share common ecoregion characteristics and collectively form Planning Zone Three. The requirement for submission to the Forestry Services Branch and for Environmental Assessment is one five year operating plan for each owner in each Zone. The past requirement was one five year operating plan by each owner in each district. This zone is comprised of both crown land and private tenure, however; so there will be only one submission by the crown and one by Corner Brook Pulp and Paper Throughout this five year plan, references will be made to Districts 04, 05, 06 and 08 individually but when combined they will collectively be referred to as Planning Zone Three or the Zone.

This document will embark to fully integrate presentation of information and discussion for crown land in the zone, where possible. This will be done by combining statistics and other information from each district and reporting for the complete zone. However, tables and figures will be constructed such that information for individual districts will be

available if a breakout is required. Discussion and information will be presented separately for each district where warranted based on unique and distinct differences in scope and content. The more descriptive sections of this plan will be generic in nature and give information for the entire zone as well as some broad comparative statistics.

Finally, this document will build on previous documents. Information will be updated as required or new sections will be added if any new information is available. Sections from previous documents will be included if they are still relevant.

Section 1 Description of the Land Base

1.0 Description of Forest Management Districts

1.1. General

Planning Zone 3 encompasses FMD's 4, 5, 6 and 8 (Figure1). It extends from Seal Bay in the northwest, easterly along the coast to New-Wes-Valley in the northeast, then southerly to Terra Nova National Park in the east and then west along the northern edge of the Bay Du' Nord Wilderness Area to the general area of the Bay D'Espoir Highway near Great Gull Lake.



Figure 1. Location of Planning Zone 3

1.1.1. District Boundaries

Forest Management District 4, known as the Terra Nova Management District, basically encompasses both the Terra Nova and Gambo River watersheds. Its boundaries follow tenure lines north of Mint Brook to the south shore of Gambo Pond, then extends south (including Terra Nova Lake) to the Bay Du Nord Wilderness Area, and continues as far west as Little Gander Pond. The western boundary generally follows a northeasterly direction passing just east of Dead Wolf Pond to a point near the headwaters of Mint Brook. The district also includes Kepenkeck Lake, Lake St. John and Deer Pond. FMD 4 has a total gross area of 297,147 hectares, and a total productive forest area of approximately 82,785 hectares.

Forest Management District 5, known as the Bonavista North Management District, is located on the north side of Bonavista Bay. Its boundaries include the Gander River to the west and Gander Lake, Gambo Pond, and Terra Nova Lake to the south. To the east, the district is marked by Bonavista Bay and Terra Nova National Park. To the north, it ends to the Atlantic Ocean. The district also includes Fogo Island. FMD 5 has a total gross area of 581,040 hectares, and a total productive forest area of approximately 214,254 hectares.

Forest Management District 6, commonly referred to as the Glenwood Management District includes that parcel of land extending generally south and southwest of Gander Lake and the TCH to Great Gull Lake. The southern boundary extends from Great Gull Lake, west to Sitdown Pond and Great Burnt Lake. The western boundary extends through the headwaters of Great Rattling Brook northeast to the Bay D'Espoir Highway near Miguels Lake, then continues on passing just south of Crowe Lake through to the TCH near Notre Dame Junction. FMD 6 has a total gross area of 408,098 hectares, and a total productive forest area of approximately 152,818 hectares.

Forest Management District 8, also referred to as the Exploits Bay Management District, is located on the northeast coast, covering the geographical area which can generally be defined as that located north of the former Canadian National Railway line (49th latitude)

between the Gander River in the east and Seal Bay in the west. The northern boundary extends into Notre Dame Bay to include Twillingate, New World Island, Change Islands and Exploits Island, along with many other smaller islands. Major communities within the district are primarily located along the coast with population centers around Gander Bay, Twillingate - New World Island, Birchy Bay, Lewisporte, Norris Arm, Botwood and Point Leamington. FMD 8 has a total gross area of 283,000 hectares, and a total productive forest area of approximately 162,474 hectares.

The boundaries for these districts were originally proclaimed in Newfoundland Regulation 72/79 and filed on May 18, 1979 and revised under Consolidated Newfoundland Regulation 777/96 . The FMD's 4 & 5 headquarters is located in the town of Gambo, while FMD's 6 & 8 fall under the jurisdiction of District Office in Lewisporte. There are also satellite field offices in Gander, Wings Point and Northern Arm. Administration of forest management activities in FMD 4 is shared between the Gambo and Clarenville district offices, while in FMD 6 they are shared between Gambo, Lewisporte and Bishop's Falls. This arrangement results from the existing road access points to FMD's 4 and 6 in relation to DNR offices.

1.1.2 History

With the exception of Gander, the major communities within the planning zone area were built around the fishery, the railway and lumbering. Approximately 62,200 people live in this zone and most are located in communities of various sizes that follow the coastline. However; the largest single concentration is found inland at Gander, where the population is around 9,500.

The districts in this zone have a history which is both rich and varied. In FMD 5, Gander's existence stems from the need of a stopover point for transatlantic flights in the mid 1930's. Its development took on major importance during World War II because of the towns' strategic location, where, as many as 10,000 military personnel were stationed. Still, in spite of its contribution on the global and local scene, the Town of Gander was not established until 1951. This is a stark contrast to centers like Fogo Island, which began to settle around 1680 by French, Spanish, and Portuguese summer fishing stations.

The Wesleyville-Badgers Quay area is the birth place of many great sealing captains. Greenspond, a small fishing community today, can trace its origins back to 1698. It was once a bustling community of 1,726 persons (1901) and was once known as the “Capital of the North”(Windsor, 1979). This community was very important to fishing industry by the late 1700’s and by 1850 was heavily involved with the seal fishery. Gambo, whose heyday centered around the now defunct Newfoundland railway, is the birthplace of the last Father of Confederation, the late Premier Joseph R. Smallwood. Gambo was also the site of extensive lumbering activities in the 1800's. Another noteworthy railway and lumbering town in the region is Terra Nova. The Terra Nova River watershed, which essentially constitutes FMD 4, was extensively logged for pulpwood and lumber during the 1940's and 50's. The timber limits associated with most of that district were originally secured by Norwegian developers, who in 1920, started construction on a sulphite pulpmill at Glovertown. Devaluation of the Norwegian Kroner disrupted the financing of the project and it was eventually abandoned (Munro, J.A., 1978). Subsequently, the Anglo-Newfoundland Development Company (the predecessor of Abitibi) obtained the rights to the Terra Nova limits in 1923 to support an expansion of the Grand Falls mill.

FMD 6 encompasses the watershed of both the Northwest and Southwest Gander Rivers and the area immediately adjacent to Glenwood, has a similar history. While the Corner Brook mill was still under construction, the Reid Newfoundland Company was also trying to promote a newsprint mill on the Gander River (Munro, J.A., 1978). The Gander Valley Power and Paper Company Limited was formed by the Reids and the most of the area which constitutes FMD 6 was conferred along with water power rights by the government in 1924. The Hearst publishing organization in the United States was involved with the financing and had tentatively agreed to take the full output of the mill. This deal fell through and eventually the Reids negotiated a deal which allowed the Bowater interests in England to acquire the Gander Valley and other properties for the Corner Brook mill in 1938, in what became known as the Gander Deal.

As with most areas of rural Newfoundland, historical settlement of communities in FMD 8 developed around the fishing and shipping industries. The community of Twillingate

recorded settlers as early as 1700, making it one of the Provinces oldest seaports. During the early 1900's, Campbellton was an industrial town with a lumber mill, pulp mill and its own miniature railway. Over the past 30 years, commercial forestry activities have increased to the point where they now account for a significant portion of employment in the area. Small scale farming is carried out in the Comfort Cove, Laurenceton and Northern Arm areas. Lewisporte; the largest community in the district is a service town with a large wholesale distribution center. It is also a main port for the coastal service to Labrador. These four districts have strong ties to the development of the forest industry in Newfoundland. In more recent years, the infrastructure, especially the network of forest access roads originally used to support the logging industry, is cited as an important component of other industry developments such as hunting and fishing.

1.1.3 Ownerships

There are two major ownerships in the zone which comprise of Crown and Corner Brook Pulp and Paper Limited (CBPPL) (Figure 2). Crown land accounts for 67.7 % of the timber ownership which comprise of all FMD 04 & 08, and portions within FMD's 05 & 06. During the fall of 2010, CBPPL sold some of its landbase in FMD's 08 & 06 to Crown. As a result, within the whole zone CBPPL now only represents 21.6 % ownership. These holdings are in the form of long term licenses that are not due to expire until 2037. Finally, miscellaneous timber holdings (eg private, DOT, parks, etc.) account for 2.1 %.



Figure 2. Ownership Map of Planning Zone 3

1.2 Physical Features

The planning zone is a large area (approx 1.6 million ha) covering much of northeastern Newfoundland. Physical features vary a great deal over such a large landscape. The following descriptions apply generally to the districts in the planning area.

1.2.1 Topography and Physiography

Planning Zone 3 contains a diversity of terrain types. The area has generally rolling topography dissected by several large valleys including: Southwest Gander River, Northwest Gander River and Gander River valleys. These rolling hills are commonly between 100 and 200 metres (asl) and rarely extending above 300 metres (asl). Hillsides drop steeply into the major valleys. A broad lowland, below 100 m elevation, is found between the Exploits River and Botwood, and north of Norris Arm. The area has an extensive coastline dominated by bedrock with scattered pocket beaches. Another exception is the area west of New-Wes-Valley, which is generally low relief lowland (less than 100 m asl) dominated by numerous lakes and wetland areas. The physiography is largely controlled by bedrock structure, shown by the numerous southwestnortheast trending valleys, lakes and ridges. Hills are commonly orientated northeastward, reflecting bedrock lineations. The highest point in the management area is Mount Peyton (482 m asl) near Glenwood in FMD 6.

This region contains Gander Lake, which is one of the largest lakes in the province. The lake is 47 km long, an average of 2.0 km wide, has a surface area of 11,200 ha (EDM et. al., 1996), and a surface elevation of 25m asl. A bathymetry survey of the lake was completed in 1995 during the development of a watershed management plan for the Gander Lake Watershed Monitoring Committee (EDM et.al., 1996). Soundings in the Fifteen Mile Brook area recorded depths of 274 m (249 m below present sea level) and depths of 250 m off Little Harbour, decreasing to 60 m off Kings point and 27 m at the extreme eastern end of the lake. The field survey confirmed the maximum Lake depth at 290 metres.

In general, the drainage of the planning area is in a northerly direction and is characteristically poor with many large peat bogs throughout. The main rivers include:

Gander, Gambo, Campbellton, and Terra Nova. Other rivers (Indian Bay, Dog Bay and Ragged Harbour), while smaller in size, drain large watersheds. In the past, many of these rivers were important transportation routes for water-driven sawlogs and pulpwood. This is evident by the remnants of a number of large dams as well as the occasional man-made channel.

1.2.2 Geology

The area was completely glaciated during the last glacial period (Late Wisconsinan). Surficial geology mapping has been completed on parts of the area at scales of 1:50 000 (Batterson, 1991, 1999a,b; Mackenzie, 1993; Munro, M., 1993) and 1:250 000 (Liverman and Taylor, 1993, 1994a,b). Mapping of ice flow indicators identify three major flows. Early ice flow was eastward from a source in the Long Range Mountains, and subsequently by north to northeastward flowing ice from the main Newfoundland ice center.

This region shows abundant evidence of glacial activity, and is dominated by areas of bedrock and till. Bedrock that comprises much of the coastal area and the higher ground is smoothed, commonly showing roche moutonée forms. Drumlins are found at the head of Lewisporte Harbour, and crag-and-tail hills are found south of Loon Bay. Areas adjacent to the coast show large area of bedrock exposure, particularly west of New-Wes-Valley and north of Gander. Much of the area is covered by glacial till, commonly as a veneer (less than 1.5 m thick) or as a blanket (thicker than 1.5 m). Rogen moraines, oriented perpendicular to flow, are generally rare, although some are found in the Island Pond/Dans Pond area and near Sunday Pond and Frozen Ocean Lake These were deposited by north to northeastward flowing ice, consistent with the regional ice flow direction.

The valleys of the lowlands were the main channels for meltwaters created by retreating ice. In these valleys are found the glaciofluvial landforms of terraces eskers, kames and valley trains. Gander Lake was likely a conduit for local ice flow. Ice contact gravel and eskers at the eastern end of the lake show that ice flowed through this area and into the sea at Freshwater Bay. Eskers are also found in the Caribou Lake area south of Gander

Lake the Mint Brook area near Gambo and the Terra Nova area. Areas of non-glacial sediment are generally confined to the valleys. The Great Rattling Brook, Southwest Gander River, Northwest Gander River and Gander River valleys all contain moderately to well-sorted, stratified sand and gravel deposited in a glaciofluvial or fluvial environment. These systems were the routes of meltwater during deglaciation. The Southwest and Northwest Gander River valleys are up to 6 km wide, with flat valley floors. They contain sand and gravel deposited by glaciofluvial outwash. Some sediment has been reworked by the present channel into an alluvial plain up to 1 km wide. Meltwater outflow from the Southwest Gander, Careless Brook valley and from the Northwest Gander River valley flowed northward through The Outflow into the modern Gander River valley.

Evidence of higher water levels was found in the Gander Lake valley (Batterson and Vatcher, 1991). Beach sediments up to 39 m above Gander Lake have been identified. It is possible that higher water levels were the result of marine incursion. Raised marine features on the coast have not been examined in detail, but Munro and Catto (1993) reports Late Wisconsinan marine limits near Carmanville on the north coast at 43 m asl. Marine limit at the coast at the eastern end of the lake has been reported at about 30 m asl (Jenness, 1960; Grant, 1980). Undated marine shells have also been reported from the Gander River valley, north of Gander Lake. Higher water levels drained through the modern Gander River valley. During the Holocene, organic deposits developed in the poorer drained areas, and colluvial deposits formed at the base of the steeper slopes. Both these processes continue today, although vegetated slopes have retarded the rate of colluviation.

FMD’s 4, 5, 6 and 8 straddle three technostratigraphic zones of the Newfoundland Appalachians. These are, from east to west, the Avalon, Gander and Dunnage zones (Govt of NL, 1987). The Avalon Zone lies in FMD 5 east of a line drawn from Terra Nova Lake northward to the Dover area. This zone is characterized by thick successions of upper Precambrian volcanic, plutonic and sedimentary rocks that are overlain by

fossiliferous mudstone, quartzite, limestone and shale of Cambrian age. These various rock types are well exposed in the areas around Bonavista Bay. Granitic and gabbroic rocks of late Precambrian age occur east of Traytown.

Granitic rocks of Devonian age occur in the Terra Nova Lake area. The Gander Zone lies in parts of all four districts. Its western boundary lies roughly along a line that extends from Great Gull Lake northeastward to the Ragged Harbour area. The western part of the Gander Zone consists of a thick sequence of quartz greywacke, quartzite, siltstone and shale. This grades eastward into metamorphic rocks consisting of schist, gneiss and migmatite. These rocks were intruded by massive and foliated biotite granites and by massive and foliated two-mica, garnet-bearing granites. The age of the sedimentary and metamorphic rocks is early Ordovician and older. The granitic rocks are as young as Devonian. The Dunnage Zone is situated in the western part of FMD 5 and covers most of FMD's 6 and 8. A thin sliver of Dunnage Zone rocks is located in FMD 4. Rocks within the Dunnage Zone are composed of Ordovician marine mafic volcanic, intrusive and sedimentary rocks that represent remnants of oceanic crust. These are overlain by oceanic basalts and subaerial felsic volcanic rocks. The volcanics are interlayered with and grade laterally into clastic sedimentary rocks. As is the case in the other zones, intrusive rocks of middle Paleozoic age intrude rocks of the Dunnage Zone and consist of granite, granodiorite, diorite and gabbro.

1.2.3 Soils

Portions of the districts have been surveyed with respect to soil profile but information is lacking in other areas, particularly near the coast. A soil survey was conducted in the Gander – Gambo area and the following information relates to that location. The remainder of the districts should not vary greatly with regard to these soil types due to similar parent materials mentioned above (Wells and Heringa, 1972). The survey concluded that the soils developed from glacial till. These include mainly ground terrain deposits ranging from a few inches to over 20 feet thick and are composed largely of material derived from locally underlying rock. Podzolic soils are the main soils in the

area with some orthic gleysols which are characterized by the lack of aeration and poor drainage.

There are some large areas of organic soils which may be broadly divided by the degree of decomposition and the vegetation apparent on the site. Sphagnum peat is the predominant type of organic deposit. Other types of organic soils found in the districts would be ericaceous peat and muck peat, both of which are less shallow in depth when compared to sphagnum peat. In relation to tree growth, the podzolic soils support the following species: black spruce – *Picea mariana* (Mill.) B.S.P.; balsam fir - *Abies balsamea* (L.) Mill.; white birch - *Betula papyrifera* (Marsh); and others of lesser importance than the three mentioned. The orthic gleysols support mostly black spruce, the growth of which is somewhat retarded due to the lack of available nutrients. Little, if any, tree growth is supported by the organic soils. The organic mucks support some vegetation depending on slope. Some shallow mucks occur on lower slopes under mixed forest and alder.

1.2.4 Climate

The climate of the four districts can be broken down into two main categories, in accordance with the two larger ecoregions of this area. The Central Newfoundland Ecoregion has the most continental climate on the island. As a result it has the warmest summers and the coldest winters. The mean daily temperatures for July and February are +15oC to +16oC and -4oC to -8oC, respectively. The precipitation ranges from 900 mm to 1300 mm annually with 3.0 m to 5.3 m of snowfall. This ecoregion also has the least wind and fog for the island. Due to the warm summers and the highest rates of evapo-transpiration, the soil moisture in this area is considered one of the driest on the island. A result of this is the high frequency of fire in this ecoregion due to its summer dryness. The North Shore Ecoregion has the warmest summers of all the coastal regions on the island, and the winters are cool. The mean July temperatures range from +15oC to +16oC, while the February mean temperatures range from -5oC to -7oC. The precipitation for this area is between 900 mm and 1200 mm with snowfall amounts ranging from 2.5 m to 3.5 m.

Due to its exposure, the high winds and high summer temperatures the high evapo-transpiration rates cause the soil in this ecoregion to be the driest for the island. This region is also influenced by the cold Labrador Current flowing from the north, especially with its pack ice in the spring. This causes the growing season to be delayed when the ice is heavy. For additional information about the climate of the four districts refer to Meades and Moores, (1994).

1.3 Ecosystems

An ecosystem is a community of interacting and interdependent plants, animals and microorganisms, together with the physical environment within which they exist. It is important to remember that within an ecosystem, the interactions between the biotic and abiotic components are at least as important as the component themselves. Another critical characteristic of ecosystems is their overlapping boundaries. While each is definable in time and space, and distinguishable from adjacent ecosystems, each is intimately integrated with other local ecosystems. Additionally, each local ecosystem is nested within increasingly larger ecosystems. The scale at which an ecosystem is viewed is contingent on the species or abiotic characteristic under consideration. While planet Earth represents the ultimate global ecosystem, complex ecosystems also exist under fallen logs and rocks.

1.3.1 The Forest Ecosystem

A forest ecosystem, as the term implies, is an ecosystem dominated by tree cover. At the coarsest level, the forests of Planning Zone 3, like all forests on the island, form part of the boreal forest ecosystem. The boreal forest is a green belt which spans much of the northern hemisphere. It stretches from the Atlantic shores of Scandinavia through Russia, across Alaska, through the mid latitudes of Canada until it reaches the Atlantic Ocean again in Newfoundland and Labrador.

One of the distinguishing characteristics of the boreal forest is the phenomena of periodic, catastrophic stand replacement natural disturbances such as fire and insect outbreaks which typically give rise to uniform, even aged forests dominated by a few tree species. The tree species which characterize the Canadian boreal forest include black spruce, white spruce, balsam fir, eastern larch, trembling aspen, white birch and jack

pine. All of these, with the exception of jack pine, commonly occur on the Island. However, by far the dominant species are black spruce and balsam fir; together they represent more than 90 percent of the growing stock on the island. Spruce is most abundant in north central Newfoundland where a climate characterized by relatively dry, hot summers has historically favoured this fire-adapted species. In western Newfoundland the climate is somewhat moister and fires are far fewer in this region resulting in the ascendance of balsam fir, a species which is poorly adapted to fire. Like the rest of the Province, the forests of Planning Zone 3 (FMD's 4, 5, 6 and 8) are part of the larger boreal forest ecosystem. The morainal areas which are extensive in Zone 3 support closed stands of conifers, largely black and white spruce *Picea mariana* (Mill.) B.S.P. and *Picea glauca* (Moench Voss), balsam fir *Abies balsamea* (L.) Mill. and tamarack *Larix laricina* (Du Roi) K. Koch. Broadleaf trees, such as white birch *Betula papyrifera* (Marsh.) occur in pure stands on richer soils, but it and trembling aspen *Populus tremuloides* (Michx.) are more prevalent in mixtures with the other conifers. Other needle-leaf trees, notably white pine *Pinus strobus* L. occur in spots scattered throughout the forest while Red pine *Pinus resinosa* (Alt.) is considered rare as it is only found in seven separate natural stands in FMD 5, concentrated in the Gambo-Glovertown area, two stands in FMD 4, two very small stands in FMD 8 and one stand in FMD 6.

Soils of the boreal forests in FMD's 4, 5, 6 & 8 are predominantly classed as podzols although brunisols are also present. Throughout the contrasting areas of exposed bedrock, morainal deposits and low lying sphagnum bogs, this mosaic of soils and non-soils tends to be occupied by a range of plant communities dominated by lichens, shrubs and forbs. Climatic conditions of this region are heavily influenced by the proximity to cold Arctic air masses and the Labrador Current in the north and warm moist air and the Gulf Stream in the south. The interaction of these phenomena results in moderate annual precipitation, high evapotranspiration rates during warm summers and overall the most continental climate on the Island of Newfoundland; with the warmest summers, coldest winters and the least wind and fog.

The primary natural disturbance factors attributed to boreal forests are fire and insects. Forest fires were frequent and extensive in north-central Newfoundland and resulted in

specific successional trends depending on site type. More often than not, the spruce component is increased following fire, whereas other disturbance types such as insects and cutting often results in an increase in the fir component. Repeated burning and cutting of dry, coarse-textured black spruce-feather moss site types can result in ericaceous species such as sheep laurel *Kalmia angustifolia* invading the site to produce heath-like conditions. Successional patterns on other forest cover types vary with site and type of disturbance. These are discussed in greater detail in subsequent sections of this report.

Forest development class, successional pattern and site type, influence the understory plant community throughout the district. The species composition and structure of these plants significantly impact on suitability of a site as wildlife habitat for various species. Some animals are very general in terms of habitat requirements and can occupy a wide range of site conditions, yet have specific seasonal requirements that can determine habitat quality. For example, the moose requires wintering areas with suitable combinations of available cover and browse. It is widely accepted that a variety of forest age classes can provide increased habitat and sustainability for many wildlife species. On the other hand, some species require a specific age class or habitat condition to maintain healthy populations (e.g., Newfoundland marten (*Martes Americana atrata*)).

Aquatic ecosystems of the boreal forest are heavily dependant on forest cover for temperature regulation, nutrient cycling and stream flow regulation. Consequently, forest harvesting activities adjacent to riparian areas are critical to sustainability of fish habitat and maintenance of fish migration routes. Suitability of various streams and ponds as waterfowl breeding, feeding and resting areas are also dependant on adjacent forest cover. Biological production in streams is based on a combination of internal and external nutrient and energy pathways. Stream side vegetation has a strong influence on both since they are so closely linked to surrounding terrestrial events. Small streams in forested areas receive much of their materials from the surrounding terrestrial ecosystem. Detritus in the form of needle and leaf litter, twigs and branches, forms the major energy base for consumer organisms. In highly shaded headwater streams, algae production is often low and yields only a small and seasonally variable contribution to the overall energy budget.

As streams become larger further downstream, sufficient light penetrates the forest canopy, and consumer populations can take advantage of both particulate detritus and algae (Toews and Brownlee 1981). For these reasons, maintenance of suitable riparian zones for protection of aquatic ecosystems, as well as providing wildlife travel corridors is a primary consideration of any forest management strategy.

Major watersheds within the Zone include portions of the Gander River, Exploits River, Indian Arm Brook, Jumpers Brook, Ten Mile Lake, Big Lake, Campbellton River, Dog Bay River, Indian Bay River, Terra Nova River, Ragged Harbour River, Gambo River, Middle Brook, Mint Brook and Traverse Brook. Many of these are associated with protected water supplies for communities within the districts. Small to medium sized lakes and ponds are common throughout the zone.

1.3.2 Ecoregions and Subregions

With the evolution of an ecosystem approach to forest resource management, it would be advantageous to have a standard framework to classify combinations like general climate and regional physiography, as well as the other components of an ecosystem, into distinguishable regions. Fortunately, such a framework exists, in a publication entitled *Ecoregions and Subregions of Insular Newfoundland* (after Damman, 1983).

Damman defined ecoregions as areas where a comparable vegetation and soil can be found on sites occupying similar topographic positions on the same parent material, provided that these sites have experienced a similar history of disturbance. Thus, an ecoregion cannot be defined in isolation from the physical landscape, but vegetation toposequence, vegetation structure, floristic composition and floristic distributions can provide the primary criteria (Damman, 1979). According to Damman, Newfoundland consists of nine ecoregions which can be further divided into several subregions. Labrador has ten ecoregions. Each of the Newfoundland and Labrador ecoregions and subregions contain many of the same ecosystem variables. It is the dominance and variance of these variables (e.g., vegetation and climate) that determine their classification. FMD's 4, 5, 6 and 8 contain four of the ecoregions outlined by Damman (1983). They are:

II - Central Newfoundland Ecoregion (which contains IIA - the Northcentral Subregion);
III - NorthShore Ecoregion;
VII - Eastern Hyper-Oceanic Barrens Ecoregion and
VI - Maritime Barrens Ecoregion (which contains VID - the Central Barrens Subregion) (see figure 3).

Of these, IIA contains the largest portion in the district. The following descriptions are taken from *Forest Site Classification Manual - A Field Guide to the Damman Forest Site Types of Newfoundland* (Meades and Moores, 1994).

1.3.2.1 Central Newfoundland Ecoregion

The Central Newfoundland Ecoregion has the most continental climate in insular Newfoundland. It has the highest summer and lowest winter temperatures. Because of the warm summers and the high evapo-transpiration losses, soils in the northern section of this ecoregion have a soil moisture deficiency. The *Hylocomium*-Balsam fir forest type occupies the zonal soils of this area. These soils are generally lighter in color and have a lower organic matter content compared to other ecoregions.

Forest fires have had an important role in the natural history of this region. Many sites have been converted to black spruce, while some of the richer sites are occupied by white birch and trembling aspen.

The Central Newfoundland Ecoregion has four subregions: IIA - Northcentral Subregion; IIB - Red Indian Lake Subregion; IIC - Portage Pond Subregion; IID - Twillick Steady Subregion. Of these, only the Northcentral Subregion is found in District 4, 5, 6 and 8 and contains, by far, the largest area of land relative to the other three ecoregions.

Northcentral Subregion

This subregion has the highest maximum temperatures, lowest rainfall and highest forest fire frequency than anywhere else in Newfoundland. The subregion extends from Clarenville to Deer Lake with a mostly rolling topography of less than 200 meters (asl.). The history of fire is evident by the pure black spruce forest and trembling aspen stand that dominate the region.

1.3.2.2 North Shore Forest Ecoregion

The less prevalent North Shore Ecoregion is essentially a 20-25 km wide coastal zone that extends from Bonavista Bay to the Baie Verte Peninsula. Here, a continuous forest of black spruce and balsam fir dominates except on the coastal headlands where barrens prevail. White spruce is more common here than in central Newfoundland. The quality of growth diminishes as you approach the coastline. There are no subregions in this ecoregion.

1.3.2.3 Eastern Hyper-Oceanic Barrens Forest Ecoregion

This ecoregion occurs on the extreme south coast of the Avalon and Burin peninsulas and on the northeast coast near Bay de Verde and Cape Freels. Here, the extreme oceanic climate limits the development of forest other than Balsam Fir krummholz. The heaths in this ecoregion are similar to oceanic parts of northern Scotland and southern Norway. This ecoregion constitutes very little of the land mass contained within the planning area being limited to the extreme northeastern coastline in FMD 5.

1.3.2.4 Maritime Barrens Forest Ecoregion

This ecoregion extends from the east coast of Newfoundland to the west coast through the south central portion of the island. It is characterized by relatively mild winters with intermittent snow cover and the coldest summers with frequent fog and strong winds. The dominant landscape pattern consists of usually stunted, almost pure stands of Balsam fir, broken by extensive open heathland. Good forest growth is localized on long slopes of a few protected valleys. The heaths are dominated by *Kalmia angustifolia* on protected slopes where snow accumulates and by cushions of *Empetrum nigrum*, or *Empetrum eamesii* on windswept ridges. The southern portions of FMD's 4 and 6 extend into the northeastern extent of this ecoregion.



Figure 3 Ecoregions and Subregions of Planning Zone 3.

1.4 Ecosystem Dynamics

1.4.1 Ecosystem Condition

As with other parts of the Newfoundland's boreal forest, those of Planning Zone 3 have evolved in concert with a history of fire, insect attack and subsequent disease and wind throw. Human intervention in this forest has been extensive and widespread with a resultant significant impact on current landscape patterns. Landscape patterns determine the variety, integrity, and interconnectedness of habitats within a region. These landscape patterns are a direct result of the relationship between physical landforms and soils, disturbance history, and relationships among various species that makeup the ecosystem

communities. These factors, while listed separately for clarity, are unavoidably interrelated. Landscape patterns play a pivotal role in determining the current conditions and health of forest ecosystems. These variables are evaluated in terms of productivity, stability and resilience.

Another important role determining the condition of a forest is change. Forests are an ever evolving entity, resisting stagnation, and constantly moving through their cycles of life, death, and renewal. The process of change over time is the essence of nature itself. It has been nature's underlying storyline since time began, and will continue to be until time ends. The main forces of change in our natural forest ecosystems are disturbance and succession. A definition of disturbance would indicate that it initiates a change in a community structure which often ends up in the replacement of one set of species by another. However, replacement is not always the end result (e.g., a species like black spruce is aided in germination by disturbances like forest fire). Disturbances range from the fall of a single tree, to the destruction of thousands of hectares by forest fires. While disturbances may be very destructive, they can often rejuvenate ecosystems and diversify landscapes. Succession involves changes in both community composition and in the ecosystem structure and process. Succession is the orderly change whereby the dominant species is replaced by another species, then another etc. until a new dominant species establishes a relatively stable community.

The following sections will discuss each of these concepts in more detail as they relate to the ecosystems of Planning Zone 3. For the most part this section will be descriptive and explanatory in nature.

1.4.1.1 Productivity

Productivity is the accrual of matter and energy in biomass. In simple terms, primary productivity is the sum total of all biomass produced through photosynthesis. Secondary productivity occurs when this "primary" biomass is ingested and is added to that organism's biomass. Since secondary productivity is directly dependant on primary productivity, it is this primary productivity component that drives the system. The level of primary production is dependant on the ability to produce biomass. This in turn is

dependent on landscape features, soil, climate etc. In general terms, the more productive (ability to grow trees) a site is, the higher level of primary productivity. For example a forested stand would have a higher primary productivity than a bog or a good site would have a higher potential than a poor site. Overall, the landscape in Planning Zone 3 has approximately 43 percent productive forest. This distribution of productive sites across the landscape and range of productivity within these sites is largely dependent on landscape patterns, climate, and soils. The more productive areas of the zone occur in the lowlands of the river valleys. These areas have deeper soils and less exposed bedrock.

