Human Health Risk Assessment for the Consumption of Country Foods in the Town of Hopedale, NL



Prepared for: Newfoundland and Labrador Department of Environment and Conservation PO Box 8700 St. John's, NL A1B 4J6

Prepared by: Stantec Consulting Ltd 141 Kelsey Drive St. John's, NL A1B 0L2 Tel: (709) 576-1458 Fax: (709) 576-2126

File No. 121413099

**Final Report** 

October 31, 2014

### Table of Contents

1.0	INTRODUCTIO	ON	1
1.1	Site Descripti	ion and Historical Land Use	1
1.2	Background		3
2.0	SITE SPECIFIC COUNTRY FO	DATA SOURCES FOR HUMAN HEALTH RISK ASSESSMENT OF	6
2.1	Analytical De	ata - Country Foods	6
	2.1.1 Bic	ota Sampling Program – Stantec (2009-2013)	7
	2.1.2 Co	ountry Food Sampling Program – ESG (2012)	9
	2.1.3 Ar	nalytical Methods	. 12
	2.1.4 La	boratory Quality Assurance/Quality Control Program	. 13
2.2	Country Foo	d Ingestion Rates - Food Survey	. 13
3.0	ΗΠΜΑΝ ΗΓΑ	TH RISK ASSESSMENT	14
3.1		ed for Human Health Risk Assessment	14
3.2	Risk Assessme	ent Methodology	15
3.3	Problem Forr	mulation	16
0.0	331 Hc	17 ard Identification	17
	3.3.2 Re	eceptor Identification	17
	3.3.3 Ex	posure Pathway Characterization	. 17
3.4	Conceptual	Site Model	. 18
3.5	Exposure Ass	essment	. 18
	3.5.1 Do	ata Analysis	. 19
	3.5.1.1 Ex	posure Point Concentrations	. 19
	3.5.1.2 Co	ountry Food Consumption Rates	. 23
3.6	Toxicity Asses	ssment and Bioavailability	. 23
	3.6.1 To	xicity Reference Values	. 23
	3.6.2 Bio	pavailability	. 25
3.7	Risk Charact	erization	. 25
	3.7.1 Ap	proach	. 25
	3.7.2 Ris	k Assessment Results	. 26
40	TRADITIONAL	PREPARED FOODS	29
<b>4</b> .0	Pitsik		29
4.2	Smoked Cho	۱r	30
4.3	Siva	и	.31
5.0	BACKGROUN	ID PCBS	32
5.1	Concentratio	ons of PCBs in Labrador	. 32
6.0	BENEFITS OF	TRADITIONAL FOOD CONSUMPTION	35
7.0	CONCLUSIO	NS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	35
7.1	Recommend	dations	. 36



8.0	CLOSURE	36

9.0	REFERENCES	. 39	)
-----	------------	------	---

#### LIST OF APPENDICIES

Appendix A	Drawings
Appendix B	Analytical Summary Table
Appendix C	Maxxam Analytics Inc. Reports
Appendix D	Environmental Sciences Group Report on the Country Food Sampling
	Program
Appendix E	Blank Copy of Hopedale Food Survey (as provided to NLDEC by ESG) and
	Complete Anonymized Results
Appendix F	Conceptual Site Model
Appendix G	Sample Calculation – Country Food Consumption Rates

#### LIST OF DRAWINGS

Drawing No. 121411777.310-EE-01 Site Location Plan Drawing No. 121411777.310-EE-02 Site Location Plan – Former Hopedale Radar Site Drawing No. 121411777.310-EE-03 Country Food Sample Locations Drawing No. 121411777.310-EE-04 Country Food Sample Locations

#### LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 3-1	Risk Assessment Framework	. 16
Figure F-1	Conceptual Site model Append	ix F

### LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.1	Study Sites	2
Table 1.2	Summary of SSTLs recommended for the Former Radar Site and	
	Residential Area	5
Table 2.1	Summary of Biota Samples Collected by Stantec (2009-2013)	8
Table 2.2	Summary of Biota Samples Collected by ESG (2012)	9
Table 3.1	Background and Hopedale Sampling Locations	14
Table 3.2	Exposure Point Concentrations – Background	20
Table 3.3	Exposure Point Concentrations – Hopedale	21
Table 3.4	Assumptions of Food Survey Conversions	23
Table 3.5	Selected Toxicity Value for PCBs	24
Table 3.6	Summary of Average HQs Calculated For Each Food Item From the	
	Background Area and Hopedale Area.	26
Table 3.7	Summary of Average Total HQs for the Background and Hopedale	
	Areas	28
Table 4.1	Comparison of PCB concentrations in fresh muscle to pitsik	29
Table 4.2	Comparison of PCB concentrations in Arctic char fresh muscle and	
	pitsik samples from Hopedale Harbour.	30
Table 4.3	PCB concentrations in fresh char and smoked char samples	31



Table 4.4	Rock Cod Siva and Liver Samples	
Table 5.1	Concentrations of PCBs in Biota from Labrador	
Table B1	Results of Laboratory Analysis of PCBs in Mammals	Appendix B
Table B2	Results of Laboratory Analysis of PCBs in Birds	Appendix B
Table B3	Results of Laboratory Analysis of PBs in Berries	Appendix B
Table B4	Results of Laboratory Analysis of PCBs in Fish	Appendix B
Table E.1	Consumption Rates of Each Country Food Item for Each	n Survey
	Participant	Appendix E



INTRODUCTION October 31, 2014

### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

Aivek Stantec Limited Partnership (Stantec) has been retained by the Newfoundland and Labrador Department of Environment and Conservation (NLDEC) to conduct a Human Health Risk Assessment (HHRA) for the Consumption of Country Foods in the Town of Hopedale, NL. The HHRA was carried out as part of the Implementation of the Remedial Action Plan – Years 1-3 and Marine Study at the Former United States (US) Military Base and Residential Subdivision Area in Hopedale, NL. The HHRA is being conducted in response to a Preliminary Human Health Risk Assessment Evaluation conducted by Stantec in July 2012 which indicated potentially unacceptable risks associated with the consumption of bottom-dwelling fish from Hopedale Harbour.

The following report describes the human health risk assessment for the consumption of country foods in the Town of Hopedale, NL and was prepared specifically and solely for the above project. The report presents all of the factual findings of the HHRA and presents our comments on the environmental status of the overall site.

#### 1.1 Site Description and Historical Land Use

The Inuit Community of Hopedale is located on the Labrador coast, 148 air miles to the north of Goose Bay, Newfoundland and Labrador (NL) (Drawing No. 121411777.310-EE-01, Appendix A). Hopedale has no outside road access but a seasonal coastal boat service is available and the community has an airport. Between 1957 and 1969, a military and radar site was operated in Hopedale, Labrador by the United States government. The Hopedale radar site was a station on the United States Air Force Pinetree Line and was the most easterly site on the Mid-Canada Line of antennae stations which had extended across Canada. The Pinetree station was set up to identify enemy aircraft penetrating the northeastern approaches to the continent and information was communicated to the United States. The Hopedale site was also one of a series of sites that functioned as a Ballistic Missile Early Warning System (BMEWS) where enemy ballistic missiles, if deployed, would be identified and a warning would be communicated to both the Canadian and United States military. It has been reported that at its peak, the radar site housed 300 personnel.

The base was closed down in 1969 and the radome and radar antennae were removed. Portions of the remaining base were operated by Canadian Marconi as a telecommunications site until 1972 and by IIT as a telecommunications site until 1975. The complex was finally closed in 1975. Most of the remaining aboveground structures were demolished and buried in several locations around the site in the mid-1980s. At that time, limited clean-up efforts were carried out and included the removal and disposal of transformers containing polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs). With the exception of infrastructure at the Mid-Canada Line portion of the radar site, only the foundations and floor slabs of buildings and the foundations and bases of antennae



INTRODUCTION October 31, 2014

currently remain on the Former US Military Site. Two antennae and an associated operations building are currently being operated by Bell Aliant at the Mid-Canada Line site.

During the operation of the former military base, freight access to the radar site was largely via sea. Therefore, the wharf located south of the Former US Military site, in Hopedale Harbour, was likely used to load, unload and dock boats at that time. Fuel was also transferred from boats to an aboveground pipeline located near the wharf. The wharf remains in use and various structures including the community garage, a gas station, and the Newfoundland and Labrador Hydro Diesel Generating Plant are present near the wharf approach.

Based on previous environmental reports, and field work completed as part of the current investigation, the Former US Military Radar Site was divided into 20 smaller study sites for the purpose of the Phase II/III Environmental Site Assessment (ESA) investigation. These sites are summarized in Table 1.1 and shown on Drawing No. 121411777.310-EE-02, Appendix A.

Area	Site Name				
	BMEWS				
	Old Base 1				
	Main Base (includes TACAN, Radome, Old Base 2a, 2b and 2c)				
	Sewage Outfall				
	Roadway				
	Valley Drainage Ponds				
	Mid Canada Line				
	Pallet Line				
	Pit No. 1/ Helipad				
Former on Develop City	Pit No. 2				
Former Radar Site	Pit No. 3				
	Small Pond Bog				
	POL Compound				
	Old Dump Pond				
	Pipeline				
	Wharf Area				
	Old Dam				
	Reservoir				
	Second Reservoir				
	Clean Background Area				

#### Table 1.1Study Sites



INTRODUCTION October 31, 2014

#### 1.2 Background

Several environmental assessment reports have been produced (mainly since 1996) relating to potential and actual contamination at and in the vicinity of the Former Radar Site and Residential Subdivision in Hopedale, NL. Since 2009, Stantec has completed the following reports at the Hopedale radar site on behalf of the Newfoundland and Labrador Department of Environment and Conservation:

- Phase II/III Environmental Site Assessment, Human Health and Ecological Risk Assessments, Remedial Action/Risk Management Plan for the Former U.S. Military Radar Site and Residential Subdivision at Hopedale, Labrador. Stantec Project No. 121410103. May 17, 2010.
- Marine Sediment and Biota Sampling, Hopedale Harbour, NL. Stantec Project No. 121411170. February 28, 2011.
- Additional Delineation and Risk Assessment Re-evaluation, Former U.S. Military Site and Residential Subdivision, Hopedale, NL. Stantec Project No. 121411170. February 28, 2011.
- Temporary Storage of PCB Impacted Soil and Metal, Former U.S. Military Site, Hopedale, NL. Stantec Project No. 121411777.200. November 23, 2011.
- Implementation of Remedial Action Plan Year 1, Former U.S. Military Site and Residential Subdivision, Hopedale, Labrador. Stantec Project No. 121411777.200. April 30, 2012.
- Marine Study, Hopedale Harbour, Hopedale, NL. Stantec Project No. 121411777. May 10, 2012.
- Freshwater and Marine Sampling in Support of the Marine Study Years 2 and 3 for U.S. Military Site, Hopedale, NL. Stantec Project No. 121411777.610. April 16, 2014.
- Preliminary Human Health Risk Assessment Evaluation, Hopedale Harbour, Hopedale, NL. Stantec Project No. 121411777. July 6, 2012.
- Metal Disposal Options, Former U.S. Military Site, Hopedale, NL. Stantec Project No. 121411777.200. July 16, 2012.
- Implementation of Remedial Action Plan Year 2, Former U.S. Military Site and Residential Subdivision, Hopedale, Labrador. Stantec Project No. 121411777.300. February 4, 2014.
- Implementation of Remedial Action Plan Year 3, Former U.S. Military Site and Residential Subdivision, Hopedale, Labrador. Stantec Project No. 121411777.200. April 15, 2014.
- Summary Report on Loadings, Sediment Inventory, and Present and Future Outlook for PCB Impacts in Hopedale Harbour. Stantec Project No. 121411777. July 10, 2014.
- Hydrodynamic and Sediment Transport Modeling Study, Hopedale Harbour, Labrador. Stantec Project No. 121411777. July 10, 2014.
- Additional Delineation and Updated Remedial Action Plan, Former U.S. Military Site, Hopedale, Labrador. Stantec Project No. 121411777.610. July 18, 2014.

A brief summary of the main activities considered relevant to the human health risk assessment follows.

In 2009 and 2010, Stantec conducted a Phase II/III ESA and HHERA and prepared a Remedial Action/Risk Management Plan for the Former US Military Site and Residential Subdivision in



INTRODUCTION October 31, 2014

Hopedale, NL on behalf of NLDEC. Stantec also supervised limited remediation of PCBimpacted tar in three areas of the former military and radar site and the removal of three tandem dump truck loads of debris from the stream in the Residential Subdivision (surficial and partially buried debris) and from test pits excavated in the residential subdivision (buried debris) at that time.

The 2010 HHRA assessed the potential risks to human receptors that may be present at the site. For the purpose of the HHRA, the site was divided into two areas based on receptor categories: the Residential Area (*i.e.*, residents) and the Former Radar Site (*i.e.*, recreational site users). The Residential Area and the Former Radar Site were assessed separately based on the expected human exposure time (*i.e.*, human receptors would be expected to spend less time on the Former Radar Site than in the Residential Area) and activities (*e.g.*, hunting is expected to be limited to the Former Radar Site).

The 2010 ecological risk assessment (ERA) assessed potential risks to aquatic and terrestrial receptors at the site. As part of the aquatic ERA, eight potential freshwater habitats (Subdivision Stream, Old Dump Pond, Small Pond Bog, Old Dam, Valley Drainage Ponds, Reservoir, Second Reservoir, and Big Lake) and one marine habitat (the Wharf Area, including the coastline and Hopedale Harbour) were identified. As part of the ERA, four terrestrial mammals (meadow vole, masked shrew, Arctic hare, and red fox), two terrestrial birds (American robin and short-eared owl) and two semi-aquatic birds (common merganser and herring gull) were assessed.