The landscape patterns are more consistent and the growing season is longer. In contrast, the northern parts of FMD's 5 and 8 along the coast have soils are shallower with bedrock at or near the surface. The terrain in northern parts is much rougher and the growing season is shorter than in the valley lowlands (130 as opposed to 160 days). In practice, it is nearly impossible to measure the amount of biomass produced in an ecosystem, or the energy consumed in the process. However, in the Provincial Sustainable Forest Management Strategy, criteria and indicators to monitor productivity have been identified. One method outlined is tracking mean annual increment in m3/ha/yr of tree species by ecoregion. This can be readily measured over time and manipulated through silviculture treatments or affected by poor harvesting practices which increase soil compaction. An example of secondary productivity is the number of moose per unit area. One must also recognize the forests inherent biological limits however, when attempting to measure or manipulate site productivity.

1.4.1.2 Resilience

Ecosystem resilience reflects the ability of the ecosystem to absorb change and disturbance while maintaining the same productive capacity and the same relationships among populations. Healthy forest ecosystems maintain their resilience and adapt to periodic disturbances. The renewal of boreal forest ecosystems often depend on these disturbances. Resilience is characterized by the forest's ability to stabilize vital soil processes and maintain succession whereby the system is returned to a community composition and the productivity level is consistent with the ecosystems physical

constraints. To a large degree, a forest ecosystems' resilience is controlled by properties such as climate, parent soil, relief and flora. The potential for populations to recover from low levels following disturbance by having adequate regeneration capacity and a balanced distribution of forest types and age classes provides a reliable measure of resilience at the landscape level. Other measures include the percent and extent of area by forest type and age class and the percentage of disturbed areas that are successfully regenerated. Resilience is determined by measuring and monitoring these parameters.

Forest activities must be carefully planned to not upset the natural balance and lower an ecosystem's resilience. An example is harvesting on the more fragile sites where steep slopes and shallow soil over bedrock increase the potential of site degradation beyond repair.

1.4.1.3 Stability

Nature is constantly changing and going through the unending processes of disturbance, growth, senescence, and decay. Therefore, stability of a forest ecosystem does not refer to one fixed position without variation. Ecosystem stability is more accurately defined as the maintenance of ecosystem changes within certain boundaries and the functional continuation of important potentials and processes such as energy capture. There are three levels of stability; species stability, structural stability, and process stability.

Species stability is the maintenance of viable populations or meta-populations of individual species. Structural stability is the stability of various aspects of ecosystem structure such as food web organization or species numbers. Process stability is the stability of processes such as primary productivity and nutrient cycling. To put stability in perspective, it must ensure that the system does not cross some threshold from which recovery to a former state is either impossible, (extinction) or occurs only after long time periods or with outside inputs (loss of topsoil) Some indicators of stability which can be monitored are: area of forest converted to non-forest use, area, percentage and representation of forest types in protected areas, percentage and extent of area by forest type and age class, and change and distribution and abundance of various fauna. These

indicators can be measured and monitored to ensure stability is maintained and to evaluate the impact, if any, of forest activities on ecosystem stability.

1.4.1.4 Disturbance Regimes and Successional Patterns

There are four main driving forces that cause disturbance in the boreal forest. Harvesting accounts for the majority of disturbance in the zone and occurs on a regular and consistent basis. Fire and insect damage are the other two major disturbances and occur on a more irregular or cyclic basis. With the exception of a major atypical windstorm, wind throw usually occurs after a stand is weakened by some other agent like insects and/or disease. For this reason success ional patterns after insect damage and wind throw will be discussed together. The following is a brief synopsis of the typical successional patterns that occur in the zone after each major disturbance type.

1.4.1.4.1 Harvesting

Regeneration patterns in the black spruce type after harvesting is generally back to the black spruce type with a minor component of balsam fir and some white birch on the better sites. There is a higher regeneration failure in this forest type with average not sufficiently restocked (NSR) rates at 25-30 percent across all ecoregion and site types. Another general trend is that the poorer the site quality the higher the NSR rate. These sites would be candidates for planting with black spruce or red and/or white pine. In some instances where balsam fir does regenerate on black spruce sites it becomes very chlorotic at a young age and is highly susceptible to attack from the balsam woolly adelgid. It therefore has not been considered as acceptable softwood regeneration species on these sites, and planting has become the norm.

In the balsam fir types, regeneration failure is much lower than the black spruce types averaging 15-20 percent across all ecoregion and site types. The majority of these sites will regenerate back to balsam fir after harvesting. There is also some regeneration of these sites to mixed balsam fir/black spruce and/or mixed softwood/ hardwood types. Regeneration pattern in the mixed wood types is generally back to mixed wood that is dominated by white birch and balsam fir with a minor spruce component. There is a higher component of white birch regeneration after harvesting in types that had a higher percentage of hardwood (hS) before harvest. Generally, the better the site class the more

hardwood regeneration. Regeneration failure on the mixed wood types is highest in poor sites and lowest on the better sites averaging 10-15 percent.

There are two main white birch site types in the zone. The basic difference between them is terrain which impacts site quality. The G and H white birch sites are typically located on sloped terrain resulting in continual ground water movement or seepage slopes. These sites are prone to revert to alder dominated NSR sites in the absence of very hot ground fire as the disturbance mechanism. Consequently the management prescription to ensure productivity on these valuable sites is to plant fast growing softwood species. The medium white birch sites are typically on more level terrain and will revert to white birch /balsam fir or white birch/black spruce after disturbance. Regeneration failure on these sites is low (10 percent). The management prescription to regenerate these site to white birch is to remove the overmature birch in a seed tree cut to provide a seed source for the next rotation of birch. Intermediate treatments of precommercial thinning to maximize sawlog potential of these stands are recommended in future.

Harvesting of white birch in this zone has traditionally been for firewood purposes Recently, however, some of the harvest occurring has been directed to sawmilling with the development of a value added hardwood industry, which will place added pressure on the white birch resource in the zone. Evidence from domestic cutting in these types indicates that they will regenerate to mixed wood types dominated by balsam fir and white birch.

1.4.1.4.2 Fire

Since black spruce is a fire adapted species, it is not surprising that it is the most prolific regeneration species after fire across all forest types, site types and ecoregions within the zone. It regenerates as pure stands or in combination with white birch. Balsam fir is conspicuously absent after fire because most advanced regeneration in the under story is killed by the fire. Black spruce regeneration is somewhat correlated with the amount present in the pre fire stand. Generally, the higher the component of black spruce in the original stand, the higher the percentage of regeneration to black spruce. In mixed wood

stands a higher component of white birch and sometimes trembling aspen is present after fire.

Regeneration after fire in white birch dominated stands is typically back to white birch, but can also include a black spruce component. Regeneration failure after fire is on average 20-25 percent across all forest types, typically being higher as sites get poorer and ground fire temperatures decrease. Generally, the poorer site types will revert to Kalmia dominated NSR and require planting to ensure adequate regeneration. When ground fire temperatures are lower, less of the humas layer is removed and regeneration failure increases due to lack of adequate seed bed.

1.4.1.4.3 Insect

Balsam fir is highly susceptible to insect attack from the hemlock looper, balsam woolly adelgid, balsam fir sawfly, and spruce budworm, whereas black spruce is hardly impacted by these insects. For this reason, stands with a high component of balsam fir are more susceptible to insect attack and subsequently wind throw. Mature balsam fir types usually regenerate to balsam fir or to balsam fir hardwood mixtures.

In recent history, however, many insect killed fir stands have reverted to NSR due to the high browse rate on fir regeneration by moose in the zone. Disturbance by insect kill in young balsam fir stands can also cause succession to white spruce. Regeneration patterns in mixed wood types usually depend on the type of mixture. If black spruce is a component then it will persist and form part of the new stand. Otherwise balsam fir and balsam fir/hardwood mixtures regenerate after insect attack. Regeneration failure of fir sites after insect attack is low and only occurs approximately 15 percent of the time. Regeneration failure mostly occurs on sites where the immature balsam fir regeneration is killed by either insect attack as well, or over browsing by moose.

1.4.2 Biodiversity

Biodiversity is a term used to describe the variety of life on earth. A basic definition of biodiversity includes the variety of animals, plants and microorganisms that exist on our planet, the genetic variety within these species and the variety of ecosystems they inhabit.

Mishandling even small tracts of land could lead to extinction of several species, one of which may hold the key for the prevention or cure of some disease. While the boreal forest may not have the same extent of biodiversity that some of the equatorial regions possess, Canada does have many species of plants, animals, and micro organisms in its boreal and other forest regions. Biodiversity provides such essential services as climate control, oxygen production, purification of freshwater supplies, carbon dioxide removal from the atmosphere, soil generation, and nutrient cycling for humans. Without the species that provide these processes, humanity would be unable to survive.

The three components of biodiversity are species diversity, genetic diversity, and ecosystem diversity.

1.4.2.1 Species Diversity

Species diversity describes the overall range of species in a given area or ecosystem. Species are groups of animals, plants, and micro organisms capable of producing fertile offspring. An example would be all breeds of domesticated dogs are of the same species, while dogs and cats are members of different species. Species extinction is the most dramatic and recognizable form of reduced biodiversity. The prevention of species extinction is a key factor in the conservation of biodiversity. Changes in species population levels indicate the potential for serious changes in ecosystem integrity.

1.4.2.2 Genetic Diversity

Genetic diversity describes the range of possible genetic characteristics found within and among different species. Hair and eye colour, weight and height, are examples of genetic diversity found in humans. Genetic diversity within species is the foundation of all biodiversity. Assessing genetic diversity does not mean tracking every gene in the zone's forest. Responsible planning should design and implement measures which maintain or enhance viable populations of forest vegetation species and which use the genetic diversity of commercially important species to a maximum benefit. The genetic diversity of commercially important species can also be managed to increase economic benefit from some portions of the landscape while allowing other portions to provide greater

social and ecological values. Genetic diversity is the basis by which populations (flora and fauna) can adapt to changing environmental conditions.

1.4.2.3 Landscape Diversity

Ecosystem diversity describes the range of natural systems found throughout a region, a country, a continent or the planet. Wetlands and grasslands are examples of ecosystems in Canada. A complex and intricate mix of plants, animals, micro organisms and the soil, water, and air they occupy create virtually limitless ecosystems around the world.

A forest interspersed with barrens, marshes, lakes and ponds provide for diversity across the landscape. Each ecoregion in the province should have representative areas protected which displays the diversity where such exists. With this in mind, DNR supports the development of a proposed ecological reserve in FMD 8 as a representative of the North Shore Forest Ecosystem, and a proposed ecological reserve in FMD's 4 and 5 to represent the Central Newfoundland Forest Ecosystem. These areas can serve as a benchmark from which to measure and guide management decisions. These representative areas protect the wilderness of the ecoregion and are vital for guiding management actions. As benchmark areas, they will illustrate the multi-species mosaic that planning actions must maintain. One unique aspect of landscape diversity in Planning Zone 3 is the high representation of native red pine stands relative to other planning zones on the island. Approximately one-half of the 22 + red pine stands native to insular Newfoundland are located in the planning zone.

Old growth forests are valued for their contributions to society in the sense of heritage, culture, aesthetics, and spirituality. Old-growth forests are best understood within the general context of forest disturbance. Disturbance is ubiquitous in forest ecosystems and may be defined as any relatively discrete event in time that disrupts ecosystems, community or population structure and changes resources, substrate availability, or the physical environment. Disturbances occur over a wide range of spatial and temporal scales and normally interact one with the other to produce the complexity of forest types found across our landscapes. Theoretically, boreal forests not disturbed by fire, insect or wind disturbance for long periods of time will revert to multi-cohort, self-perpetuating,

gap-driven forests. When viewed from the perspective of forest-level disturbance, it may be stated that old-growth forests are common in areas not prone to recurrent or periodic stand replacing disturbance from fire, insects or wind. In situations where stand-initiating events are rare, then old-growth will tend to dominate. The disturbance forces which would naturally recycle mature forests are absent and therefore forests will tend to grow to the old-growth stage. Old-growth forests are thus composed entirely of trees which have developed in the absence of stand replacing disturbance. Old-growth fir-spruce forests will self-perpetuate through small-scale gap dynamics in the absence of large-scale disturbance. Old-growth conditions in the Canadian boreal forest are rare or uncommon. This is understandable given the ubiquity of landscape-level fires and recurrent insect outbreaks.

As well, logging is becoming an increasingly significant disturbance factor in the boreal forests. Wildfire is paramount in controlling the dynamics of the drier, continental boreal forests of western Canada and Alaska. In Newfoundland, fire tends to be important in the forests of central region, characterized by its continental-like climate. The occurrence of old-growth forests on the Island of Newfoundland is unknown. Except for the old-growth research conducted in the upper Main River watershed, empirical definitions of old-growth according to forest types and edaphic conditions are not available. Furthermore, the frequency of natural forest disturbances and their role in shaping landscape level forest composition and structure of the Island's forests are little understood. However, given our general knowledge of the historic occurrence of fire, insect and wind disturbance in Newfoundland's forests, as well as recognition of a century of logging activity across the Island, it is reasonable to assume that primary old-growth forests on the Island are not common. DNR does acknowledge that the older cohorts in the age class structure of a district are important from many ecosystem perspectives. Accordingly, during the 2010 wood supply modeling, the maintenance of 15 % of the overmature cohort (i.e. 81+ years) on the landscape over the forecast horizon was a requirement on a district basis. This will be discussed further in other sections.

1.5 Forest Characterization

1.5.1 Land Classification

There are four basic categories that currently represent how the land within a forest management district is classified; productive forest, non productive forest, non-forest and fresh water. The total mapped area in the zone is approximately 1.6 million hectares. Of this approx 635,580 ha is productive forest, 417,920 ha is nonproductive, 404,000 ha is non-forest, and 157,000 ha is water. Productive forest is defined as forested area that is capable of producing 60 m^3/ha at rotation. Essentially, this is the forested area that sustains industry in the province.

1.5.2 Age Class

Individual tree ages in a stand can all be the same after disturbance such as fire or harvesting; however in most cases the ages vary. Forest managers describe stand ages in terms of age classes which generally encompass 20 years. The age classes present in the zone are:

Class	Age (years)
1	0 - 20 regenerating
2	21 – 40 immature
3	41 – 60 semi-mature
4	61 – 80 mature
5	81 - 100 over mature
6	100 - 120 “
7	120 + “

The combined age class distribution in Planning Zone 3 for the entire productive forest is shown in figure 5 and on an individual district basis in figures 5a to 5d. In general terms, the more balanced the age class distribution in a district, the higher the potential for an even flow sustained harvest of timber, because continuous timber supply is limited by the age class with the lowest frequency of occurrence. A balanced age distribution in the forest would also allow for the highest biodiversity by making habitat available at all stages of development, with the equivalent proportions of the forest to moving from one stage of development to the next over time. This would result in an ongoing renewal of habitat.

Figure 4 Age class distribution in Planning Zone 3

For FMD 4, Figure 4a shows how the different levels of forest development are represented. As illustrated, the age class structure for the district is basically even-aged in that most of the trees have ages that generally do not span more than 60 years. Currently, Class 5+ represents the most area at 43%. This is followed by Class 1 and 2 at 20%, Class 3 at 12%, and Class 4 at 5%. The imbalance of the district age class distribution causes the various timber owners to rely heavily on Class 5 for their commercial wood supplies (which are the oldest). As each year passes, there is a higher potential for overmature trees to be lost to mortality, resulting in less of the resource being available, from a timber production perspective.

The management scheme accepted by the Forest Services Branch is to harvest the oldest stands first. In the case of FMD 4, this will represent a large amount of the harvest for the next 20-40 years because of the limited amount of area in Class 3 and 4 of the current age class structure. Age Class 5+ will have to support both commercial and domestic harvests (with some inputs from Class 4 and even less from Class 3) over the next 20 year cycle. By that time even less fiber will be available in what is currently Class 5+, because of the heavy reliance for harvesting and additional losses due to mortality. Some of the effects

of the reliance on Class 5 as a timber source may be reduced by the help of silviculture (primarily thinning, and to a lesser degree, but still important, a program of planting). The thinning will help selected trees reach a merchantable size in a shorter period of time by utilizing resources once taken in by trees before they were thinned out.



Figure 4a Age class distribution for all ownerships in FMD 4

FMD 5 does not have a balanced aged class structure (Figure 4b) as is the goal to maximize sustainable harvest levels. The breakdown for age class for FMD 5 is as follows: Class 5 & 2 (30%), followed by Class 3 (14%), and Class 1 & 4 (13%). Again, a similar situation is presented here when compared to FMD 4. The bulk of the area is available in Class 4 with just under half as much in Class 5. With the oldest first management policy, Class 5 should be able to support some harvesting for commercial and domestic operations until Class 4 areas are needed. This Class 4 area should be able to support the drain when the age classes advance to the next development stage as the forest ages. This will provide more time for the development of the current Class 3 component. Following that, what is now Class 1 and 2 appear to be in capable of

supporting current drain levels when the trees in these areas become merchantable. As with FMD 4, stands that have been thinned are hoped to lessen the impact when less area becomes available by reaching merchantable sizes at earlier ages. The Forest Service's management goal is to implement management strategies which will ultimately result in balanced age class structure over a period of time (i.e. 1-2 rotations).



Figure 4b Age class distribution for all ownerships in FMD 5

The age class structure for FMD 6 (figure 4c) indicates Class 5+ occupies the most area at 37%, Class 1 at 36%, Class 2 at 21%, Class 3 at 4%, and Class 4 occupying 3% of the productive forest land in the district. As in the two previous cases, FMD 6 does not have the desired age class structure for maximized sustainable harvest either. Figure 5c shows that FMD 6 has a similar age class structure to FMD 4, with the exception that FMD 6 has a larger land base. As a result, similar effects are expected to take place with regard to Class 5+ carrying much of the harvest requirements until trees in Class 2 become merchantable. This could be sooner if thinning areas produce as expected.

Figure 4c Age class distribution for all ownerships in FMD 6

The present age class structure in FMD 8 is skewed as follows: Class 1 is at 26 %; Class 2 is at 10%; Class 3 is at 7%; Class 4 is at 10 % and Class 5+ is at 46% (figure 4d). The major problem in this structure is the disproportionately low percentage of the forest in Class 3. The implication, for the medium term timber supply, of this shortfall is a significant reduction in the amount of available merchantable-size timber, once stands in the older age classes are either harvested or cycled through natural disturbance. It is projected this will occur within the next 20 years. In order to achieve a regulated forest, it is fundamental that measures be taken to promote a balanced forest age class structure



Figure 4d Age class distribution for all ownerships in FMD 8

1.5.3 Site Class

The Forest Services Branch has identified four site classes that refer to the potential of a given site to produce timber. These are high, good, medium and poor. The classes are based on a number of factors, some of which are soil type, moisture content, slope, and fertility. Site class is determined through air photo interpretation supplemented with field checks. The classes indicate the volume of wood fiber that a site has the capability of producing under natural conditions by the time the trees reach their rotation age (which averages, generally, between 60 and 80 years depending on the species and the location). On average, good sites are capable of producing > 2.6 m3/ha/yr, medium sites 1.7 m3/ha/yr, and poor sites 0.8 m3/ha/yr. The following indicates the average potential in cubic meters per hectare for each site class at maturity (based on the provincial average).

Class	**m3 /ha**
High	200+
Good	150
Medium	120
Poor	80

The medium site class is by far the largest in the districts within Planning Zone 3, holding 70% of the total productive area found in the two major landowners. The next largest class is poor (19%), followed by good (11%) and high (<1%). Figures 5a to 5d present the site class information in graphic form to show the levels of site class in each district.



Figure 5. Site Class Breakdown for Planning Zone 3

Figure 5a Site Class breakdown for all ownerships in FMD 4



Figure 5b Site Class breakdown for all ownerships in FMD 5



Figure 5c Site Class breakdown for all ownerships in FMD 6



Figure 5d Site Class breakdown for all ownerships in FMD 8

1.5.4 Species & Working Group

Working group describes the dominant tree species present in a forest stand. This species may occupy 100 percent of crown closure of a stand or may be present in association with other species. The working group designation describes the stand in general terms based on the prevalent species whereby species composition describes specifically, the relative proportion of each individual tree species that make up a stand. There are twelve working groups within the four districts. In this zone, the softwood working groups dominate accounting for over 85 percent of the productive forest. The black spruce (bS) working group is by far the most prolific accounting for 60 percent of the working groups in Planning Zone 3 (table 2). Black spruce can occur as pure stands or in association with other species listed below. Balsam fir (bF) is the second most abundant accounting for 15 percent in the four districts. Balsam fir can occur in pure stands or in association with one or more of black spruce, white spruce, white birch, trembling aspen, or larch in varying species compositions. Softwood/Hardwood and Hardwood/Softwood working groups occupy 10 and 4 percent of the productive forest area in FMD's 4, 5, 6, & 8. These working groups occur as varying mixtures of fir, spruce, birch and aspen. The hardwood softwood (hS), and white birch (wB), trembling aspen (tA), white spruce (wS) and jack pine (jP) working groups occupy less than 10 percent of the productive forest in the four districts. Approximately 7 percent of the productive forest is classed as disturbed (NS). NS or not stocked include disturbances other than harvesting, which accounts for most of the total, insect damage, fire, wind throw, and flooding. The relative percentages hold true for all ownerships in all four districts.

The following provides a more detailed outline for some of the larger groups, with additional descriptions of the selected accompanying forest types, as described by Meades and Moores, 1994

A) Black Spruce - *Picea marina* (Mill.) B.S.P. Within this working group there are three main forest types that characteristically represent black spruce. These include: black spruce forest, black spruce fen, and *kalmia*-black spruce forest.

Black spruce forest includes a forest that has a thick humus layer with mainly black spruce as the dominant tree species. The sites within this forest type have a wide range of moisture from dry to wet and the fertility ranges from very poor to rich. Because there is such a wide range in both moisture and fertility, this forest type had to be broken down into six specific forest types. These include: *sphagnum*-black spruce, black sprucefeathermoss/ bedrock, black spruce-feathermoss/very dry, black spruce-feathermoss/dry, black spruce-feathermoss/bog, and black spruce-feathermoss/moist. This forest types produce merchantable timber. Most of these forest types are common throughout the four districts.

Black spruce-fen is characterized by an abundance of understory that is usually described as fertile but poorly drained. Due to this poor drainage the black spruce in this forest type are usually stunted. These forests are considered important wildlife and plant habitats because of the high fertility, and usually grow in open settings. As a result of the open grown, stunted trees, this forest type is not usually merchantable from a commercial harvesting perspective. This forest type is divided into two forest types: *carex*-black spruce and *osmunda* - black spruce, both of which are not common in the four districts.

Kalmia-black spruce represents a black spruce forest that is associated with bogs. The trees are open grown with black spruce as the dominant tree, which is usually stunted with abundant shrubs and mosses growing throughout its understory. These sites are normally infertile but range from dry to very moist. This forest type, because of small variations, can be broken down into four forest types: *nemopanthus-kalmia* black spruce, *sphagnum-kalmia*-black spruce, *kalmia*-black spruce, and *cladonia-kalmia*-black spruce. These forest types are usually considered unmerchantable and are common throughout the districts. All three of these forest types are the result of regeneration on areas burned a number of times over the years. The natural succession following fire in Newfoundlands Boreal Forest is towards black spruce with limited amounts of certain pioneer species such as white birch and trembling aspen. Sites occupied by black spruce are usually away from river valleys and any flood plains in these valleys. Most black spruce occupy

hillsides, ridges, and open barrens. Areas that are generally made up of rock outcrops contain black spruce as well.

B) Balsam Fir - *Abies balsamea (*L.) Mill. Another major forest type is the balsam fir forest. In some districts of the province this type is the dominant species, but in District 4, 5, 6 and 8 it is not. This species occupies sites that are usually fertile and moist but because these districts have a recurring history of fire, balsam fir cannot become established as they do not naturally occupy burned areas. Due to the complexities of the balsam fir forest type, it can be divided into several types. These are: *equisetum-rubus*-balsam fir, *rubus*-balsam fir, *clintonia*-balsam fir, *taxus*-balsam fir, *dryopterishylocomium*- balsam fir, *dryopteris*-balsam fir, *dryopteris-rhytidiadelphus*-balsam fir, *dryopterislycopodium*- balsam fir, *hylocomium*-balsam fir, *gaultheria*-balsam fir, *pleurozium*-balsam fir, *carex*-balsam fir, and *sphagnum*-balsam fir. They normally occupy river valleys and flood plains as pure stands or mixed with hardwoods, along with side slopes to these valleys. This working group is not as prevalent as spruce in the four districts with many of the thirteen forest types not present.

Some are found in limited locations throughout the four districts, which include: *rubus*balsam fir, *dryopteris-lycopodium*-balsam fir, *hylocomium*-balsam fir, *pleurozium* -balsam fir, *carex*-balsam fir, and *sphagnum*-balsam fir. All balsam fir forest types have balsam fir as the main tree species, with white birch usually abundant throughout. The *rubus*-balsam fir forest type is found in low to mid-sloped areas that are moist. This forest type has an abundant herb layer but is limited to certain types which differentiate it from the *equisetum-rubus*-balsam fir forest type, which has a more diverse herb layer. The *dryopterislycopodium*- balsam fir forest type has narrow moisture regime from moist to somewhat moist that is nutrient rich. This forest type has ground cover that is dominated by ferns and certain moss types and plants that are specific to this type. The *hylocomium*-balsam fir forest type is also moist to somewhat moist but is dominated by a layer of moss instead of the ferns. The *pleurozium*-balsam fir forest type has balsam fir and black spruce as the main tree species with few white birch. The moss layer is made up mainly of *pleurozium* schreberi and is found on dry to well drained areas such as dry ridges and

outwash deposits. The *carex*-balsam fir forest type has willow found in it. The *sphagnum*-balsam fir is dominated by *sphagnum* moss on the forest floor and is poorly drained.

C) White Birch - *Betula papyifera* Marsh. This working group represents the major hardwood component for the forests of the province, and FMD's 4, 5, 6 and 8. White birch is normally found on the fertile sites along streams and rivers, as well as flood plains. It can also be found on fire origin locations as it is a pioneer species that seeds into an area once the forest cover is removed by fire. Pure white birch stands are not that common in the province, especially in the four districts. Three noteworthy sites are the north shore of Home Pond and the ridge of Jonathon's Pond, both in FMD 5 and the west shore of Burnt Lake in FMD 8. There are a number of white birch forest types, all depending upon the understory growth and the associated soil type. This forest type doesn't make up a large portion of the four districts.

For FMD's 4, 5, 6 and 8, all known working groups and their codes are outlined below.

1. bS - black spruce is the major species in this working group making up 75 to 100% of the basal area. This means that the black spruce component has the largest merchantable volume inthe stand.

2. bF - the same description for bS applies, except the major species is balsam fir.

3. wB - as above, with white birch the major species.

4. tA - as above, with trembling aspen the major species.

5. SH - in this group, the major species is a combination of softwoods (usually balsam fir and black spruce) with the minor component consisting of hardwoods.

6. HS-the working group is essentially the same as the SH group, only reversed with hardwoods being the major component and softwoods the minor.

7. DI - this designation refers to areas that are classed as disturbed. The disturbance can be the result of wind damage, fire, insects, and so on. It is currently too early to tell if the site will regenerate for this planning period.

8. NS - this refers to areas that have been disturbed but are now insufficiently restocked with a preferred species. For example, a rich balsam fir site could have been harvested and then regenerated to an alder bed.

9. eS - as above, with Engelmann spruce (*Picea engelmannii* Parry) the major species.

10. jP - as above, with jack pine (*Pinus banksiana* Lamb.) the major species.

11. sS - as above, with sitka spruce (*Picea sitchensis* (Bong.) Carr.) the major species.

12. jL - as above, with Japanese Larch (*Laxix kaempferi*.) the major species.

Table 2, below illustrates the distribution of working groups by district. The main feature of the table is the dominance of bS which comprises over 56 % of the four districts, and the working group bF representing approximately 7 % of the four districts.

The majority of the working groups are found in all four of the districts, with the exception of the working group Other**. *This group is made up of tA, eS, jP, JL and sS. All five have limited distribution and are grouped together as a result. In fact, eS, jL, and sS are found in FMD 4, and jP is only found in FMD 6, with a total coverage of 0.01% (34 ha) combined. These are not native to the area and were introduced in plantation trials over the past 20-30 years*.

Table 1. Breakdown of districts in Planning Zone 3 by working group

Working Group	District	% of productive area
bS	4	11
	5	11
	6	19
	8	14
bF	4	1
	5	1
	6	3
	8	3
wB	4	0
	5	0
	6	1
	8	0
tA	4	0
	5	0
	6	0

	8	0
sH	4	0
	5	0
	6	1
	8	4
hS	4	0
	5	0
	6	1
	8	2
DI	4	1
	5	1
	6	2
	8	3
NS	4	1
	5	1
	6	1
	8	2
Other	4	3
	5	3
	6	4
	8	7

Section 2 Past Activities Planning Zone 3 - Crown

2.1 Overview

As stated in the introduction, Forest Management Districts 4, 5, 6 and 8 have been amalgamated to form Planning Zone 3. For consistency purposes, a description of the past five activities will cover the period from 2011 to 2015 inclusive. This section will include those forest activities within the zone that were on historically Crown Land, and as well, the land now considered as Crown Land resulting from the acquisitions in recent years from both AbitibiBowater and CBPPL limits.

2.2 Harvesting

For the most part, the harvest was distributed throughout FMD's 4, 5, 6 and 8 and occurred both commercially and domestically. In total, over the five-year period, there was approximately 209,407 m³ harvested on Crown land and on land transferred to the Crown from 2011 to 2015. Table 2 summarizes the total commercial harvest administered by the Crown in the districts of this Planning Zone.