The results of the HHERA indicated the potential for adverse risks to human and ecological receptors from exposure to total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPH), PCBs and/or metals impacts at the Former Radar Site and the Residential Area. Precautionary actions, remedial activities and risk management strategies were therefore recommended to control the exposure to the hazards identified at the site. Priorities were assigned to different areas requiring remediation, with the highest priority assigned to PCB-impacted areas near residential areas and the PCB-impacted area located up-gradient of the community water supply source (the BMEWS site). It was recommended that the SSTLs generated in the HHERA (Table 1.2) be used as remediation criteria at the site. Note that based on subsequent discussions between Stakeholder Committee members, an SSTL of 9 mg/kg for PCBs was considered applicable for the entire site (*i.e.*, including the Hopedale Radar Site).



INTRODUCTION October 31, 2014

Chemical	Chemical SSTL (mg/kg)		Areas Requiring Remediation				
Residential Area							
PCBs	9	HHRA	Old Dump Pond Wharf Area				
Antimony	30	HHRA	Old Dump Pond				
		Former Radar Site					
PCBs	22 HHRA		BMEWS Old Base1 Main Base				
TPH	1,700	ERA	BMEWS Main Base Pit No. 3 POL Compound				
Metals	Lead: 75 Antimony: 5 Chromium: 20 Cadmium: 1.3	ERA	BMEWS Main Base Mid Canada Line POL Compound				

### Table 1.2Summary of SSTLs recommended for the Former Radar Site and Residential<br/>Area

Subsequent to the HHERA, the Government of Newfoundland and Labrador committed funds for three years (2010 to 2013) to support ongoing remediation efforts in Hopedale and for the completion of a Marine Study. Each year of site remediation and investigative work was to be conducted in accordance with NLDEC budget allowances. A Stakeholder Scientific Advisory Working Group (referred to as the "Stakeholder Committee") consisting of representatives from the Inuit Community Government of Hopedale, the Nunatsiavut Government, Labrador Grenfell Health, the Department of Labrador and Aboriginal Affairs, NLDEC and technical advisors was established in 2011 to advise on go-forward work plans at the site. The remediation at the Former Radar Site of PCB, petroleum hydrocarbon, and metals impacted soil was carried out during Year 1 (2011), Year 2 (2012), and Year 3 (2013) of the Implementation of the Remedial Action Plan program.

In 2010-2011, Stantec conducted additional soil and sediment delineation and a preliminary marine sampling program at the site to address data gaps and/or actions recommended in the 2010 Phase II/III ESA and HHERA report, and recommendations provided through consultation with the Nunatsiavut Government (refer to Stantec Report No. 121411170, dated February 28, 2011). Volume estimates of contaminated soil were also refined for areas requiring soil remediation. Elevated concentrations of PCBs were detected in sediment and fish samples collected from Hopedale Harbour. Therefore, a comprehensive marine study and human health risk assessment was further recommended.



SITE SPECIFIC DATA SOURCES FOR HUMAN HEALTH RISK ASSESSMENT OF COUNTRY FOODS October 31, 2014

In 2012, Stantec conducted a Preliminary Human Health Risk Assessment Evaluation based on concentrations of PCBs in rock cod (*Gadus ogac*) and shorthorn sculpin (*Myoxocephalus scorpius*) collected from Hopedale Harbour during the 2010-2011 preliminary marine sampling program. Based on the preliminary risk calculations, residents from Hopedale were advised to limit their consumption of sculpin, rock cod, and other bottom-dwelling fish from Hopedale Harbour if they wished to control their exposure to PCBs. Areas such as Tooktoosner Bay and Black Head Tickle were identified as suitable alternate locations for obtaining fish for human consumption. The fish consumption recommendations and advice were formulated and presented to the Town of Hopedale in close consultation with the Nunatsiavut Government, as discussed and agreed upon during the previous Stakeholder meetings in St. John's, NL.

In 2014, the Government of Newfoundland and Labrador committed funds for three more years of continuing remediation efforts in Hopedale and to further assess the marine environment. Again, each year of site remediation and investigative work was to be conducted in accordance with NLDEC budg*et al*lowances. Remedial efforts are continuing in Hopedale.

The data collected to date was most recently discussed by a Stakeholder Committee in August, 2014.

### 2.0 SITE SPECIFIC DATA SOURCES FOR HUMAN HEALTH RISK ASSESSMENT OF COUNTRY FOODS

### 2.1 Analytical Data - Country Foods

The analytical data for country food items used in this human health risk assessment for country foods consumption in the Town of Hopedale, NL are presented in Table B.1, Appendix B. Analytical reports from Maxxam Analytics are presented in Appendix C. These data were compiled from the following sources:

- 1. A series of biota sampling programs carried out by Stantec as part of the initial environmental site assessment and human health and ecological risk assessment conducted in 2010 as well as during subsequent investigations designed to support the on-going remediation efforts in Hopedale and the completion of the marine study.
- 2. A country food sampling program conducted in Hopedale and the surrounding area by the Environmental Sciences Group (ESG; Kingston, ON) and the Hopedale Inuit Community Government (HICG) with assistance from community members in 2012.

Details of the biota sampling programs have been reported under separate cover but will be briefly discussed below.



SITE SPECIFIC DATA SOURCES FOR HUMAN HEALTH RISK ASSESSMENT OF COUNTRY FOODS October 31, 2014

#### 2.1.1 Biota Sampling Program – Stantec (2009-2013)

As part of the initial ESA and HHERA conducted by Stantec (2009) and site investigations carried out as part of the subsequent three year remediation program and marine study, Stantec conducted terrestrial biota sampling at the former radar site, marine biota sampling at Hopedale Harbour, Black Head Tickle, and Tooktoosner Bay, and freshwater biota sampling at Big Lake and Ussiranniak Lake.

Details of the sampling programs can be found in the following reports submitted to NLDEC by Stantec:

- Phase II/III Environmental Site Assessment, Human Health and Ecological Risk Assessments, and Remedial Action/Risk Management Plan for the Former U.S. Military Site and Residential Subdivision at Hopedale, Labrador. Stantec Project No. 121410103. May 17, 2010.
- Marine Sediment and Biota Sampling, Hopedale Harbour, NL. Stantec Project No. 121411170. February 28, 2011.
- Additional Delineation and Risk Assessment Re-evaluation, Former U.S. Military Site and Residential Subdivision, Hopedale, NL. Stantec Project No. 121411170. February 28, 2011.
- Marine Study, Hopedale Harbour, Hopedale, NL. Stantec Project No. 121411777. May 10, 2012.
- Freshwater and Marine Sampling in Support of the Marine Study Years 2 and 3 for U.S. Military Site, Hopedale, NL. Stantec Project No. 121411777.610. April 16, 2014.

A list of species collected, sample sizes, sample locations, and other relevant information is summarized in Table 2.1. A designation as "Background" identifies samples collected from any area that was considered to be outside of Hopedale and beyond contact with or influence by the PCB contamination in the Hopedale area (refer to Section 3.1). All data are presented in Table B.1, Appendix B.

Note that a small number of berry samples were collected by Stantec during the initial ESA (2010) and the subsequent remediation program. Concentrations of PCBs were not detected in the berry samples; however, a detection limit of 0.05 mg/kg was used. Because of the elevated detection limit, these samples were not considered in this HHRA. Berry samples collected as part of the country food sampling program by ESG (2012) (Table 2.2) were used to represent concentrations of PCBs in berries.



SITE SPECIFIC DATA SOURCES FOR HUMAN HEALTH RISK ASSESSMENT OF COUNTRY FOODS October 31, 2014

Common Species Nur Name Name org		Number of organisms	Number of tissue samples	Location	Background or Hopedale	Notes				
Mammals										
Snowshoe Hare/ Okalik Americanus		1	1 liver 1 muscle	Former Hopedale Radar site	Hopedale	Stantec (2010)				
	Fish and shellfish									
Brook trout	Salvelinus	45	14 whole 31 muscle 2 liver	Big Lake	Hopedale	Stantec (2010) Stantec (2013) Stantec (2014)				
	TUTUTAIIS	10	10 muscle 3 liver	Ussiranniak Lake	Background	Stantec (2014)				
		27	27 muscle 6 liver	Hopedale Harbour	Hopedale	Stantec (2011) Stantec (2014)				
Shorthorn sculpin	Myoxoceph alus scorpius	10	10 muscle 3 liver	Black Head Tickle	Background	Stantec (2012)				
		16	16 muscle 3 liver	Tooktoosner Bay	Background	Stantec (2011) Stantec (2012)				
	Gadus ogac	39	39 muscle 6 liver	Hopedale Harbour	Hopedale	Stantec (2011) Stantec (2012)				
Rock cod		k cod Gadus ogac	Gadus ogac	d Gadus ogac	I Gadus ogac	10	10 muscle 3 liver	Black Head Tickle	Background	Stantec (2012)
		10	10 muscle 3 liver	Tooktoosner Bay	Background	Stantec (2012)				
Atlantic salmon	Salmo salar	3	3 muscle 3 liver	Tooktoosner Bay	Background	Stantec (2012)				
Arctic	Salvelinus	3	3 muscle	Big Lake	Hopedale	Stantec (2013) Stantec (2014)				
char	alpinus	10	10 muscle 3 liver	Ussiranniak Lake	Background	Stantec (2014)				
		6	6 muscle	Southwest Bays	Hopedale					
Mussels	-	1	1 muscle	Black Head Tickle	Background	Stantes (2012):				
		1	1 muscle	Tooktoosner Bay	Background	Composite				
Clams	_	6	6 muscle	Southwest Bays	Hopedale	sampics				
Ciditis	-	1	1 muscle	Tooktoosner Bay	Background					

#### Table 2.1 Summary of Biota Samples Collected by Stantec (2009-2013)

Notes:

Based on mapping and field notes provided by ESG, Big Lake may also be referred to as NCO Pond. Shellfish were soaked in water from the sampling area overnight and boiled for approximately 15 minutes the next day before removing the shells and preparing composite samples. Composite samples were collected from the same area.



SITE SPECIFIC DATA SOURCES FOR HUMAN HEALTH RISK ASSESSMENT OF COUNTRY FOODS October 31, 2014

The general locations where biota samples were collected by Stantec are shown on Drawing Nos. 121411777.310-EE-03 and EE-04, Appendix A.

#### 2.1.2 Country Food Sampling Program – ESG (2012)

ESG conducted a country food sampling program in and around the Town of Hopedale in 2012. According to information provided by ESG, country food samples were collected by local Hopedale residents, identified hunters in the community, and ESG staff when possible. Hunted/harvested samples of country foods by local residents were provided to the Hopedale Inuit Community Government (HICG) staff with the samples submitted being at the discretion of the harvester. In addition, three to four respected hunters within the community were also tasked with providing specific types of country food from specific locations. The details of the country food sampling program as provided by ESG are included in Appendix D. Samples were shipped from the ESG lab in Kingston, Ontario to Maxxam Analytics in Bedford, NS for analysis of PCBs and lipids. Additional processing of certain samples was conducted by ESG as detailed in the report entitled "Hopedale Country Food Sampling Program Information' prepared by ESG and provided in Appendix D and discussed in Section 4 of this report.

Sample locations were recorded by hunters or the HICG staff as either a specific place name or as "outside Hopedale" where the local name was unknown and the sample came from outside of Hopedale. A list of country food items collected, sample sizes, locations, and other relevant information as reported by ESG is summarized in Table 2.2 below. A designation as "Background" identifies samples collected from any area that was considered to be outside of Hopedale and beyond contact with or influence by the PCB contamination in the Hopedale area (refer to Section 3.1). All data are presented in Table B.1, Appendix B.

Note that five snowshoe hare/okalik were also previously collected by ESG (2008) from Hopedale and were used in the HHERA (2010) by Stantec. These samples are also included in Table 2.2.

Common Name Species Name	Number of organisms	Number of tissues	Location	Background or Hopedale	Notes
Mammals			Mammals		
	2	1 muscle	Former Radar Site	Hopedale	ESG (2008)
Snowshoe Hare (Okalik		2 liver			
TIGIE/ORGIN	3	3 muscle	Background	Background	
Moose	1	1 muscle	Udjutok Bay	Background	ESG (2012)
Caribou	1	1 brain	Udjutok Bay	Background	ESG (2012)

### Table 2.2Summary of Biota Samples Collected by ESG (2012)



SITE SPECIFIC DATA SOURCES FOR HUMAN HEALTH RISK ASSESSMENT OF COUNTRY FOODS October 31, 2014

Common Name Species Name	Number of organisms	Number of tissues	Location	Background or Hopedale	Notes	
Mammals						
	1	1 muscle	Manuels Island	Background		
	'	1 muttak	Manocisisiana	backgroona		
	3 muscle Paradise	Paradise	Backaround			
		3 muttak				
Porpoise	7	4 muscle	Zackarias	Background	ESG (2012)	
		4 muttak				
	_	2 muscle		Destauration		
	5	I muttak	Hopedale Run	Background		
Jumper	2	2 muscle 2 fat	Hopedale Run	Background	ESG (2012)	
Snowshoe	1	1 liver		Detekerretue		
Hare/Okalik	I	1 muscle	Harbour Deep	Background	ESG (2012)	
		3 muscle			ESG (2012)	
	3	1 liver	Big Bay	Background		
		3 fat				
	6	2 muscle	Outside Hopedale	Background		
Soci		2 liver			ESG (2012)	
3601		2 fat				
		1 muscle			ESG (2012)	
		1 liver	Zackarias	Background		
		1 fat	Zuckulius			
		1 heart				
	1		Birds	1		
Duck	7	6 muscle	Outside Hopedale	Backaround	ESG (2012)	
B00K	,	4 liver		backgroona	2012)	
	2	2 2 muscle Outside Hopedale	Outside Hopedale	Background		
		2 liver				
Eider Duck	3	3 liver	Ummiattugiak	Background	ESG (2012)	
	2	2 muscle	Hopedale Point	Backaround		
		2 liver		Backgroona		
Goose	e 2 <u>2 muscle</u> Outside Hopedale	Outside Hopedale	Background	ESG (2012)		
		1 liver		2000.0000		
	2	2 muscle	Big Lake	Hopedale	ESG (2012)	
Shellbird	2 -	l liver	Outside Hopedale			
		2 muscle		Background		
		2 liver		<u> </u>		
Partridge	3	3 muscle	Outside Hopedale Background	Background	ESG (2012)	
		3 liver			. ,	



SITE SPECIFIC DATA SOURCES FOR HUMAN HEALTH RISK ASSESSMENT OF COUNTRY FOODS October 31, 2014

Common Name Species Name	Number of organisms	Number of tissues	Location	Background or Hopedale	Notes	
	-		Birds	• •		
Turr	2	2 muscle 2 liver	Outside Hopedale	Background	ESG (2012)	
	1	1 muscle 1 liver	Okalik Island	Background		
	4	2 muscle 2 liver	Barge Island	Background		
Pigeon	2	2 muscle 2 liver	Outside Hopedale	Background	ESG (2012)	
	2	5 muscle** 2 liver	Black Heads	Background		
Duck eggs	3	-	Gull Island	Background	ESG (2012)	
Gull eggs	3	-	Gull Island	Background	ESG (2012)	
		Fis	sh and Shellfish			
		1 muscle				
	11	8 pitsik	Hopedale Harbour	Hopedale	ESG (2012)	
Rock cod		2 siva				
	5	5 pitsik	Outside Hopedale	Background		
	2	2 siva	Railroads	Background		
	10	5 muscle	- Hopedale Point		ESG (2012)	
Salmon		5 liver		Background		
	2	2 muscle	Neksoarsak Cove	Background		
	12	10 whole			50.0 (00.10)	
Brook frout		2 pitsik	Big Lake	Hopedale	ESG (2012)	
11	0	1 muscle			FCC (0010)	
Herring	2	1 liver	Hopedale Point	Background	ESG (2012)	
Capelin	2	2 muscle	Black Heads	Background	ESG (2012)	
Trout	2	2 muscle	Big Bay Neck	Background	ESG (2012)	
Smelt	1	1 muscle	Udjutok	Background	ESG(2012)	
	1	1 muscle	Kegetgauyak	Background	ESG (2012)	
Char		3 muscle			ESG (2012);	
Chui	3	3 pitsik	Hopedale Harbour	Hopedale	Composite samples	
Flatfish	2	2 liver***	Hopedale Harbour	Hopedale		
	5	5 muscle	Hopedale Harbour	Hopedale		
Clams	4	4 muscle	Airstrip Cove	Hopedale		
	5	5 muscle	Black Heads	Background	ESG (2012);	
	4	4 muscle	Hopedale Harbour	Hopedale	samples	
Wrinkles	2	2 muscle	Hebron side	Background	30110103	
	2	2 muscle	Black Heads	Background		



SITE SPECIFIC DATA SOURCES FOR HUMAN HEALTH RISK ASSESSMENT OF COUNTRY FOODS October 31, 2014

Common Name Species Name	Number of organisms	Number of tissues Location		Background or Hopedale	Notes	
	2	2 muscle	Hebron Side	Background		
Urchin	4	4 muscle	Hopedale Harbour	Hopedale		
	2	2 muscle	Black Heads	Background		
		Pla	ants and Berries			
	2	-	Outside Hopedale	Background		
Bakeappies	6	-	Former military site	Hopedale		
Plack barries	1	-	Black Heads	Background	FSG (2012)	
BIACK Derries	4		Former military site	Hopedale	Only the edible	
Plueborries	1		Black Heads	Background	parts of plants or	
DIDEDEILIES	4		Former military site	Hopedale	berries were	
Padharrias	1		Black Heads	Background	analyzed.	
Ked bellies	3		Former military site	Hopedale	1	
Tullegunnak	gunnak 1 - Black Heads Background					
Notes: Based on mapping of Composites were of Shellfish were soaked boiled whole for 10- **5 muscle samples	and field note samples from d for 20 minut 15 minutes. S but 4 were fro	es provided by the same are les to 1 hour w ea urchins we om same orga	ESG, Big Lake may also k a ith continuous rinsing with re boiled for 2-5 minutes. nism	be referred to as water to remove	NCO Pond. ed sediment, then	

\*\*\*13 liver samples but 2 were from first organism and 9 were from second organism

The general locations where country food samples were collected as reported by ESG are shown on Drawing Nos. 121411777.310-EE-03 and EE-04, Appendix A. It should be noted that Hebron Side is located further north of Hopedale and could not be indicated on the sample location plan. In addition, one sample each of moose, caribou, and smelt were reportedly collected in Udjutok/Udjutok Bay and one sample of char was reportedly collected in Kegetgauyak. Stantec was unable to locate Udjutok/Udjutok Bay and Kegetgauyak on any map and this location has not been indicated on Drawing Nos. 121411777.310-EE-03 and EE-04, Appendix A. Based on the mapping and field notes provided by ESG, Udjutok and Kegetgauyak were reported by the harvester to be outside Hopedale; therefore, Stantec has considered these samples to be Background samples.

### 2.1.3 Analytical Methods

Maxxam Analytics in Bedford, NS conducted all of the PCB and lipid analyses for the biota sampling programs conducted by Stantec (2009-2013) and for the country food sampling program conducted by ESG (2012). Analytical results for all country food samples from the programs by Stantec and ESG are summarized in Table B.1, Appendix B. Analytical reports from Maxxam Analytics Inc. are presented in Appendix C. The methodologies used by Maxxam are presented on the analytical reports in Appendix C.



SITE SPECIFIC DATA SOURCES FOR HUMAN HEALTH RISK ASSESSMENT OF COUNTRY FOODS October 31, 2014

A detection limit of 0.01 mg/kg was requested for analysis of all country foods collected as part of the country food sample collection program (ESG, 2012). In some cases, an elevated detection limit of 0.2 mg/kg was necessary due to matrix/co-extractive interference. The standard detection limit of 0.05 mg/kg was used for biota samples collected and submitted by Stantec in 2009/2010 and subsequent years.

#### 2.1.4 Laboratory Quality Assurance/Quality Control Program

A quality assurance/quality control (QA/QC) program was followed during all aspects of the laboratory programs to ensure that the sampling and analysis provided consistent, representative data of high quality. A summary of the specifics of the QA/QC program is provided below:

Maxxam Analytics Inc. maintained a detailed QA/QC program for this analytical program including the following:

- Duplicate analysis was conducted on 10% of the samples submitted to Maxxam Analytics Inc.
- Spiked matrices were prepared to evaluate sample matrix interference.
- Method blanks were prepared to identify laboratory contamination.
- QC standards and spiked blanks were prepared to evaluate analyte recovery.
- Surrogates were used to evaluate extraction efficiency.

#### 2.2 Country Food Ingestion Rates - Food Survey

A food survey was conducted in the Town of Hopedale by ESG in 2011. The Hopedale food survey included the interviewing of 198 participants. Each respondent was provided with a list of country food items and asked whether or not they had consumed the food item in the past twelve months and if so, the frequency and serving sizes that they usually consumed. The respondents were also permitted to add food items that were not provided in the list. This information was requested for both the in season (*i.e.*, fresh) and off-season (*i.e.*, stored) food items. A blank copy of the food survey as provided to NLDEC by ESG is presented in Appendix E, along with the complete anonymized results for the 198 study participants.

Respondents provided three different frequencies for country food consumption (*i.e.*, weekly, monthly, and yearly) and two time frames (*i.e.*, in-season and off-season). Calculations for food ingestion rates are further discussed in Section 3.5.1.2.



HUMAN HEALTH RISK ASSESSMENT October 31, 2014

### 3.0 HUMAN HEALTH RISK ASSESSMENT

#### 3.1 Areas Assessed for Human Health Risk Assessment

As part of the biota sampling program conducted by Stantec (2009-2013) and the country food sampling program conducted by ESG (2012), country food samples were collected from areas in the vicinity of the former Hopedale military site and Hopedale Harbour (*i.e.*, samples considered to be associated with the PCB contamination identified at the former Hopedale radar site). Samples were also collected from "background" areas outside Hopedale (*i.e.*, samples considered not to be associated with PCB contamination identified at the former Hopedale (*i.e.*, samples considered not to be associated with PCB contamination identified at the former Hopedale radar site). It should be noted that while background samples are not expected to be impacted by PCBs associated with the former Hopedale radar site, some PCBs would be expected to be present in many of the samples due to the ubiquitous distribution of PCBs in Northern Canada. Levels of PCBs in background samples would be expected to be in the same range as PCBs in similar samples from other areas across Northern Canada (*i.e.*, background). A discussion of background concentrations is provided in Section 5.

For the purpose of this human health risk assessment, the country food samples collected (as listed in Tables 2.1 and 2.2) were separated into local "Hopedale" samples and "Background" samples. Hopedale samples are considered to be associated with local contamination originating from the former radar site while background samples are considered representative of background concentrations unassociated with PCBs originating from the former military site. Table 3.1 classifies all the sampling locations where country food samples were collected as part of the biota sampling program by Stantec (2009-2013) and the country food sampling program as presented in Tables 2.1 and 2.2 as Hopedale or Background areas. All sampling locations (with the exception of Hebron Side, Kegetgauyak, and Udjutok as discussed in Section 2.1.2) are shown on Drawing Nos. 121411777.310-EE-03 and 04, Appendix A.

#### Table 3.1 Background and Hopedale Sampling Locations

Background	Hopedale
Black Head Tickle	Former Radar Site
Tooktoosner Bay	Big Lake/NCO Pond
Background	Hopedale Harbour
Udjutok Bay	Airstrip Cove/Southwest Bays
Manuels Island	Former Military/Radar Site
Paradise	
Zacharias	
Hopedale Run	
Harbour Deep	



HUMAN HEALTH RISK ASSESSMENT October 31, 2014

Background	Hopedale
Big Bay	
Outside Hopedale	
Ummiattugiak	
Hopedale Point	
Okalik Island	
Barge Island	
Black Heads	
Railroads	
Neksoarsak Cove	
Big Bay Neck	
Udjutok	
Kegetgauyak	
Hebron Side	

#### 3.2 Risk Assessment Methodology

The general methods employed for this HHRA follow the guidance published by Health Canada (2010a, 2010b, 2010c). The risk assessment framework used in this HHRA follows the standard paradigm shown below and as described in subsequent sections of this report.

- Problem formulation.
- Exposure assessment.
- Toxicity assessment.
- Risk characterization.

The risk framework is depicted in Figure 3-1. In order to make the HHRA most relevant to the residents of Hopedale, exposure and risk calculations were performed individually for each of the 198 participants of the 2012 ESG food consumption survey (See Section 2.2).



HUMAN HEALTH RISK ASSESSMENT October 31, 2014



Figure 3-1 Risk Assessment Framework

### 3.3 Problem Formulation

The problem formulation stage is an information gathering and interpretation stage that focuses the study on the primary areas associated with the assessment. Problem formulation defines the nature and scope of the risk assessment, permits practical boundaries to be placed on the overall scope of work, and ensures that the risk assessment is directed at the key areas and issues of concern. The gathered data provide information regarding species of concern within the geographic area, possible exposure pathways, identifying the potential human receptors, chemicals of potential concern being evaluated in the assessment, and any other specific areas or issues to be addressed.

For this human health risk assessment, the key tasks in the problem formulation phase included:

- Identifying the chemicals of concern for country foods (hazard identification);
- Identifying and characterizing the key receptors (receptor identification); and,
- Characterizing the exposure pathways (exposure pathway characterization).



HUMAN HEALTH RISK ASSESSMENT October 31, 2014

#### 3.3.1 Hazard Identification

Based on previous investigations, elevated concentrations of PCBs have been detected in soil, sediment, and certain biota associated with the former military site in Hopedale. The focus of this HHRA is PCBs in country foods that residents of the Town of Hopedale may be consuming. As discussed in Section 2.1, the analytical data used for country foods in this human health risk assessment were compiled from biota sampling conducted by Stantec (2009 to 2013) and by ESG (2012).

#### 3.3.2 Receptor Identification

The human receptors considered in this human health risk assessment include Hopedale residents that may consume country foods from the Hopedale area.

Health Canada (2010a; 2010b) has divided human receptors into five life stages (infant (0-6 months), toddler (7 months to 4 years), child (5 years to 11 years), teen (12 years to 19 years), adult (20 years and older)) with total average life expectancy (exposure duration) of 80 years. Residents of Hopedale may be exposed to PCBs during each of these different life stages throughout their lifetime. Participants in the food consumption survey conducted by ESG (2012) ranged in age from 12 to >50 years. Thirty four (34) participants were in the age range 12-18 years while the remaining 164 participants were 19 years or over. For participants in the age range 12 to 18 years, a body weight of 59.7 kg (Health Canada, 2010a) was used. For participants >18 year, a body weight of 70.7 kg (Health Canada, 2010a) was assumed.

#### 3.3.3 Exposure Pathway Characterization

Exposure to PCBs through the consumption of country foods was the only pathway considered in this human health risk assessment. The fish species selected for sampling by Stantec (2009-2013) (e.g., rock cod and sculpin) during site investigations were identified on the basis of anticipated high PCB concentration, given their habitat, home ranges, feeding habits, and other life history characteristics. Other fish species that were considered likely to be consumed by local residents (e.g., Atlantic salmon, Arctic char) were also collected. The species selected by ESG in their country food sampling program represented those plants and biota identified in the earlier food consumption survey as being commonly consumed and readily available to the community. In most cases, several edible parts of the biota collected by Stantec and ESG were analysed for PCBs (e.g., fat, muttak, liver, muscle). In addition, several samples were cooked or processed in the same manner as by local residents. All food items for which consumption rates were provided were included in the HHRA. For example, consumption rates were provided for seal meat and flipper, seal fat and blubber, and seal liver. Concentrations of PCBs in each of these food items (refer to Tables 3.2 and 3.3) were determined and included in the HHRA.