Table 2 Summary of Crown AAC Commercial Harvest in Planning Zone 3 for 2012-2016

District: 4		Core					Operational (Available)					Non-AAC Wood	
		AAC	Commercial	Deviation	Total		AAC	Commercial	Deviation	Total		Operational	Regulatory
Softwood	2012-2016	220,575	29,177				63,520	0				0	0
	Sub-total	***220,575***	***29,177***	***0***	***0***	***0***	***63,520***	***0***	***0***	***0***	***0***	***0***	***0***
		Core					**Operational (Available)**					**Non-AAC Wood**	
		AAC	Commercial	Deviation	Total		AAC	Commercial	Deviation	Total		Operational	Regulatory
Hardwood	2012-2016	4,460	75				270	0				0	0
	Sub-total	***4,460***	***29,252***	***0***	***0***	***0***	***63,790***	***0***	***0***	***0***	***0***	***0***	***0***
	District Total	**225,035**	**58,429**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**127,310**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**

District: 5		Core					Operational (Available)					Non-AAC Wood	
		AAC	Commercial	Deviation	Total		AAC	Commercial	Deviation	Total		Operational	Regulatory
Softwood	2012-2016	220,780	49,398				173,980	2,497				0	0
	Sub-total	***220,780***	***49,398***	***0***	***0***	***0***	***173,980***	***2,497***	***0***	***0***	***0***	***0***	***0***
		Core					**Operational (Available)**					**Non-AAC Wood**	
		AAC	Commercial	Deviation	Total		AAC	Commercial	Deviation	Total		Operational	Regulatory
Hardwood	2012-2016	13,865	233				9,280	6				0	0
	Sub-total	***13,865***	***233***	***0***	***0***	***0***	***9,280***	***6***	***0***	***0***	***0***	***0***	***0***
	District Total	**234,645**	**49,631**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**183,260**	**2,503**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**

District: 6		Core					Operational (Available)					Non-AAC Wood	
		AAC	Commercial	Deviation	Total		AAC	Commercial	Deviation	Total		Operational	Regulatory
Softwood	2012-2016	280,855	77,753				20,540	0				0	0
	Sub-total	***280,855***	***77,753***	***0***	***0***	***0***	***20,540***	***0***	***0***	***0***	***0***	***0***	***0***
		Core					**Operational (Available)**					**Non-AAC Wood**	
		AAC	Commercial	Deviation	Total		AAC	Commercial	Deviation	Total		Operational	Regulatory
Hardwood	2012-2016	7,830	122				740	0				0	0
	Sub-total	***7,830***	***122***	***0***	***0***	***0***	***740***	***0***	***0***	***0***	***0***	***0***	***0***
	District Total	**288,685**	**77,875**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**21,280**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**

District: 6		Core				Operational (Available)				Non-AAC Wood	
Year		AAC	Commercial	Deviation	Total	AAC	Commercial	Deviation	Total	Operational	Regulatory
Softwood	2012	56,100	5,528			4,100					
	2013	56,100	2,848			4,100					
	2014	56,100	4,954			4,100					
	2015	56,100	929			4,100					
	*2016	56,100	5,500			4,100					
	Sub-total		***19,759***								
		Core				**Operational (Available)**				**Non-AAC Wood**	
		AAC	Commercial	Deviation	Total	AAC	Commercial	Deviation	Total	Operational	Regulatory
Hardwood	2012	1,500	1,316								
	2013	1,500	258								
	2014	1,500	724								
	2015	1,500	215								
	*2016	1,500	1,200								
	Sub-total		***3,713***								
District Total			**23,472**								

District: 8		Core				Operational (Available)				Non-AAC Wood	
Year		AAC	Commercial	Deviation	Total	AAC	Commercial	Deviation	Total	Operational	Regulatory
Softwood	2012	76,300	44,305			21,900					
	2013	76,300	26,700			21,900					
	2014	76,300	23,473			21,900					
	2015	76,300	21,077			21,900					
	*2016	76,300	25,000			21,900					
	Sub-total		***140,555***								
		Core				**Operational (Available)**				**Non-AAC Wood**	
		AAC	Commercial	Deviation	Total	AAC	Commercial	Deviation	Total	Operational	Regulatory
Hardwood	2012	**3280				1,600					
	2013	3,280	12,937			1,600					
	2014	3,280	7,073			1,600				2,358	
	2015	3,280	6,449			1,600				2,150	
	*2016	3,280	10,000			1,600				3,500	
	Sub-total		***36,459***							***8,008***	
District Total			**177,014**							**8008**	

* 2016 figures are estimates.
** a 10,612m3/yr salvage harvest is associated with the FMD 8 core hardwood aac.

Table 3 Summary of Crown AAC Domestic Harvest in Planning Zone 3 for 2012-2016

District 4					Estimated Volume	
Number	Name	Tenure	Total Area (ha)	Number of Permits	Softwood	Hardwood
CC05536	Mile Ten	Crown	2407	17	235	30
		Sub-total				
		Grand-total	**2407**	**17**	**235**	**30**

District 5					Estimated Volume	
Number	Name	Tenure	Total Area (ha)	Number of Permits	Softwood	Hardwood
CC05501	Fogo West	Crown	12969	148	2042	0
CC05502	Fogo Central	Crown	6765	50	690	0
CC05503	Fogo East	Crown	6826	10	138	0
CC05504	Frederickton	Crown	9218	193	2663	100
CC05505	Gander Bay	Crown	6108	84	1159	100
CC05506	Carmanville	Crown	7373	120	1656	100
CC05507	Trinity	Crown	7616	46	635	100
CC05508	Aspen Cove	Crown	2945	30	414	50
CC05509	Musgrave Harbour	Crown	8017	80	1104	50
CC05510	Shalloway Brook	Crown	5744	45	621	50
CC05511	Deadman's Bay	Crown	6087	95	1311	50
CC05512	Cape Freels	Crown	5874	15	207	20
CC05513	Pound Cove	Crown	2755	18	248	20
CC05514	Greenspond	Crown	8998	96	1325	100
CC05515 Hw only	Ten Mile	Crown	45654	95	0	700
CC05516	Indian Bay	Crown	13342	63	869	100
CC05517	Lockers Bay	Crown	9912	102	1408	100
CC05518	Hare Bay	Crown	7103	97	1339	100
CC05519	Gambo	Crown	4106	52	718	100
CC05520	Square Pond	Crown	1332	72	994	100
CC05521	Drover's Ridge	Crown	5430	68	938	100
CC05522	Glovertown	Crown	5474	103	1421	100
CC05523	Gull Pond	Crown	1776	12	166	10
CC05524	Terra Nova River	Crown	4969	50	690	50
CC05525	Traytown	Crown	2377	41	566	50
CC05526	NW Arm	Crown	597	8	110	20
CC05527	St.Chad's	Crown	5325	114	1573	50
CC05528	Eastport	Crown	7377	26	359	20
CC05529	St. Brendan's	Crown	9129	16	221	20
CC05531	Benton	Crown	1300	41	566	50
CC05532	Gander	Crown	296	11	152	20
CC05533	Weir's Pond	Crown	6449	6	83	50
CC05533a	Weir's Pond a	Crown	2142	105	1449	10
CC05534	Crown Ridge	Crown	387	6	83	0
CC05535	Cat Bay	Crown	2041	8	110	20
CC05537	Terra Nova	Crown	6227	25	345	10
CC05539	Boot Pond	Crown	33100	195	2691	10
CC05540	Indian Bay	Crown	7493	67	925	100
		Sub-total				
		Grand-total	**280633**	**2413**	**31988**	**2630**

District 6					Estimated Volume	
Number	Name	Tenure	Total Area (ha)	Number of Permits	Softwood	Hardwood
CC05541 Hw only	Crown Ridge	Crown	8120	9	0	124
CC05542 Hw only	Hunts Pond	Crown	20915	1	0	14
CC05543 Hw only	Caribou Lake	Crown	58832	5	0	69
		Sub-total				
		Grand-total	**87867**	**15**	**0**	**207.2**

District: 6		Core				Operational (Available)				Non-AAC Wood	
Year		AAC	Domestic	Deviation	Total	AAC	Domestic	Deviation	Total	Operational	Regulatory
Softwood	2012										
	2013										
	2014		355				103			114	
	2015		329				76			101	
	*2016		350				85			105	
	Sub-total		***1,034***				***264***			***320***	
		Core				**Operational (Available)**				**Non-AAC Wood**	
		AAC	Domestic	Deviation	Total	AAC	Domestic	Deviation	Total	Operational	Regulatory
Hardwood	2012										
	2013										
	2014		118				35			38	
	2015		109				25			35	
	*2016		110				30			35	
	Sub-total		***337***				***90***			***108***	
District Total			**1,371**				**354**			**428**	

District: 8		Core				Operational (Available)				Non-AAC Wood	
Year		AAC	Domestic	Deviation	Total	AAC	Domestic	Deviation	Total	Operational	Regulatory
Softwood	2012										
	2013		17,260				5,000			5,565	
	2014		19,080				5,539			6,155	
	2015		20,739				4,786			6,382	
	*2016		20,000				4,600			6,100	
	Sub-total		***77,079***				***19,925***			***24,202***	
		Core				**Operational (Available)**				**Non-AAC Wood**	
		AAC	Domestic	Deviation	Total	AAC	Domestic	Deviation	Total	Operational	Regulatory
Hardwood	2012										
	2013		5,570				1,699			1,856	
	2014		6,360				1,846			2,052	
	2015		6,913				1,595			2,127	
	*2016		6,500				1,600			2,200	
	Sub-total		***25,343***				***6,740***			***8,235***	
District Total			**102,422**				**26,665**			**32,437**	

2.3 Silviculture

The tables below summarize Silviculture treatments completed for the past five years. There were a total of 6,572 ha of silviculture treatments completed by the Crown within Planning Zone 3 from 2011 to 2015. By treatment, 3,041 ha of site preparation, and 3,132 ha of planting was completed and 99 ha's were treated by herbicide application. The proposed pre-commercial thinning program in FMD's 4 and 5 was abandoned in favor of a more aggressive planting program, as the sites proposed for balsam fir thinning were rejected for treatment due to aphid infestation, and technical certification of projects to thin black spruce sites on the 1961 burn was not forthcoming.

Table 4. Summary of Crown Silviculture treatments in Planning Zone 3 from 2012 to 2016

FMD 04

Treatment Type	Area (ha)	
	Proposed	Treated
Pre Commercial Thinning	0	0
Planting	555	570
Diameter Limit Thinning	0	0
Commercial Thinning	0	0
Scarification	589	412

FMD 05

Treatment Type	Area (ha)	
	Proposed	Treated
Pre Commercial Thinning	0	0
Planting	1140	878
Diameter Limit Thinning	0	0
Commercial Thinning	0	0
Scarification	752	965
Herbicide	100	99

FMD 06 - Gambo

Treatment Type	Area (ha)	
	Proposed	Treated
Pre Commercial Thinning	0	0
Planting	56	21
Diameter Limit Thinning	0	0
Commercial Thinning	0	0
Scarification	132	132

FMD 06 - Lewisporte

Treatment Type	Area (ha)	
	Proposed	Treated
Pre Commercial Thinning		
Planting	669	697
Diameter Limit Thinning		
Commercial Thinning		
Scarification	537	540

FMD 08

Treatment Type	Area (ha)	
	Proposed	Treated
Pre Commercial Thinning		
Planting	955	966
Diameter Limit Thinning		
Commercial Thinning		
Scarification	985	992

2.4 Road Construction

There were 127.78 km's of new access roads constructed in Planning Zone 3 by the Crown under Tender and by Crown forest operators under contract. Tables below summarize the roads constructed in each district. All roads built during the period were required to access commercial timber.

Table 5 Summary of Crown access roads built (primary and secondary) in Planning Zone 3 from 2012 to 2016

FMD 04

Roads	
Proposed	Constructed (km)
11.05	9.45

FMD 05

Roads	
Proposed	Constructed (km)
20.83	12.58

FMD 06 - Gambo

Roads	
Proposed	Constructed (km)
60.3	47.75

FMD 06 - Lewisporte

Roads	
Proposed	Constructed (km)
10.23 km	7.02

FMD 08

Roads	
Proposed	Constructed (km)
76.72 km	50.98

2.5 Natural Disturbance

2.5.1 Fire

Planning Zone 3 typically has a cyclic fire history of approximately 10 years, in which large fire(s) outbreak. However, during the period of 2011 to 2015, there were numerous, small fires recorded that did not burn significant area of forested land. In total, for this period, there were 48 fires reported that burned a total area of 127.1 ha burnt. This indicates a very aggressive and effective fire protection effort supplemented with a measure of good luck from nature. During the period 2011-2015, on a district basis, 0 ha, 123 ha, 0 ha and 4.1 ha were burned in FMD's 4, 5, 6 and 8 respectively.

2.5.2 Insect

There has been little insect activity in the Zone over the period 2011 to 2015. With the exception of the balsam wooly adelgid (aka aphid), no other insect infestations have been documented by the Forest Insect and Disease Branch of the Department of Fisheries, Forestry and Agrifoods in Planning Zone 3. The majority of the remaining balsam fir stands in the zone are now infected with aphid. Wide scale treatment for eradication of this insect is yet to be developed. According, the only work carried out in the zone to deal with aphid has been the removal of balsam fir ingrowth through cutting in some plantations where the fir is competing with planted crop trees. It is hoped that this treatment will help reduce the spread of aphid.

Section 3 Timber Supply Analysis

3.1 Introduction

The Province reviews its timber supply every five years in order to account for any changes in forest land base, growth rates, and management strategies. This schedule is consistent with the Forestry Act, 1990, which established management by Forest Management District and mandates that a wood supply analysis be completed every five years. The result of this analysis is a new set of Annual Allowable Cuts (AAC's) for each Forest Management District. These AAC's are defined as the maximum annual rate at which timber can be harvested at a sustainable level indefinitely into the future (in reality, the AAC figures are applicable for a period of 160 years into the future and not infinity). Annual allowable cuts must be calculated on a District basis, however when added together, provide the annual allowable harvest level for the island.

3.2 Guiding Principles and Policy Direction

The key underlying principles that guide this analysis are:

(i) the AAC must be sustainable;

(ii) the level of uncertainty (risk) associated with the AAC must be minimized by using empirical information wherever possible;

(iii) there must be conformity between information and assumptions used in the analysis and actions and decisions taken on the ground;

(iv) the analysis must be consistent with other forest values and objectives; and

(v) the timber supply calculation must consider economic factors, not solely the physical supply of timber.

In concert with the policy of establishing sustainable timber harvest levels, Government policy requires that harvesting not exceed the established AAC's. Likewise, Governments policy is to optimize forest industry opportunities from the sustainable fiber supply. Government also requires consultation be conducted during the timber analysis. The forest industry was consulted directly throughout the process.

3.3 Factors Affecting Timber Supply

The forests of insular Newfoundland are very variable in terms of age distribution. Typically, there are significant amounts of mature/over-mature forest and regenerating forest, but limited intermediate age forests. This imbalance is not unusual in a boreal forest where cyclic catastrophic disturbances are common. Figure 4 illustrates this age class imbalance. The insufficient amount of intermediate age forest on the island is one of the most important factors influencing AAC's, therefore it is the basis for many of our forest management strategies. Essentially; we are employing a matrix of measures designed to fill the gap in our age structure, which include: an aggressive forest protection program, harvesting programs that attempt to exclusively target the oldest stands first, and thinning the regenerating forest so that it becomes operable at an earlier age.

Another important aspect of the Province's forest posing a challenge to forest managers is the natural fragmentation of the resource. The Province's landscape is characterized by

many ponds, bogs, rivers, streams, and rock outcrops resulting in relatively small pockets of timber. This makes the determination of an economic timber supply very challenging given that each stand has unique economic characteristics. Arguable the most important factor affecting present and future AAC's is the available productive landbase. However, this productive landbase available for forest activity is constantly being evaluated by the demands/requirements of other stakeholder values. Therefore, it is important that we manage relationships with other users to minimize loss to the forest landbase, while taking into account these other values. As well, to mitigate losses to the productive landbase, we must continue to explore ways for growing more volume on the existing landbase.

3.4 Timber Supply Analysis

In 2015, the Forest Service began another review of the provincial timber supply. Consistent with Department's vision, the analysis was structured to determine sustainable timber supplies while respecting a multitude of social, economic and environmental objectives. Timber supply, in this context, refers to the rate at which timber is made available for harvesting on a sustainable basis.

The determination of supply (represented as AAC's) involved the use of computer models that forecast the sustainability of possible AAC levels. These models require three basic inputs. First, a description of the current state of the forest (forest characterization and availability), second, the growth rates associated with the current forest, and third, the management strategies applied to the forest. To arrive at these basic inputs require careful and detailed consideration of a broad range of both timber and non-timber values. More specifically, the following was considered in determining the sustainable timber supply.

3.4.1 Land Characterization

To get a current description of the forest resource (or stock), the Province has invested significant resources into creating and maintaining a Provincial Forest Inventory. Although the latest inventories used in the 2016 Wood Supply Analysis for this zone, the estimate of forest stock is kept current through an annual update program. This program accounts for all natural and man-made disturbances such as: fire, insects, harvesting, and any enhancement programs, including tree planting and pre-commercial thinning. Also, each stand in the forest inventory is updated to reflect any yield changes that may have occurred since the previous inventory update

3.4.2 Land Availability

The updated Forest Inventory was reviewed and classified at the stand level on the basis of the availability of each stand for harvest. The classification system consists of two broad classes;

Class 1 - available for harvest under normal conditions, and

Class 3 - has restrictions for harvesting due to economic constraints.

The Class 3 has been further subdivided into:

a) area can be harvested with reasonable economic restrictions (expensive wood) and

b) area is highly unlikely to be harvested under current economic conditions.

Only the first portion of Class 3 is used to calculate an AAC for that category. The categories associated with the portion of Class 3 land, which are deemed unavailable for harvest, incorporates a broad range of timber and non-timber values. These values include:

3.4.2.1 Non-Timber Related

Consideration of non-timber values has a direct impact on Provincial AAC's. It is obvious that as the amount of productive forest land available for timber management drops, so too will the AAC. With the current restrictions, the AAC landbase (area where harvesting operations can occur) is only 18 % of the total productive forest land base. On average, in any one year, less than 1% of the productive forest land base is influenced by harvesting operations.

3.4.2.1.1 No-Cut Buffer Zones

The Province has guidelines that require all water bodies (visible on a 1:50,000 map sheet) be given a minimum 20 meter uncut buffer (from waters edge). In addition to these legislated water buffers, District Ecosystem Managers, in consultation with various stakeholders, have increased buffer zone widths beyond the 20 meter minimum to protect special values such as: salmon spawning areas, cottage development areas, aesthetic areas, wildlife habitat, outfitting camps, etc.

3.4.2.1.2 Pine Marten and Caribou Habitat

Habitat specialists are working in consultation with industry to study both species and ensure adequate habitat will be available for pine marten and caribou into the future. This work is examining the quantity and quality of habitat, as well as, the connectivity of habitat. With respect to Caribou, both the Forest Services Branch and the Wildlife Division are working together to develop an adaptive management strategy. This initiative started during the development of Zone 5 planning process in 2011 and will be further explained in Section 4.2.1.1.2

3.4.2.1.3 Wildlife Corridors

As part of the evaluation process for harvesting plans, wildlife specialists sometimes recommend managed corridors to ensure various species of wildlife have sufficient cover to move around the landscape. These corridors are temporal in nature and generally have little impact on timber supply.

3.4.2.1.4 Protected Areas

All established and proposed protected areas are removed from the AAC calculations.

3.4.2.1.5 Watersheds

For each of the forest management districts in Planning Zone 3, all of the public protected water supply areas and some of the larger watersheds (eg Gander River and Terra Nova River) were digitized and captured within the forest inventory. These watersheds were added to the database in order to address any concerns about forest management within these watersheds and to permit the Forest Service to report on proposed activities within these watersheds over time.

3.4.2.2 Timber Related

The Agency also reduces the gross AAC's by taking into account other potential losses of timber, which include:

3.4.2.2.1 Insect/Fire/Disease Losses

The Department reduces AAC's to account for anticipated future losses resulting from insects, disease and fire using historical information.

3.4.2.2.2 Logging Losses

Surveys of recent harvested areas are conducted each summer throughout the Province to determine the quantity and quality of fiber remaining. The estimates from these surveys are used to reduce the available AAC. As well, information is gathered throughout the AAC period to determine projected volume against the actual harvested volumes within a given area. The difference is evaluated and applied to net down the gross AAC numbers.

3.4.2.2.3 Operational Constraints

Areas that are inaccessible (surrounded by bogs or hills), timber on steep slopes, and low volume stands are removed from the AAC calculation up front. Also, significant adjustments are applied to the Provincial Forest Inventory for stands deemed operable in the timber analysis but left unharvested within operating areas. The reasons for this are linked to the character of Newfoundland's forests; low volume, steep slopes, rough terrain, and excessively wet ground conditions etc.

Again, all these timber and non-timber related issues are applied directly in the AAC calculation to ensure harvest levels do not exceed the sustainable level. With the introduction of new values and the broader application of current values, the pressure on future AAC's will continue to increase. These factors and their impacts on timber supply will be further discussed in section 3.5.

3.4.3 Growth Forecasting

A key requirement for forecasting future wood supply is an understanding of how forest stands grow and develop through time. That is, as a forest stand develops, how much merchantable (i.e. harvestable) volume does it carry at any given point? These yield

forecasts (referred to as yield curves) are required for each type of forest stand (called a stratum) comprising the forest under consideration. In Newfoundland, there are dozens of distinct forest strata for which separate yield curves are required. These are defined by the tree species in question (e.g., balsam fir, black spruce), the site quality (e.g., good, medium, poor), the geographic region (e.g., Central Newfoundland) and other factors likely to affect yield.

Yield curves are a key element in a wood supply analysis. In fact, the validity, or "usefulness" of the wood supply analysis is determined by the truth or "correctness" of the yield forecasts. While there is no way of predicting with certainty how stands will actually grow in the future, care must be taken to ensure that the yield projections used are realistic and reasonable. Respecting the sensitivity and importance of these forecasts, the Forest Services Branch has directed a large portion of its resources and time into developing realistic yield curves. Two growth models were used, one for projecting stand development under natural conditions and the other for projecting growth under managed (i.e., silviculturally enhanced) conditions. Tree and stand development data generated from the Forest Service's Forest Inventory Program were used to make stand growth predictions. These projections were then checked against empirical data from thousands of temporary plots established throughout the Island. If the projections varied from the real life evidence, the curves were adjusted to make them more accurate. In this analysis, yield curves were developed on an ecoregion basis to more accurately portray the varied stand growth within and among the districts.

3.4.4 Management Strategies

With the current state of the forest described and the yield forecasts developed, the next step was to design a management strategy for each sector of the forest. The key objective was to maximize long term AAC while at the same time taking into account other forest values. This involved developing strategies that minimized fiber losses and enhance forest sustainability.

3.4.4.1 Harvest Flow Constraints

An even-flow harvest constraint was used in the analysis to maximize the sustainable harvest level. This strategy produced the maximum even flow harvest but resulted in less than optimum economic use of the forest resource. If no even flow constraint is used and harvest levels are permitted to fluctuate in response to market value, the overall economic potential of the forest will increase. However, the lower economic potential is offset by stability in manufacturing plants and employment.

3.4.4.2 Spatial Analysis

A major improvement that occurred in both the previous and the 2010 wood supply analysis is manual harvest scheduling. In 2001, the harvest scheduling was an automated process where the software picked the stands to be harvested over the 25 years based on user supplied criteria. The 2001 approach was an improvement over previously wood supply processes because there was no harvest scheduling completed. Basically, the software used cannot realistically know all the operational restrictions within a forest management district. By utilizing the spatial manual process, on the ground conditions that restrict harvesting are accounted for when a spatial harvest schedule is defined.

The proposed harvest schedule is then played back through the modeling software to evaluate its sustainability and determine if non-timber objectives are achieved. In most cases, the harvest scheduling exercise has to go through several iterations before an acceptable harvest schedule could be realized. The spatial arrangement of areas for timber harvesting is especially challenging in this province because of the natural fragmentation of our forests. This model provided forest planners with the ability to mimic realistic timber harvest schedules based on current practices and identify forest stands that are considered not as accessible for harvesting.

Manual harvest scheduling has several benefits. First, it fosters the long term sustainability of our AAC’s by mimicking current harvest practices and accounting for actual on the ground conditions which delay or restrict harvesting of stands. Secondly, the mapped 25 year harvest schedules build credibility into the forest management

process. Every stand that will be harvested over the next 25 years must already be in the second (20-40 years old) or third (41-60) age class, can be easily identified and highlighted on the harvest schedule maps. Being able to see the wood that will be harvested in the future will help reassure people the resource is being used in a responsible manner. Next, harvest scheduling will help integrate the management of other forest resource values into timber management planning. All forest values can be typed directly to discreet forest areas, providing the link allowing the many different forest values to be managed simultaneously. The forested areas needed for each resource can be mapped and potential conflicts can be addressed.

Finally, the harvest schedule maps developed for the wood supply analysis can be a starting point for the 5 year management planning process, especially the first two periods. The harvest schedule maps, if done correctly, can help reduce the work of the 5 year planning process. One point to note is that harvest scheduling is completed only for the Class 1 landbase. The Class 3 AAC, for the most part, is opportunistic at best and is harvested only if extra effort is applied. It is not scheduled because of the uncertainty of obtaining extra funding for access and harvesting.

3.4.4.3 Planning Horizons

Given the Province's commitment to long term sustainability of our forest resource, timber supplies were projected 160 years (equivalent to two forest rotations) into the future to ensure actions and strategies applied today will result in a sustainable forest in the future. Long term planning is fundamental in timber supply forecasting and ecosystem management as well.

3.4.4.4 Operable Growing Stock Buffer

The Province imposed an operable growing stock constraint in the analysis to ensure the sustainability of calculated timber supplies. The constraint imposes a condition that in any period there must be a minimum operable growing stock of two times the harvest level on the landscape. In other words, for every hectare that is harvested another harvestable hectare must exist on the landscape. The requirement for a growing stock

buffer is based on a number of factors. First, several of our non-timber objectives are not explicitly accounted for in our planning process and therefore will require a growing stock buffer to achieve them. Second, we are unable to follow optimum harvest schedules explicitly due to operational restrictions on harvesting. Third, the Province is not willing to assume high risk with the sustainability of the timber supply. For these reasons a growing stock constraint of two times was used. This constraint was used in concert with harvest scheduling to help map out a reasonable harvest for the next 25 years.

3.4.4.5 Old Forest Targets

Consistent with our ecosystem policy, the province introduced into the analysis an old forest target that at least 15 percent of forests be older than 80 years. While this is a minimum target, actual results are usually higher. In fact, over the next 25 years there is an average of 24, 18, 39, and 36 percent of 81+ timber left on the landscape for Districts 10, 11, 12, and 13 respectively. There is approximately one percent of the productive landbase disturbed by harvesting each year. This initiative was designed to provide a coarse filter approach to maintaining representative forest structure. It ensures the presence of certain amounts of old forest across the landscape into the future. With advances in modeling, this target can now be tracked across a district rather than a single ownership. This has resulted in this strategy being less restrictive than the last analysis. As well, the site class distribution of the older forest reserve is being examined in an attempt to make it representative of each ecoregion and subregion.

3.4.4.6 Operability Limits

Operability limits are the time windows in which forest management actions such as harvesting can be undertaken within forest stands. Stand growth development as measured in stand merchantable timber volume and individual piece size of trees determine a stands readiness for harvest. In some young stands, one can have acceptable harvest volumes, but still have trees that are too small to harvest. In the 2011 wood supply analysis both stand volume and tree size were used to determine the earliest age when a stand could be initially harvested. In addition to determining the absolute earliest

age a stand can be harvested, it was recognized that not all stands on the same site develop exactly the at the same rate. A small portion of a stand will develop faster; a small portion will lag behind; with the bulk of the stand type representing the average condition. Therefore, the first operability limit was staggered by 5 year intervals with the 10 percent, 30 percent, and 60 percent assigned to each availability class listed above respectively. The ending operability limits or the last age in which a stand can be harvested before it becomes too old to harvest is solely determined on a minimum stand volume of between 60 to 80 m3/ha, after which that stand does not have enough volume to make it economical to harvest. It should be noted that while the operability limits define the extreme end points of when stands can be harvested, very few stands are ever harvested at these extreme points. In order to meet other non-timber objectives and in order to maximize the total volume of wood harvested the model schedules stands to harvest somewhere inside the operability limit window.

3.4.4.7 Silviculture

Silviculture is one of the main forest management tools available to forest managers when they are analyzing the many different future forests that are generated using the wood supply modelling software. The silvicultural actions use in the 2006 analysis include; 1) precommercial thinning of balsam fir, black spruce, and softwood hardwood stands, 2) full plant of any areas that do not regenerate naturally with either white spruce, black spruce, or Norway spruce, and 3) gap planting of either black spruce or balsam fir stands with either white spruce or black spruce. Gap plant is the filling of “holes” within stands that have inadequate natural regeneration of either balsam fir or black spruce.

The planting levels (ha) for districts 04, 05, 06, and 08 used in the analysis were 300, 300, 400 and 400 ha respectively.

3.5 Inventory Adjustments

One of the limitations of the current wood supply model is the inability to account for volume depletions outside of what is reported for harvesting operations. The model produces a gross merchantable volume (GMV) figure which requires adjustment to account for volume losses as a result of: fire, insects, disease, timber utilization practices and the presence of stand remnants.

3.5.1 Fire

An estimate of productive area loss as a result of fire was based on an analysis of the historical fire statistics maintained by Forestry Services Branch.

3.5.2 Insects

No forest mortality was documented by Forest Insect and Disease Surveys by the Forestry Services Branch in FMD's 4, 5, 6 and 8 during the last five year period. Long term averages of area of timber mortality from insect defoliation were used as the deductions in Planning Zone 3.

3.5.3 Timber Utilization

Information for this adjustment was derived from a series of intensive on-the-ground surveys, which measured the amount of wood remaining on cutovers following harvesting. This wood was comprised of solid merchantable wood (logging losses) and wood with inherent cull (butt/heart rot). Information was analyzed by harvesting system and season.

3.5.4 Stand Remnants

Following harvesting operations, small fragments of stands often are left for a variety of reasons (operational constraints, low volume stands, terrain conditions). These often result in the inability of the operator to achieve volumes predicted by the computer models. A series of surveys were conducted across the province and the results analyzed to determine the amount of productive area attributed to remnants.

The total inventory adjustment for the Crown is 21 %. The Class III inventory adjustment figures are the same for all districts/tenures. Hardwood inventory adjustment figures for all tenures/districts are the same as the Class 1 softwood figures noted above. Hardwood

stands are resistant to fire and it is anticipated that there will be little utilization loss due to the high value for fuelwood.

3.6 Timber Supply

The previous discussion in this chapter on woodsupply forms the basis of the 2016 analysis.

Table 6 Annual Allowable Cut results for districts in Planning Zone 3 for 2016-2020

District	Ownership	Softwood		Hardwood	
		Core	Operational	Core	Operational
4	Crown	41, 140	13, 345	935	340
5	Crown	35, 155	21, 251	2,173	909
6	Crown	61, 699	4, 740	1, 161	593
8	Crown	75, 840	22, 640	2,640	674

Section 4 Values

4.1 Guiding Principles of Sustainability

There are five guiding principles of overall sustainability, which include; environmental, economic, political, social, and cultural sustainability. Environmental sustainability looks directly at ecosystem health, both now and in the future. Ecosystem health is determined by such factors as ecosystem integrity, biodiversity, productive capacity, and resiliency. The five year operating plan must ensure these factors are intact.

Economic sustainability demands that forest resources be managed and distributed efficiently and equitably among the stakeholders, within the capacity and limits of the forest ecosystem. Economic development has been given top priority by many of Newfoundland's people and their representative, the government. However, economic development should not proceed without the incorporation of the other factors into the decision making process.

Political sustainability refers to goals and management objectives being applicable, administrable, and practical. These goals and objectives must maintain these qualities well into the future with the aid of public input and support. Social sustainability means fairness and equity to all stakeholders. Cultural sustainability is attained by applying Newfoundland's culture to the planning process. A forest management strategy cannot be successful without allowances within the strategy for traditional access and use of the land. For generations, many of Newfoundland's public had free range in our pristine wilderness, a fact that can not be ignored when planning for the zone. All are key interlocking components and each must be maintained if sustainable development is to be achieved.

4.2 Value Description

The forest ecosystems of the zone provide a wide range of values to different individuals and groups. These include consumptive values such as timber products, hunting, trapping, sport fishing, and berry picking, and non-consumptive values like skiing, snowmobiling, hiking, and bird watching. Also, there are intrinsic and intangible values such as a feeling of wilderness and peace which some people describe as spiritual.

Although difficult to spatially describe or quantitatively measure, these spiritual values are considered to be a product or an accumulation of all values.

Other values such as water quality, parks and protected areas etc. provide for the protection of the forest ecosystems which can enhance the other values listed above. Many of the values in the zone were identified by this or previous or planning teams. Presentations of pertinent information on each value by knowledgeable individuals or groups provided stakeholders with relevant information to make informed decisions. Other values, while not specifically outlined by the planning team, are also identified and discussed to provide a more complete description of the range of values found in the zone. The following represents a framework for characterizing values in a clear and consistent manner. This approach consists of three components:

Characterization
- Description: Why the value is important, types of activities, intensity, spatial extent, employment, etc.
- Data in support: Statistical references.

Critical Elements
- Forest Features: Elements at risk from harvesting or enhanced by harvesting (viewscapes, adjacency to water, mountains, habitat, wilderness ambiance, road access, etc.)

Guiding Principles
A guiding principle is defined as "a fixed or predetermined policy or mode of action". These ‘modes of action’ would be implemented in the five year plan in the form of:
1. policies that should be in place to protect or enhance the resource value;
2. methods for negotiation or inclusion of other stakeholders in resolving potential conflicts;
3. special management provisions/strategies - such as buffer zone consideration, temporal operating periods, modified harvesting, or a best management policy; and/or
4. models and/or forecasting strategies to determine economic contribution, biodiversity impact, or community sustainability

Individual values were discussed both at the strategic and operational level. Strategic level information (characterization, critical elements, and guiding principles) are the focus of discussion in this section. They provide a mechanism to resolve conflicts that might arise throughout or after the five year planning process. Where possible, the physical location of the value on the landscape (operational level) was also identified during the discussion of values (appendix 6). This helps facilitate the preparation of the five year operating plan by identifying potential areas of conflicting use early into the process. In many instances, the Environmental Protection Guidelines (EPG's, Appendix 2) form the guiding principles for a value. Quite often the spatial extent or location of all values is not known (eg., raptor nests). Specific guidelines are still listed in order to provide a direction or course of action when and if these values are encountered.

4.2.1 Biotic Values

4.2.1.1 Big Game

4.2.1.1.1 Moose

Characterization:

Moose are not native to the island. Today, moose are distributed throughout the Island and the population is estimated to be about 125 - 140,000. Currently, moose are managed on an area/quota system in the province. The Island is divided into 50 management areas and license quotas are set annually for each area. Quotas are set based upon the management objective for each area (i.e., whether it is desired that the population increase, decrease or stabilize). Generally, if an area has too high of a moose population, managers will increase quotas to bring down the population in order to prevent damage to the habitat. However, if the habitat is in good condition, and the area could support more animals, future quotas may be increased. All or portions of 13 moose management areas 15, 16, 17, 20, 21, 22, 22a, 23, 24, 25, 27, 28 and 42 are located within the zone.

Critical Elements:

Harvesting is not expected to have a negative impact on moose populations in the zone because moose prefer the early serial stages of a forest and generally do well in areas after harvesting

4.2.1.1.2 Caribou

Characterization:

Caribou is the only native ungulate species on the island. Biologists estimate that prior to the railway being built in 1898 the population on the Island was approximately 100,000

animals but by 1930 the population had declined to about 10,000 animals. Between 1980 and 2000 the number of caribou has increased considerably on the Island with a population estimated at 90-100,000 animals. In the past few years however populations have declined significantly, with Planning Zone 3 being no exception. All or portions of 5 caribou management areas 63, 64, 67, 68, 72, are located in the zone.

Critical Elements:
It is unclear how forestry activities in the immediate vicinity of calving areas during the calving period may have an impact on caribou populations. Recent studies and anecdotal information has indicated that harvesting restriction zone around caribou calving zones may be significantly larger than first thought. It has also been shown that as roads are constructed and access is improved into remote areas, there is generally an increase in the number of animals which are killed due to road-kill and poaching.

Within the Zone 5 (FMD's 10, 11, 12 & 13) five-year operating plan (2011-2015), the Department of Fisheries, Forestry and Agrifoods and the Wildlife Division of the Department of Environment and Conservation have committed to applying the principles of adaptive management where forest management and caribou values overlap. Both parties have tentatively agreed to assign some conflict areas for inclusion in an adaptive management study. The results of this adaptive management study will be used to inform the development of forest management-caribou guidelines that will be the basis for resolving value conflicts in future forest management planning processes. A complete description of this study is found in Section 8 of that plan.

4.2.1.1.3 Black Bear
Characterization:
The black bear is native to the Island and is found in forested areas. Currently, the number of black bears occurring on the Island is not known (due to difficulty in conducting a census) but is crudely estimated to about 6 - 10,000 animals. All or portions of black bear management areas 15, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 27, 28 and 42 are located within the zone.

Critical Elements:
- den sites for winter hibernation;
- forest cover

Guiding Principles:
Big Game Management Strategy (moose, caribou and black bear)
Management of big game species in the Province is accomplished by a planning process in which a Big Game Management Plan is prepared annually by the Wildlife Division of the Department of Tourism Culture and Recreation. This process takes into consideration information provided by the public and wildlife and forestry staff. Each year the Wildlife Division reviews all relevant data, such as recent census work, information provided on license returns, and jawbone or skull data and makes decisions on types and numbers of licenses of each species in each management area. Management of big game in the zone will continue to be addressed through this process.

Environmental Protection Guidelines
Moose
- where mature stands of timber are required for moose shelter and yards, they will be identified in consultation with the Wildlife Division.

Caribou
- to ensure the continued protection of these animals the following EPG's will be followed during forestry activities;
- in areas where caribou utilize lichens, a minimum amount of lichen forest must be maintained for caribou. (This amount is to be determined through consultation with Wildlife Division);
- harvesting and road construction will be minimized during the May 15 to July 30 calving period in operating areas adjacent to known calving areas;
- forest access roads, borrow pits and quarries shall avoid, where possible: known sensitive wildlife areas such as, calving grounds, post calving areas, caribou migration routes, caribou rutting areas and wintering areas.

As stated, both the Forest Services Branch and the Wildlife Division is in the process of identifying impacts of forest harvesting on critical caribou habitat areas through a

research study that is being conducted in zone 5. The results of this adaptive management strategy will be applied to the forest areas identified in this plan. However, until the results of that study are finalized, the Forest Services Branch will work closely with the Wildlife Division with respect to areas proposed within this planning document.

Bear

A 50-metre, no-cut, treed buffer must be maintained around known bear den sites (winter) or those encountered during harvesting. Den sites must be reported to the Wildlife Division.

4.2.1.2 Furbearers

Characterization:

Ten species of furbearers occur in the zone; lynx, red fox, beaver, otter, muskrat, short-tailed weasel, red squirrel, mink, coyote, and pine marten (will be discussed in more detail in next section). Of these, red squirrel, mink and coyote are not native.

Critical Elements:

- forest cover for protection;
- water quality maintenance;
- riparian buffer zones along aquatic areas;
- snags and coarse woody debris (denning, nesting sites, etc.)

Guiding Principles:

Fur Bearer Management Strategy:

Recommendations concerning the management of furbearer species are developed annually by the Wildlife Division, upon consultation with provincial trappers, Newfoundland and Labrador Trappers Association, general public, and departmental staff. Like the small game management plan, the fur management plan, reviews the status of each fur bearer species annually and addresses the season dates and lengths, and if necessary closure of areas (or no open season). Management of all fur bearing species in the zone will continue to be managed

through this process.

Environmental Protection Guidelines:
To protect beaver habitat, all hardwoods within 30 metres of a waterbody occupied by beaver will remain standing during harvesting operations.

4.2.1.3 Salmonids
Characterization:
The Atlantic salmon and the brook trout are native to the Island and are found in waterways surrounded by forested areas. There are 23 scheduled salmon rivers in Planning Zone 3 and population counts are conducted on four major rivers including the Exploits, Campbellton, Gander, and Terra Nova as well as on the Middle Brook system. Currently, there are two areas in Planning Zone 3 where estimates of brook trout populations are recorded. These include Indian Bay system and the Rodney Pond system.

Critical Elements:
- water quality maintenance;
- riparian buffer zones along water systems

Guiding Principles:
Salmonid Management (Atlantic salmon and brook trout)
Management of Atlantic salmon and brook trout in the Province is delivered by the Federal Department of Fisheries and Oceans (DFO). DFO annually sets bag limits, season dates and river closure dates based on extreme water temperature. In the past, The Gander River system had additional local management provided by the Gander River Management Association (GRMA). Additionally some special brook trout waters (eg Indian Bay and Rodney Pond systems) are jointly managed by DFO, the Wildlife Division of the provincial Department of Tourism Culture and Recreation with input from the Indian Bay and Freshwater Alexander Bays Ecosystem Corporations (IBEC and FABEC). This process takes into consideration additional information provided by FABEC.

Protection
- DFO recommends that a 100 metre no-cut buffer zone be left in designated sensitive spawning areas .

- under the Environmental Protection Guidelines designated protected public water supply areas (PPSWA's) also provide protection for these species through existing Environmental Protection Guidelines that apply to these areas (ie. increased buffers, usually 150 meters on intake ponds, 75 meters on main river stems, 50 meters on major

tributaries and minimum 30 meter buffer regulated in the rest of the district). The scheduled rivers where increased buffers are currently in place within PPWSA's include Northwest and Southwest Gander Rivers, Campbellton River, Dog Bay Rivers, Peter's River, Charles Brook, Anchor Brook, Deadmans Bay Brook and Indian Bay Brook Strict enforcement of these buffers will be continued during this planning period

- Minimum 30 meter no cut buffer on all water bodies in FMD 8
- Minimum 20 meter no cut buffer on all water bodies in FMD's 4, 5 and 6
- Minimum 30 meter no-grub zone on road approaches to brook and river crossings

Furthermore, protection for these species is strengthened locally through partnerships with community-based watershed management groups such as FABEC. A one kilometer wide management zone is currently regulated along the Gander River for protection of salmon habitat. During past plan development and transferring to this plan, negotiated increased buffers on waterways within the Indian Bay, Middle Brook, Terra Nova and Gander River Systems with organizations such as: IBEC, FABEC, and GRAMA are still considered applicable.

4.2.1.4 Song Birds

Characterization:

The distribution of songbird species in a forest ecosystem is widely considered to be a relative indicator of ecosystem health. Many songbird species are distinct to specific habitats (Whitaker et al., 1997) therefore; the presence, absence, or health of a specific songbird population can indicate the health of its corresponding habitat. Songbirds are also the natural predators of our native Lepidoptera pests (ie. looper and budworm) and help to control these populations. Consequently, their value cannot be underestimated.

Critical Elements:

- forest cover for protection;
- water quality maintenance;
- riparian buffer zones along aquatic areas;
- variety of forest seral stages and species (nesting sites, habitat, etc.)

Protection of songbird species will mainly involve protection of their habitat through the various methods discussed in earlier sections.

4.2.1.5 Other Avian Species

Characterization:

Other valued avian species include ptarmigan, grouse, migratory birds and raptors. The former includes important game species, while the latter (ie. raptors) occupy higher trophic levels in the food chain. Higher level trophic feeders are considered important indicators of ecosystem health as they are sensitive to environmental stress. Population trends for these species as defined by the Wildlife Division and Canadian Wildlife Service (CWS) are available on a regional basis.

Critical Elements:

- forest cover for protection;
- water quality maintenance;
- riparian buffer zones along aquatic areas;
- snags and coarse woody debris (prey habitat)
- buffer zones on nesting sites
- Under the Guidelines for Ecologically-based Forest Management, no forestry operations are to occur within 800 metres of a raptor nest during the nesting period and not within 200 metres in the off nesting season. These guidelines are attached as terms and conditions to all commercial operator permits.
- The locations of all known bald eagle and osprey nests will be identified on all cutting maps and harvesters will be informed of their locations by Forest Services Staff. Regular operator checks and routine patrols of domestic cutting areas by Forestry Staff will ensure compliance of these guidelines.
- On recommendation by the CWS, sensitive waterfowl habitat has been protected through increased buffers of 50 meters on certain ponds. As well, the establishment of municipal wetland conservation areas in the planning zone by Eastern Habitat Joint Venture through stewardship agreements with municipalities.

4.2.1.6 Rare and Endangered Species

4.2.1.6.1 Pine Marten

Characterization:

Before 1900, marten ranged over most of the forested areas on the island. Unfortunately, due to a variety of reasons, the population levels dropped where this species was listed to the Committee on the Status of Endangered Wildlife in Canada (COSEWIC) as

Endangered. Habitat loss, predation, disease and accidental trapping and snaring are thought to be primary reasons for marten population decline in Newfoundland. Marten still naturally occurs in three main areas on the island including: Main River watershed, Little Grand Lake and Red-Indian Lake areas. Additionally, marten also now exist at Terra Nova National Park (TNNP) and surrounding landscape. As well, in the Bay Du' Nord Wilderness Area around Lake St. John through a relocation effort by the Eastern Newfoundland Pine Marten Recovery Team. Representatives from TNNP, Forest Services Branch, Wildlife Division and CBPPL are represented as stakeholders of the recovery team. The purpose of this team is to set short-term and long-term population goals for the species in eastern Newfoundland and recommend ways which this may be accomplished. The Team has been established for some time now and has worked on the process of evaluating critical and recovery marten habitat and determining which forest activities can take place within these areas. Approximately, 16 marten have been relocated to these areas and the population estimate today is approximately 300. Once listed as Endangered, COSEWIC has now downgraded the marten listing to Threatened.

It is important marten habitat is protected in this area and some remnant stands of old growth (80+) forests remain throughout the zone. To accomplish this, a landscape approach to habitat management was initiated by the Forest Service in 1999. This involved working with stakeholders to identify critical or potential marten habitat, locating possible corridors, and identifying areas which would not be cut in the near future. This initiative has been ongoing since that time.

Critical Elements:

- sufficient habitat to support a viable population of marten;
- areas of known marten populations remain closed to snaring and trapping

Guiding Principles:

The basic unit for evaluation will be home range size for male ($30km^2$) and female ($15km^2$). All forest types can be considered marten habitat if they meet the following requirements:

- sufficient habitat to support a viable population of marten;
- 70% or greater of that unit must be suitable habitat;

- 40% or greater of the unit should have trees greater than or equal to 9.6m in height;
- The remaining portion of the 70% (30% or less) should have trees between 6.6 and 9.5m;
- 50% of the unit should be contiguous; stands will have to be within 50 m of an adjacent habitat to be considered contiguous.
- A qualifying stand will have to be within 150 m of another stand or habitat patch to be considered as habitat.
- minimum patch size equals 20 ha;
- basal area requirement equals 40 m^3/ha (~18 m_2);
- hardwood stands (insect kill, wind throw) will be considered where crown closure is greater than or equal to 30%;
- Softwood scrub that meets the minimum requirements (6.5 m) will be considered habitat.

Where height is not known, softwood scrub within 50 m and adjacent to a qualifying stand is considered as habitat. As stated, critical and recovery pine marten habitat is being or has been identified. The development and evolution of the marten habitat suitability model in recent years has been a useful tool in identifying potential marten habitat and evaluating impacts of harvesting on this habitat and resultant changes to population levels. Continued development and refinement of this model will provide more a reliable means of evaluating impacts of harvesting on marten habitat in the future. There is also ongoing research into a variety of aspects of marten dynamics through the Model Forest, Canadian Forest Service, and University of Maine. Recommendations resulting from any of these ongoing initiatives will be incorporated into harvesting prescriptions as required.

4.2.1.6.2 Banded Killifish

Characterization:

The Newfoundland population of Banded Killifish was first listed as special concern in 1989 due to the limited area of occupancy, limitation on potential for range expansion, and potential threats from logging and other activities that could lead to habitat degradation (Chippett, 2003). In 2003 the Committee on the Status of Endangered Wildlife in Canada (COSEWIC) recommended the status of special concern should be

maintained. Banded killifish populations in Newfoundland are distributed over a wide range, but local populations are restricted to very confined regions within their respective watersheds. Populations appear to be locally abundant in representative areas that were sampled (i.e. Indian Bay watershed, Loch Leven and Freshwater Pond). Although multi-year data is not available, population estimates from 1999 indicate that over 20,000 individuals exist in the Indian Bay watershed. Estimates are not available for other local populations (Chippett, 2003). Although no killifish have been officially reported in other areas of the planning zone, it is highly likely other areas may contain suitable habitat.

Critical Elements:
- water quality maintenance;
- riparian buffer zones

Guiding Principles:
- guidelines for the protection of freshwater fish habitat are developed by DFO's Habitat Management Branch
- Designated protected public water supply areas (PPSWA's) also provide protection. As well, applying existing Environmental Protection Guidelines to these areas (ie. increased buffers, 150 meters on intake ponds, 75 meters on main river stems, 50 meters on major tributaries and minimum 30 meter buffer regulated in the rest of the district).
- Protection of this species is also strengthened through partnerships with the community-based watershed management groups. In the past, industry has negotiated increased buffers on waterways throughout the Indian Bay watershed area with IBEC.
- DFO has indicated the level of protection provided by the PPWSA buffers and the additional buffers negotiated between IBEC and industry, along with the implementation of forestry best management practices will be adequate habitat protection for this species.

4.2.1.6.3 Red and White Pine

Characterization:

Provincially, the range of white pine is shrinking due to a variety of reasons including past harvesting practices and infection from blister rust. However, significant stands of white pine still exist in forest management districts of Planning Zone 3. Red pine is the rarest tree species in the province with a distribution of some 22+ small stands (<15,000

trees in total). Despite this, it is represented fairly well in this Planning Zone. For example, an approximate 400 ha mature stand exists at Grant's Pit in FMD 5. With approximately 5,000 trees, this is the largest known to exist in the province (Roberts, 1985). There are native red pine stands in FMD's 4 and 8 as well. Since both of these species occur in Planning Zone 3, local protection is required to maintain local and provincial biodiversity.

Critical Elements:

- maintenance or enhancement of stands on the landbase
- minimizing loss of trees/stands through public education
- minimize losses to fire, insect and disease
- enhancement of younger age classes through planting natural regeneration and pruning to ensure continuance of the species
- maintenance of native genetic stock

Guiding Principles:

- enforcement of forestry act, regulations, guidelines and policies
- gene preservation gardens for these species and a clonal orchard for white pine have been developed by DNR at Wooddale Tree Nursery. At some point, the goal is to produce seed from these gardens/orchards to grow pine seedlings of native origin.
- some native red pine stands are protected under reserve status.
- DNR has adopted a no cutting policy of pine by non traditional users and a phase out of cutting by traditional commercial users. Currently, no commercial operators harvest pine in Planning Zone 3.
- protection of these species in planning zone is expected to be strengthened by public education and no-cut conditions on permits (both domestic and commercial).
- implementation of silviculture treatments designed to merge pine back into the landscape.
- DNR is collecting seed from red pine stands of native origin and the collection of white pine scions for the clonal orchard at Woodale

- DNR also implements stand level silviculture prescriptions such as pruning of immature white pine to reduce the infection rate of blister rust and cone production enhancement on red pine to ensure an adequate supply of native red pine seed.

4.2.1.6.4 Red Crossbill
The red crossbill, is currently listed as endangered. The Newfoundland Forest Service currently has a representative on the recovery team for this species. Any recommendations on modified forestry activities, if any, will be developed with input from all members.

4.2.1.7 Water Resources
Characterization:
The protection of water resources has emerged as a major issue in recent years both nationally and provincially. Events such as the E.coli 0157 outbreak in Walkerton, Ontario, our own Trihalomethane (THM) controversy, and numerous incidents of giradiasis in community water supplies have heightened public awareness on water issues. While much of the current focus is directed toward drinking water, it is also recognized that an equal importance must be attached to waters which have other beneficial uses. Human impacts both locally and globally have the potential to impair water for future uses.

In Planning Zone 3, there are approximately 157,000 ha or 11 percent of the total area of lakes, ponds, rivers, brooks and streams. There are 77 communities within the zone which derive their potable water from 58 Public Protected Water Supply Areas (PPWSA's). It is the responsibility of the Department of Environment to monitor water quality of these protected areas. Recreational waters within this zone are used for activities such as fishing, boating and as a water supply source for numerous cottage owners. Industrially, waters within the zone are primarily used for hydroelectric production on the Exploits River at Bishops Falls and Rattling Brook in Norris Arm. As well, water is used for irrigation of agricultural areas, primarily in the Wooddale area.

Human activity has the potential to alter water quality and water quantity. Commercial forest harvesting activity results in construction of new and upgrading existing access

roads. If not constructed properly, this activity has the potential to negatively impact water quality. Mining operations within the zone are limited to mostly small quarrying operations for gravels and dimension stone and are typically associated with road construction. Some exploration activity for base metals has occurred sporadically throughout the region. Hydroelectric development has resulted in one brook diversion.

Critical Elements:
Forest management activities such as road construction, maintenance, timber harvesting, and silviculture may potentially alter the quality of water draining from watersheds. As well as other defining characteristics such as stream hydrology, sediment loadings, stream characteristics, and aquatic discharges from municipalities. Careless storage and handling of fuels by industrial and recreational users, stream diversions and agricultural operations are other examples.

Guiding Principles:
There are numerous protective measures listed in the Environmental Protection Guidelines under the broad categories of road construction, stream crossings, road abandonment, fuel oil handling and storage, support services and structures, harvesting, silviculture, and protected water supply areas. The EPG's are listed in their entirety in Appendix 2 and specific guidelines under the above sections can be found there.

4.2.2 Human Values
4.2.2.1 Timber Resource
Characterization:
One of the resource values is harvesting of timber to provide forest products. Historically, timber has been harvested since the first inhabitants settled in the zone. Initial uses were mainly domestic in nature to supply timber to build houses, fishing sheds, heating and cooking. With the increase in population, more commercial uses have arisen for timber, which includes: lumber, pulp and paper products, and value added products.

Domestic harvesting still provides fuelwood to heat many homes and sawlog material for residential home construction. There are approximately 2000 permits issued on Crown

land in FMD 5 and 3000 permits in FMD 8. As well, in the past, approximately 1500 domestic permits were issued annually on CBPPL tenure.

Commercial activities provide many jobs in harvesting, sawmilling, trucking, pulp and paper manufacturing and related spin off industries for local residents.

Silviculture treatments are important to the forest resource because it ensures a vigorous and healthy forest is maintained. Forest renewal activities ensure productive landbase is maintained by planting areas that are not sufficiently restocked. Forest improvement activities help improve and enhance the growing stock which can reduce harvest cost, enhance forest product options and increase sustainable timber supply.

Protection of the forest from various disturbances is also a major characteristic of resource management. Because of the long fire history in the zone, protection through well maintained and/or upgraded initial attack equipment (i.e. water bombers, pumps, hose and trucks) and well trained fire management staff is required. A large fire today in the older softwood forest would be devastating to industry. While insect kill has not been a major disturbance in recent years, protection is still critical since there is a significant area of thinned balsam fir stands, which is paramount to future AAC's. Protection of other resource values through modification of activities and enforcement is also important.

Spruce and Fir

Black spruce, white spruce and balsam fir are the main sawlog and pulpwood species within the province. Within this planning zone, black spruce accounts for more than 90 % of the softwood harvest. Black spruce fiber is valued for its strength properties in lumber and pulp and paper products. Recently, Newfoundland black spruce received the highest strength rating in North America for use in the production of wooden I-beams. Additionally, spruce and fir-dominated stands comprise more than 84% of the available forested habitat in the zone.

These species are managed for maximum sustainable harvest levels though the harvesting and silviculture strategies referred to later in section 6. Protection and long term sustainability of these species will be achieved through strict adherence to AAC's and refinements to future woodsupply analysis.

White Birch

Traditionally, white birch has been a valued species for domestic fuelwood. However; it is now emerging as an important value-added species within the sawmilling and value added manufacturing industries of the province. It also has recently been researched for its ability to produce sap and the subsequent global marketability of this product. Accordingly, three areas have been set aside for sap production research on Crown limits in the planning zone.

Additionally, white birch benefits the cycling of nutrients, the structure of forest soils, and can help in the reduction of insect infestations and in the decrease in spread rates of forest fires (Perry, 1994). White birch dominated stands comprise approximately 15% of the forested land base in the planning zone. With efforts to manage this species on a sustainable basis, in 2002 the first AAC's were developed for white birch and were refined in the 2005 woodsupply analysis. One of the criteria of species sustainability is its ability to regenerate. To aid in the sustainability of white birch, silvicultural prescriptions are being considered and designed to favor its regeneration. Implementation of this prescription would help facilitate a birch component on the landscape, increasing the diversity of both flora and fauna and maintaining natural processes within managed stands.

Critical Elements:

The overall objective is to ensure the AAC is maximized while taking into account other resource values and conducting environmentally sound operations. This is achieved by

- maintenance or enhancement of productive landbase
- planting of non-regenerating areas
- maintenance of the white birch component
- minimizing loss of landbase to other users
- minimize losses to fire, insect and disease

- timely access road construction
- enhancement of younger age classes through thinning to correct age class imbalance
- maintain both a sawlog, pulpwood and firewood industry
- maintain support of local research into birch sap production

Guiding Principles:

- enforcement of forestry act, regulations, guidelines and policies
- maintenance of AAC's; adherence to harvest schedules
- minimize loss of productive land base through spatial and temporal compromises and continuous dialogue with other resource users
- maintenance of white birch sap production and harvesting activities occur at the landscape level without negative impacts to either activity
- education (staff, public, operators)
- aggressively conduct silviculture, access road, and protection activities
- implement best management practices.

The Environmental Protection Guidelines for Ecologically Based Forest Resource Management outline courses of action and mitigative measures for conducting forestry activities. These EPG's are outlined in their entirety in Appendix 2 with some highlighted subject areas listed below:

- silviculture and harvesting activities
- mineral soil exposure
- buffer requirements
- road and bridge construction
- garbage disposal
- fuel storage

4.2.2.2 Agriculture

Characterization:

Soil surveys show 100,000 ha or 0.9% of the Island has mineral soils suitable for farming. There is a substantial agriculture industry in the zone, with considerable potential to expand and provide increased economic benefits. As well abundant organic

soils available on peat lands create opportunities for cranberry and commercial sod production.

Commercial agriculture is concentrated in Campbellton, Comfort Cove, Gambo, Northern Arm and Pleasantview. Agricultural products produced represent a significant portion of the total agriculture industry in the province. There are approximately 80 commercial farms in Planning Zone 3 from the livestock sector (poultry, beef, hogs, sheep and fur) and the Crops Sector (vegetables, small fruit, forages, Christmas trees and greenhouses production).The Agrifoods Development Branch owns and operates the Provincial Seed Potato Farm near Glenwood. There is also a peat harvesting industry (HiPoint Peat) near Bishop's Falls.

There are several commercial blueberry farms in the zone comprising a significant portion of the provincial industry. Blueberries originating from managed areas have the potential to draw a higher market value than wild berries. In the past few years, over 40 hectares have been developed for intensive blueberry management.

The newest agricultural sector developing in the zone is cranberries. Recently there has been established in the Grand Falls region, eleven new cranberry farms, along with already established operations in Terra Nova and an experimental site at Deadman's Bay, operated by the Agrifoods Development Branch. Total acreage is in the vicinity of 75 hectares with an expected 100 hectares to be developed in the near future.

Critical Elements:

Surveys indicate approximately five percent of soils in the province are suitable for agriculture. It is difficult to identify and plan all sites for potential future agriculture use and often this will result in conflicts with other land uses, particularly forestry because these sites are of high growing capability. Although a suitable landbase is the first critical element necessary for a successful agriculture operation, markets and the interest of individuals are also prime factors in the development and location of future farms. In the spirit of managing the ecosystem for multiple benefits, provisions will be available for the agriculture industry to expand.

Guiding Principles:

Lands designated for forest management can include areas with high potential for agriculture. Consequently; the Forest Services Branch will work with the Department of Agriculture to determine where potential opportunities exist for agriculture development areas. The agriculture leasing policy initiated in 1976 ensures new or existing land allocated for agriculture continues to be used for agriculture. The leases have no provision for fee simple grants and must be used exclusively for agriculture purposes.

4.2.2.3 Mining

Characterization:

Within Planning Zone 3, there is a diverse geological environment which hosts a wide variety of both metallic and industrial minerals including, but not restricted to; copper, nickel, lead, bitumen, granite, gneiss, marble, gold, asbestos, silver, iron, limestone molybdenum, uranium and thorium. There is also granite with dimension stone potential. Some of the geologic history of the zone features rock types and rock formations which indicate the processes and geologic ancestry of the parent material, from which some of the soils of the planning zone's ecoregions were derived. In this zone, there are 5600 mineral exploration claims staked and registered. The majority of claims has been staked for their precious (e.g. gold, silver) and base (e.g. zinc, copper) metal and dimension stone (e.g. granite, gabbro) potential. In addition, some claims have been staked for their industrial mineral (e.g. silica, mica, talc) potential. There are also in excess of 314 quarries in the zone. Expenditures for mining exploration in Planning Zone 3 are in excess of $1 million annually for metallic and industrial mineral and dimension stone exploration, where activities have been concentrated in the Gander River Valley. Exploration activities typically consist of prospecting, geological mapping, grid line-cutting, geochemical surveys, ground and airborne geophysical surveys, mechanized trenching and diamond drilling. In addition, there are a large number of active quarries in the zone which generate significant royalties. These figures are included to illustrate the significant contribution that mining has to the local and provincial economy.

Critical Elements:
Location of deposits close to markets is vital in controlling aggregate costs which often increase dramatically with increased transportation distances.

Guiding Principles:
Harvesting timber for prospecting lines must meet the same rigor as commercial harvesting. The mining industry should enact best management practices to minimize negative impact on ecosystem values.

4.2.2.4 Historic Resources

Characterization:
The provincial archeology office (PAO) is the agency responsible for management and protection of archaeological sites and artifacts in Newfoundland and Labrador. This program is carried out under the Historic Resources Act, which ensures any development with potential to have adverse impacts on historic resources are investigated and monitored by a qualified archaeologist, through an archaeological impact assessment.

Archaeological sites are non-renewable resources and are considered a vital role in understanding our heritage. It is important to professionally record as much information as possible at an archaeological site to fully understand its history. To do this properly, the site must not be disturbed. Generally, archaeological sites are small, spatially bounded units. Therefore, protecting these resources usually do not have an adverse impact on forestry activities. Archaeological surveys have been carried out in several areas within the zone over the past 20 years. There are a number of known archaeological sites within Planning Zone 3 which are protected under the Historic Resources Act. Many areas still remain to be surveyed so there is potential for other historic resources to be discovered. Sites of archaeological significance, such as Boyd's Cove, Black Harbour, Wigwam Point, Gander River and the Bloody Bay Reach Archeological Sites (i.e. Burnside archeological tours of the Beaches and the Quarry) also hold the key to our understanding of past. While some of these sites have been developed (Boyd's Cove, the Beaches, the Quarry and Wigwam Point, others have not had archaeological work completed and their locations cannot be disclosed. These sites show evidence of Maritime Archaic Indian, Palaeoeskimo, recent Indian and European occupation.