HUMAN HEALTH RISK ASSESSMENT October 31, 2014

#### 3.4 Conceptual Site Model

For the purposes of this human health risk assessment, the conceptual model developed for evaluating the quantitative exposure of the human receptors identified the following possible exposure pathway.

• Residents of Hopedale may be exposed to PCBs through the ingestion of country foods (*e.g.*, fish, birds, mammals, plants, berries) that may be gathered and hunted in the area.

The conceptual site model developed for this human health risk assessment is presented in Figure F-1, Appendix F. The figure schematically represents the interactions between the receptors and the chemicals of potential concern via the exposure pathway identified in Section 3.3.3.

#### 3.5 Exposure Assessment

This exposure assessment is limited to consumption of PCBs through the ingestion of the country food items for which ingestion rate data were collected during the food consumption study (ESG, 2011). The exposure assessment predicts the rate of exposure (*i.e.*, the quantity and rate of intake) of PCBs by Hopedale residents via the ingestion pathway alone as identified in the problem formulation step. The rate of exposure to the PCBs is usually expressed as the amount of PCBs or chemical taken in per unit body weight per unit time (*e.g.*, microgram (µg) chemical per kilogram (kg) body weight per day).

The magnitude of the exposure of receptors to PCBs through country food ingestion depends on the interaction of a number of variables, specifically related to

- the concentration of the PCBs in the country foods; and,
- physiological and behavioural characteristics of the receptors (*e.g.*, consumption rate; body weight).

Exposure estimation for the risk assessment was facilitated through the use of consumption risk assessment equations. Equations to predict or calculate exposure developed by various regulatory agencies (*e.g.*, US EPA, CCME, Health Canada) and published academic and scientific literature sources.

Daily intakes are calculated in the form of chronic daily intakes (CDIs) (to assess noncarcinogenic endpoints) and Incremental Lifetime Cancer Risk (ILCR) (to assess carcinogenic endpoints), using the equations presented below:

 $CDIi = \frac{Cifood * IRfood * Days \ eaten}{BW * 365 \ days \ in \ a \ year}$ 



HUMAN HEALTH RISK ASSESSMENT October 31, 2014

Where:

CDIi =	chronic daily intake of chemical (i) by the consumption pathway (mg/kg -day)						
Ci food=	d= concentration of chemical i in food (mg COPC/kg food)						
IRfood =	<ul> <li>ingestion rate of specific food type (kg food/day)</li> </ul>						
Days eaten/ye	ear = number of days per year the food is consumed						
BW =	body weight (kg)						

#### 3.5.1 Data Analysis

#### 3.5.1.1 Exposure Point Concentrations

Statistical analysis of the data on concentrations of PCBs in the various country food items was completed to generate a representative concentration that reflects reasonable maximum exposure for PCBs for each food item. The primary purpose of the statistical analysis is to determine representative exposure point concentrations (EPCs) for estimating potential risks associated with COPCs in the various media. The EPC is an estimate of a reasonable upper limit value for the average chemical concentration in the medium, determined for each exposure unit (USEPA, 1989).

For food items where less than ten samples were available or for food items that did not have enough detected values to calculate an EPC, the maximum PCB concentration was used as the EPC. For food items where all samples had non-detectable PCB concentrations, the detection limit was used in the risk assessment calculations to represent the EPC. For food items with adequate sample size and analytical results, the software ProUCL 5.0 was used to calculate the EPC. Where data permit, the USEPA (2002) recommends using upper confidence limits (UCLs) for assessing EPCs at contaminated sites. ProUCL 5.0 provides upper confidence limit computational methods, and is considered appropriate for both small and large datasets, and datasets with a large number of non-detects (USEPA, 2010). The software recommends the most appropriate EPC statistic for use. In cases where two statistics were recommended, the higher concentration was used in the human health risk assessment.

The EPCs are given as a wet weight concentration for all food items/species. The difference between wet and dry weight is the moisture content. Wet weight is the weight of the meat or fish prior to cooking or the wet weight of the vegetation at the time of picking. Dry weight is the weight of the sample after the moisture has been removed. It is understood that in some cases meat and fish are dried at the time of harvest for consumption later in the season; however, as the exact drying techniques could not be reproduced by the lab, the analysis of the tissues were conducted only on a wet weight basis. The exceptions are the cases of pitsik and siva (see Table 2.2) where ESG prepared these foods prior to submission to Maxxam for PCBs and lipid analysis.



HUMAN HEALTH RISK ASSESSMENT October 31, 2014

Tables 3.2 and 3.3 summarize the EPCS used for each food item assessed in this human health risk assessment for the Background and Hopedale areas.

Food Item	Sample Size (n)	Maximum Detected Value	Number of Non-Detects	EPC (mg/kg)	Reference
	L	Mammals		L	
Moose Muscle	1	<0.01	1	0.01	Detection Limit
Caribou Brain	1	<0.01	1	0.01	Detection Limit
Porpoise	22	5.3	2	1.92	95% KM (Chebyshev UCL)
Jumper/Dolphin Blubber	2	0.59	0	0.59	Maximum
Jumper/Dolphin Meat	2	0.068	0	0.068	Maximum
Snowshoe Hare/Okalik	5	0.15	2	0.15	Maximum
Seal Fat	6	0.49	1	0.49	Maximum
Seal Liver	4	0.064	0	0.064	Maximum
Seal Meat	6	0.035	2	0.035	Maximum
		Birds			
Duck/Turre/Teal/Piedbirds/ Shellbirds	18	0.76	1	0.36	97.5% KM (Chebyshev) UCL
Duck Eggs	3	0.027	0	0.027	Maximum
Eider Duck	11	0.075	2	0.042	95% KM (†) UCL
Seagull Eggs	3	0.67	0	0.67	Maximum
Goose	3	<0.01	3	0.01	Detection Limit
Partridge	6	0.012	5	0.012	Maximum
Pigeon/Guillemot	17	0.061	1	0.038	95% KM (†) UCL
		Fish			
Rock Cod Meat	20	<0.05	20	0.05	Detection Limit
Rock Cod Liver	6	0.2	2	0.2	Maximum
Rock Cod Pitsik	5	0.026	2	0.026	Maximum
Rock Cod Siva	2	0.3	0	0.3	Maximum
Sculpin Meat	26	0.89	25	0.89	Maximum
Sculpin Liver	6	0.2	2	0.2	Maximum
Flatfish	3	0.39	1	0.39	Maximum
Salmon Meat	18	0.036	11	0.02	95% KM (†) UCL
Trout	15	0.11	12	0.11	Maximum
Trout Pitsik	-	-	-	-	-

#### Table 3.2Exposure Point Concentrations – Background



HUMAN HEALTH RISK ASSESSMENT October 31, 2014

Food Item	Sample Size (n)	Maximum Detected Value	Number of Non-Detects	EPC (mg/kg)	Reference
Herring	2	0.013	1	0.013	Maximum
Capelin	2	<0.01	2	0.01	Detection Limit
Smelt	1	<0.01	1	0.01	Detection Limit
Char	14	<0.05	14	0.05	Detection Limit
Clams	6	0.014	5	0.014	Maximum
Mussels	2	<0.1	2	0.1	Detection Limit
Wrinkles	4	0.069	2	0.069	Maximum
Itiks/ Sea Urchins	4	0.026	2	0.026	Maximum
		Plants/Berrie	es		
Bakeapples	2	<0.01	2	0.01	Detection Limit
Black berries	1	<0.01	1	0.01	Detection Limit
Blue berries	1	<0.01	1	0.01	Detection Limit
Red berries	1	<0.01	1	0.01	Detection Limit
Tullegunnak	1	<0.01	1	0.01	Detection Limit

Notes:

Where a concentration was not detected in all samples, the detection limit was used.

In cases, where all data were less than the detection limits and multiple detection limits were applied, the maximum detection limit was used as the EPC.

Note that while moose, herring, and smelt samples were collected and analyzed for PCBs, no consumption rates were recorded for these food items in the food consumption study.

#### Table 3.3 Exposure Point Concentrations – Hopedale

Food Item	Sample Size (n)	Maximum Detected Value	Number of Non-Detects	EPC (mg/kg)	Reference		
Mammals							
Moose	-	-	-	-	-		
Caribou	-	-	-	-	-		
Porpoise	-	-	-	-	-		
Jumper/Dolphin Blubber	-	-	-	-	-		
Jumper/Dolphin Meat	-	-	-	-	-		
Snowshoe Hare/Okalik	5	0.22	0	0.22	Maximum		
Seal Fat	-	-	-	-	-		
Seal Liver	-	-	-	-	-		
Seal Meat	-	-	-	-	-		
Birds							
Duck/Turre/Teal/Piedbirds /Shellbirds	3	0.57	0	0.57	Maximum		
Duck Eggs	-	-	-	-	-		
Eider Duck	_	-	-	_	-		



HUMAN HEALTH RISK ASSESSMENT October 31, 2014

Food Item	Sample Size (n)	Maximum Detected Value	Number of Non-Detects	EPC (mg/kg)	Reference		
Seagull Eggs	-	-	-	-	-		
Goose	-	-	-	-	-		
Partridge	-	-	_	-	-		
Pigeon/Guillemot	-	-	_	-	-		
Fish							
Rock Cod Meat	40	2.9	3	1.1	95% KM (Chebyshev) UCL		
Rock Cod Liver	6	34	0	34	Maximum		
Rock Cod Pitsik	8	3.6	0	3.6	Maximum		
Rock Cod Siva	2	23	0	23	Maximum		
Sculpin Meat	27	0.99	13	0.31	95% KM (†) UCL		
Sculpin Liver	6	8.1	0	8.1	Maximum		
Flatfish	10	8.1	0	4.5	95% Chebyshev (mean, sd) UCL		
Salmon Meat	-	-	-	-	-		
Trout	57	1.9	24	0.19	95% KM (Bootstrap) UCL		
Trout Pitsik	2	0.078	0	0.078	Maximum		
Herring	-	-	-	-	-		
Capelin	-	-	-	-	-		
Smelt	-	-	-	-	-		
Char	9	0.3	0	0.3	Maximum		
Clams	15	0.28	2	0.18	95% KM (Chebyshev) UCL		
Mussels	6	0.25	0	0.25	Maximum		
Wrinkles	4	1.6	0	1.6	Maximum		
Itiks/ Sea Urchins	4	0.45	0	0.45	Maximum		
	Plants/Berries						
Bakeapples	6	0.14	5	0.14	Maximum		
Black berries	4	<0.01	4	0.01	Detection Limit		
Blue berries	4	<0.01	4	0.01	Detection Limit		
Red berries	3	<0.01	3	0.01	Detection Limit		
Tullegunnak	-	-	-	-	-		

"-" = no analytical data

Where no analytical data was available for Hopedale, background EPCs were used.

Where a concentration was not detected in all samples, the detection limit was used.

In cases, where all data were less than the detection limits and multiple detection limits were applied, the maximum detection limit was used as the EPC.



HUMAN HEALTH RISK ASSESSMENT October 31, 2014

#### 3.5.1.2 Country Food Consumption Rates

As discussed in Section 2.2, a food consumption survey was conducted in the Town of Hopedale by ESG in 2011. The Hopedale food survey included the interviewing of 198 participants.

Each respondent provided three different frequencies for country food consumption (*i.e.*, weekly, monthly, and yearly) and two time frames (*i.e.*, in-season and off-season). Therefore, in order to determine the ingestion rates for the various food items. Stantec converted the frequency to a yearly consumption rate (g/year) for each food item for each of the 198 respondents using the assumptions in Table 3.4 below. Consumption rates for each country food item, for each of the 198 survey participants (results anonymized) are presented in Appendix E.

	Unit	In Season	Off Season
Weekly	Weeks	13	39
Monthly	Months	3	9
Yearly	Years	0.25	0.75

#### Table 3.4Assumptions of Food Survey Conversions

A sample calculation for the food consumption rate is provided in Appendix G.

#### 3.6 Toxicity Assessment and Bioavailability

The toxicity assessment (also known as a hazard assessment) involves the selection of toxicity reference values (TRVs), also referred to as exposure limits, for each COPC. Toxicity is the potential for a chemical to produce any type of damage, permanent or temporary, to the structure or functioning of any part of the receptor's body. The toxicity of chemicals depends on the toxic potency of the chemical, the amount taken into the body (referred to as the "dose"), and the duration of exposure (*i.e.*, the length of time the receptor is exposed to the chemical). For each chemical, there is a specific dose and duration of exposure necessary to produce a toxic effect in a given receptor. This is referred to as the "dose-response relationship" of a chemical. The toxic potency of a chemical or metal is dependent on the inherent properties of the chemical itself (*i.e.*, its ability to cause a biochemical or physiological response at the site of action), as well as the ability of the chemical to reach the site of action. This dose-response principle is central to the risk assessment methodology.

#### 3.6.1 Toxicity Reference Values

The definition or derivation of Toxicity Reference Values (TRVs) is the purview of national and international environmental regulatory agencies (e.g., Health Canada, USEPA). The objective of the toxicity assessment is to identify the potential adverse health effects associated with each COPC as a consequence of chronic exposure. Using this knowledge, a Toxicity Reference Value (TRV) is generated, which defines the chronic daily dose of a COPC at or below which



HUMAN HEALTH RISK ASSESSMENT October 31, 2014

unacceptable adverse effects are not expected to occur. TRVs are specific to each COPC evaluated in the assessment and may also be route-specific (e.g., ingestion versus inhalation).

TRVs used in this risk assessment were determined from studies where endpoints were derived from the administered or consumed dose, rather than the absorbed dose (*i.e.*, absorbed / retained concentration of contaminant in the organ or body). This is a conservative approach because compounds are often administered in a more available form than would be found in the environment and via an exposure route that may overestimate a real-world exposure scenario (*e.g.*, direct dosage vs. incidental ingestion).