Archaeology is very important for our tourist industry. Archaeological excavations and interpretive sites draw thousands of visitors each year to this province. The preservation and interpretation of archaeological sites will continue to benefit the tourism industry in this province for years to come. Thousands of tourists from all over the world visit our archaeological sites each year and the numbers continue to increase (e.g. Boyd's Cove and Burnside typically see approximately 8,000 visitors per year combined). Each year archaeology projects provide many seasonal jobs. For example, Boyd's Cove and Burnside employ approximately 15 people each year. Many of these people are successful in obtaining employment in archaeology and conservation for longer periods of time. By calling for archaeological impact assessments on projects which have potential to negatively impact historic resources, the PAO is providing jobs for consulting archaeologists in the province. New businesses are created as a result of archaeological projects, which include: bed and breakfasts, boat tours, restaurants and gift shops.

Critical Elements:

Major threats to historic resources are projects involving activities which disturb soil layers and/or provide unintended public access to the archaeological resources. Forestry activities such as construction of access roads and bridges, harvesting and mechanical site preparation have the potential to negatively impact valuable historic resources. When impact assessments are carried out and new sites found, it adds to our understanding of Newfoundland and Labrador's heritage. When archaeological sites are discovered through impact assessments, these resources are protected from damage or destruction.

Guiding Principles:

Any project involving land-use has the potential to adversely impact historic resources. Therefore, it is important the Provincial Archaeology Office is involved at the planning stage to ensure mitigative measures that protect historic resources. Known archaeological sites and potential unknown sites are protected by utilizing no harvest buffer zones, whereas archaeological assessments may be required in other areas. Archeological buffers are typically required along rivers and ponds, as well as, along the coastline where there is a high potential for archaeological resources to be found. Occasionally there are accidental discoveries made of historic resources. In the event this does happen,

activities should cease in this area and contact be made immediately with the Provincial Archaeologists at 729-2462.

4.2.2.5 The Greater Terra Nova Ecosystem

Characterization:

The primary role of Canada's national parks is maintenance of ecological integrity. Although enshrined in policy for many years, this role has recently been given prominence in legislation by the passing of the Canada National Parks Act in October 2000. The Report of the Panel on Ecological Integrity of Canada's National Parks (February 2000) noted that parks all across the country (including TNNP) are under threat from stresses both within and outside the national parks. Ninety percent of forested parks are under stress from external forestry activities. The primary challenge for national parks in maintaining their ecological integrity is that most parks are part of larger ecosystems and the area set side for the parks is not large enough to protect the full integrity of that ecosystem. Large-scale changes on the landscape surrounding parks can isolate the park ecologically creating an "island". Parks Canada must work with adjacent land managers in striving to achieve its mandate.

Biodiversity goes beyond the range of wildlife and plant species to include the range of habitats and landscapes. Loss of special habitats such as old-growth forest and associated species may impair the ecological integrity of TNNP in ways that are not currently understood. In recent history, the endangered Newfoundland pine marten has been relocated to the park and in some of the adjacent forest area in FMD 4. Habitat connectivity with other core populations may be critical to long term survival of marten in TMNP. While ecological integrity has prominence regarding the management of national parks, legislation and policy dictate broader responsibilities for national parks. These include providing opportunities for Canadians and others to have high-quality experiences in a natural setting.

Critical Elements:

- to maintain ecological integrity
- to maintain native biodiversity and natural processes.
- to maintain viable wildlife populations

Guiding Principles:

The long-term effect on the park's ecological integrity can rarely be isolated to one cause and is more often due to the effects of many activities. For that reason it would be important to assess the cumulative environmental effects of all activities as part of the forest management planning process.

- maintain species composition as well as the age structure and ecological functions of the various forest-types across the landscape over the long term.
- maintain proportion of interior forest (mature forest >250 m from an “edge”)
- maintain landscape connections between the park and the surrounding landscape. This would require effective, permeable movement zones between populations and/or critical habitats.
- manage and operate according to the precautionary principle, particularly as it relates to species at risk.
- ensure landscape characteristics are maintained that allow marten to achieve their habitat requirements at the landscape scale. This could mean ensuring forest management practices allow for a continuous distribution of marten habitat and home ranges to the park boundary. A conservative approach that preserves future options should be adopted until the marten guidelines are fully developed.

4.2.2.6 Recreational Trails
Characterization:

Newfoundland T’Railway

A large section of the Newfoundland T’Railway Provincial Park lies within the zone and has an impact on forestry operations. The former CNR right-of-way, which is 25 feet each side of the center line, is the main route for the T’Railway, with some minor deviations. It provides for an all season, multi-use recreation corridor developed and managed with community partners to maximize adventure tourism and recreational opportunities. The T’Railway is protected for the present and future enjoyment of the public, as part of a system of provincially designated parks and natural areas. The Provincial Parks Act provides the legislative framework for the administration and

management of the T'Railway, which constitutes the Province's contribution to the Trans Canada Trail System. It is the largest provincial park in the Province with the most users. It is used primarily for snowmobiling, skiing, hiking, walking and all terrain vehicle usage. Other new or historical uses such as commercial and domestic harvesting access, quarry and mining access and cottage access are also permitted with a special permit.

Other Trails

There are at least another 45 + recreational trails that protect heritage and provide for expanded recreational opportunities within the planning zone. Among the more important historic trails are those of Eastport, Twillingate, New World, Cottle's and Fogo Islands. These trails are traditional walking links between the communities and now lead to vantage points to scenic ocean vistas, whale and iceberg watching. Today, they provide recreational opportunities for hiking, skiing, viewing of exceptional landscapes, and nature walks, as well as preserving our heritage of isolated fishing and logging communities.

Critical Elements:

- protection of the historical landscape integrity of trail corridors
- preservation of the scenic quality along trail corridors
- control of land usage adjacent to trails

Guiding Principles

- coordination of activities with various other agencies responsible for land management outside the T'Railway corridor to ensure that the integrity of the park is maintained
- coordinate and build partnerships with other stakeholders and user groups such as communities, industry and recreational organizations for the long term maintenance and development of the trails
- in an attempt to preserve the natural value of the T'Railway, other land management agencies are requested to maintain a 100 m buffer and to consider viewscapes in their harvesting and development plans. Buffers of varying widths have also been applied to other trails in the planning zone.

4.2.2.7 Parks and Protected Areas

Characterization:

The mission statement of the natural areas program is to protect in an unimpaired condition, large wilderness examples of provincial ecoregions including their natural processes and features and rare natural phenomena, so as to preserve the diversity and distinctiveness of the Province's ecologically sustainable future for the benefits of present and future generations. Natural areas are store houses of natural diversity that exists in a wild, pristine state. They serve as ecological bench marks indicating the natural succession of forest ecosystems. They also preserve in perpetuity, provincially significant representative and special natural features and outstanding recreational environments.

There are many types of protected areas in the province. The Wilderness and Ecological Reserves Act enables the Province to establish the following; wilderness reserves (Component 1), ecological reserves (Component 2) and protected sites (Component 3). Component 1 reserves are defined using the critical habitat of high level, wide ranging species i.e. caribou. They generally cross ecoregion boundaries, protect complete systems and are large (> 1000 km²).

Component 2 reserves protect representative samples of ecoregions (not included in Component 1 reserves) and are mid-sized (50-1000 km²). Component 3 reserves protect exceptional natural features, such as, rare species or areas of unusual biological richness and are generally small (< 50 km²). The benefits of protected areas are to preserve biodiversity, provide areas for scientific research, provide opportunities for environmental education and provide standards against which the effects of development can be measured. Protected areas in the zone include: the T'Railway, Terra Nova National Park, Bay Du' Nord Wildnerness Area, and Notre Dame Junction, Dildo Run and Jonathon's Pond Provincial parks. As well, two candidate proposed ecological reserve areas, one for the Central Newfoundland Forest Ecoregion and one for the North Shore Forest Ecoregion currently have interim protection.

Critical Elements:

- preservation of biodiversity
- maintenance of protected area integrity
- maintain natural processes and features

Guiding Principles:

- the Province of Newfoundland's Natural Areas Systems Plan recommends that a minimum of 12% of the province's entire land base be protected.
- only allow traditional (hiking, berry picking, hunting etc.) activities, educational activities and scientific research within protected areas provided the integrity of the reserve is not compromised
- prohibit all forms of new development such as mining activity, hydroelectric projects, forestry activity, agriculture activity, roads and trails and cottages and new structures.
- where forestry operations are within one kilometre of provisional and ecological reserves, wilderness reserves or provincial parks, modified operations may be necessary

4.2.2.8 Outfitting

Characterization:

An economic impact study conducted in 1995 by the Department of Industry, Trade and Technology suggests a big game license has a net economic impact of $6864. By approximating this value at $7000 for 2006, it is possible to estimate the economic contributions of this industry: approximately 300 licenses * $7000 / license = $2.1 million. An additional $135 000 is estimated to be brought in from fishing. (Bear hunting has not been included in the above figures). Given that 85 percent of the hunting market comes from the United States of America, it follows that the above monetary figures are reflections of money entering the Province from elsewhere. It should be recognized that the outfitting industry provides this revenue to the Province each season and has the potential to do so indefinitely.

Over the past ten years, a significant number of traditional hunting and fishing businesses have diversified into non-consumptive aspects of the tourism industry. Such activities include, but are not limited to: snowmobiling, dog sledding, kayaking, canoeing, nature viewing, hiking, and wildlife photography. The ability to diversify has positively impacted the viability of outfitting operations and as such, increasing numbers of operators are considering these opportunities. Diversification can lengthen seasons of operation, increase and lengthen employment and reduce dependency on a single sector

of the tourism industry. Pristine wilderness settings are necessary for many of these types of diversification.

Critical Elements:

Remote outfitting camps are dependent on their remoteness, where forest access roads potentially impact the ability of a camp to maintain its remote status. Increasing accessibility through establishment of access roads may lead to increased hunting and fishing pressures in a given area, which may lead to decreased success rates of tourists. Forest access roads may also lead to increased resource development, which has a potential negative an impact on both remoteness and game availability. Forest harvesting may also have the potential to impact negatively upon travel corridors, bear denning areas, and caribou feeding and calving areas.

While clients of big game and fishing outfitters are primarily interested in hunting or fishing experiences, they also show a great respect and admiration for pristine conditions and a healthy looking landscape. The landscape view experienced by clients plays a large role in leaving a lasting impression of the province. The view also has a direct impact on repeat client bookings and recommending the destination to others. Viewscapes become even more important once outfitters begin diversification into non-consumptive tourism activities. With these activities, there is no trophy to bring home and that which is taken away is the experiences (i.e. sights, sounds, smells, etc.).

Guiding Principles:

It is necessary to ensure properly managed areas remain around outfitting camps, which have been determined by relevant parties. These types of Buffer zones can be difficult to negotiate due to varying ranges of activity from operator to operator. Some operators make use of areas that are 8 to 10 kilometers away from the main lodge.

- consideration should be given to decommissioning roads and bridges (where possible) after forestry activity is completed. This will eliminate potential negative aspects to the hunting area by reducing the possibilities of increased hunting pressure. Access to hunters will be restricted or limited when roads are actively used for harvesting purposes.

-cottage development is prohibited within established outfitting buffers.

- where possible, harvest areas in the winter. Winter roads are less passable in summer and fall, which will facilitate reduced traffic.

- where possible, construction of new forest access roads should occur away from existing outfitting camps. Harvesting should be restricted around hunting and fishing camps during their season of operation. At these times, harvesting should occur as far away as possible from outfitters.

- forest operations will be undertaken in compliance with existing regulations

-efforts will be made to ensure the integrity of viewscapes from outfitter cottages is maintained when conducting forest operations.

- forest operations will be evaluated to should any garbage is removed.

4.2.2.9 Recreation

Characterization:

The Exploits and Bonavista Bay areas have outstanding scenery, interesting topography, and opportunities for viewing wildlife and flora in a natural setting. These elements represent a small list of reasons why the zone is used extensively for recreational purposes. Hunting, sport fishing, hiking, skiing, kayak/canoeing and ATV/snowmobiling are major recreational activities in the area. There are also a number of safe anchorages for boat touring in Exploits Bay. Non-timber recreational values are expected to play an increasing role in forest management practices.

Critical Elements:

Wilderness

Backcountry recreational activities are dependent on the existence of natural pristine wilderness areas. The temporary removal or alteration of this pristine wilderness through forest harvesting practices may result in decreased recreational activities for a given period of time.

Accessibility

An increase in forest access roads may increase accessibility to remote areas. In turn, this may increase the amount of traffic in an area (both vehicular and pedestrian) and decrease

the value of the experience for many recreational activities. The majority of individuals involved in recreational activities are concerned about viewscapes. Many of the recreational activities occur because of particular viewscapes.

Guiding Principles:
To prevent negative ecological effects and provide positive experiences, access and levels of recreational activities can be monitored. Public surveys can be used to measure the experiences and the levels of recreation occurring in the zone.

Wilderness
If possible, forest operations should avoid wilderness areas where high concentrations of recreational activities occur. Where operations are necessary, stakeholder meetings could prevent conflicts through temporal scheduling.

Limiting Accessibility
Decommissioning of forest access roads could be a possible option when forestry activities are completed. Where possible, harvesting should be conducted using winter forest access roads, which creates less traffic and better facilitates decommissioning. Where possible, the Land Branch of the Department of Environment and Conservation shall plan cottage development along newly developed forest access roads in conjunction with the Forestry Services Branch of the Department of Fisheries, Forestry and Agrifoods. This will allow for planned cottage development areas and potential Crown land reserves to help minimize potential land use conflicts.

Viewscape
Aesthetic views using landscape design techniques will be utilized in areas where forest operations occur with high concentrations of recreational activities.

4.2.2.10 Tourism
Characterization:
The tourism industry in Newfoundland and Labrador is based on natural and cultural resources, where protection is important for the industry to survive and grow**.** The tourism industry in Newfoundland and Labrador has experienced significant growth since 1997.

Tourism Industry has been contributing between $580 and $700 million annually to the provincial economy. Government tax revenue from tourism in 1998 was estimated to be $105 million. The worldwide growth of tourism at rate of 41 percent, the national growth of 25 percent and the provincially growth of 33 percent indicates tourism is Newfoundland and Labrador's best opportunity for economic diversification and growth.

There are many excellent tourist destinations in the zone. The Gander River (world class salmon river and protected area) and Terra Nova Rivers (candidate as a Canadian Heritage River), Terra Nova National Park, Bay Du' Nord Wilderness Area, the Beaches and Boyd's Cove archeolgical sites, iceberg and whale tours of Twillingate, are examples of the more prominent tourist attractions

Critical Elements:

- viewscape
- accessibility
- wilderness ambiance
- remoteness

Guiding Principles:

Work with TNNP, Tourism Division, local tourism operators and local town councils in the vicinity of TNNP to implement strategies that minimize visual impact of harvesting operations on the aesthetic values associated with viewscapes. Also, in other important tourism areas including the Gander River, Indian Bay water system and the Freshwater Alexander Bays water systems, the Forest Service will continue to work with local organizations such as FABEC to examine the viewshed issues where applicable. Strategies can then be discussed, negotiated, and implemented to provide a balance between harvesting and the values associated with tourism.

Section 5 Mitigations

Stakeholder	Contact	FMD		ISSUES / CONCERNS RAISED DURING 2016-2021 PLAN DEVELOPMENT (PRE-ADM Review and on-on-one consultations with known stakeholders from previous planning processes)	Mitigation
Parks & Natural Areas	Jeri Graham	FMD 04, 05, 06, 08		Proposed Commercial Harvest overlap an area of interest by PNAD	Overlap areas to be removed (clipped) from Rocky Pond CC05013 and Maccles Lake South CC05005a to boundary of area of interest
				Proposed Commercial Areas within an area of interest by PNAD	Commercial Block (4003) South Brook 36,000 m3 was removed from the plan as a mitigation to Parks and Natural Areas Division.
				The Planned Access Road information is also of interest given that a permit was not granted to use the T'railway Provincial Park as a long-term route to this harvest area.	As pursuant to *Section 10* of the *Provincial Parks Regulations* the Forest Services Branch will request a permit to access the T'Railway prior to any harvesting operations.
				Proposed Commercial Harvest overlap area within an area of interest by PNAD	Overlap area to be removed (clipped) from Hunts Pond CC06012 to boundary of the area of interest.
				Maintenance of viewscapes along the T'Railway Provincial Park	FSB will continue to regulate A 100 meter buffer along the T'railway. As pursuant to *Section 10* of the *Provincial Parks Regulations* the Forest Services Branch will request a permit to access the T'Railway prior to any harvesting operations.
				Maintenance of viewscapes associated with Notre Dame Junction and Dildo Run Provincial Parks	For the past 2 planning periods FSB has regulated a 500m buffer around Notre Dame Park and a 100 meter buffer around Dildo Run Park to maintain viewscapes. FSB will continue to regulate these buffers this planning period.

		FMD 06, 08		A request by PNAD to not harvest in Indian Arm.	The operating will not be deferred, as requested by PNAD based on the following points: - Five operators are scheduled to be placed in Indian Arm to harvest over the next five years (approx. 12,000 m³ total – small commercial.) - Forest Service has no option but to place one large operator (6,700 m³/yr) in FMD 6 in Indian Arm or shut them down. - Over $300,000 spent in infrastructure in this area in FMD 8. - While the road network is in bad condition, it can still be reasonably upgraded cost wise at $ 20,000. - With another five year deferral, the road upgrading will be simply will be too expensive for access at $100,000, plus the timber is old and is on the outer limits of operability and needs to be harvested in the coming five years. - This area already has a large human foot print as the road networks are in place and this is a second generation harvest for the area (see map attached). The ecological value of this study area is questionable given the level of human activity in and around it, as it is bisected by the TCH and is in close proximity to significant population centers. There are significant road systems in various portions of the study area plus cabins, silviculturally treated areas.
Wildlife Division	Kirsten Miller	FMD 04, 05, 06, 08		**CC05007 – Lower Dark Cove**: Overlap with Stewardship Management Unit and Zone. These areas are established in agreement between WD and the Town of Gambo. As per the agreement, Stewardship Management Units (area in red) are 'no loss' areas with no development. The Town is to be consulted on proposed harvest within the Stewardship Management Zone (cross hatched area). **CC05006 – Gambo Hill**: Overlap with Stewardship Management Zone. These areas are established in agreement between WD and the Town of Gambo. The Town is to be consulted on proposed harvest within the Stewardship Management Zone (cross hatched area).	Approval from Town of Gambo will be obtained prior to any commercial harvesting Approval from Town of Gambo will be obtained prior to any commercial harvesting

				CC06014 – Dennis Brook West, CC04007 – Little Gander, CC04005 – Dennis Brook, CC06013 – Caribou Lake, CC04006A – Southwest Pond, CC04006B – Southwest Pond, CC04006C – Southwest Pond Further discussion needed. The proposed harvest areas are within core caribou areas used by multiple caribou herds during the calving/post-calving and summer period. As per discussion at meeting, marten considerations in the area also and with CBPPL. **CC08003 – Southern Lake**: The proposed harvest area is within core caribou areas for spring calving/post-calving. Commercial harvest activities are to take place outside of the calving/post-calving period and 30% of overmature forest be maintained.	Travel Corridor established in conjunction in CBPPL in this area. Commercial Harvest Blocks: 4007 (Little Gander), 6013 (Caribou Lake), and 6014 (Dennis Brook West) were removed from the plan as a mitigation to Wildlife Division 30% overmature forest will be maintained throughout area
Tourism	John Angelopoulos	All Zone		Mitigation measures should be put in place that preserves the visitor experience and viewscapes along touring routes, including highway, river and trail buffers as well as viewshed management. .	Forest Service, where operationally feasible, will implement strategies to provide a balance between forest harvesting and the non-timber values identified by Tourism.
Crown Lands		FMD 04		4 Fleighers CC04001 – no concerns 4 Fleighers CC04001a – no concerns 4 Fleighers CC04001b – no concerns 4 Fleighers CC04001c – couple of remote cottages 4 Camp Ten CC04002 – no concerns 4 Camp Ten CC04002 – no concerns 4 Triton South CC04004 – no concerns 4 Triton South CC04004 – no concerns 4 Dennis Brook CC04005 – no concerns 4 Southwest Pond CC04006 – no concerns 4 Southwest Pond CC04006 – no concerns 4 Southwest Pond CC04006 – no concerns	A 30 meter no cut buffer will be implemented around legally obtained cabins.

		FMD 05		4	Little Gander	CC04007 – no concerns
				4	Rocky Brook	CC04009 – no concerns
				4	Rocky Brook	CC04009 – no concerns
				4	Masons Pond	CC04010 – no concerns
				4	Deadwolf	CC04020 – no concerns
				5	Harvey Pond	CC05001 – no concerns
				5	Island Pond West	CC05002 – no concerns
				5	Island Pond East	CC05003 – no concerns
				5	Boot Pond	CC05004 – no concerns
				5	Boot Pond	CC05004 – Requires permit from Town of Gander
				5	Boot Pond	CC05004 – Requires permit from Town of Gander
				5	Maccles Lake South	CC05005 – No concerns
				5	Maccles Lake South	CC05005 – no concerns
				5	Gambo Hill	CC05006 – Permit from Town of Gambo Required
				5	Gambo Hill	CC05006 – Partial overlap with Environmental Protection Zone where Forestry is not a permitted activity. Permit required from the Town of Gambo for remainder
				5	Lower Dark Cove	CC05007 - Partial overlap with Environmental Protection Zone where Forestry is not a permitted activity. Permit required from the Town of Gambo for remainder
				5	Content East	CC05008 – no concerns
				5	Content East	CC05008 – no concerns
				5	Maccles Lake North	CC05009 – no concerns
				5	Maccles Lake North	CC05009 – no concerns
				5	Millers Angle	CC05010 – Partial overlap with Town of Glovertown. Forestry use is permitted but a permit is required from the town.
				5	Chain Pond East	CC05011 – no concerns
				5	Chain Pond West	CC05012 – 120m buffer on Chain Pond
				5	Rocky Pond	CC05013 – no concerns
				5	Pussels Pond	CC05014 – no concerns
				5	Pussels Pond	CC05014 – no concerns
				5	Fourth Pond	CC05020 – couple of remote cottages
				5	Weirs Pond	CC05021 – no concerns

All required permits will be obtained

All required permits will be obtained

All required permits will be obtained

All required permits will be obtained

All required permits will be obtained

120meter buffer established on Chain Pond to accommodate the existing cottage development

A 30meter no cut buffer will be implemented around legally registered cabins

		FMD 06		6	Rodney Pond	CC06018a – No concerns
				6	Rodney Pond	CC06018b - No concerns
				6	Skin Bridge	CC06019a - No concerns
				6	Skin Bridge	CC06019b - No concerns
				6	Skin Bridge South	CC06008 - No concerns
				6	Camp Ten West	CC06009 - No concerns
				6	Bog Camp Brook	CC06010 - No concerns
				6	Little Deadwolf	CC06011a - No concerns
				6	Little Deadwolf	CC06011b - No concerns
				6	Hunts Pond	CC06012 - No concerns
				6	Caribou Lake	CC06013 - No concerns
				6	Dennis Brook West	CC06014 - No concerns
				6	Gillinghams Pond	CC06015 - No concerns
				6	Hunts Pond West	CC06016 - No concerns
				6	The Narrows	CC06017a - No concerns
				6	The Narrows	CC06017b - No concerns
				6	The Narrows	CC06017c - No concerns
		FMD 08		8	Snake Lake	CC08002 – Town of Leading Tickles
				8	Southern Lake	CC08003 – No Concerns
				8	Osmonton Arm and Mill Pond	CC08004 – Many issued titles around West Arm	A 30meter buffer will be implemented around legally registered cabins
				8	Askel Lake Stowaway Pond	CC08005 – Issued titles along Askel Lake and	A 30 meter buffer will be implemented around legally registered cabins
				8	Big Lake Leamington	CC08006 – Partially inside the Town of Point
				8	Bully's Cove	CC08007 – No concerns
				8	Diver Pond	CC08008 – Some issued titles near Bulley's Cove	A 30meter buffer will be implemented around legally registered cabins
				8	Winter Tickle Southeast Arm, Winter Tickle and Ritter's Arm	CC08009 – Many issued titles on shorelines of	A 30meter buffer will be implemented around legally registered cabins
				8	Winter Tickle Southeast Arm, Winter Tickle and Ritter's Arm	CC08009 - Many issued titles on shorelines of	A 30meter buffer will be implemented around legally registered cabins
Mines & Energy				Should future quarry or mineral resource developments or exploration programs (i.e. new quarry development, existing quarry expansion, new mine development, quarry			The Forestry Services Branch agrees to continue to work with Mines & Energy to ensure impacts to the forest resource are minimized

				materials exploration, mineral exploration) be considered by Forestry as having the potential to cause a significant impact on the forest resource and forest resource users, then Forestry should work closely with the Mines Branch and the proponent to ensure that mutual impacts are minimized. Other Forest Management Plans, in relation to mineral exploration, have stated that parties carrying out mineral exploration should "Make every attempt to extract timber harvested as part of exploration and development. If timber cannot be feasibly extracted using conventional means then timber shall be piled so that it may be extracted during winter months by snowmobiles." Many mineral exploration companies, having abided by this principle in the past, have stated that often the timber they have stacked is not harvested but rather remains untouched. In addition, the Mines Branch, for the past several years, has been advising mineral exploration companies to use any timber they may have to cut for the purposes of corduroy over soft ground (to prevent rutting) and site rehabilitation (e.g. scatterring over disturbed sites, especially those having lost their original organic cover), and the Mines Branch is presently finalizing a draft set of 'Environmental Requirements and Recommendations for Mineral Exploration' which will encode practices such as these which minimize the environmental impact of mineral exploration. For these reasons, and in light of the referral process described below, the Mines Branch requests that the Forestry Services Branch reconsider the above statement. All applications for 'exploration approval' for exploration programs beyond basic prospecting and low-impact sampling are referred to the Forestry Services Branch (among other government agencies) and Forestry should continue to use these opportunities to communicate any project-specific concerns or requirements. Project-specific concerns and requirements are addressed in the conditions under which the exploration work is approved. As has been recognized in other Forest Management Plans, many forest access roads and bridges are used by other land users, among them parties carrying out mineral exploration or quarrying. The Mines Branch requests that it be forwarded plans to decomission roads or bridges as a matter of course to ensure that all road/bridge rehabilitation and decommissioning plans are reviewed to consider whether mineral exploration, quarrying, or mining may be affected.	The Forestry Services Branch agrees to forward to Mines &Energy any plans to decommission access roads or bridges
Domestic Cutters –	Carl Winter / Kirk Winter	5		FMD 5 – Within Domestic Cutting Area #15 concern that domestic cutting is limited to hardwood species only for area, very limited hardwood available, request to create	Domestic cutting area amended to incorporate spruce wood for cabin owners.

Indian Bay Cabin Owners				area to incorporate some softwood timber for local domestic cutters.	
Domestic Cutters	Tom Horlic / Craig House / Moose hunters	4		FMD 4 – Request for domestic cutting in the district for cabin owners and moose hunters.	Domestic cutting area amended to incorporate a portion of FMD 4 managed out of the Gambo District open to deadwood and blowdown (not permitted in commercial harvest/silviculture blocks additional exclusion zones noted on map)
	Mr. Bill Bryden	8			Mr. Bryden is currently in discussions with the Forestry Services Branch, Centre for Forest Science and Innovation Division
Town of Point Leamington		8		Protection of drinking water	Negotiations are ongoing with the Town of Point Leamington and DOEC Water Resources Division to maintain protection of water quality in the Little Pond Public Protected Water Supply Area, which encompasses a portion of FSB's proposed harvesting block C08-007. FSB has agreed to a 500 m buffer on Big Lake.
Town of Point of Bay		8		Protection of drinking water	Negotiations are ongoing with the Town of Point of Bay and DOEC Water Resources Division to maintain protection of water quality in the Charles Brook Public Protected Water Supply Area, which encompasses a portion of FSB's proposed harvesting block C08-013.
Various Communities		8		Protection of drinking water	Due to the small area encompassed by the Public Protected Water Supply Areas of all the communities on New world Island, as well as those of Comfort Cove/Newstead, Embree, Northern Arm, Phillips Head, Pleasantview, Cotrell's Cove and Leading Tickles FSB will continue to restrict domestic cutting in these PPWSA's to protect drinking water quality. These can be viewed on the maps for domestic cutting areas CD-08-003, CD-08-05, CD-08-018, CD-08-025, CD-08-037, CD-08-038, CD-08-043, CD-08-045 and CD-08-048.
Outfitter	Cecil Fudge	8		Quality of product in Outfitting area for River Run Outfitting and Tours adjacent to White Water Pond	FSB has met with the outfitter River Run Outfitting and has agreed to mitigate viewshed and habitat concerns in blocks C06-002 and C08-044 through increased buffers, modified planting and road decommissioning as indicated in the individual area descriptions.
Outfitter	Various Gander River Outfitters	5 & 8		Quality of product for Outfitting along the Gander River	While FSB has respected a 1 km buffer along the Gander River in the past Five Year Plan, the Agency wishes to enter into negotiations with the local Gander River Outfitters to explore modified harvesting in select locations within the 1 km buffer zone during this 5 year period.
Lewisporte Yacht Club	Fred Dalley	8		Maintenance of viewscapes from Exploits Bay boating perspective	FSB has maintained a 100 meter buffer along the headlands for two planning periods now to minimize potential viewscape impacts. This

					will continue during this Five Pear Plan.
Communities of New World and - Twillingate Islands		8		Maintenance of tourism viewscapes from the motorways on New World and Twillingate Islands	FSB has maintained viewscapes associated with communities and motorways on New World and Twillingate Islands in domestic cutting area CD08-003 for more than three planning periods now. FSB will continue to work with these communities to provide domestic harvesting opportunities that do not negatively impact tourism viewscapes.

Stakeholder	**Contact**	**FMD**		**ISSUES / CONCERNS ADDRESSED DURING 2012-2016 PLAN DEVELOPMENT THAT WILL BE TRANSFERRED INTO THE 2017-2021 FIVE-YEAR PLAN**	**Mitigation**
FABEC		5 & 6		FMD 5 – Miller's Angle CC05010. Concerns that harvesting past the transmission line will allow for greater access to the Terra Nova River and their mandate is to protect/improve fish stocks. FMD 5 - Within the Chain Pond East CC05011. Concerns include with road access (after harvesting is completed) and viewscape along the Terra Nova River with respect to harvesting activity. FABEC requested that some stands be removed from the North Eastern boundary of the block to reduce access to the Big Falls area of the Terra Nova River. FMD5 – Macclees Lake South a (CC05005a) and Maccles Lake South b (CC05005b). FABEC requested a 50 meter buffer be placed on the 2 salmon run brooks between Rocky Brook and Maccles Lake. FMD5- Rocky Pond. FABEC requested information regarding the proposed access road along the western side of Maccles Lake to access Maccles Lake South (CC05005a) and Rocky Pond (CC05013) blocks. Concern that we proposed new construction when T'Railway already in existence and was previously used for wood hauling.	Miller's Angle Block CC05010 was amended to make the transmission line the new western boundary. New road construction will be decommissioned after harvesting and silviculture work is completed. The block boundary was amended to remove proposed stands along the North East boundary of the block closest to the Big Falls area as requested. A 50 meter buffer was placed on both brooks. FABEC drafted a letter stating that they support FSB using the T'Railway for the transportation of wood trucking out of the Chain Pond/Rocky Pond operating blocks.

				FMD 6 – Rodney Pond CC06018a & b. There remains concern within the Rodney Pond harvest block of future access to the area after harvesting is completed. FMD 6 - Rodney Pond CC06018a. FABEC has requested that the access roads as currently proposed to gain access to the center portion of this commercial block be amended to gain entry from the eastern or southern portion of the block, as they felt the access road as presented would delay decommissioning.	Rodney Pond resource road is to be decommissioned following harvest/site prep and planting. FABEC and FSB will continue to work closely with Crown Lands to prevent Cabin Development on Rodney Pond which would help us minimize potential conflicts with new cabin sites that may deter plans for decommissioning. Proposed access road amended to the southern portion of the block.
Parks Canada		4		FMD 5 – Within the Miller's Angle harvesting block the concern was protection of the aesthetic quality and accessibility of the trail that is located between the T'Railway and Terra Nova River. Requested a 100 meter buffer on either side of this hiking/quad trail.	FSB to conduct viewscape modeling analysis to assist in mitigating this concern
CPAWS	Ian Goudie	All FMD		▪ Formally request input into a HCVF process ▪ Identify and refine/map probable Old Growth Forests, caribou and Species at Risk (SAR) habitats ▪ Defer logging in probable Old Growth Forests, core caribou habitats and SAR habitats ▪ Identify and map riparian areas (biological diversity hotpots) ▪ Provide a 100m buffer to riparian areas	The Forest Services Branch has a new division called the Centre for Forest Science and Innovation, where Engagement with ENGO's and Policy issues are currently being addressed.
DFO		ALL FMD		Potential Impacts to Fish Habitat due to improper decommissioning of Forest Access Roads	On a case by case basis and in consultation with DFO, NL DOEC Water Resources, NL Natural Resources etc. all roads that will no longer be required for forest harvesting CAN be decommissioned to make them impassable to general public and vehicle traffic. Forms of decommissioning can include: a) totally take out the road and revegitate areas to reduce erosion and sedimentation b) remove all stream crossings and stabilize accordingly c) make key sections of roads (first 200 m, sections on either side of stream crossings, etc..) impassable to general public vehicle traffic. This would especially be important for roads that cross important and productive streams.
Other items that were identified from the 2007-2011 plan				FMD 4 and 5 – Protection of fresh water fishery resource.	Can Refer to specific Operating Sheets in Appendices for specific

development that continued into the 2012-2016 Plan and will be included into the 2016-2021 five Year Plan				FMD 4 – Protection of fresh water fishery resource. FMD 5 – Protection of potential archaeological artifacts and seascape vistas FMD 8 - Waterways	details As in previous 5-year plans, FSB will maintain a 100 meter buffer along Triton Brook (southside) CC4004a, Maccles Brook (DCA #24) A 50 meter buffer will be maintained at Fourth Pond CC05020 in FMD 5 to protect sensitive waterfowl habitat A 50 meter buffers will also be maintained around waterbodies associated with the North Pond sensitive waterfowl area in FMD 4 As in previous 5-year plan FSB will maintain a 100 meter buffer along the coastline headlands on the Content Peninsula, archaeological artifacts and important seascape vistas -FMD 8, DNR will continue to maintain 50 meter buffers around Lily Pond in the Otter Pond Block and Mark Janes Pond and waterbodies in the Campbellton River Block to protect sensitive waterfowl areas. - FMD 8 a 100 meter buffer will be maintained on the Cambellton River in the vicinity of Second Pond, and on Salmon Brook in the vicinity of Twin Ponds. These mitigations were designed to protect fresh water fishery resources.

Section 6 Public Consultation Process

6.1 Planning Framework

Forest Resource managers in Canada are striving for a society that successfully integrates economic, environmental and social considerations into all resource-related decision making. Since the early 1990's, there has been a country-wide shift from single resource management to a more comprehensive approach of forest ecosystem management. Sustainable Forest Management (SFM) must be balanced in light of social, economic, and environmental issues. In the context of SFM, this shift has resulted in a move from the traditional narrow focus of timber management, to incorporate non-timber values into the management planning framework. Another term that has become closely associated with SFM is "sustainable development" or in this case "sustainable forests", which not only takes into account the social, cultural, economic, and environmental benefits of the present, but those of future generations as well. Involvement of Interested Stakeholders into the five-year planning process is recognized by the Forestry Services Branch as a key component to achieving sustainable development.

As a result of the 1995 Environmental Preview Report, the Forestry Services Branch adopted an adaptive management planning process, which has three objectives:

1. Establish a productive planning framework to include all stakeholders. An effective planning framework must have information and issues defined at the beginning of the process.
2. Learn more about forest ecosystems while they are being actively managed (i.e. adaptive management). Adaptive management incorporates strategies which help us learn about the forest ecosystem and to deal with uncertainties.
3. Establish an ecosystem approach to forest management which integrates the scientific knowledge of ecological relations and limits of growth with social values. This will help to attain the goal of sustaining natural ecosystem integrity and health over the long term.

Adaptive management makes decisions based on input from interested stakeholders and establishes a continuous learning program. The adaptive approach allows us to communicate, share information and learn about forests being managed. This sharing of

information, both old and new, then provides the flexibility necessary to adjust to changes and to set new goals**.** Such interaction is an absolute necessity for a subject as complex as an ecosystem.

6.2 Stakeholder Involvement

Since the mid 1990's, for each five-year plan, the Forestry Services Branch embarked upon a rigorous public consultation process involving a series of meetings spanning a number of months at an established venue, where interested stakeholders could discuss a range of forest management issues at an operational level.

With respect to the strategic level, in 2014, the Forestry Services Branch released a 10-year Provincial Sustainable Forest Management Strategy (PSFMS) Document (2014-2024), which emerged through wide consultation with citizens of the Province. The 2014-2024 PSFMS builds on the strengths of the previous strategy plans and uses a landscape-scale planning approach to implement the progressive and innovative ecological policies required for Sustainable Forest Management (SFM). The strategy builds on the strengths of the many modern and high-quality forest management programs that are currently being implemented in this province to ensure a vibrant and competitive forest industry.

Taking into account the many five-year plans successfully implemented within the province since the mid 1990's through public consultation processes and the recent PSFMS developed through public consultations, The Forestry Services Branch strives to improve its methods to garner advice from the public while also mitigating land-use conflicts. To this effect, as new five-year plans are being developed and implemented provincially, relevant issues raised from previous planning processes are considered the foundation the new plans.

In 2016, in addition to transferring issues/concerns/mitigations from previous planning processes, a revised approach of stakeholder involvement for the development of this plan was implemented. Known interested stakeholders from previous planning processes were engaged on a "one-on-one" basis to evaluate potential activity prior to the plan

submission to the Environmental Assessment Process. A Draft version of all maps and text was posted to the Government Website on August 01, 2016. The Department issued a Press Release on August 09, 2016 with the title "Dates Set to Discuss Five-Year Forest Management Operating Plans". In addition to the Press Release, Tweets were also sent out on August 09, 2016 from @gov.nl

For Zone 3, there were 3 formal meetings held

- August 18, from 2pm-7pm at the Forest Protection Centre in Gander
- August 31, from 2pm-7pm at the Gambo District Office in Gambo
- August 31, from 2pm – 7pm at the Lewisporte District Office in Lewisporte

The results of stakeholder involvement are identified in the Mitigations Table in Section 5.

Section 7 Management Objectives and Strategies

7.1 Harvesting

The forest in this zone is part of the boreal forest which is characterized as being disturbance driven resulting in the formation of relatively even aged stands. The clearcut silvicultural system most closely emulates this natural disturbance pattern and therefore is the most preferred method employed for harvest. The size, shape, arrangement and juxtaposition of clearcut areas vary across the landscape depending on localized topography and terrain conditions. A modification of the clearcut system takes place in domestic areas whereby the cuts are relatively small and disbursed resulting in the creation of a range of age and development classes. The clearcut system is the only harvest system being considered in the zone at this time.

7.1.1 Commercial

Section 3 outlines in detail a general approach for the timber supply analysis and specific results and sensitivity analysis for the zone. The model used to calculate woodsupply is a maximization model, outlining a specific course of action and timing of such actions to maximize timber production. The harvest schedule is an example which indicates the specific forest stratums to be harvested and an indication on the timing of such harvest.

The districts must follow this schedule as closely as possible in order for the AAC to remain valid. In general, the oldest timber considered in worst condition and losing volume fastest is targeted as first harvest priority. Younger stands that have been damaged by insects and disease may also receive high priority. Once managed stands are eligible for harvest, this priority may change in some cases to allow for a faster rotation on good sites that are silviculturally treated.

Currently, there are only 2 large integrated sawmills operating in the Eastern Region, where 1 sawmill mostly utilizes all material harvested in its own operations and the other utilize the sawlog material from harvested areas and sell the pulpwood and pulp chips (sawmills residue) to CBPPL. As well, this operator can exchange pulpwood from Crown cutting permits to CBPPL for sawlogs.

Specific commercial strategies are as follows:

- utilize irregular cut block sizes that follow contours and natural boundaries where possible
- consider maintenance of unharvested corridors between harvest blocks to act as wildlife travel corridors
- vary buffer widths to protect other values (ie. larger buffers on salmon rivers)
- where possible, utilize winter harvest on wet and sensitive sites
- maintain current size and distribution of clear cuts
- use landscape design techniques to mitigate viewshed impacts on areas of concern
- keep losses through timber utilization to a minimum (< 6 m3/ha)
- continue to encourage and pursue transfers and exchanges with paper companies to ensure sawlog supply for local sawmills.

7.1.2 Domestic

The harvest of domestic fuelwood and sawlogs occurs from three main sources in the zone;

- designated domestic cutting blocks on Crown land,
- cutover clean up on Crown and Industry limits, and
- landing and roadside clean up on both Crown and Industry limits.

For the designated cutting blocks, the harvest scheduling and priorities apply, however it may not always be practical to follow. Domestic cutting blocks are generally established near communities where concentrations of existing timber are eligible for harvest. Typically, scattered throughout these blocks there exist timber that normally would not be scheduled for commercial harvest in the planning period. Ideally, each individual domestic cutter would be issued their own harvest block to ensure harvest of optimal stands. However, this is generally not practical and domestic cutters are allowed to harvest anywhere within the designated area provided immature timber is not harvested. For this reason, the optimal harvest schedule may not always be followed in domestic areas. Utilization of cutover residue, dead timber and scrub areas which are not part of the timber supply analysis would compensate this difference. Specific domestic harvest strategies include:

- target low volume stands which have poor commercial harvest opportunities
- encourage use of under utilized firewood species (larch, aspen and maple)
- target burned and insect damaged stands that are beyond commercial salvage
- where possible, target alienation Class 3 lands that have low commercial potential
- in areas of high domestic demand, limit volume allocation in designated cutting areas and encourage alternate sources (cutovers, landings, scrub etc)
- monitor stands harvested in domestic cutting areas for compliance to the harvest schedule

7.1.3 White Birch

The harvest of white birch occurs throughout the planning zone in close association with softwood harvest for sawlogs, pulpwood and firewood. In many instances, it is an integrated aspect of both commercial and domestic harvesting activities. In recent years, there has been an increase in commercial demand for white birch sawlogs, resulting in

the development of several value added sawmills in the province (two in Planning Zone 3). The value added industry focuses on products such as cabinet stock, flooring, guard rails posts and pallet stock. This increased demand can be addressed in the short term on Crown land in FMD 8. During the 2006 -2010 Wood Supply Analysis it became evident that at the sustained level of harvest forecasted by the model a large proportion of the Class I white birch on Crown land in FMD 8 will not be harvested and will be lost to natural mortality. The majority of white birch dominated stands on Crown land in FMD 8 that are harvestable (85 %) have resulted from large wildfires in the early part of the 20th century. The origin of theses stands has resulted in the skewed age class structure of white birch towards overmature. Essentially, 65 % of the Crown's white birch in FMD 8 is overmature (ie 81 +). The projected yield curves used in the model for this species indicate rapid volume loss due to mortality beyond age 120. Subsequent analysis of the wood supply files indicate that a significant salvage harvest can be implemented to capture the impending mortality.

Specific harvesting strategies include:

- encourage the use of sawlog sorting by commercial harvesters
- encourage the development of relationships between harvesters and value added white birch sawmillers.
- target overmature white birch stands that are forecasted to succumb to mortality
- implement an annual white birch class I salvage harvest of 10,000 m^3/yr for two periods (i.e. 10 years) to capture the impending mortality.
- where possible, direct domestic harvest to alienation Class 3 white birch stands, which have low commercial potential
- in areas of high domestic demand, limit volume allocation in designated cutting areas and encourage alternate sources (birch, cutovers, landings, scrub etc)
- monitor stands harvested in all areas for compliance to the harvest schedule and AAC's for each fiber source

7.2 Silviculture

Section 1.4.1.4 describes regeneration patterns of major tree species by each disturbance type and generally by ecoregion. On average, there is 20 % natural regeneration failure

rate (NSR) across all disturbance types. Generally, areas not regenerating naturally are renewed by some combination of site preparation and planting. Areas regenerated naturally are either left to develop naturally or may receive an intermediate stand density management treatment. In the case of balsam fir which is a prolific regenerator and usually forms an overstocked stand, some form of thinning is usually applied to improve the growth and development characteristics of the regenerating stand. However; recently in FMD's 5 & 8, there is concern about the type (species) of regeneration because of increased presence of balsam woolly adelgid in the area. In these areas, regeneration to balsam fir may not necessarily be acceptable on certain site types. As well, on certain sites in FMD 8, particularly in the Seal Bay area, balsam fir has been regenerating on black spruce sites and often forms the majority of available stocking. This regeneration is "off site" and often becomes chlorotic and stagnates at an early age. As a result of these concerns with balsam fir regeneration, planting levels tend to be much higher in this zone as compared to other areas in the province.

7.2.1 Forest Renewal

Forest renewal silvicultural treatments are designed to ensure a new forest is established after disturbance by harvesting, insect, wind or fire. In most regions of the Province, prescriptions normally involve some form of treatment to prepare the site for accepting seedlings. Planting (either full or gap) is completed to ensure stocking of desired species is at acceptable levels. To ensure this, significant site preparation has been undertaken by the Crown within this zone. Treatment of black spruce and balsam fir sites which have been harvested normally involves row scarification. This treatment of disc trenching the site one year prior to planting is required to produce an acceptable number of microsites, which created via row scarification are superior because they are a mixture of organic material and mineral soil.

Kalmia is an ericaceous species inhibiting growth of spruce seedlings through the production of chemicals considered toxic to spruce. As well, Kalmia restricts available nutrients on the site, causing not enough nutrients for spruce seedlings to grow properly. Where present, Disc trenching breaks up Kalmia root mats and allows the site to be better accessible and suitable for planting through the alignment of harvesting slash. The majority of the planting requirement in the zone is considered full planting of disturbed

sites and without scarification, planted seedling success in Planning Zone 3 would be much lower than realized today. Depending on the site capability, the preferred planted seedling species is mainly with black or white spruce and to a lesser extent Norway spruce, larch (eastern and Japanese), red or white pine. This treatment is designed to regenerate disturbed sites to a stocking level that will produce equal or better harvest volumes than the original stand on similar tree numbers and shorter rotation lengths. Gap planting is completed with the same species as above, coupled with the natural regeneration already present on site results in a mixed softwood forest.

Where possible, seedlings are grown with seed from local seed sources. A seed orchard has been established at Wooddale Provincial Tree Nursery to produce seed from plus trees collected through out the Planning Zone. Plus trees are normally selected because they have superior growth and physiological characteristics. First generation white spruce seed has already been produced at the nursery and some seedlings grown from this genetically superior source have already been planted in the zone. The ultimate goal is to establish plantations that have superior growth characteristics and thus increase yield and lower rotation lengths, while still maintaining genetic diversity.

Exotic species have been planted in operational trials at limited locations in the zone. These mainly include Japanese larch and Norway spruce because of their superior growth capabilities on particular sites. However, it is not anticipated this will form any substantive proportion of the provincial planting program.

In some limited cases, herbicide treatment may be required. Herbicides, while used sparingly, are sometimes a necessary tool to help establishment of a new forest, particularly on the better sites. In this planning zone, these sites are typically rated as “good or high” capability and are located on seepage slopes. These sites typically revert to NSR dominated with alder after disturbance. Reforestation of these sites is important as they are the best growing sites in the planning zone, and placing them back into rotation will help maintain the productive forest land base. An herbicide treatment will allow the planted crop species to “get the jump” on the competition through suppression of the alders occupying these sites. Non-crop species and other forest plants and shrubs

typically rebound after suppression with herbicide, minimizing the long-term biodiversity on the area.

Natural regeneration of softwood species throughout the zone, typically relied on the excellent dispersal of balsam fir after clear cutting. However, as stated earlier balsam fir in this zone has become seriously infected with aphid. As a result, natural regeneration of balsam fir is seldom accepted. However, natural regeneration of white birch is becoming an issue in this planning zone. As noted in earlier sections white birch is an emerging commercial species. To ensure the long term viability of white birch supplies, regeneration methods will have to be implemented. Planting of white birch is not seen as a realist option as the high populations of moose and rabbits in this zone would destroy seedlings as a browse source. It is recognized that replacement of white birch dominated stands after disturbance will require the establishment of a dense seedling cover. Over time the seedlings that are not browsed can be developed into valuable trees through other silvicultural techniques (e.g. thinning and pruning). Some white birch sites have been harvested in the planning zone utilizing seed tree harvesting. This technique involves leaving a specified number of white birch seed trees on applicable sites as seed sources for the next generation. Since white birch is a very prolific seed producer/ disperser, only limited seed trees are required (ie 2-10 per ha). The next phase of seed tree regeneration will involve a light broadcast scarification of harvested sites to produce as many microsites for white birch seedling establishment as possible.

7.2.2 Forest Improvement

Forest improvement prescriptions are designed to treat established forest stands in an attempt to enhance development. These treatments usually involve thinning overstocked balsam fir stands at either a young age 10 -15 years (precommercial thinning), or an intermediate age 25 - 35 years (commercial thinning) or cleaning/maintenance of young plantations 10-15 years of balsam fir in growth. Precommercial thinning and plantation cleaning reduce density levels in overstocked areas in order to maximize volume increment and operability (piece size) in the shortest period of time. Trees removed are not of merchantable size and are left behind to return the nutrients to the site. In the

planning zone, balsam fir is usually thinned to favour any spruce that may be in the stand. In this way a mixed softwood stand is produced (depending on the original density of spruce) which is more diverse and less susceptible to insect infestation. As well, any hardwood species that are not in direct competition with spruce or fir are left to increase the biodiversity of the stand.

Commercial thinning activity is undertaken on older balsam fir stands and is designed to capture mortality that would normally occur in the stand through self thinning. The trees harvested are of commercial size and are extracted and utilized. The remaining trees are left to grow, free from competition and are harvested when mature. By salvaging this eminent mortality a higher yield can be obtained in these stands. As with precommercial thinning, spruce and hardwoods are left where possible to increase the stand diversity. This treatment has hardly been used in the zone. Both types of thinning and will produce large diameter stems in a shorter time period which should increase the percentage of merchantable volume in stands that is suitable for sawlog material. Specific silviculture strategies include:

- ensure regeneration of areas disturbed by harvest, insect, wind and fire to prevent loss of and/or increase the future productive forest land base
- use thinning/cleaning techniques in young stands to increase stand development, reduce rotation age, and improve stand quality through removal of aphid attacked balsam fir regeneration and increase the percentage of sawlogs in stands
- where possible, promote species mix, particularly with spruce and hardwoods to reduce susceptibility to insect attack and increase biological diversity
- where possible, use seedlings grown from local seed sources to protect genetic diversity
- ensure levels of planting and thinning used in the wood supply analysis are achieved
- work towards pre harvest planning to identify areas with potential balsam woolly adelgid problems so that alternate silvicultural prescriptions can be promptly employed
- continue development and implementation of silvicultural strategies designed to regenerate existing white birch dominated stands to white birch where applicable, as well as strategies designed to develop the white birch component of managed stands

7.3 Forest Access Roads

Timely access to harvesting areas is the key to successful implementation of harvest allocations. Roads also provide access for other recreational values such as hunting, fishing, skiing, berry picking and hiking. However, it is recognized roads can also have a negative impact both from an environmental perspective (loss of productive land base) and other value perspective (access near remote outfitting lodges).

As a general principle from both an environmental and cost perspective, the minimal amount of road required to effectively harvest available timber will be built. As well, roads are constructed to standards (eg. width of right-of-way and driving surface etc.) that are the minimum required to access the timber in a safe and effective manner. Forwarding distances are maximized to the economic limit to minimize the amount of road constructed. These principles ensure the loss of productive landbase and environmental disturbance are minimized. In sensitive and wet areas, winter harvesting and road construction are encouraged, to minimize environmental disturbance. In many instances, forest access roads "open up" new areas which are then subject to cottage development. Forest roads also provide access to remote areas where outfitting businesses operate. This generally leads to competition for hunting areas between local and "sport" hunters and may detract from the "remote" designation of the lodge. In such instances cottage development should be planned to reduce conflicts between potential cottage owners and other resource users. As well, road decommissioning may also be considered, depending on cost and mitigation of conflicting uses for a particular road.

The nature of the current wood supply, particularly FMD's 5 and 8, is that harvestable areas or stands are becoming smaller and more dispersed. Achievement of allocated harvest is contingent on accessing these areas and stands. Therefore, more road infrastructure is required to access this timber. Specific strategies include:

- where possible, build winter roads to access sensitive and wet areas
- minimize amount of road built by maximizing forwarding distances
- use minimum road standard to safely and effectively match the logging chance
- work with appropriate agencies (Crown Lands, Land Management) to control cottage development
- where possible, consider road decommissioning in areas of concern for other values (e.g. near remote outfitting lodges, PPWSA's)

7.4 Forest Protection

7.4.1 Insects and Disease

While having been a major natural disturbance factor within the zone, insects are now considered of lesser importance. Balsam fir is susceptible to most of the major insects and is in lower proportion throughout the zone than in the past. The budworm and looper damaged fir stands of the 1970's and 1980's that were salvage harvested have been replaced with planted less susceptible spruce species.

The major insect found throughout the zone today is the balsam woolly adelgid. It seems to be moving further inland, causing growth problems in young balsam fir stands. As outlined in the harvesting and timber supply analysis sections, wood supply forcast is based on following a rigid predetermined harvest schedule and minimizing inventory deductions (of which insect damage is a portion). In the event of a major insect infestation, salvage efforts may change harvest priorities, resulting in the optimal harvest schedule not being followed. If insect damaged stands cannot be harvested in a timely manner, an additional harvest in the form of unsalvaged mortality may occur resulting in inventory deductions that are higher than anticipated. In both circumstances, deviations from harvest schedules and inventory adjustment levels will be closely monitored to ensure that validity of AAC calculations are not compromised. Specific strategies include:

- use silvicultural techniques at the stand level to alter species mix and increase stand vigor, making stands less susceptible to insect attack (eg planting and cleaning).
- where possible, use harvest scheduling techniques to alter species mix across the landscape to avoid promotion for severe insect infestation
- where possible, use species conversion techniques to convert adelgid susceptible balsam fir to other less susceptible species
- in conjunction with Provincial and Federal initiatives, use pertinent and approved biological and chemical insecticides such as BTK, Mimic, Neemix4.5 and NeabNPV (virus)
- in co-operation with Provincial insect and inventory divisions, monitor and measure adelgid infested stands to help refine yield curves to be used in the next timber supply analysis

7.4.2 Fire

Historically, fire has been a major natural disturbance factor within this zone, resulting from relatively low precipitation and high summer temperatures, combined with frequent lighting storms. A fire in an unusually dry year can have devastating effects on the forest and can exacerbate an already tight wood supply situation. The zone can minimize the risk of a serious fire by maintaining a highly trained, efficient and effective fire control program and by minimizing the risk in forest stands through maintenance of health and vigor. Specific strategies include:

- ensure harvest schedule is followed targeting oldest/worst condition (and high fire risk) stands
- maintain fire control capabilities by both the Crown and Industry
- where possible, promote species mixes (white birch) in stands to minimize risk

7.4.3 Windthrow

Wind throw or blowdown occurs in stands that are old and decrepit or in stands that have been predisposed by some other disturbance such as insects and disease. Blowdown can also be increased in high risk stands when unnatural edges are left on cutovers such as in the case buffers. To minimize the effects of blow down, stands will be managed to promote health and vigor mainly through silvicultural treatments and protection from insects. Specific strategies include:

- avoid thinning in areas with high wind damage potential (hilltops on high elevations etc.)
- maintain forest in healthy vigorous condition through silvicultural treatments and protection from insects
- design cut blocks to follow contours and natural boundaries to minimize risk of windthrow to residual forest
-investigate techniques to minimize the risk blowdown in buffers (i.e. buffer management).
- ensure harvest schedule is followed to target the oldest worst condition (and risk) timber first.
- continue to sample overmature stands for signs of imminent breakup (e.g. windthrow and butt rot) and update harvest schedule on a 5 year basis accordingly to capture mortality

7.5 Information and Education

Information and education is important to providing for more active and effective participation in the forest management planning process. Through interaction with various user groups and the general public, we gain a better understanding of each others

values and positions. Information about a stakeholder's values and the location on the landscape provides a better ability to mitigate any potential negative impacts of harvesting activity on these values. For example, learning where a cottage is located can help planners when selecting areas for harvest and provide a contact to discuss impacts and mitigations. Public Planning team meetings provide a good exchange of information and ideas about a particular piece of landbase. It is through such forums that information can be shared that provides a basis for more effective and informed participation. As a Forest Industry, other such vehicles for information and education which will be actively pursued include: field trips (e.g. Crown and paper company woodlands tours, mill tours), school visits, open houses, commercial operator environmental training programs, information meetings, training courses, seminars, and general day to day contact.

Section 8 Proposed Activities

8.1 Overview

This section will outline forest activities proposed on Crown Land and land transferred to the Crown in Planning Zone 3 for the period 2017-2021. Proposed harvesting, silviculture and access road construction activities, as well as, environmental protection measures, activities inside protected water supply areas, surveys, and information and education initiatives will be presented and discussed in detail.

8.2 Allocation of Timber Supply

The allocation of timber supply in Planning Zone 3 is split among industry and domestic use. Overall, the commercial harvest accounts for the majority of all AAC timber and is derived from Class I Landbase. More discussion on commercial and domestic activity is provided in the upcoming sections.

8.2.1 Commercial

The Tables below indicate Crown's proposed harvest by operating area in FMD's 4, 5, 6 and 8. These areas are shown on an overview map and on individual 1:50,000 scale maps in appendix 1.