Two distinct patterns of dose-response relationships have been observed: threshold behaviour and non-threshold behaviour. In the first pattern, threshold behaviour, a specific dose level can be identified, at or below which no adverse effects are observed. This dose, known as a No Observed Adverse Effects Level (NOAEL), adjusted by uncertainty factors, serves as the basis for many TRVs. Alternatively, if a NOAEL cannot be identified, a lowest observed adverse effects level (LOAEL), being the minimum dose at which (usually minor) adverse effects are observed, may be used to derive a TRV instead; the application of an extra uncertainty factor to a LOAEL is warranted when deriving a TRV, since the "safe" dose level below that LOAEL may not have been identified.

Many dose-response relationships, however, do not show threshold behaviour, and no NOAEL or LOAEL can be clearly identified. Such cases arise when studying carcinogenic effects of chemicals, and for these effects, it is not practical to propose a TRV based on the NOAEL/LOAEL approach, since no dose can be designated as having zero risk. For chemicals that induce cancer, a slope factor or unit risk factor is used as a TRV; usually based on statistical measures of incidence of, or mortality due to, cancer in cohorts of individuals exposed to the chemicals in question.

Health Canada has concluded that the toxic action of PCBs is best assessed with respect to non-cancer (*i.e.*, threshold) effects. The TRV selected for characterization of risks posed by consumption of country foods in the Hopedale community is termed a tolerable daily intake (TDI). The TDI is presented in Table 3.5.

#### Table 3.5Selected Toxicity Value for PCBs

Substance	Route of Exposure	Tolerable Daily Intake (TDI) (mg/kg-day)	Toxicological Basis	Source Agency
PCBs	Ingestion	0.00013	No observed adverse effect level (NOAEL)for neurobehavioral effects due to fetal exposure in offspring of female rhesus monkeys in chronic feeding study	Health Canada (2010d)



HUMAN HEALTH RISK ASSESSMENT October 31, 2014

#### 3.6.2 Bioavailability

Bioavailability refers to the fraction of the total amount of material in contact with a body portalof-entry (lung, gut, skin) that enters the blood. Relative bioavailability is the amount of a substance entering the blood via a particular route of exposure (e.g., gastrointestinal) relative to the study used to derive the TRV. For instance, a relative bioavailability factor of 0.5 indicates that 50% of the administered (e.g., ingested) COPC is absorbed into the bloodstream compared to the absorption in the study upon which the TRV is based. Relative bioavailabilities via ingestion and inhalation routes of exposure are conservatively assumed to be a factor of 1.0 (100%; Health Canada, 2010a). Therefore, this value was used in the calculations for this human health risk assessment.

#### 3.7 Risk Characterization

Risk characterization is the final step in a risk assessment. The purpose of the risk characterization is to combine the results from the exposure assessment (Section 3.5) and the information of the toxicity assessment (Section 3.6) to estimate the potential risks to human health from the COPC evaluated. This section briefly summarizes the general approach to the risk characterization for PCBs. Limitations and conservative assumptions used in the assessment are also identified and discussed in order to provide perspective on the assessment results.

#### 3.7.1 Approach

Risk characterization is essentially a comparison of the predicted human intake of a COPC to the TRV for that COPC. Chronic risk is assessed for the ingestion pathway of exposure.

In accordance with Health Canada guidance, non-carcinogenic and carcinogenic COPC are evaluated differently. Non-carcinogenic COPCs are assumed to act via a threshold mechanism.

The concept of hazard quotients is used to determine non-carcinogenic risk. The hazard quotient (HQ) represents the relationship (ratio) between the magnitude of exposure to the contaminant and the toxicity reference value (TRV). For risk characterization of non-carcinogenic COPCs, hazard quotients (HQs) are calculated for each chemical by deriving the chronic daily intakes (CDIs) of exposed receptors and weighing these against (dividing by) the respective TRVs, in this case the tolerable daily intake (TDI). The calculation to determine a hazard quotient is shown.

Hazard Quotient Derivation

$$HQ = \frac{CDI}{TDI}$$



HUMAN HEALTH RISK ASSESSMENT October 31, 2014

Where:

- HQ = hazard quotient
- CDI = chronic daily intake (mg/kg body weight-day)
- TDI = tolerable daily intake (mg/kg body weight-day)

#### 3.7.2 Risk Assessment Results

As mentioned in Section 3.1 and as will be further discussed in Section 4, a certain level of background exposure to PCBs is expected in the Hopedale area due to background concentrations of PCBs generally found across Northern Canada. For the purposes of this HHRA, hazard quotients were calculated from ingestion of food items collected within the vicinity of Hopedale (*i.e.*, food items containing PCBs considered to be associated with the former radar site). Hazard quotients were also calculated for foods collected from background areas outside Hopedale (*i.e.*, food items not considered to be associated with the Hopedale radar site and believed to represent background exposure).

Hazard quotients for Hopedale exposure and Background exposure were calculated for each individual in the food survey (*i.e.*, for each of the 198 participants) based on each exposure point concentration for that food item (Tables 3.2 and 3.3) and based on the individual's food consumption rate for that food item. Table 3.6 presents the maximum and the average hazard quotients for each food item from the food survey for the Hopedale and Background areas. The HQs for each food type have been provided for information purposes only. The purpose of presenting the HQs per food item is to help identify food items that contribute most significantly to exposure, and therefore, those foods that might best be the subject of short term avoidance.

Food Hom	Maxim	um HQ	Average HQ			
Food Item	Background	Hopedale	Background	Hopedale		
Mammals						
Caribou Brain	0.07	0.07*	0.0006	0.0006*		
Porpoise	7.7	7.7*	0.4	0.4*		
Jumper/Dolphin Blubber	2.6	2.6*	0.06	0.06*		
Jumper/Dolphin Meat	0.2	0.2*	0.01	0.01*		
Snowshoe Hare/Okalik	4.0	5.9	0.05	0.08		
Seal Fat	4.3	4.3*	0.08	0.08*		
Seal Liver	0.3	0.3*	0.01	0.01*		
Seal Meat	1.4	1.4*	0.03	0.03*		

# Table 3.6Summary of Average HQs Calculated For Each Food Item From the<br/>Background Area and Hopedale Area.



HUMAN HEALTH RISK ASSESSMENT October 31, 2014

Feed Here	Maximum HQ		Average HQ	
Food item	Background	Hopedale	Background	Hopedale
		Birds		
Duck/Turre/Teal/ Piedbirds/Shellbirds	6.8	11	0.2	0.3
Duck Eggs	0.9	0.9*	0.02	0.02*
Eider Duck	0.3	0.3*	0.01	0.01*
Seagull Eggs	21	21*	0.5	0.5*
Goose	0.2	0.2*	0.007	0.007*
Partridge	0.3	0.3*	0.01	0.01*
Pigeon/Guillemot	0.1	0.1*	0.002	0.002*
		Fish		
Rock Cod Meat	0.3	7.7	0.03	0.7
Rock Cod Liver	1.0	164	0.02	3.2
Rock Cod Pitsik	0.09	13	0.0005	0.07
Rock Cod Siva	12	888	0.08	6.1
Sculpin Meat	4.1	1.4	0.04	0.01
Sculpin Liver	0.8	31	0.007	0.3
Flatfish	0.3	3.6	0.003	0.04
Salmon Meat	0.1	0.1	0.003	0.004
Trout	0.3	0.4	0.001	0.002
Trout Pitsik	-	0.3	-	0.001
Capelin	0.2	0.2*	0.003	0.003*
Char	0.8	4.7	0.05	0.3
Clams	0.5	6.2	0.006	0.08
Mussels	3.5	8.6	0.08	0.2
Winkles	0.5	13	0.02	0.5
Itiks/Sea Urchins	0.1	1.7	0.001	0.02
Plants/Berries				
Bakeapples	0.3	4.8	0.008	0.1
Black berries	0.2	0.2*	0.004	0.004*
Blue berries	0.08	0.08*	0.003	0.003*
Red berries	0.07	0.07*	0.004	0.004*
Tullegunnak	0.005	0.005*	0.0001	0.0001*

#### Notes:

Where no analytical data was available from Hopedale, the background EPC was used.

\* - No concentrations were available for these biota in Hopedale Harbour. Therefore, the background concentration was used. It is noted that in certain cases, this may underestimate the actual concentration in certain biota in Hopedale Habour.



HUMAN HEALTH RISK ASSESSMENT October 31, 2014

Note that the Maximum HQs in Table 3.6 are based on one individual who reported the greatest consumption of the specific food item. The Average HQ values better represent the overall exposures and risks posed to the Hopedale community as a whole. However, maximum values can prove valuable in helping to define risk management measures such as limiting intake of certain foods in order to exercise personal control of PCB exposures.

As shown in Table 3.6, the food items producing the highest average hazard quotients at the Background area include seagull eggs (HQ = 0.5), porpoise meat (HQ = 0.4), duck/turre/teal/pied birds/shell birds (HQ=0.2), seal fat (HQ = 0.08), and rock cod siva (HQ = 0.08). The food items producing the highest average hazard quotients at Hopedale include rock cod siva (HQ = 6.1), rock cod liver (HQ = 3.2), rock cod meat (HQ = 0.7), seagull eggs (HQ = 0.5), and wrinkles (HQ = 0.5).

Table 3.7 presents the average total HQ (*i.e.*, combining intakes of all food items) for the Background and Hopedale areas.

Range of Total HQs	Total number of respondents	Average Total HQ	
Background			
<0.001 to 36	198	1.8	
Hopedale			
<0.01 to 1106	198	13	
Hopedale (omitting short horn sculpin and rock cod from Hopedale Harbour from diet)			
<0.01 to 61	198	3.0	

Table 3.7	Summary	ι of Δverage	Total HOs for	the Backgrou	ind and Hon	edale Areas
	Summary	y ul Avelaye		the backyluc	пи апи пор	euale Aleas

As shown, the average total HQ is seven times higher when local food from Hopedale is consumed compared to when only background food is consumed.

Based on the HQs calculated in Table 3.7 as well as the consumption rates and concentrations detected in the food items, the hazard quotients for Hopedale were re-calculated omitting all shorthorn sculpin and rock cod food items (*i.e.*, muscle, liver, siva) collected in Hopedale Harbour from the diets of Hopedale residents. EPCs for background locations were substituted into these revised dose and HQ calculations. Table 3.7 compares the total HQs for the Background food consumption and the revised Hopedale food consumption (omitting rock cod and sculpin from Hopedale Harbour from the diet). Therefore, if residents of Hopedale wish to control their exposure to PCBs, they should be advised to limit their consumption of bottom-dwelling fish, particularly rock cod and sculpin, from Hopedale Harbour. Areas such as Tooktoosner Bay and Black Head Tickle, as well as other areas identified in Table 3.1 as background, are suitable alternate locations for obtaining these and other fish for human consumption.



Traditional Prepared Foods October 31, 2014

As shown in Table 3.7, the average HQ for Hopedale decreases more than four-fold declining to near background levels, when rock cod and sculpin from Hopedale Harbour are excluded from the diet of Hopedale residents.

### 4.0 TRADITIONAL PREPARED FOODS

Based on correspondence with ESG, there was concern within the community that the preparation of certain country foods could concentrate PCBs thus causing increased exposure. ESG therefore processed certain samples collected in 2012 according to traditional food preparation methods. ESG reported the following methods of sample preparation to Stantec:

- Pitsik (dried fish): ESG prepared two types of pitsik, these being char pitsik and trout pitsik. ESG noted in their correspondence that the weather was not optimal when the pitsik was made so the fillets were still slightly wet when analyzed.
- Smoked char: ESG obtained fresh char from Nain, a community located approximately 150 km north of Hopedale, divided the fish into three sections and smoked two pieces using 1) berry bushes/sod obtained from BMEWS and Main Base, and 2) berry bushes/sod obtained from Nain. The third section was kept fresh to obtain the initial concentration of PCBs in these samples.
- Siva: Rock cod liver samples from Hopedale Harbour and Railroads (an area outside Hopedale) were mushed and fried to create a paste like substance called siva.

#### 4.1 Pitsik

Table 4.1 compares the average concentration of PCBs in rock cod, trout, and Arctic char fresh muscle samples to the PCB concentrations in pitsik as prepared by ESG.

Table 4.1	Comparison of PCB concentrations in fresh muscle to p	oitsik.

	Fresh muscle (mg/kg)	Pitsik (mg/kg)
Rock cod	0.6	1.3
(Hopedale Harbour)	(<005 to 2.9, n=40)	(0.18 to 3.6, n=8)
Trout (Big Lake)	0.1 (<0.01 to 1.9, n=57)	0.06 (0.051 to 0.078, n=2)
Arctic char	0.02	0.05
(Hopedale Harbour)	(0.01 to 0.038, n=3)	(0.029 to 0.064, n=3)
Rock cod	<0.05	0.02
(Background)	(<0.05, n=20)	(<0.01 to 0.026, n=5)



Traditional Prepared Foods October 31, 2014

As shown, the average concentration of PCBs in rock cod pitsik from Hopedale Harbour is greater than the average concentration of PCBs in fresh rock cod muscle. For trout; however, the average concentration of PCBs is higher in fresh muscle than in pitsik. With these data sets, it is difficult to make conclusions as to whether drying the fish for pitsik caused an increase in concentrations of PCBs. Sample sizes for pitsik analysis are low and, based on information from ESG, the pitsik sample analysed was not from the same individual fish as the fresh muscle sample analysed. Given the variation in PCB concentrations of PCBs were not detected in background of fresh muscle samples. The detection limit (0.05 mg/kg) for rock cod fresh muscle from Background (0.05 mg/kg), however, was higher than the average PCB concentration detected in rock cod pitsik (0.02 mg/kg) (*i.e.*, a lower detection limit of 0.01 mg/kg was used for analysis of pitsik).

Based on information from ESG, the samples of Arctic char pitsik and fresh muscle were from the same fish. Therefore, a direct comparison of these samples can be conducted as shown in Table 4.2.

Sample	Fresh muscle concentration	Pitsik concentration
12-01777 (muscle) 12-01-778 (pitsik)	0.01	0.029
12-01779 (muscle) 12-01-780 (pitsik)	0.038	0.064
12-01781 (muscle) 12-01-782 (pitsik)	0.019	0.062

# Table 4.2Comparison of PCB concentrations in Arctic char fresh muscle and pitsik<br/>samples from Hopedale Harbour.

This data indicates that concentrations of PCBs increase in fish when dried as pitsik. A larger sample size of samples from the same organism would be required to confirm this.

Ingestion frequency information for trout pitsik and rock cod pitsik were provided to Stantec by ESG and were therefore evaluated in the HHRA with exposure point concentrations calculated for trout pitsik and rock cod pitsik as per Tables 3.2 and 3.3. No ingestion information was provided for Arctic char pitsik, so the pitsik was included in the Arctic char meat ingestion category (Tables 3.2 and 3.3).

### 4.2 Smoked Char

ESG obtained fresh char from Nain, a community located approximately 150 km north of Hopedale, split the fish into three sections and smoked two pieces using 1) berry bushes/sod obtained from BMEWS and Main Base, and 2) berry bushes/sod obtained from near the dump.



Traditional Prepared Foods October 31, 2014

The third section was kept fresh to obtain the initial concentration of PCBs in these samples. Table 4.3 presents a comparison of PCB concentrations in the fresh char, the char smoked with berry bushes/sod from Nain, and char smoked with berry bushes/sod from the site (BMEWS and Main Base).

Sample	PCB Concentration
Fresh char	0.026 (0.021 to 0.031, n=5)
Smoked char using Nain berry bushes/sod	0.019 (0.016 to 0.024, n=5)
Smoked char using site berry bushes/sod	2.6 (1.9 to 3.5, n=5)

#### Table 4.3PCB concentrations in fresh char and smoked char samples

As shown, the average concentration of PCBs in the char smoked with berry bushes/sod from the site was 100 times higher than the average concentration in the fresh char. Smoked char was discussed at the most recent Stakeholder Committee meeting on August 12, 2014. At the meeting, Stantec provided a map of Hopedale to Wayne Piercy, the mayor of Hopedale at that time, and requested that he indicate where residents were likely to collect berry bushes/sod for smoking. Stantec in consultation with ESG and NLDEC will provide feedback on areas that were safe for collection of berry bushes/sod to use for smoking fish once this map has been received from the Town of Hopedale.

#### 4.3 Siva

Rock cod samples were collected from Hopedale Harbour and Railroads (an area outside of Hopedale). The livers were removed, mushed and fried to create a paste like substance called siva. Two siva samples were analysed for PCBs. Table 4.4 presents the PCB concentrations in the siva samples.

#### Table 4.4Rock Cod Siva and Liver Samples

Area	PCB Concentration - Siva	PCB Concentration - Liver
Background	0.22 (0.14 to 0.3, n=2)	0.12 (<0.05 to 0.2, n=6)
Hopedale Harbour	18 (14 to 23, n=2)	20 (5.8 to 34, n=6)

Concentrations of PCBs in siva are similar to the concentrations in liver. Concentrations of PCBs are particularly elevated in liver and siva from rock cod in Hopedale Harbour. Ingestion frequency information for rock cod liver and siva were provided to Stantec by ESG and were therefore evaluated in the HHRA using the EPCs provided in Tables 3.2 and 3.3.



BACKGROUND PCBS October 31, 2014

### 5.0 BACKGROUND PCBS

The primary source of PCBs in Northern Canada is transport from industrialized areas of the world via long range atmospheric transport and to a lesser degree via ocean currents and rivers (Muir *et al.*, 1992; 1999; Van Oostdam *et al.*, 1999; Macdonald *et al.*, 2000; Braune *et al.*, 2005). For this reason, PCBs may be found in areas of Northern Canada where they have never been used or released. It is therefore not surprising that PCBs were detected in country food samples collected from the Background areas outside of Hopedale as presented in Tables 3.2 and 3.3 as well as Table B.1 in Appendix B.

Because PCBs are lipophilic and resistant to biodegradation, they bioaccumulate and biomagnify in food chains. The highest concentrations are usually found in the adipose tissue of long-lived animals at higher trophic levels of the food chain. In Northern Canada, the highest accumulation of PCBs is in the fat tissues of marine mammals such as ringed seal and narwhal. Because a substantial portion of the diet of Aboriginal communities consists of country food, the exposure of residents of Northern communities consuming large amounts of traditional foods from the aquatic and marine environments is generally much higher than their Southern counterparts (Ayotte *et al.*, 1997; Van Oostdam *et al.*, 1999). The current adult TDI for PCBs is 0.13 µg/kg body wt./day (Health Canada, 2010a). The average total intake for PCBs in Hopedale was 1.7 µg/kg body wt./day while for Background, the average total intake was 0.23 µg/kg body wt./day. For comparison, the average dietary intake of PCBs for St. John's, NL was 0.00251 µg/kg body wt./day (Health Canada, 2001).

It has also been shown that Inuit women in some Canadian Arctic communities ingest quantities of PCBs and other organochlorine compounds from food that are greater than the TDI of 0.13  $\mu$ g/kg body wt./day (Kuhnlein *et al.*, 1995). Approximate intakes of PCBs for Baffin Inuit women (age 20-40, 41-60, and >60 years) were 0.71 mg/kg body wt./day, 0.76 mg/kg body wt./day, and 0.84 mg/kg body wt./day, respectively (assuming an average body weight of 63.1 kg (Richardson, 1997)). PCB concentrations in the breast milk of mothers from Northern regions have also been shown to be higher than that of non-Aboriginal mothers living in southern areas (Dewailly *et al.*, 1989). Based on the 1989 data, the Inuit infant intake of total PCBs (as Aroclor1260) would be 13  $\mu$ g/kg body wt./day. The developing fetus and breast-fed infants are likely the most sensitive to the effects of PCBs and are likely the human receptors at greatest risk in the Arctic (Van Oostdam *et al.*, 1999)

#### 5.1 Concentrations of PCBs in Labrador

The purpose of this section is to provide some data for comparison and context for the PCBs detected in background samples of biota and vegetation collected from areas outside of the immediate influence or impact by Hopedale PCB contamination. This brief review is not an exhaustive literature review of PCBs concentrations in the biota from Northern Canada, since


BACKGROUND PCBS October 31, 2014

such an undertaking was beyond the scope of work for the current project. This is a brief overview only, of selected studies that report PCB concentrations from various areas of Labrador

While long range transport of PCBs from industrialized areas is the primary source of PCBs in Northern areas, local sources do exist. PCBs were used in Northern Canada during the operation of military facilities such as DEW-Line, Pinetree-Line, and Polevault stations. As is the case with Hopedale and Saglek, the use of PCBs in transformers at these sites and the subsequent releases to the local environment has resulted in elevated concentrations of PCBs in soil, sediment, and biota in their immediate vicinity. Local sources are relatively small in the context of regionally distributed contamination (Macdonald *et al.*, 2000; Stow *et al.*, 2005). PCBs originating from local sources such as former military installations (*e.g.*, Distant Early Warning (DEW)-line stations, Polevault line stations), however, have been shown to create a halo of contamination around the site caused by short range transport (Macdonald *et al.*, 2000; Pier *et al.*, 2003; Stow *et al.*, 2005).

One particular site which has been extensively studied is Saglek, Labrador. Saglek is a former Polevault Line military station on the northeast coast of Labrador (approximately 225 km north of Nain and 600 km north of Happy Valley-Goose Bay) at the southern boundary of the Torngat Mountains National Park. Elevated concentrations of PCBs in soil were initially discovered in 1996 during an environmental site assessment (ESG, 1997). PCB contamination was believed to be a result of the abandonment and subsequent demolition of the facility. Since the initial discovery of PCBs at Saglek, several studies have been conducted to study the concentrations in marine and terrestrial biota from the area.

Because of the similarities between the Saglek area and the Hopedale area, Table 5.1 presents the Saglek data on concentrations of PCBs detected in sea urchins, clams, mussels, short horn sculpin, Arctic char, black guillemot, gull eggs, and ringed seals as reported by Kuzyk *et al.*, (2003; 2005) as well as the concentrations in shorthorn sculpin and black guillemot as reported by Brown *et al.*, (2009) and Johnson (2011).

Biota	Cor	Concentrations of PCBs								
	Saglek Zone 1		5190 (1)							
	Saglek Zone 2	1999	30 (5)	Kuzyk et al. (2005)						
	Saglek Zone 3		21 (1)							
Sea urchins	Saglek Zone 4		3.3 (1)							
	Hopedale	2009-2012	140 (4, 36-450)	Stantec (2009-2012) ESG (2012)						
	Background	2009-2012	14 (5, 10-26)	Stantec (2009-2012) ESG (2012)						

## Table 5.1 Concentrations of PCBs in Biota from Labrador



### BACKGROUND PCBS October 31, 2014

Biota	Con	Reference		
	Saglek Zone 1		285 (1)	
	Saglek Zone 2	1999	126 (11)	Kuzyk et al., (2005)
Clams	Saglek Zone 3		30 (2)	
	Hopedale	2009-2012	77 (14, 36-280)	Stantec (2009-2012) ESG (2012)
	Background	2009-2012	10 (6, 10-14)	Stantec (2009-2012) ESG (2012)
	Saglek Zone 3	1999	9 (2)	Kuzyk et al., (2005)
Mussels	Hopedale	2009-2012	170 (6, 120-250)	Stantec (2009-2012)
	Background	2009-2012	- (2, <50 - <100)	ESG (2012)
	Saglek Beach/ Zone	1999	23900 (13)	Kuzyk et al., (2005)
	1	2006	2940 (19)	Brown et al., (2009)
	Zone 2	1999	536 (2)	Kuzyk et al., (2005)
	Saglek Big	1999	188 (4)	Kuzyk et al., (2005)
Shorthorn sculpin	Island/Zone 3	2006	530 (8)	Brown et al., (2009)
liver	Saglek Rose	1999	45 (7)	Kuzyk et al., (2005)
_	Island/Zone 4	2007	17 (9)	Johnson (2011)
	Okak, Labrador	2009-2012	17 (4)	Kuzyk et al., (2005)
	Hopedale, Labrador	2009-2012	1260 (6, 240-8100)	Stantec (2009-2012)
	Background Hopedale, Labrador	2009-2012	110 (6, <100-200)	Stantec (2009-2012) ESG (2012)
Notes:				

All data are in ng/g wet weight

Date are geometric mean with sample size and range in parentheses.

At Saglek Zones 1, 2, 3 represent four concentric zones with radii of 1.5 km, 4.5 km, and 7.5 km which are centered on the contaminated beach which was the former PCB source. Zone 4 is outside the 7.5 km radius.

At Saglek, the Beach represents the most contaminated zone with the Islands and Big Island being the intermediate zones. Rose Island was considered the reference site.

 $\Sigma$ PCBs from Kuzyk *et al.*, (2003) represent sum of 45 congeners

∑PCBs from Kuzyk et al., (2005) represent 55 congeners

∑PCBs from Johnson (2011) represent 91 congeners

PCBs from Stantec (2009-2012) and ESG (2012) represent total PCBs

As shown, the concentrations of PCBs in sea urchins, clams, and sculpin at Hopedale Harbour are less than the 1999 and 2006 (available for sculpin) concentrations at the most contaminated area of Saglek (*i.e.*, Saglek Beach – Zone 1). For sculpin liver in Hopedale, the values in Table 5.1 are based on the Inner Harbour (PCBs = 3700 ng/g, 3300 ng/g, 8100 ng/g) and Outer Harbour (PCBs = 540 ng/g, 320 ng/g, 240 ng/g) (refer to Table B.1, Appendix B). The concentrations in sculpin livers from the Inner Harbour are comparable to the most recent concentrations detected in sculpin from Saglek (*i.e.*, 2006 - post remediation). Concentrations of PCBs in black



BENEFITS OF TRADITIONAL FOOD CONSUMPTION October 31, 2014

guillemot from background areas in the vicinity of Hopedale are comparable to the reference area used for the Saglek study (Kuzyk *et al.*, 2003).

Concentrations of PCBs in Arctic char liver from Hopedale were lower than that detected at Okak, Labrador in 1999. Concentrations of PCBs in ringed seal, gull eggs, and black guillemot livers were also lower than detected at other sites in Labrador from Table 5.1. It should be noted that in the case of the Saglek study, concentrations of PCBs were measured in black guillemot nestlings and therefore may be higher than would be detected in adult guillemots due to the limited foraging range of the mother guillemot at that time.

## 6.0 BENEFITS OF TRADITIONAL FOOD CONSUMPTION

Although consumption of traditional foods in the North has been identified as the primary exposure pathway to contaminants such as PCBs, these foods are also nutritionally vital in the total diet of many rural indigenous peoples (Kuhnlein, 1995) and have been shown to result in increased intake of minerals such as iron and zinc and vitamins such as vitamins A and E (Van Oostdam *et al.*, 1999).

The non-nutritive benefits of traditional food consumption and sharing are also important considerations. The harvesting, sharing, and consumption of traditional foods are important for social, nutritional, spiritual, psychological, economic, and cultural reasons (Van Oostdam *et al.*, 2005: Donaldson *et al.*, 2010). Traditional food harvesting is therefore an integral component to good health among Aboriginal people which in turn, influences physical and social well-being (Van Oostdam *et al.*, 1999). Traditional foods are also an economic necessity for some individuals and communities (Van Oostdam *et al.*, 1999).

Weighing the benefits of country food consumption against the risk of contamination creates a challenging scenario for indigenous peoples as well as environmental health professionals. The benefit-risk management process involves the community and considers such factors as benefits and type and amounts of food consumed with the ultimate goal being to arrive at the most protective and least detrimental decision (Muir *et al.*, 2005). Generally, in the Arctic, the benefits of country food harvesting and consumption outweigh the risks associated with contaminants (Muir *et al.*, 2005).