Table 7. Summary of proposed Crown commercial harvest areas in Planning Zone 3 from 2017-2021

District 4				Volume Harvested (m^3)							
				Softwood				Hardwood			
Number	Name	Tenure	Area (ha)	Core	Operational Constrainted	Sub-total	Non AAC wood	Core	Operational Constrainted	Sub-total	Non AAC Wood
CC04001a	Fleighers A	Crown	261	17,568	6,726	24,294		135	0	135	34
CC04001b	Fleighers B	Crown	118	9,277	2,272	11,549		0	0	0	60
CC04001c	Fleighers C	Crown	114	8,699	1,905	10,604		0	0	0	57
CC04002	Camp Ten	Crown	62	6,589	0	6,589		0	0	0	166
CC04004	Triton South	Crown	398	22,622	1,388	24,010		9,000	0	9,000	557
CC04005	Dennis Brook	Crown	843	41,871	11,347	53,218		129	0	129	643
CC04006a	Southwest Pond A	Crown	246	15,720	0	15,720		0	0	0	231
CC04006a	Southwest Pond B	Crown	783	42,572	5,682	48,254		0	0	0	1,136
CC04006c	Southwest Pond C	Crown	789	48,463	2,374	50,837		0	40	40	1,129
CC04008a	Deadwolf	Crown	74	8,577	0	8,577		0	0	0	0
CC04009a	Rocky Brook	Crown	447	30,658	9,886	40,544		1,324	0	1,324	306
CC04010	Masons Pond	Crown	208	8,618	0	8,618		0	0	0	219
CC04011	Terra Nova South	Crown	360	32,323	0	32,323		9,259	0	9,259	0
Totals			4,703	293,557	41,580	335,137	0	19,847	40	19,887	4,538

District 5				Volume Harvested (m^3)							
				Softwood				Hardwood			
Number	Name	Tenure	Area (ha)	Core	Operational Constrainted	Sub-total	Non AAC wood	Core	Operational Constrainted	Sub-total	Non AAC Wood
CC05001	Harvey Pond	Crown	154	0	16,148	16,148		0	541	541	450
CC05002	Island Pond West	Crown	126	11,500	0	11,500		111	0	111	393
CC05003	Island Pond East	Crown	70	7,773	0	7,773		22	0	22	212
CC05004a	Boot Pond A	Crown	107	0	6,602	6,602		0	745	745	380
CC05004b	Boot Pond B	Crown	127	0	9,578	9,578		0	1,642	1,642	890
CC05004c	Boot Pond C	Crown	119	0	9,633	9,633		0	2,092	2,092	999
CC05005a	Maccles Lake South A	Crown	259	20,011	0	20,011		0	0	0	0
CC05005b	Maccles Lake South B	Crown	508	43,004	0	43,004		297	0	297	1,442
CC05006	Gambo Hill	Crown	59	3,644	0	3,644		254	0	254	307
CC05007	Lower Dark Cove	Crown	476	31,270	1,167	32,437		2,719	0	2,719	3,522
CC05008	Content East	Crown	374	26,037	6,566	32,603		0	0	0	933
CC05009	Maccles Lake North	Crown	235	0	19,950	19,950		0	425	425	930
CC05010	Millers Angle	Crown	227	9,253	6,982	16,235		914	0	914	2,005
CC05011	Chain Pond East	Crown	309	7,701	15,242	22,943		0	98	98	2,624
CC05012	Chain Pond West	Crown	545	29,281	19,971	49,252		608	0	608	1,572
CC05013	Rocky Pond	Crown	836	62,362	3,896	66,258		0	0	0	1,760
CC05014	Pussels Pond	Crown	182	10,904	2,457	13,361		200	0	200	521
Totals			4,713	262,740	118,192	380,932	0	5,125	5,543	10,668	18,940

District 6				Volume Harvested (m^3)							
				Softwood				Hardwood			
Number	Name	Tenure	Area (ha)	Core	Operational Constrainted	Sub-total	Non AAC wood	Core	Operational Constrainted	Sub-total	Non AAC Wood
CC06008	Skin Bridge South	Crown	690	29,795	20,497	50,292		671	0	671	555
CC06009	Camp Ten West	Crown	215	12,145	5,221	17,366		0	0	0	1,373
CC06010	Bog Camp Brook	Crown	794	34,907	0	34,907		2,000	0	2,000	2,825
CC06011	Little Deadwolf	Crown	356	12,314	5,925	18,239		0	0	0	1,652
CC06012	Hunts Pond	Crown	1,578	76,448	2,341	78,789		0	0	0	1,743
CC06015	Gillinghams Pond	Crown	851	60,008	0	60,008		0	0	0	1,753
CC06016	Hunts Pond West	Crown	1,166	89,309	0	89,309		0	0	0	4,027
CC06017	The Narrows	Crown	249	6,666	0	6,666		0	0	0	1,000
CC06018	Rodney Pond	Crown	240	7,820	3,712	11,532		0	0	0	323
CC06019	Skin Bridge	Crown	191	5,405	2,490	7,895		0	0	0	220
Totals			6,330	334,817	40,186	375,003	0	2,671	0	2,671	15,471

District 6				Softwood Volume m^3					Hardwood Volume m^3				
							Non AAC wood					Non AAC Wood	
Number	Name	Tenure	Area (ha)	Core	Operational Constrainted	Sub-total	Operational	Regulatory	Core	Operational Constrainted	Sub-total	Operational	Regulatory
C-06-01	Grant's Lake	Crown	110	2,741	2,965		-		-	-		522	
C-06-02	Burnt Bay Lake	Crown	250	11,745	712		516		1,123	597		1,689	
C-06-03	Bear Lake	Crown	190	11,019	-		-		-	-		796	
C-06-04	Conway Lake	Crown	132	5,758	-		-		-	-		898	
C-06-05	Sylt Lake	Crown	237	6,814	2,578		139		238	-		1,468	
C-06-06	Upper Salmon Brook	Crown	803	21,600	9,696		-		-	-		948	
C-06-07	Sammy Martins Pond	Crown	126	5,512	173		-		-	-		114	
Sub-Total				**65,188**	**16,123**		**655**		**1,361**	**597**		**6,435**	

District 8				Softwood Volume m^3						Hardwood Volume m^3				
				Core	Operational Constrainted	Sub-total	Non AAC wood			Core	Operational Constrainted	Sub-total	Non AAC Wood	
Number	Name	Tenure	Area (ha)				Operational	Regulatory					Operational	Regulatory
C-08-01	Budgell's Pond	Crown	491	-	13,428		3,569			-	3,578		3,266	
C-08-02	Snake Lake	Crown	1,246	35,776	21,343		889			-	432		13,179	
C-08-03	Southern Lake	Crown	264	13,738	145		139			149	-		2,343	
C-08-04	Osmonton Arm	Crown	1,523	48,645	8,733		1,049			478	-		14,032	
C-08-05	Askel Lake	Crown	397	11,092	2,997		2,585			4,106	140		5,632	
C-08-06	West Arm	Crown	63	2,379	72		99			62	5		1,218	
C-08-07	Big Lake	Crown	246	9,530	579		943			932	-		3,222	
C-08-08	Bulleys Cove	Crown	126	2,692	1,798		234			377	-		1,401	
C-08-09	Diver Pond	Crown	2,069	55,650	11,084		7,774			7,014	5,874		40,910	
C-08-10	Winter Tickle	Crown	1,287	61,866	2,355		1,289			729	987		14,533	
C-08-11	North Harbour	Crown	107	5,034	93		51			77	-		770	
C-08-12	Pine Pond	Crown	544	3,775	18,769		-			-	106		5,454	
C-08-13	Rowsells Lake	Crown	65	2,368	163		125			368	8		598	
C-08-14	Exploits River	Crown	95	6,528	248		-			-	-		754	
C-08-15	Wilf Keats Road	Crown	430	11,856	6,659		1,890			1,841	1,010		3,620	
C-08-16	Norris Arm North	Crown	296	13,244	387		1,979			2,850	-		2,239	
C-08-17	Otter Pond	Crown	427	9,907	1,188		5,573			10,770	-		2,862	
C-08-18	Norris Arm South	Crown	202	2,600	4,721		788			400	434		1,103	
C-08-19	Munroes Pond	Crown	89	3,100	411		-			154	-		1,552	
C-08-20	Rod and Gun club Rd	Crown	155	1,965	385		175			68	146		588	
C-08-21	Campbellton River	Crown	165	14,942	438		-			50	28		1,202	
C-08-22	Dans Pond	Crown	40	1,377	597		-			-	61		66	
C-08-23	Shipbuilder's Pond	Crown	616	16,371	2,864		3,664			6,088	984		4,751	
C-08-24	Brinks Pond	Crown	191	11,891	-		626			2,258	-		1,163	
C-08-25	Salmon Pond	Crown	172	7,911	234		1,507			2,462	-		1,005	
C-08-26	Loon Bay	Crown	221	2,028	-		201			303	-		193	
C-08-27	Birchy Bay	Crown	174	8,210	647		-			-	-		414	
C-08-28	South Pond	Crown	82	2,113	-		704			767	-		797	
C-08-29	Long Pond	Crown	20	1,017	-		-			-	-		-	
C-08-30	Fourth Pond	Crown	404	12,786	714		673			2,021	-		1,792	
C-08-31	Third Pond	Crown	88	3,294	221		-			18	-		595	
C-08-32	Baytona	Crown	140	7,955	65		162			307	-		992	
C-08-33	Jumpers Pond	Crown	154	4,973	552		1,934			3,538	43		18	
C-08-34	Burnt Lake	Crown	896	31,997	-		9,025			16,793	-		8,892	
C-08-35	Greenwood	Crown	87	4,539	-		-			-	-		880	
C-08-36	Bellmans Pond	Crown	250	20,111	-		-			-	-		1,563	
C-08-37	Clarks Head	Crown	196	4,086	1,460		-			63	-		1,342	
C-08-38	Rocky Pond	Crown	110	2,252	-		170			690	-		1,174	
C-08-39	Second Pond	Crown	359	19,880	-		1,269			2,587	-		1,060	
C-08-40	Stoneville	Crown	653	31,352	1,279		1,650			820	4		8,796	
C-08-41	Celies Cove	Crown	125	4,383	1,074		89			91	-		1,405	
C-08-42	Little Indian Pond	Crown	144	2,544	2,919		-			-	-		2,994	
C-08-43	Charle's Pond	Crown	401	8,905	1,677		6,205			-	23		5,390	
C-08-44	Snow's Pond	Crown	308	3,825	1,989		741			-	888		4,770	
Sub-Total				**530,485**	**112,288**		**57,771**			**69,230**	**14,750**		**170,531**	

The areas proposed are within the acceptable variance for planned harvesting since the 2011 Wood Supply Analysis is designed to ensure operable growing stock is maintained at a minimum of two times the AAC throughout the 160 year planning horizon. Simply put, under this analysis, there will always be at least twice as much merchantable timber available on the landbase than harvested in any one period. The actual total harvest volume for each Land class for the five year 2011 woodsupply period will not exceed the total allowable harvest. This means that at any given year, the proposed and actual harvest level may fluxuate from the actual AAC number, but the maximum allowable harvest over the five-year period will not be exceeded.

When determining the allocation of woodsupply areas to commercial operators, the following outlines the Forest Services Branch priority:

- First priority is given to damaged and diseased stands, where feasible. However, realistically in this zone, there is limited potential because only a small portion of the production forest currently shows evidence of insect or disease damage, which is interspersed.
- Second priority is to harvest merchantable, over mature stands. Most scheduled operating areas consist of a portion of stands in the 81 + year old age class.
- Third priority is to harvest merchantable mature stands.

Some of the operating areas listed in the previous table have been proposed specifically to target cleanup of small stands of scheduled AAC and are required to meet scheduled commercial allocations. The stands have mainly resulted from previous commercial harvesting and because of their small size (ie. ranging from 2 ha to 20 ha), proposed commercial operations will more closely approximate domestic harvesting. Due to the varied economic feasibility of harvesting individual stands, not all stands identified maybe able to be harvested.

Some of the proposed operating areas contain merchantable timber that is currently designated as Class III (ie. operationally constrained). Stands in this category are typically difficult to access and/or harvest from both physical and economic aspects. As a result, they have been removed from the landbase used to calculate the sustainable Class I AAC. The designation of these stands has been set for the period 2011 to 2015, after which time the landbase will be reviewed in preparation for the next wood supply analysis. It is the intent of the department that this designation of timber will also be harvested in a sustainable manner. Class III allocations represent approximately 20 % of the overall proposed Crown commercial harvest in the planning zone for the next five years.

8.2.2 Domestic

There are 85 Crown domestic areas identified in Planning Zone 3. The majority of these areas, located in FMD's 5 and 8, were historically created along the coastline encompassing the scattered communities. These areas were designed to provide a supply of fuelwood close to the communities. It is difficult to quantify the supply of domestic fuelwood available in each domestic area and the demand that will be required. Accurate inventory data are not always available for domestic cutting blocks due to the small size of individual harvests. Many of the identified areas contain remnants of commercially harvested forest, commercially uneconomical stands and scrub, as well as underutilized species (i.e. aspen, maple, and larch). In remote parts of the zone not covered by any operating areas, domestic permits may be issued to remote cabin owners for firewood to heat their cabins as requested. Table 8 details the domestic areas available in the planning zone. The distribution of all domestic areas in Planning Zone 3 is shown on a 1:250,000 scale map and on individual 1:50,000 scale maps in appendix 3.

Table 8 below indicate a summary of proposed Crown's domestic harvest areas in Planning Zone 3 from 2017-2021

District 4					Estimated Volume	
Number	Name	Tenure	Total Area (ha)	Number of Permits	Softwood	Hardwood
CC05536 deadwood only	Mile Ten	Crown	34852	30	deadwood only	deadwood only
		Sub-total				
		Grand-total	**34852**	**30**	**0**	**0**

District 5					Estimated Volume	
Number	Name	Tenure	Total Area (ha)	Number of Permits	Softwood	Hardwood
CC05501	Fogo West	Crown	5431	148	2042	0
CC05502	Fogo East	Crown	7179	60	828	0
CC05504	Frederickton	Crown	5980	193	2663	100
CC05505	Gander Bay	Crown	4170	84	1159	100
CC05506	Carmanville	Crown	4152	120	1656	100
CC05507	Trinity	Crown	6259	46	635	100
CC05508	Aspen Cove	Crown	1744	30	414	50
CC05509	Musgrave Harbour	Crown	6310	80	1104	50
CC05510	Shalloway Brook	Crown	4188	45	621	50
CC05511	Deadman's Bay	Crown	4256	95	1311	50
CC05512	Cape Freels	Crown	4404	15	207	20
CC05513	Pound Cove	Crown	2022	18	248	20
CC05514	Greenspond	Crown	6814	96	1325	100
CC05515	Ten Mile	Crown	29411	95	540	700
CC05516	Indian Bay	Crown	7868	63	869	100
CC05517	Lockers Bay	Crown	6593	102	1408	100
CC05518	Hare Bay	Crown	4503	97	1339	100
CC05519	Gambo	Crown	2866	52	718	100
CC05520	Square Pond	Crown	860	72	994	100
CC05521	Drover's Ridge	Crown	4043	68	938	100
CC05522	Glovertown	Crown	3757	103	1421	100
CC05523	Gull Pond	Crown	1676	12	166	10
CC05524	Terra Nova River	Crown	4071	50	690	50
CC05525	Traytown	Crown	1456	41	566	50
CC05526	NW Arm	Crown	583	8	110	20
CC05527	St.Chad's	Crown	2494	114	1573	50
CC05528	Eastport	Crown	3679	26	359	20
CC05529	St. Brendan's	Crown	4500	16	221	20
CC05531	Benton	Crown	1222	41	566	50
CC05532	Gander	Crown	299	11	152	20
CC05533	Weir's Pond	Crown	6957	6	83	50
CC05533a	Weir's Pond a	Crown	2077	105	1449	10
CC05534	Crown Ridge	Crown	234	6	83	0
CC05535	Cat Bay	Crown	1039	8	110	20
CC05537	Terra Nova	Crown	5418	25	345	10
CC05038	Gander River	Crown	469	25	350	10
CC05539	Boot Pond	Crown	22651	195	2691	10
CC05540	Indian Bay	Crown	5059	67	925	100
		Sub-total				
		Grand-total	**186694**	**2438**	**32878**	**2640**

District 6					Estimated Volume	
Number	Name	Tenure	Total Area (ha)	Number of Permits	Softwood	Hardwood
CC05541 Hw only	Crown Ridge	Crown	6776	9	0	124
CC05542 Hw only	Hunts Pond	Crown	16446	1	0	14
CC05543 Hw only	Caribou Lake	Crown	55600	5	0	69
		Sub-total				
		Grand-total	**78822**	**15**	**0**	**207.2**

Note: The domestic cutting areas were updated by excluding ponds and buffers from the Total Area (ha) description value in the above tables.

District 8					Estimated Volume	
Number	Name	Tenure	Total Area (ha)	No. Permits	Softwood	Hardwood
1	Change Islands	Crown	2,102	225	3,142	165
3	NWI	Crown	30,677	2,525	35,262	1,856
4	Chapel Island	Crown	10,957	275	3,638	404
5	Stoneville	Crown	3,855	625	6,891	2,297
6	Horwood	Crown	4,187	450	4,631	1,985
7	Boyd's Cove 1st Pond	Crown	5,187	250	2,756	919
8	Gander Bay	Crown	5,841	750	9,371	1,654
9	Burnt Lake	Crown	5,177	250	1,838	1,838
10	Lewisporte	Crown	2,670	550	2,021	6,064
11	Horsechops/Celies Cv.	Crown	5,445	625	6,891	2,297
12	Baytona	Crown	1,246	175	2,315	257
14	Birchy Bay Access Rd.	Crown	2,419	375	4,686	827
15	Loon Bay	Crown	971	225	2,811	496
17	Campbellton	Crown	2,090	450	4,961	1,654
18	Comfort Cove	Crown	1,348	125	1,654	184
19	Michael's Hbr.	Crown	2,061	250	2,756	919
20	Lewisporte Southside	Crown	2,984	325	3,583	1,194
21	Pit Road	Crown	663	175	2,444	129
22	Indian Arm Pond	Crown	2,033	425	5,310	937
23	Salmon Pond	Crown	4,592	100	1,103	368
24	Bellman's Pond	Crown	6,686	25	184	184
25	Little Burnt Bay/Lewisporte	Crown	3,433	450	5,623	992
26	Brown's Arm	Crown	2,444	650	5,733	3,822
27	Lawrenceton	Crown	4,592	225	2,646	662
28	Norris Arm North	Crown	3,029	250	2,389	1,286
31	Norris Arm South	Crown	677	275	3,234	809
34	TCH Norris Arm	Crown	934	525	5,402	2,315
36	Peterview	Crown	2,995	550	6,064	2,021
37	Botwood /Northern Arm	Crown	2,129	550	6,872	1,213
38	Phillips Head	Crown	1,773	400	4,410	1,470
39	Point of Bay	Crown	1,630	125	1,378	459
40	Thwart Island	Crown	2,952	25	368	-
43	Cotrell's Cove	Crown	8,561	475	5,935	1,047
43a	Ritter's Arm	Crown	1,555	50	588	147
44	Mill Pond	Crown	1,875	225	2,811	496
45	Paradise	Crown	2,912	125	1,378	459
47	Pt. Leamington	Crown	3,968	500	5,513	1,838
48	Leading Tickles	Crown	8,515	550	6,468	1,617
		Sub-total				
				15,125	**175,059**	**47,279**
		Sub-total				
		Grand-total				
					6	
FMD 6						
29	Burnt Bay Lake	Crown	312	50	294	441
30	Big Eel Lake	Crown	49	100	441	1029
41	Glenwood	Crown	291	125	1,746	92
42	Notre Dame Junction	Crown	772	75	662	441
		Sub-total				
				350	**3,142**	**3,492**

Harvest Class	**District 8**		**District 6**	
	Softwood (m3)	Hardwood (m3)	Softwood (m3)	Hardwood (m3)
Core Class 1	113,788	30,731	2,042	2,270
Operational	26,259	7,092	471	524
Non-AAC	35,012	9,456	629	698
Total	**175,059**	**47,279**	**3,142**	**3,492**

*General assumptions for the domestic harvest include:

- *79% softwood harvest and 21 % hardwood harvest*
- *65% of harvest from Core areas*
- *15% of harvets from Operational areas*
- *20% of harvest from Non AAC sources*

With the exception of the areas on Fogo Island, Crown domestic permits are issued for 23 m^3/permit/yr. Residents are permitted to choose two areas per permit. Typically there are approximately 3,000 and 2,000 domestic permits issued annually in FMD's 5 and 8 respectively. The estimated drain on timber supplies determined from analysis of domestic cutting returns at Lewisporte and Gambo is between 15-16 m^3/permit/yr. The total drain varies by year as a direct result of the variation in permits purchased annually.

Generally, traditional domestic areas near communities have been expanded into harvested commercial areas to provide residents access to additional fuelwood supplies. Over time, these expansions into commercial areas will have to be closed to prevent the illegal harvest of immature stands. This has already taken place in the Birchy Bay area, were much of area 14 has been closed to domestic harvesting in the past few years. Similarly, a large part of the traditional domestic area around Embree and Little Burnt Bay has also been closed to most domestic harvesting to prevent harvesting of immature stands. Given the present fuelwood demand, and growth rates of regenerating forest, it is anticipated that these problems will persist and expand to other domestic areas in the medium term. However, it is also anticipated that continuing the expansion process of domestic areas into recent commercially harvested areas will alleviate much of the supply concern.

The domestic areas in FMD 5 that stretch from Harebay to Lumsden for the most part consist of regenerating spruce and mixed hardwood from the 1961 burn. Due to the expanse of bog and wet land throughout this area, the majority of timber is not considered to be economically viable for commercial operation and is not part of the Class 1 landbase. The majority of stands in these areas having a potential to produce commercial volumes have been removed from the domestic areas. Some of these stands have been precomercially thinned while others are proposed for this treatment in this plan. As this is the only source of timber available in relatively close proximity to the affected communities and the timber is not being relied upon for commercial sustainability, DNR permits the residents to utilize this younger timber.

The most distinct areas geographically are the island communities of Change Islands in FMD 8 and the communities on Fogo and Cotrell's Islands in FMD 5. The residents of Change Islands have a small demand for fuelwood, only 30 - 40 permits issued per year. The situation is similar for Cotrell's Island. Both these Islands have a good supply of regenerating softwood forest to meet the fuelwood demand. This timber is alienated from the Class 1 landbase and is therefore not part of the sustainable supply for the district. Fogo Island on the other hand has a shortage of timber for fuelwood and domestic lumber. With a much higher population, the residents of Fogo Island place a much higher demand on the local timber supplies. As a result the domestic areas of Fogo Island have a reduced permit volume of 20 m^3/permit (i.e. 20% less than the other domestic areas in the planning zone). To compensate for the shortfall DNR permits the residents of Fogo Island to gain access to domestic timber in either one of the other domestic areas. Domestic areas encompassing some of the islands in Notre Dame and Bonavista Bays provide a source of fuelwood for both cottage owners on the islands as well as some surrounding communities. In the past residents used long liners to transport fuelwood from the islands to their residence. Today some of the fuelwood harvested off the islands in Notre Dame Bay is transported over ice by snow machine.

In FMD's 5 & 6 and on CBPPL limits around Gander and Glenwood, a hardwood (mainly birch) fuelwood supply is in close proximity. For Terra Nova, DNR has created domestic areas on transferred limits in FMD 4 in close proximity to the town. In other communities including Norris Arm, Lewisporte, Benton, Gambo and communities in the Gander Bay, domestic areas are also available.

8.3 Silviculture

The individual silviculture treatments areas proposed for the next five years by the Crown within the planning zone are a combination of past harvesting areas and future harvest areas. The highlighted areas in the table 9, represent potential silvicultural activity in the future harvest areas, where the maps can be viewed in the proposed harvest section (appendix 1). An overview of proposed silviculture treatments within the zone for the upcoming planning period can be viewed in Appendix 2

Table 9 - Summary of Crown's Proposed Silviculture Treatments in Planning

FMD 4

Treatment	Operating Area		Tennure	Area Proposed (ha)
	Number	Name		
Precommercial Thinning				
Sub-Total				
Planting	CC04001a	Fleighers	Crown	50
	CC04001b	Fleighers	Crown	90
	CC04001c	Fleighers	Crown	35
	CC04001d	Fleighers	Crown	230
	CC04002a	Camp Ten	Crown	60
	CC04002b	Camp Ten	Crown	45
	CC04004a	Triton South	Crown	260
	CC04004b	Triton South	Crown	60
	CC04005	Dennis Brook	Crown	140
	CC04008b	Deadwolf	Crown	65
	CC04009b	Rocky Brook	Crown	85
Sub-Total				**1120**
Scarification	CC04001a	Fleighers	Crown	50
	CC04001b	Fleighers	Crown	90
	CC04001c	Fleighers	Crown	35
	CC04001d	Fleighers	Crown	230
	CC04002a	Camp 10	Crown	60
	CC04002b	Camp 10	Crown	45
	CC04004a	Triton South	Crown	260
	CC04004b	Triton South	Crown	60
	CC04005	Dennis Brook	Crown	140
	CC04008a	Deadwolf	Crown	65
	CC04009b	Rocky Brook	Crown	85
Sub-Total				**1120**
Other				
Sub-Total				
Grand-Total				**2240**

FMD 5

Treatment	Operating Area		Tennure	Area Proposed (ha)
	Number	Name		
Precommercial Thinning				
Sub-Total				
Planting	CC05001	Harvey Pond	Crown	120
	CC05002	Island Pond West	Crown	100
	CC05003	Island Pond East	Crown	50
	CC05006a	Gambo Hill	Crown	45
	CC05006b	Gambo Hill	Crown	55
	CC05007	Lower Dark Cove	Crown	195
	CC05008a	Content East	Crown	295
	CC05008b	Content East	Crown	55
	CC05009a	Maccles Lake North	Crown	180
	CC05009b	Maccles Lake North	Crown	70
	CC05012	Chain Pond West	Crown	150
	CC05014	Pussel's Pond	Crown	25
	CC05015	Home Pond	Crown	275
	CC05016	Traverse Brook	Crown	100
	CC05020	Fourth pond	Crown	120
	CC05021	Weir's pond	Crown	80
Sub-Total				**1915**
Scarification	CC05001	Harvey Pond	Crown	120
	CC05002	Island Pond West	Crown	100
	CC05003	Island Pond East	Crown	50
	CC05006a	Gambo Hill	Crown	45
	CC05006b	Gambo Hill	Crown	55
	CC05007	Lower Dark Cove	Crown	195
	CC05008a	Content East	Crown	295
	CC05008b	Content East	Crown	55
	CC05009a	Maccles Lake North	Crown	180
	CC05009b	Maccles Lake North	Crown	70
	CC05012	Chain Pond West	Crown	150
	CC05014	Pussel's Pond	Crown	25
	CC05015	Home Pond	Crown	275
	CC05016	Traverse Brook	Crown	100
	CC05020	Fourth pond	Crown	120
	CC05021	Weir's pond	Crown	80
Sub-Total				**1915**
Other				
Sub-Total				
Grand-Total				**3830**

FMD 6 (Gambo)

Treatment	Operating Area		Tennure	Area Proposed (ha)
	Number	Name		
Precommercial Thinning				
Sub-Total				
Planting	CC06018b	Rodney Pond	Crown	220
	CC06019a	Skin Bridge	Crown	110
	CC06019b	Skin Bridge	Crown	150
	CC06009	Camp Ten West	Crown	125
	CC06011a	Little Deadwolf	Crown	60
	CC06011b	Little Deadwolf	Crown	280
	CC06017a	The Narrows	Crown	200
	CC06017b	The Narrows	Crown	40
	CC06017c	The Narrows	Crown	60
Sub-Total				**1245**
Scarification	CC06018b	Rodney Pond	Crown	220
	CC06019a	Skin Bridge	Crown	110
	CC06019b	Skin Bridge	Crown	150
	CC06009	Camp Ten West	Crown	125
	CC06011a	Little Deadwolf	Crown	60
	CC06011b	Little Deadwolf	Crown	280
	CC06017a	The Narrows	Crown	200
	CC06017b	The Narrows	Crown	40
	CC06017c	The Narrows	Crown	60
Sub-Total				**1245**
Other				
Sub-Total				
Grand-Total				**2490**

FMD 6 (Lewisporte)

Treatment	Operating Area		Tennure	Area Proposed (ha)
	Number	Name		
Precommercial Thinning				
Sub-Total				**0**
Planting	C-06-02	Burnt Bay Lake	C	175
	C-06-04	Conway Lake	C	60
	C-06-05	Sylt Lake	C	100
	C-06-07	Sammy Martins Pond	C	75
Sub-Total				**410**
Scarification	C-06-02	Burnt Bay Lake	C	160
	C-06-04	Conway Lake	C	55
	C-06-05	Sylt Lake	C	95
	C-06-07	Sammy Martins Pond	C	65
Sub-Total				**375**
Other				
Sub-Total				**0**
Grand-Total				**785**

FMD 8

Treatment	Operating Area		Tennure	Area Proposed (ha)
	Number	Name		
Precommercial Thinning				
Sub-Total				**0**
Planting	CS-08-01	Snake Lake	C	350
	CS-08-02	Southern Lake	C	125
	CS-08-03	Osmonton Arm	C	150
	CS-08-04	West Arm	C	30
	CS-08-05	Big Lake	C	90
	CS-08-06	Diver Pond	C	300
	CS-08-07	Pine Pond	C	50
	CS-08-08	Wilf Keats Rd.	C	170
	CS-08-09a	Otter Pond	C	80
	CS-08-10a	Shipbuilders Pond	C	40
	CS-08-10b	Shipbuilders Pond	C	125
	CS-08-11	Brinks Pond	C	100
	CS-08-12	Salmon Pond	C	100
	CS-08-13	Fourth Pond	C	200
	CS-08-14	South Pond	C	25
	CS-08-15a	Bellmans Pond	C	75
	CS-08-15b	Bellmans Pond	C	50
	CS-08-15c	Bellmans Pond	C	20
	CS-08-16	Second Pond	C	200
	CS-08-17a	Burnt Lake	C	450
	CS-08-18	Celies Cove	C	50
	CS-08-19a	Little Indian Pond	C	30
	CS-08-20a	Stoneville	C	150
Sub-Total				**2,960**
Scarification	CS-08-01	Snake Lake	C	225
	CS-08-02	Southern Lake	C	100
	CS-08-03	Osmonton Arm	C	100
	CS-08-04	West Arm	C	30
	CS-08-05	Big Lake	C	90
	CS-08-06	Diver Pond	C	275
	CS-08-08	Wilf Keats Rd.	C	160
	CS-08-09a	Otter Pond	C	80
	CS-08-10a	Shipbuilders Pond	C	40
	CS-08-10b	Shipbuilders Pond	C	100
	CS-08-11	Brinks Pond	C	95
	CS-08-12	Salmon Pond	C	100
	CS-08-13	Fourth Pond	C	190
	CS-08-14	South Pond	C	25
	CS-08-15a	Bellmans Pond	C	75
	CS-08-15b	Bellmans Pond	C	40
	CS-08-16	Second Pond	C	195
	CS-08-17a	Burnt Lake	C	425
	CS-08-18	Celies Cove	C	50
	CS-08-19a	Little Indian Pond	C	25
	CS-08-20a	Stoneville	C	140
Sub-Total				**2,560**
Other	CS-08-02b	Southern Lake	C	75
	CS-08-09b	Otter Pond	C	50
	CS-08-21	Ten Mile Lake	C	50
	CS-08-17b	Burnt Lake	C	50
	CS-08-19b	Little Indian Pond	C	25
	CS-08-20b	Stoneville	C	25
	CS-08-22	Lawrence Harbour	C	50
Sub-Total				**325**
Grand-Total				**5,845**

The silviculture treatments proposed for the period 2017 -2021 in Planning Zone 3 by the Crown are outlined on a 1:250,000 scale overview map, and detailed and on individual 1:50,000 scale topographic maps in Appendix 2. In order to minimize impacts on the long-term timber supplies and ecosystem processes, a steady reforestation program will be conducted with the objective to plant all medium, or higher classed sites that are not regenerating to a satisfactory stocking level. Areas scheduled for planting have been harvested in the past five years or will be during this five year period. These areas will undergo reconnaissance and or intensive regeneration surveys to determine the need for planting. Reforestation of current cutovers through scarification and planting will be priority of silvicultural area treatment during this planning period. It is anticipated most of the scheduled planting will require site preparation in the form of row scarification. All proposed harvest areas in this upcoming planning period (2017-2021) will be considered for Silvicutlure treatments when they actually become harvested.

Additionally, there are areas NSR occupying productive sites in the zone, resulting from past wildfire disturbance and in some cases past harvesting practices. These sites need to be converted to a more vigorous, useful state by re-establishing forest cover. Reclamation of backlog, non-sufficiently restocked sites (NSR) through planting will: (1) result in an increase in the production forest landbase; (2) account for future losses to the landbase from permanent disturbances; and (3) result in the production of successional habitat that will aid in the maintenance of landscape connectivity for wildlife.

No density management treatments are proposed for hardwood dominated immature stands. Silviculture treatments designed to promote management of the District's red and white pine components at both the landscape and stand levels will be conducted during this period to achieve the ecosystem management initiatives.

8.4 Primary Access Roads and Bridges

Proposed access road construction by the Crown for the next five years in Planning Zone 3 is detailed in the tables below and outlined on an overview map and on individual 1:50,000 scale topographic maps in Appendix 1.

A total of 452.6km of roadwork is planned for construction during this period, comprising of Primary, Secondary and Reconstruction. As well, there are an estimated 119 culverts and 35 bridges that will be installed.

It is proposed that primary roads in the zone will be constructed by the Agency under tendered contract. These roads are the main trunks into operating areas. It is anticipated that most secondary roads in the zone will be built by Crown commercial operators. This breakdown, however, is dependant on funding and is therefore subject to change.

Associated with the proposed road construction are water crossings which will require the installation of appropriate sized culverts or bridges. The size and design features of each crossing will be determined through field work prior to construction of the associated road system, and is subject to all provincial and federal legislation / guidelines.

The majority of the road construction will be conducted to facilitate access into mature and overmature stands for the primary purpose of accommodating commercial harvesting operations. As well, these forestry roads will be used to provide access for silviculture operations. Other uses of forest access roads include: domestic cutting and recreation (ie. hunting, fishing, cottage access and berry picking). Consideration for the variety of tourism/recreation values that exist within the boundary of Planning Zone 3, road-specific decommissioning is to be considered on an area specific basis should a conflict of values exist.

Decommissioning of specific roads to protect other ecosystem values can take the form of removing bridges and culverts, in addition to replacing excavated material from adjacent embankments back into the roadway to restore the areas as close as possible to their natural state. The degree of decommissioning will ultimately depend on the value being protected. The scheduling of road decommissioning is undertaken upon the

completion of harvesting and silviculture activities within identified areas of concern. While the Forest Services Branch can adopt this approach as a goal of the plan, the implementation of this strategy will be entirely dependant upon the ability to prevent the establishment of permanent structures such as cottages along the road routes proposed for decommissioning.

While the Agency can commit to refusing approval of cottage sites in areas identified through the planning process to be decommissioned, the actual authority rests with the Crown Lands Division of the Department of Government Services and Lands. During this upcoming planning period district staff will continue to liaison with Crown Lands Division in identifying operational roads requiring decommissioning (see table 10 and section 8 for roads to be decommissioned).

Table 10. Summary of the Crown's Proposed Resource Road Activity in Planning Zone 3 from 2017-2021

District 4		Construction/ Reconstruction	Length (km)	Water Crossings	
Name	Number			Culvert	Bridge
Fleighers	CC04001a	Construction	2		3
Fleighers	CC04001b	Construction	5	2	
Fleighers	CC04001c	Construction	2.6	1	1
Triton South	CC04004a	Cosntruction	1		
Dennis Brook	CC04005	Construction	4		
Dennis Brook	CC04005	Reconstruction	1	1	
Southwest Pond	CC04006a	Construction	1		
Southwest Pond	CC04006a	Reconstruction	13.9	1	
Southwest Pond	CC04006b	Construction	6.1	3	1
Southwest Pond	CC04006b	Reconstruction	14.3	1	1
Southwest Pond	CC04006c	Construction	15.8	4	1
Dead Wolf	CC04008a	Construction	1		
Rocky Brook	C04009	Construction	2.2		
Rocky Brook	C04009a	Construction	1.5		
Mason's Pond	C04010	Construction	2.7		
		Total	**74.1**	**13**	**7**

District 5		Construction/ Reconstruction/ Decommission	Length (km)	Water Crossings	
Name	Number			Culvert	Bridge
Harvey Pond	CC05001	Construction	0.6		
Island Pond West	CC05002a	Construction	1.8		
Island Pond East	CC05003a	Construction	0.8		
Boot Pond	CC05004a	Construction	1		
Boot Pond	CC05004b	Construction	1.4		
Boot Pond	CC05004b	Reconstruction	1.4		
Boot Pond	CC05004c	Construction	2.5		1
Maccles Lake South	CC05005a	Construction	3.8	2	
Maccles Lake South	CC05005b	Construction	8	3	
Lower Dark Cove	CC05007	Reconstruction	1.1		
Lower Dark Cove	CC05007	Construction	7.6	2	
Content East	CC05008a	Construction	1.4	1	
Maccles Lake North	CC05009	Construction	6.1	1	
Chain Pond East	CC05011	Construction & Decommission	5.8		
Chain Pond West	CC05012a	Construction	9.1	3	3
RockyPond	CC05013a	Construction	10.5	5	1
		Total	**62.9**	**17**	**5**

District 6		Construction/ Reconstruction/ Decommission	Length (km)	Water Crossings	
Name	Number			Culvert	Bridge
Rodney Pond	CC06006a	Construction	3.8	2	
Rodney Pond	CC06018b	Decommission	11		
Camp 10 West	CC06009	Construction	1		
Bog Camp Brook	CC06010	Construction	12.5	6	
Hunts Pond	CC06012	Construction	11.2	1	
Gillinghams Ponds	CC06015	Construction	15		1
Hunts Pond West	CC06016	Construction	9.6		2
The Narrows	CC06017a	Construction	1.2		
		Sub-total	**65.3**	**9**	**3**

District 6		Construction			Water Crossings	
Name	Number	Primary (km)	Secondary (km)	Recon (km)	Culvert	Bridge
Grant's Lake	C-06-01	2.5	3.0	2.4	2	2
Burnt Bay Lake	C-06-02	0.0	0.5	1.5	0	0
Bear Lake	C-06-03	0.0	3.0	7.0	6	0
Conway Lake	C-06-04	0.0	0.0	0.2	0	0
Sylt Lake	C-06-05	0.8	2.4	0.0	1	0
Upper Salmon Brook	C-06-06	0.0	9.4	22.8	16	5
Sam Martins Pond	C-06-07	0.0	2.3	0.9	0	0
District 6 Total		**3.3**	**20.6**	**34.8**	**25**	**7**

District 8		Construction			Water Crossings	
Name	Number	Primary (km)	Secondary (km)	Recon (km)	Culvert	Bridge
Budgell's Pond	C-08-01	5.3	2.6	0.0	5	0
Snake Lake	C-08-02	5.3	8.3	0.0	9	1
Southern Lake	C-08-03	0.0	2.0	0.0	4	2
Osmonton Arm	C-08-04	8.0	8.7	0.0	2	1
Askel Lake	C-08-05	2.4	3.7	0.0	4	1
West Arm	C-08-06	0.0	0.9	0.0	1	0
Big Lake	C-08-07	1.0	3.4	0.0	3	0
Bulleys Cove	C-08-08	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	0
Diver Pond	C-08-09	10.7	6.1	2.8	4	0
Winter Tickle	C-08-10	8.3	10.8	0.5	3	1
North Harbour	C-08-11	1.2	1.3	0.0	0	1
Pine Pond	C-08-12	2.4	6.4	0.0	7	1
Rowsells Lake	C-08-13	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	0
Exploits River	C-08-14	0.5	2.3	0.0	0	0
Wilf Keats Road	C-08-15	0.0	7.1	0.0	2	0
Norris Arm North	C-08-16	2.3	3.2	1.8	1	1
Otter Pond	C-08-17	0.0	5.4	0.0	0	0
Norris Arm South	C-08-18	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	0
Munroes Pond	C-08-19	1.7	1.4	0.0	5	0
Rod and Gun club Rd	C-08-20	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	0
Campbellton River	C-08-21	0.0	3.7	0.0	0	1
Dans Pond	C-08-22	0.0	5.5	0.0	1	0
Shipbuilder's Pond	C-08-23	3.5	3.7	0.0	1	1
Brinks Pond	C-08-24	0.0	7.0	1.6	2	0
Salmon Pond	C-08-25	1.8	3.2	0.8	0	0
Loon Bay	C-08-26	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	0
Birchy Bay	C-08-27	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	0
South Pond	C-08-28	0.0	2.5	0.8	1	0
Long Pond	C-08-29	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	0
Fourth Pond	C-08-30	0.0	3.1	1.2	0	0
Third Pond	C-08-31	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	0
Baytona	C-08-32	2.6	1.2	0.0	0	0
Jumpers Pond	C-08-33	0.0	2.6	6.5	0	0
Burnt Lake	C-08-34	0.0	0.9	0.0	0	0
Greenwood	C-08-35	0.0	2.0	0.0	0	0
Bellmans Pond	C-08-36	0.0	2.2	0.0	0	0
Clarkes Head	C-08-37	0.0	0.9	0.0	0	0
Rocky Pond	C-08-38	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	0
Second Pond	C-08-39	0.0	4.7	0.0	0	0
Stoneville	C-08-40	0.0	3.3	0.0	0	0
Celies Cove	C-08-41	0.0	0.7	0.0	0	0
Little Indian Pond	C-08-42	0.0	0.9	0.0	0	0
Charle's Pond	C-08-43	3.9	2.5	0.0	0	2
Snow's Pond	C-08-44	0.0	0.9	0.6	0	0
District 8 Total		**60.9**	**125.1**	**16.6**	**55**	**13**

8.5 Activities in Protected Water Supply Areas

In total there are 26 protected public watersupply areas in Planning Zone 3 where some forestry activity is planned for the period 2017-2021. Identified in the tables below, the proposed forestry activity includes: Commercial and Domestic Harvesting, Roadbuilding and Silviculture.

Approval to operate in these areas over the next five years will be requested as required from the Water Resources Division of the Department of Environment and Labour and the appropriate municipalities. The terms and conditions of approval will be applied to all Crown permits and contracts and strictly enforced by district staff. In wet areas with a greater potential for site degradation and erosion, commercial operators in the districts will be directed, where possible, to employ winter harvesting and road building. This will be less intrusive to the sites concerned and minimize impacts. DNR staff will work with commercial operators, ensuring only the minimum amount required road is built.

In addition to commercial operations, certificates of approval are required for domestic cutters to harvest within protected public water supply areas. Approval to operate in these areas will be requested every five years from the Water Resources Division of the Department of Environment and Labour and the appropriate municipalities. The corresponding conditions for cutting within each respective protected public water supply area are printed on the back of the map attached to each domestic permit.

Table 11 Summary of Crown's proposed forestry activity in the public protected water supply areas of Planning Zone 3 from 2017 to 2021

FMD Office	Proposed Activity	Area	Public Protected Water Supply Area	Community
Gambo	Commercial Harvest	CC05001	Indian Bay Brook	Indian Bay
	Road Construction	CC05001	Indian Bay Brook	Indian Bay
	Silviculture	CC05001	Indian Bay Brook	Indian Bay
	Commercial Harvest	CC05004b	Gander Lake	Gander/Appleton/Glenwood
	Road Construction	CC05004b	Gander Lake	Gander/Appleton/Glenwood
	Silviculture	CC05004b	Gander Lake	Gander/Appleton/Glenwood
	Commercial Harvest	CC05004c	Gander Lake	Gander/Appleton/Glenwood
	Road Construction	CC05004c	Gander Lake	Gander/Appleton/Glenwood
	Silviculture	CC05004c	Gander Lake	Gander/Appleton/Glenwood
	Commercial Harvest	CC05014	Gander Lake	Gander/Appleton/Glenwood
	Silviculture	CC05014	Gander Lake	Gander/Appleton/Glenwood
	Silviculture	CC05016	Indian Bay Brook	Indian Bay
	Silviculture	CC05020	Barry's Brook	Gander Bay South
	Silviculture	CC05021	Indian Bay Brook	Indian Bay
	Domestic Cutting	CC05502	Bullock Cove Pond	Fogo Island
	Domestic Cutting	CC05503	Sandy Cove Pond	Fogo Island
	Domestic Cutting	CC05504	Saltwater Pond Broo	Main Point / Davidsville
	Domestic Cutting	CC05505	Barry's Brook	Gander Bay South
	Domestic Cutting	CC05505	Saltwater Pond Broo	Main Point / Davidsville
	Domestic Cutting	CC05506	Saltwater Pond Broo	Main Point / Davidsville
	Domestic Cutting	CC05507	Northwest Pond	Centerville / Wareham / Trinity
	Domestic Cutting	CC05509	Rocky Pond	Musgrave Harbour
	Domestic Cutting	CC05510	Deadman's Pond	Deadman's Bay
	Domestic Cutting	CC05515	Deadman's Pond	Deadman's Bay
	Domestic Cutting	CC05515	Indian Bay Brook	Indian Bay
	Domestic Cutting	CC05518	Harebay Pond	Hare Bay / Dover
	Domestic Cutting	CC05520	Dark Cove Pond	Gambo
	Domestic Cutting	CC05531	Gander Lake	Gander/Appleton/Glenwood
	Domestic Cutting	CC05533	Barry's Brook	Gander Bay South
	Domestic Cutting	CC05533a	Barry's Brook	Gander Bay South
	Domestic Cutting	CC05534	Gander Lake	Gander/Appleton/Glenwood
	Domestic Cutting	CC05539	Indian Bay Brook	Indian Bay
	Domestic Cutting	CC05539	Gander Lake	Gander/Appleton/Glenwood
	Domestic Cutting	CC05540	Indian Bay Brook	Indian Bay
	Domestic Cutting	CC05540	Northwest Pond	Centerville / Wareham / Trinity
	Commercial Harvest	CC06009	Gander Lake	Gander/Appleton/Glenwood
	Road Construction	CC06009	Gander Lake	Gander/Appleton/Glenwood
	Silviculture	CC06009	Gander Lake	Gander/Appleton/Glenwood
	Commercial Harvest	CC06010	Gander Lake	Gander/Appleton/Glenwood
	Silviculture	CC06010	Gander Lake	Gander/Appleton/Glenwood
	Road Construction	CC06010	Gander Lake	Gander/Appleton/Glenwood
	Commercial Harvest	CC06011a	Gander Lake	Gander/Appleton/Glenwood
	Silviculture	CC06011a	Gander Lake	Gander/Appleton/Glenwood
	Silviculture	CC06011b	Gander Lake	Gander/Appleton/Glenwood
	Commercial Harvest	CC06012	Gander Lake	Gander/Appleton/Glenwood
	Silviculture	CC06012	Gander Lake	Gander/Appleton/Glenwood
	Road Construction	CC06012	Gander Lake	Gander/Appleton/Glenwood
	Commercial Harvest	CC06015	Gander Lake	Gander/Appleton/Glenwood
	Road Construction	CC06015	Gander Lake	Gander/Appleton/Glenwood
	Commercial Harvest	CC06016	Gander Lake	Gander/Appleton/Glenwood
	Road Construction	CC06016	Gander Lake	Gander/Appleton/Glenwood
	Commercial Harvest	CC06017a	Gander Lake	Gander/Appleton/Glenwood
	Silviculture	CC06017a	Gander Lake	Gander/Appleton/Glenwood
	Silviculture	CC06017b	Gander Lake	Gander/Appleton/Glenwood
	Silviculture	CC06017c	Gander Lake	Gander/Appleton/Glenwood
	Commercial Harvest	CC06019a	Gander Lake	Gander/Appleton/Glenwood
	Silviculture	CC06019a	Gander Lake	Gander/Appleton/Glenwood
	Silviculture	CC06019b	Gander Lake	Gander/Appleton/Glenwood
	Silviculture	CC06019c	Gander Lake	Gander/Appleton/Glenwood
	Commercial Harvest	CC06019c	Gander Lake	Gander/Appleton/Glenwood
	Domestic Cutting	CC06541	Gander Lake	Gander/Appleton/Glenwood
	Domestic Cutting	CC06542	Gander Lake	Gander/Appleton/Glenwood
	Domestic Cutting	CC06543	Gander Lake	Gander/Appleton/Glenwood

FMD office	Proposed Activity	Area	Public Protected Water Supply Area	Community
	Domestic harvest	5	Dog Bay Pond	Stoneville
	Domestic harvest	7	Dog Bay Pond	Stoneville
	Domestic harvest	8	Dog Bay Pond	Stoneville
	Domestic harvest	9	Dog Bay Pond	Stoneville
	Domestic harvest	10	Stanhope Pond	Lewisporte
	Domestic harvest	11	Dog Bay Pond	Stoneville
	Domestic harvest	14	Dog Bay Pond	Stoneville
	Domestic harvest	11	Jumpers Brook	Birchy Bay
	Domestic harvest	14	Jumpers Brook	Birchy Bay
	Domestic harvest	15	Southeast Pond	Loon Bay
	Domestic harvest	17	Indian Arm Brook	Campbellton
	Domestic harvest	19	Indian Arm Brook	Campbellton
	Domestic harvest	20	Indian Arm Brook	Campbellton
	Domestic harvest	22	Indian Arm Brook	Campbellton
	Domestic harvest	23	Dog Bay Pond	Stoneville
	Domestic harvest	24	Dog Bay Pond	Stoneville
	Domestic harvest	37	Indian Cove Pond	Point of Bay
	Domestic harvest	38	Indian Cove Pond	Point of Bay
	Domestic harvest	39	Indian Cove Pond	Point of Bay
	Domestic harvest	41	Gander Lake	Gander, Appleton , Glenwood
	Domestic harvest	42	Indian Arm Brook	Campbellton
	Domestic harvest	47	Little Pond	Point Leamington
	Commercial harvest	CC-08-007	Little Pond	Point Leamington
	Commercial harvest	CC-08-013	Indian Cove Pond	Point of Bay
	Commercial harvest	CC-06-003	Indian Arm Brook	Campbellton
	Commercial harvest	CC-06-004	Indian Arm Brook	Campbellton
	Commercial harvest	CC-06-005	Indian Arm Brook	Campbellton
	Commercial harvest	CC-06-006	Indian Arm Brook	Campbellton
	Commercial harvest	CC-08-019	Indian Arm Brook	Campbellton
	Commercial harvest	CC-08-020	Indian Arm Brook	Campbellton
	Commercial harvest	CC-08-021	Indian Arm Brook	Campbellton
	Commercial harvest	CC-08-022	Indian Arm Brook	Campbellton
	Commercial harvest	CC-08-024	Indian Arm Brook	Campbellton
	Commercial harvest	CC-08-024	Southeast Pond	Loon Bay
	Commercial harvest	CC-08-026	Southeast Pond	Loon Bay
	Commercial harvest	CC-08-027	Southeast Pond	Loon Bay
	Commercial harvest	CC-08-027	Jumper's Pond	Birchy Bay
	Commercial harvest	CC-08-033	Jumper's Pond	Birchy Bay
	Commercial harvest	CC-08-027	Dog Bay Pond	Stoneville
	Commercial harvest	CC-08-028	Dog Bay Pond	Stoneville
	Commercial harvest	CC-08-029	Dog Bay Pond	Stoneville
	Commercial harvest	CC-08-030	Dog Bay Pond	Stoneville
Lewisporte	Commercial harvest	CC-08-034	Dog Bay Pond	Stoneville
	Commercial harvest	CC-08-035	Dog Bay Pond	Stoneville
	Commercial harvest	CC-08-036	Dog Bay Pond	Stoneville
	Commercial harvest	CC-08-041	Dog Bay Pond	Stoneville
	Commercial harvest	CC-06-007	Gander Lake	Gander, Appleton , Glenwood
	Silviculture	CS-08-005	Little Pond	Point Leamington
	Silviculture	CS-06-002	Indian Arm Brook	Campbellton
	Silviculture	CS-06-003	Indian Arm Brook	Campbellton
	Silviculture	CS-08-011	Indian Arm Brook	Campbellton
	Silviculture	CS-08-010b	Southeast Pond	Loon Bay
	Silviculture	CS-08-011	Southeast Pond	Loon Bay
	Silviculture	CS-08-013	Dog Bay Pond	Stoneville
	Silviculture	CS-08-014	Dog Bay Pond	Stoneville
	Silviculture	CS-08-015a	Dog Bay Pond	Stoneville
	Silviculture	CS-08-017a	Dog Bay Pond	Stoneville
	Silviculture	CS-08-017b	Dog Bay Pond	Stoneville
	Silviculture	CS-08-018	Dog Bay Pond	Stoneville
	Silviculture	CS-08-021	Dog Bay Pond	Stoneville
	Silviculture	CS-06-004	Gander Lake	Gander, Appleton , Glenwood
	Secondary Road	CC-08-007	Little Pond	Point Leamington
	2 stream crossings			
	Secondary Road	CC-06-003	Indian Arm Brook	Campbellton
	Secondary Road	CC-06-004	Indian Arm Brook	Campbellton
	Primary Road	CC-006-005	Indian Arm Brook	Campbellton
	Secondary Road			
	1 stream crossing			
	Secondary Road	CC-06-006	Indian Arm Brook	Campbellton
	5 stream crossings			
	Primary Road	CC-08-019	Indian Arm Brook	Campbellton
	Secondary Road			
	5 stream crossings			
	Secondary Road	CC-08-021	Indian Arm Brook	Campbellton
	1 stream crossing			
	Secondary Road	CC-08-022	Indian Arm Brook	Campbellton
	1 stream crossing			
	Secondary Road	CC-08-024	Indian Arm Brook	Campbellton
	2 stream crossing			
	Secondary Road	CC-08-024	Southeast Pond	Loon Bay
	Secondary Road	CC-08-033	Jumpers Brook	Birchy Bay
	Secondary Road	CC-08-028	Dog Bay Pond	Stoneville
	Secondary Road	CC-08-030	Dog Bay Pond	Stoneville
	Secondary Road	CC-08-034	Dog Bay Pond	Stoneville
	Secondary Road	CC-08-041	Dog Bay Pond	Stoneville
	Secondary Road	CC-06-007	Gander Lake	Gander, Appleton , Glenwood

8.6 Environmental Protection

8.6.1 Fire

Wildfire has not been prevalent in the district in the past number of years and as a result there have been few timber losses. Despite this fact the district must remain vigilant in its fire suppression program to ensure any future losses are minimized. There are fire crews and equipment stationed at Lewisporte and Gambo District offices in the fire season whose direct responsibility is fire protection. In addition, support, equipment and manpower at both the regional level in Gander and provincial level in Corner Brook is available should the need arise. Gander houses the bank of provincial fire equipment and as well is the base for 2 air tankers and a helicopter with a crew of fire fighters for initial attack.

8.6.2 Insect and Disease

Monitoring and protection for insects and disease is the responsibility of the forest protection division in Corner Brook. District staff is always available to provide assistance in detection, monitoring, and protection against insects and disease. As well, district staff can be involved in conducting reconnaissance surveys to monitor the extent and rate of spread of infestations.

8.6.3 General Environment

The environmental protection guidelines form the basis for protecting the environment from potential negative effects of forest activities. Such negative impacts could include: impairing water quality, soil erosion and compaction, losses to fish and wildlife habitat, impact viewscape, and disturb sensitive and rare sites etc. The guidelines are designed to provide site specific measures to minimize or eliminate these negative impacts. Highlights of measures to avoid these impacts include: machine free buffer zones, modification of harvesting design and equipment, avoidance of sensitive sites during critical periods, consultation with other regulatory agencies and of course, monitoring. Specific measures that govern each forestry activity are detailed in appendix 2.

8.6.4 Surveys

Reconnaissance and intensive regeneration surveys will be conducted on commercial cutovers created during the next five years, as well as those created in the past five years,

to determine the requirements for manual reforestation activity. As well, reconnaissance surveys for balsam woolly adelgid will be undertaken to determine suitable areas for conducting silvicultural treatments.

As well, utilization surveys have been conducted in the past on both commercial and domestic cutovers to insure losses of merchantable timber are minimized. District staff will work with Headquarters Staff to continue with this program and determine effective methods of realizing actual versus inventory yields.

8.6.5 Information and Education

Where possible, district staff will continue to educate the general public on forest management activity. This may be accomplished through planning team fieldtrips and meetings, school presentations, open houses, meetings and National Forest Week activities.

Section 9 Plan Administration

9.1 Monitoring

Monitoring of planned activities is critical to ensure objectives and operations are carried out in a manner consistent with various guidelines and provincial and federal legislation. Monitoring occurs at the operational level and the planning level.

All harvesting activity is regulated using a permitting system and all activities are inspected and monitored on the ground by conservation officers to ensure compliance with the Forestry Act and regulations, cutting permit conditions, and Environmental Protection Guidelines. Permit holders and contractors are also subject to financial deductions if work does not meet contract specifications. Conservation officers conduct inspections on a weekly or monthly basis depending on the level of activity. These inspections may entail surveys such as utilization assessment to ensure compliance with permit conditions.

9.2 Amendments

Due to the dynamic nature of forest activities, amendments are often required because of changes in the forest, operational realities, imposition of addition requirements or guidelines, or some other unforeseen circumstance. These changes to the five year operating plan must be submitted as amendments and approved before they are implemented. There are two types of possible amendments for this plan, one that can be approved internally by the Forest Services Branch and the other must be submitted to the Environmental Assessment Division for public review. Changes to this plan can be approved by the Forest Services Branch if they are:

- within one kilometer of an operating area described in the five year operating plan, an additional area for timber harvesting that is, in total, not more than 50 hectares in each year of the plan
- within a forest management district, an additional area(s) for silviculture treatment of not more that 20 percent of the total operating area described in the five year operating plan over the five year term of the plan
- within an operating area described in the five year operating plan, not more than one kilometer, in total, of new primary forest access road in addition to existing and proposed primary forest access road in each year of the plan
- adjacent to an operating area described in the five year operating plan, not more that half a kilometer, in total, of new primary forest access road in each year of that plan.

Changes that are not covered by the above must be submitted for Environmental Assessment (EA) in the form of an amendment to the five year operating plan. Amendments requiring submission through EA will be reviewed by the planning team.

Literature Cited

Batterson, M.J.1991: Landform Classification and Surficial Geology of the Gander map sheet, NTS 2D/15. Newfoundland Department of Mines and Energy, Geological Survey, Map 91-01. Open File 002D/0233, Scale 1:50 000.

Batterson, M.J. and Vatcher, S.V.1991: Quaternary geology of the Gander (NTS 2D/15) map area. *In* Current Research. Newfoundland Department of Mines and Energy, Geological Survey, Report 91-1. Canadian Ecological Classification System.

Chippett, J. D. 2004. An examination of the distribution, habitat, genetic and physical characteristics of *Fundulus diaphanous*, the banded killifish, in Newfoundland. M.Sc. Thesis, Memorial University of Newfoundland. *x* + 154pp.

Chippett, J. D. 2003. Update COSEWIC status report on the banded killifish *Fundulus diaphanus*, Newfoundland population in Canada, in COSEWIC assessment and update status report on the banded killifish *Fundulus diaphanous* in Canada. Committee on the Status of Endangered Wildlife in Canada. Ottawa. 21pp.

Damman, A.W.H.1979: The role of vegetation analysis in land classification. The Forestry Chronicle 55.

Damman, A.W.H.1983: An ecological subdivision of the island of Newfoundland. Monographie Biologicae 48. Biogeography and Ecology of the Island of Newfoundland. *Edited by* G.R. South, Dr. W. Junk Publishers, The Hague.

Department of Forest Resources and Agrifoods, 2000. Forest Resource Road Construction in Newfoundland and Labrador - Resource Roads Operating Manual. Forest Engineering and Industry Services Division. pp.xx.

Department of Forest Resources and Agrifoods, 1996. 20 Year Forestry Development Plan, 1996-2015, pp. 165.

Department of Mines and Energy.1999a: Surficial geology and landform classification of the Grand Falls map sheet (NTS 2D/13). Newfoundland Department of Mines and Energy, Geological Survey, Open File 002D/340, Map 99-02, Scale 1:50 000.

Department of Mines and Energy.1999b: Surficial geology and landform classification of the Mount Peyton map sheet (NTS 2D/14). Newfoundland Department of Mines and Energy, Geological Survey, Open File 002D/341, Map 99-03, Scale 1:50 000.

EDM (Environmental Design and Management Ltd).1996:Watershed Managemnt Plan for Gander Lake and its Catchment. *In association with*

R.H. Loucks Oceanology Limited and Jacques Whitford Environment Limited. 974: Government of Newfoundland and Labrador. Newfoundland Forest Service.1974: Forest Management Units. The Newfoundland Gazette.

Government of Newfoundland and Labrador. Newfoundland Forest Service.1990: Forestry Act. The Newfoundland Gazette. 1996: Consolidated Newfoundland Regulation 777/96, of the Forestry Act and the Subordinate Legislation Revision and Consolidation Act. The Newfoundland Gazette.

Government of Newfoundland and Labrador. Newfoundland Forest Service.1998a:Wilderness and Ecological Reserves Act. The Newfoundland Gazette.

Government of Newfoundland and Labrador. Newfoundland Forest Service.1998b: Provincial Parks Act. The Newfoundland Gazette.

Government of Newfoundland and Labrador. Newfoundland Department of Forestry and

Agriculture.1989: Integrated Forestry and Wildlife Management: Impacts of Forestry on fish: A Literature Review. (Unpublished)

Government of Newfoundland and Labrador. Department of Forest Resources and Agrifoods,Newfoundland Forest Service.1994: Environmental Protection Plan For Ecologically Based Forest Resource Management.

Government of Newfoundland and Labrador. Newfoundland Forest Service.1995: Guidelines for Preparation of Forest Management Plans, Internal Document.

Government of Newfoundland and Labrador. Newfoundland Forest Service.1996a: Integrated Resource Management Plan.

Government of Newfoundland and Labrador. Newfoundland Forest Service.1996b: 20 Year Forestry Development Plan 1996-2015.

Government of Newfoundland and Labrador. Newfoundland Forest Service.1989: Wood Supply Analysis. Internal document.

Government of Newfoundland and Labrador. Newfoundland Forest Service.1990: Wood Supply Analysis. Internal document.

Government of Newfoundland and Labrador. Newfoundland Forest Service.1994a: Wood Supply Analysis. Internal document.

Government of Newfoundland and Labrador. Newfoundland Forest Service.1994b: Environmental Preview Report Proposed Adaptive Management Process.

Government of Newfoundland and Labrador. Department of Natural Resources.1987: Generalized Interpretive Map – Newfoundland Appalans, Geological Survey Compiled by J.P. Hayes.

Grant, D.R.1980: Quaternary sea-level change in Atlantic Canada as an indication of crustal delevelling. *In* Earth Rheology, Isostasy and Eustasy. *Edited by* N.A. Morner. Wiley.

Jenness, S.E.1960: Late Pleistocenc glation of eastern Newfoundland. Bulletin of the Geological Society of America, Volume 71.

Liverman, D.G. and Taylor, D.M 1993: Surficial geology of Bonavista (NTS 2C) and Wesleyville (NTS 2F). Newfoundland Department of Mines and Energy, Geological Survey Branch, Open File NFLD 2273, Map 93-48, scale 1:250 000.

Liverman, D.G. and Taylor, D.M 1994a: Surficial geology of the Gander Lake (NTS 2D) map area. Newfoundland Department of Mines and Energy, Geological Survey Branch, Open File 2D(297), Map 94-232, scale 1:250 000.

Liverman, D.G. and Taylor, D.M 1994b: Surficial geology of the Botwood map area (NTS 2E).

Newfoundland Department of Mines and Energy, Geological Survey Branch, Open File 2E(890), Map 94-233, scale 1:250 000.

MacKenzie, C.1993: Surficial geology and landform classification of the Botwood map sheet(NTS 2E/3). Newfoundland Department of Mines and Energy, Geological Survey Branch, Open File 002E/843. Map 93-12, scale 1:50 000.

Meades, W.J. and Moores, L.1994: Forest Site Classification Manual A Field Guide to the Damman Forest Types of Newfoundland, Second Edition. Minister of Supply and Services Canada and Newfoundland Department of Forestry and Agriculture.

Munro, J.A.1978: Public Timber Allocation Policy in Newfoundland. UBC Thesis.

Munro, M.1993: Surficial geology and landform classification of the Carmanville map sheet (NTS 2E/8). Newfoundland Department of Mines and Energy, Geological Survey Branch, Open File 002E/844. Map 93-13, scale 1:50 000.

Munro, M. and Catto, N.1993: Quaternary Geology of the Clarenville Map Area (NTS . 2E/8). *In* Current Research (1993), Newfoundland Department of Mines and Energy, Geological Survey Branch, Report 93-1.

Roberts, B.A.1985: Distribution and extent of Pinus resinosa Ait in Newfoundland. Rhodora 87. 1989: Reproduction and regeneration of natural red pine (Pinus resinosa Ait) in Newfoundland.

Forestry Canada, Newfoundland and Labrador Region, Information Report N-X-273.

Roberts, B.A. and Bajzak, D.1996: Site characteristics, growth and nutrition of natural Red Pine stands in Newfoundland. Environmental Monitoring and Assessment 39.

Roberts, B.A. and Mallik, A.U.1994: Responses of Pinus resinosa Ait. to wildfire. J. Veg. Sci. 5.

St. Croix, L. and Taylor, D.M.1990: Ice flow in north-central Newfoundland. *In* Current Research. Newfoundland Department of Mines and Energy, Geological Survey Branch, Report 90-1.

Toews, D. and Brownlee, M. 1981: A handbook for fish habitat protection on forest lands in British Columbia. Government of Canada, Fisheries and Oceans.

Wells, R.E. and Heringa, P.K.1972: Soil Survey of the Gander-Gambo Area, Newfoundland. Report No.1, Newfoundland Soil Survey.

Wiken, E. 1986: Terrestrial Ecozones of Canada. Ecological Land Classification Series, No. 19.

Windsor, N. 1979: A history of education in Greenspond Newfoundland (1816-1979). 126pp.

Winters, R.2006: Pers. Comm: Economic Impact of Forest Resource Based Industries in Management Districts 4, 5, 6, and 8.

Yoxall, W.H.1981: The surface waters and associated landforms of the island of Newfoundland.*In* The Natural Environment of Newfoundland: Past and Present. *Edited by* A.G. Macpherson and J.B. Macpherson. Memorial University of Newfoundland, Department of Geography.