# 7.0 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

PCBs are found in areas of Northern Canada where they have never been used or released due primarily to long range atmospheric transport and to a lesser degree via ocean currents and rivers. Intakes of PCBs by Arctic residents, particularly those who consume large amounts of traditional foods from the aquatic and marine environments, may be considerably higher than intakes in the south.



CLOSURE October 31, 2014

Stantec has completed a human health risk assessment for consumption of country foods in the Town of Hopedale, NL. Based on the results of the human health risk assessment for country foods conducted herein, the average intake of PCBs from consuming local country foods from the Hopedale area is seven times greater than when only background foods collected outside of Hopedale are consumed. As discussed, a majority of the resulting potential risk stems from the consumption of bottom-dwelling fish, particularly rock cod and sculpin, from Hopedale Harbour. By eliminating the harvesting and consumption of these species from the Hopedale Harbour area, as was recommended in 2012 (Stantec, 2012) and communicated to the community in July 2012 (e.g., stakeholder and public meetings), residents can decrease their PCB exposure more than four-fold (average HQ = 3.0).

Based on the HHRA conducted herein and as observed in other parts of Arctic Canada, elevated exposure to PCBs occurs in Hopedale through the consumption of country foods. That exposure is exacerbated with consumption of bottom fish, particularly rock cod and sculpin, from Hopedale Harbour. Avoidance of harbour foods and plants and berries growing within town limits should reduce PCB exposure in Hopedale residents to levels more consistent with intakes elsewhere across Canada's North.

## 7.1 Recommendations

Stantec provides the following conditions and recommendations:

- If residents of Hopedale wish to control their exposure to PCBs, they should be advised to limit their consumption of bottom-dwelling fish, particularly rock cod and sculpin, from Hopedale Harbour.
- An outright ban on fishing from Hopedale Harbour is not recommended, as this may adversely affect food security and nutrition for the community.
- Areas such as Tooktoosner Bay and Black Head Tickle as well as other areas identified in Table 3.1 as Background are suitable alternate locations for obtaining fish for human consumption.
- All final fish consumption recommendations and advice proposed for the Hopedale community should be formulated in close consultation with the Nunatsiavut Government.
- To ensure an ongoing cooperative association between Nunatsiavut Government, NLDEC and Stantec, every effort should be made to engage and incorporate Nunatsiavut Government participation in the delivery of recommendations to the Hopedale community.
- Fish and meats should not be smoked with wood, bushes, or other vegetation collected in areas known to be contaminated with PCBs.

## 8.0 CLOSURE

This report documents work that was performed in accordance with generally accepted professional standards at the time and location in which the services were provided. No other representations, warranties or guarantees are made concerning the accuracy or completeness



CLOSURE October 31, 2014

of the data or conclusions contained within this report, including no assurance that this work has uncovered all potential liabilities associated with the identified property.

This report provides an evaluation of selected environmental conditions associated with the identified portion of the property that was assessed at the time the work was conducted and is based on information obtained by and/or provided to Stantec at that time. There are no assurances regarding the accuracy and completeness of this information. All information received from the client or third parties in the preparation of this report has been assumed by Stantec to be correct. Stantec assumes no responsibility for any deficiency or inaccuracy in information received from others.

The opinions in this report can only be relied upon as they relate to the condition of the portion of the identified property that was assessed at the time the work was conducted. Activities at the property subsequent to Stantec's assessment may have significantly altered the property's condition. Stantec cannot comment on other areas of the property that were not assessed.

Conclusions made within this report consist of Stantec's professional opinion as of the time of the writing of this report, and are based solely on the scope of work described in the report, the limited data available and the results of the work. They are not a certification of the property's environmental condition. This report should not be construed as legal advice.

This report has been prepared for the exclusive use of the client identified herein and any use by any third party is prohibited. Stantec assumes no responsibility for losses, damages, liabilities or claims, howsoever arising, from third party use of this report.

The locations of any utilities, buildings and structures, and property boundaries illustrated in or described within this report, if any, including pole lines, conduits, water mains, sewers and other surface or sub-surface utilities and structures are not guaranteed. Before starting work, the exact location of all such utilities and structures should be confirmed and Stantec assumes no liability for damage to them.

The conclusions are based on the site conditions encountered by Stantec at the time the work was performed at the specific testing and/or sampling locations, and conditions may vary among sampling locations. Factors such as areas of potential concern identified in previous studies, site conditions (*e.g.*, utilities) and cost may have constrained the sampling locations used in this assessment. In addition, analysis has been carried out for only a limited number of chemical parameters, and it should not be inferred that other chemical species are not present. Due to the nature of the investigation and the limited data available, Stantec does not warrant against undiscovered environmental liabilities nor that the sampling results are indicative of the condition of the entire site. As the purpose of this report is to identify site conditions which may pose an environmental risk; the identification of non-environmental risks to structures or people on the site is beyond the scope of this assessment.



CLOSURE October 31, 2014

Should additional information become available which differs significantly from our understanding of conditions presented in this report. Stantec specifically disclaims any responsibility to update the conclusions in this report.

This report was prepared by Kelly Johnson, Ph.D. and reviewed by Mark Richardson, Ph.D.

For

Respectfully submitted,

STANTEC CONSULTING LTD.

Kelly Johnson, Ph.D. Environmental Scientist

. Mode

G. Mark Richardson, Ph.D. Senior Risk Assessment Specialist

REFERENCES October 31, 2014

## 9.0 **REFERENCES**

- Ayotte, P., Dewailly, E., Ryan, J.J., Bruneau, S., and Lebel, G. 1997. PCBs and dioxin-like compounds in plasma of adult Inuit living in Nunavik (Arctic Quebec). Chemosphere 34: 5-7.
- Braune, B.M., Outridge, P.M., Fisk, A.T., Muir, D.C.G., Helm, P.A., Hobbs, K., Hoekstra, P.F., Kuzyk. Z.A., Kwan, M., Letcher, R.J., Lockhart, W.L., Nortstrom, R.J., Stern, G.A., Stirlng, I. 2005. Persistent organic pollutants and mercury in marine biota of the Canadian Arctic: An overview of spatial and temporal trends. Science of the Total Environment 351-352: 4-56.
- Brown, T.M., Sheldon, T.A., Burgess, N.M., Reimer, K.J. 2009. Reduction of PCB contamination in an Artic coastal environment: a first step in assessing ecosystem recovery after the removal of a point source. Environmental Science and Technology 43: 7635-7642.
- Dewailly, E., Nantel, A., Weber, J.P., Meyer, F. 1989. High Levels of PCBs in Breast Milk of Inuit Women from Arctic Quebec. Bulletin of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology 43: 641-646.
- Donaldson, S.G., Van Oostdam, J., Tikhonov, C., Feeley, M., Armstrong, B., Ayotte, P., Boucher, O., Bowers, W., Chan, L., Dallaire, F., Dallaire, R., Dewailly, E., Edwards, J., Egeland, G.M., Fontaine, J., Furgal, C., Leech, T., Loring, E., Muckle, G., Nancarrow, T., Pereg, D., Plusquellec, P., Potyrala, M., Receveur, O., and Shearer, R.G. 2010. Environmental Contaminant and human health in the Canadian Arctic. Science of the Total Environment 408: 5165-5234.
- Environmental Sciences Group (ESG). 1997. Environmental Assessment of Saglek, Labrador (LAB-2). Royal Military College of Canada: Kingston ESG. 2012. Hopedale Country Food Sampling Program Information. Dated January 2013.
- Health Canada. 2001. Canada Total Diet Study: St. John's, NL. <u>http://hc-sc.gc.ca/fn-an/surveill/total-diet/intake-apport/index-eng.php</u> Last accessed on October 30, 2014.
- Health Canada. 2010a. Federal Contaminated Site Risk Assessment in Canada Part I: Guidance on Human Health Preliminary Quantitative Risk Assessment (PQRA), Version 2.0. Revised 2012. Health Canada, Ottawa.
- Health Canada. 2010b. Federal Contaminated Site Risk Assessment in Canada Part V: Guidance on Human Health Detailed Quantitative Risk Assessment for Chemicals (DQRAChem). Health Canada, Ottawa.



REFERENCES October 31, 2014

- Health Canada. 2010c. Federal Contaminated Site Risk Assessment in Canada: Supplemental Guidance on Human Health Risk Assessment for Country Foods (HHRAFoods). Health Canada, Ottawa.
- Health Canada. 2010d. Federal Contaminated Site Risk Assessment in Canada Part II: Health Canada Toxicological Reference Values (TRVs) and Chemical-Specific Factors, Version 2.0. Health Canada, Ottawa.
- Johnson, K.E. 2011. From Hazard Quotients to a Biomarker Based Weight of Evidence: Enhancing the Science in Ecological Risk Assessment. PhD Thesis. Memorial University of Newfoundland: St. John's, NL
- Kuhnlein, H.V. Benefits and risks of traditional food for Indigenous Peoples: focus on dietary intakes of Arctic men. Canadian Journal of Physiology and Pharmacology 73: 765-771
- Kuhnlein, H.V., Receveur, O., Muir, D.C.G., Chan, H.M., and Soueida, R. 1995. Arctic Indigenous Women consume greater than acceptable levels of organochlorines. The Journal of Nutrition 125:2501-2510.
- Kuzyk, Z.A., Burgess, N.M., Stow, J.P., Fox, G.A. 2003. Biological effects of marine PCB contamination on black guillemot nestlings at Saglek, Labrador: liver biomarkers. Ecotoxicology 12: 183-197.
- Kuzyk, Z.A., Stow, J.P., Burgess, N.M., Soloman, S.M., Reimer, K.J. 2005a. PCBs in sediments and the coastal food web near a local contaminant source in Saglek Bay, Labrador. Science of the Total Environment 351-352: 264-284.
- Macdonald, R.W., Barrie, L.A., Bidleman, T.F., Diamond, M.L., Gregor, D.J., Semkin, R.G., Strachan, W.M.J., Li, Y.F., Wania, F., Alaee, M., Alexeeva, L.B., Backus, S.M., Bailey, R., Bewers, J.M., Gobeil, C., Halsall, C.J., Harner, T., Hoff, J.T., Jantunen, L.M.M., Lockhart, W.L., Mackay, D., Muir, D.C.G., Pudykiewicz, J., Reimer, K.J., Smith, J.N., Stern, G.A., Shroeder, W.H., Wagemann, R., Yunker, M.B. 2000. Contaminants in the Canadian Arctic: 5 years of progress in understanding sources, occurrence and pathways. Science of the Total Environment 254: 93-234.Muir, D., Kwan, M., Lampe, J. 2000. Spatial trends and pathways of persistent organic pollutants and metals in fish, shellfish, and marine mammals of northern Labrador and Nunavik. In: Synopsis of research conducted under the 1999-2000 northern contaminants program. (Kalhok, S. ed.), Indian and Northern Affairs Canada: Ottawa. Pp. 191-201.
- Muir, D.C.G, Wagemann, R., Hargrave, B.T., Thomas, D.J., Peakall, D.B., Norstrom, R.J. 1992. Arctic marine ecosystem contamination. Science of the Total Environment 122: 75-134.



REFERENCES October 31, 2014

- Muir, D., Braune, B., DeMarch, B., Norstrom, R., Wageman, R., Lockhart, L. 1999. Spatial and temporal trends and effects of contaminants in the Canadian Arctic marine ecosystem: a review. Science of the Total Environment 230: 83-144.
- Muir, D.C., Shearer, R.G., Van Oostdam, J., Donaldson, S.G., and Furgal, C. 2005. Contaminants in Canadain arctic biota and implications for human health: Conclusions and knowledge gaps. Science of the Total Environment 351-352: 539 – 546.
- Pier, M.D., Betts-Piper, A.A., Knowlton, C.C., Zeeb, B.A., Reimer. K.J. 2003. Redistribution of polychlorinated biphenyls from a local point source: terrestrial soil, freshwater sediment, and vascular plants as indicators of the halo effect. Arctic, Antarctic, and Alpine Research 35: 349-360.
- Richardson, G.M. 1997. Compendium of Canadian Human Exposure Factors for Risk Assessment. Published by O'Connor Associates Environmental Inc., Ottawa, Ontario.
- Stantec. 2010. Phase II/III Environmental Site Assessment, Human Health and Ecological Risk Assessments, and Remedial Action/Risk Management Plan for the Former US Military Site and Residential Subdivision at Hopedale, Labrador. Stantec Report No. 121410103. Dated May 17, 2010.
- Stantec. 2011. Marine Sediment and Biota Sampling, Hopedale, NL. Stantec Letter Report No. 121411170. Dated February 28, 2011.
- Stantec. 2011. Additional Delineation and Risk Assessment Re-evaluation, Former U.S. Military Site and Residential Subdivision, Hopedale, NL. Stantec Project No. 121411170. February 28, 2011.
- Stantec. 2011. Temporary Storage of PCB Impacted Soil and Metal, Former U.S. Military Site, Hopedale, NL. Stantec Project No. 121411777.200. November 23, 2011.
- Stantec. 2012. Implementation of Remedial Action Plan Year 1, Former U.S. Military Site and Residential Subdivision, Hopedale, Labrador. Stantec Project No. 121411777.200. April 30, 2012.
- Stantec. 2012. Preliminary Human Health Risk Assessment Evaluation, Hopedale Harbour, Hopedale, NL. Stantec Letter Report No. 121411777. Dated July 6, 2012.
- Stantec. 2012. Metal Disposal Options, Former U.S. Military Site, Hopedale, NL. Stantec Project No. 121411777.200. July 16, 2012.
- Stantec. 2014. Freshwater and Marine Sampling in Support of the Marine Study Years 2 and 3 for U.S. Military Site, Hopedale, NL. Stantec Project No. 121411777.610. April 16, 2014.



REFERENCES October 31, 2014

- Stow, J.P., Stova, J., Reimer, K.J. 2005. The relative influence of distant and local (DEW-Line) PCB sources in the Arctic. Science of the Total Environment 342: 107-118.
- United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA). 1989. Risk assessment guidance for superfund, Volume I. Human Health Evaluation Manual (Part A); EPA/540/1-89/002; US Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Emergency and Remedial Response; Washington, DC.
- United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA). 2002. Calculating Upper Confidence Limits for Exposure Point Concentrations at Hazardous Waste Sites. Office of Emergency and Remedial Response. Washington, D.C. December 2002.
- United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA). 2010. ProUCL Version 4.1. Users Guide (Draft). Statistical Software for Environmental Applications for Data Sets With and Without Nondetect Observations. Prepared by A. Singh, R. Maichle, and N. Armbya. May 2010.
- Van Oostdam, J., Gilman, A., Dewailly, E., Usher, P., Wheatley, B., Kuhnlein, H., Neve, S., Walker, J., Tracy, B., Feeley, M., Jerome, V., and Kwavnick, B. 1999. Human health implications of environmental contaminants in Artic Canada: a review. The Science of the Total Environment 230: 1-82.
- Van Oostdam, J., Donaldson, S.G., Feeley, M., Arnold, D., Ayotte, P., Bondy, G., Chan, L., Dewaily, E., Furgal, C.M., Kuhnlein, H., Loring, E., Muckle, G., Myles, E., Receveur, O., Tracy, B., Gill, U., and Kalhok, S. 2005. Human health implications of environmental contaminants in Arctic Canada: A review. Science of the Total Environment 351-352: 165-246.



# **APPENDIX A**

Drawings





Stantec Consulting Ltd. @ 2014

270CT14 3:00PM







# **APPENDIX B**

Analytical Summary Table



# Table B.1 Results of Laboratory Analysis of PCBs in Mammals Human Health Risk Assessment for the Consumption of Country Foods in the Town of Hopedale Stantec Project No. 121411777.310

Sample ID	Sample Date	Location	Sample Type	Sub-type	Same Animal	Crude (%)	RDL	PCB (ug/g)	RDL	Comment
12-01-206	01/07/2012	Udjutok Bay Outside Hopedale	Moose	Muscle	N/A	0.80	0.50	< 0.010	0.01	-
12-01-388	01/07/2012	Outside Hopedale (Utjutook Bay)	Caribou	Brain	N/A	18.00	0.50	< 0.010	0.01	-
12-01-365	01/07/2012	Monuels Island	Porpoise	Muttak	12-01 366	54.00	0.5	1.2	0.01	Aroclor 1260
12-01-366	01/07/2012	Monuels Island	Porpoise	Muscle	12-01 365	5.60	0.5	0.047	0.01	Aroclor 1260
12-01 369	01/11/2012	Paradise	Porpoise	Muttak	Unknown	-	-	0.72	0.01	Aroclor 1260
12-01-370	01/07/2012	Paradise	Porpoise	Muscle	Unknown	0.80	0.50	<0.010	0.01	-
12-01-371	01/07/2012	Paradise	Porpoise	Muscle	Unknown	1.30	0.5	0.036	0.01	Aroclor 1260
12-01-372	01/07/2012	Paradise	Porpoise	Muscle	Unknown	0.70	0.5	0.021	0.01	Aroclor 1260
12-01-373 Lab-Dup	01/07/2012	Paradise	Porpoise	Muscle	Unknown	-	-	0.022	0.01	-
12-01-374	01/07/2012	Paradise	Porpoise	Muttak	Unknown	68.00	0.5	<0.20	0.2	Elevated PCB RDL due to matrix / co-extractive interference.
12-01-401	01/07/2012	Zackarias	Porpoise	Muttak	Unknown	53.00	0.5	5.3	0.01	Aroclor 1254, 1260
12-01-402	01/07/2012	Zackarias	Porpoise	Muttak	Unknown	77.00	1.2	2.9	0.01	Aroclor 1254, 1260
12-01-403	01/07/2012	Zackarias	Porpoise	Muttak	Unknown	83.00	1.2	1.3	0.01	Aroclor 1254, 1260
12-01-363	01/07/2012	Zackarias	Porpoise	Muscle	12-01 364	1.20	0.6	0.11	0.01	Aroclor 1260
12-01-364	01/07/2012	Zackarias	Porpoise	Muttak	12-01 363	-	-	1.0	0.01	Aroclor 1260
12-01-404	01/07/2012	Zackarias	Porpoise	Muscle	Unknown	0.90	0.50	0.11	0.01	Aroclor 1260
12-01-405	01/07/2012	Zackarias	Porpoise	Muscle	Unknown	3 30	0.5	0.045	0.01	Aroclor 1260
12-01-379	01/07/2012	Hopedale Run	Porpoise	Muscle	12-01.380	0.00	0.50	0.008	0.01	Aroclor 1260
12-01-380	01/07/2012	Hopedale Run	Porpoise	Muttak	12-01 379	89.00	0.9	0.88	0.01	Aroclor 1260
12-01 810	01/10/2012	Hopedale Run	Porpoise	Fat	Unknown	-	-	0.46	0.01	Aroclor 1260
12-01 729	01/10/2012	Hopedale Run	Porpoise	Fat	Unknown	•		0.84	0.01	Aroclor 1260
12-01 706	01/10/2012	Hopedale Run	Porpoise	Muscle	Unknown	-	-	0.018	0.01	Aroclor 1260
12-01 707	01/10/2012	Hopedale Run	Porpoise	Fat	Unknown	-	-	0.64	0.01	Aroclor 1260
12-01 730	01/09/2012	Hopedale Run	Jumper	Fat	12-01 322	-	-	0.59	0.01	Aroclor 1260
12-01 320	01/10/2012	Hopedale Run	Jumper	Muscle	12-01 705	-	-	0.068	0.01	Aroclor 1260
12-01 322	01/10/2012	Hopedale Run	Jumper	Muscle	12-01 320	-		0.04	0.01	Aroclor 1260
12-01 731	01/10/2012	Harbour Deep	Okalik	Liver	12-01 730	-	-	<0.010	0.01	-
12-01 731 Lab-Dup	01/10/2012	Harbour Deep	Okalik	Liver	12-01 732	-	-	< 0.010	0.01	-
12-01 732	01/10/2012	Harbour Deep	Okalik	Muscle	12-01 731	-	-	< 0.010	0.01	-
Exposure Hare#1	2009	Hopedale Site	Okalik	Liver		3.31	0.5	0.216	-	
Exposure Hare#2	2009	Hopedale Site	Okalik	Muscle		2.90	0.5	0.0028	-	
Exposure Hare #2	2009	Hopedale Site	Okalik	Liver		4.26	0.5	0.00493	-	
Backgound Hare#3	2009	Background	Okalik	Muscle		35.80	0.5	0.00493	-	
Background Hare#5	2009	Background	Okalik	Muscle		2.40	0.5	0.140		
Rabbit-1	2009	Hopedale Site	Okalik	Muscle		1.30	0.5	0.00000	0.05	
Rabbit-1	2009	Hopedale Site	Okalik	Liver		2.30	0.5	0.16	0.05	
12-01-394	01/07/2012	Big Bay	Jar Seal	Liver	12-01 395	1.40	0.5	0.041	0.01	Aroclor 1260
12-01-394 Lab-Dup	01/07/2012	Big Bay	Jar Seal	Liver	12-01 395	1.50	0.5	0.042	0.01	-
12-01-395	01/07/2012	Big Bay	Jar Seal	Muscle	12-01 394	<0.50	0.5	<0.010	0.01	-
12-01-396	01/07/2012	Big Bay	Jar Seal	Fat	12-01 395	90.00	1.1	0.29	0.01	Aroclor 1260
12-01 327	01/10/2012	Outside Hopedale	Seal	Eat	Unknown	-	-	0.016	0.01	Aroclor 1260
12-01 325	01/09/2012	Outside Hopedale	Seal	Muscle	Unknown			<0.005	0.01	AIOCIOI 1200
12-01 328	01/10/2012	Outside Hopedale	Seal	Liver	Unknown	-	-	0.019	0.01	Aroclor 1260
12-01 324	01/10/2012	Outside Hopedale	Seal	Fat	Unknown	-	-	0.066	0.01	Aroclor 1260
12-01 326	01/09/2012	Outside Hopedale	Seal	Muscle	Unknown	-	-	0.017	0.01	Aroclor 1260
12-01-397	01/07/2012	Big Bay	Ranger Seal	Fat	12-01 398	85.00	1	<0.20	0.2	PCB surrogate not within acceptance limits. Analysis was repeated with similar results. Elevated PCB RDL due to matrix / co-extractive interference.
12-01-398	01/07/2012	Big Bay	Ranger Seal	Muscle	12-01 397	3.60	0.6	0.035	0.01	Aroclor 1260
12-01-398 Lab-Dup	01/07/2012	Big Bay	Ranger Seal	Muscle	12-01 397	-	-	0.034	0.01	-
12-01-399	01/07/2012	Big Bay	Ranger Seal	Fat	12-01 400	94.00	1.1	0.079	0.01	Aroclor 1260
12-01-399 Lab-Dup	01/07/2012	Big Bay	Ranger Seal	Fat	12-01 400	94.00	1.1	-	-	-
12-01-400	01/07/2012	Big Bay Zackarias	Ranger Seal	Heart	12-01 399	2.40	0.5	0.023	0.01	Aroclor 1260
12-01-408	01/07/2012	Zackarias	Ranger Seal	Liver	12-01 409	0.70	0.50	0.041	0.01	Aroclor 1260
12-01-409	01/07/2012	Zackarias	Ranger Seal	Muscle	12-01 408	0.90	0.50	0.019	0.01	Aroclor 1260
12-01-410	01/07/2012	Zackarias	Ranger Seal	Fat	12-01 409	81.00	1	0.49	0.01	Aroclor 1260
12-01-410 Lab-Dup	01/07/2012	Zackarias	Ranger Seal	Fat	12-01 409	64.00	1	-	-	-

Notes: RDL = Reportable Detection Limit Lab-Dup = Laboratory Initiated Duplicate N/A = Not applicable

#### Table B.2 Results of Laboratory Analysis of PCBs in Birds Marine Study and Human Health Risk Assessment Re-evaluation Former U.S. Military Site, Hopedale, Labrador Stantec Project No. 121411777.310

Sample ID	Sample Date	Location	Sample Type	Sub-type	Same Animal As	Crude (%)	RDL	PCB (ug/g)	RDL	Comment	Features	Notes
											Boiled for ten-	
	01/07/2012	Gull Island	Duck Egg	Whole	-	14.00	0.50	0.027	0.01	Aroclor 1260	fiteen minutes,	-
12-01-354											shell removed	
											Boiled for ten-	
	01/07/2012	Gull Island	Duck Egg	Whole	-	12.00	2.5	-	-	-	fiteen minutes.	-
12-01-354 Lab-Dup			55				-				shell removed	
· · · ·				-							Boiled for ten-	
	01/07/2012	Gull Island	Duck Egg	Whole	-	20.00	0.5	0.025	0.01	Aroclor 1260	fiteen minutes.	-
12-01-355			55								shell removed	
											Boiled for ten-	
	01/07/2012	Gull Island	Duck Egg	Whole	-	7.90	0.5	0.023	0.01	Aroclor 1260	fiteen minutes.	-
12-01-356			55								shell removed	
											Boiled for ten-	
	01/07/2012	Gull Island	Gull Egg	Whole	-	3.40	0.5	0.43	0.01	Aroclor 1260	fiteen minutes.	-
12-01-357	• • •										shell removed	
											Boiled for ten-	
	01/07/2012	Gull Island	Gull Egg	Whole	-	3.60	0.5	0.15	0.01	Aroclor 1260	fiteen minutes	-
12-01-358	• • •										shell removed	
											Boiled for ten-	
	01/07/2012	Gull Island	Gull Egg	Whole	-	5 40	0.5	0.67	0.01	Aroclor 1260	fiteen minutes	-
12-01-359	01/01/2012	Cuiribiana	Con Lgg	<b>Whole</b>		0.10	0.0	0.07	0.01	7100101 1200	shell removed	
12-01-127	01/07/2012	Outside Hopedale	Duck	Muscle	N/A	1.30	0.5	0.026	0.01	Aroclor 1260	-	Type of duck unknowr
12-01-128	01/07/2012	Outside Hopedale	Duck	Muscle	12-01 129	1.50	0.5	0.019	0.01	Aroclor 1260	-	Type of duck unknown
12-01-129	01/07/2012	Outside Hopedale	Duck	Liver	12-01 128	1.00	0.5	0.010	0.01	Aroclor 1260	-	Type of duck unknown
12-01-245	01/07/2012	Outside Hopedale	Duck	Muscle	12-01 246	4.80	0.5	0.021	0.01	Aroclor 1260		Type of duck unknowr
12-01-246	01/07/2012	Outside Hopedale	Duck	Liver	12-01 245	2.90	0.5	0.020	0.01	Aroclor 1260	-	Type of duck unknown
12-01-247	01/07/2012	Outside Hopedale	Duck	Muscle	12-01 248	1.80	0.5	0.057	0.01	Aroclor 1260	-	Type of duck unknown
12-01-248	01/07/2012	Outside Hopedale	Duck	Liver	12-01 240	2.60	0.5	0.062	0.01	Aroclor 1260		Type of duck unknown
12-01-240	01/07/2012	Outside Hopedale	Duck	Musclo	N/A	2.00	0.5	0.002	0.01	Aroclor 1200		Type of duck unknown
12-01-203	01/07/2012	Outside Hopedale	Duck	Muscle	N/A	2.30	0.5	0.25	0.01	Aroclor 1260	Leg muscle	Type of duck unknowr
12-01-204	01/07/2012	Outside Hopedale	Duck	Liver	N/A	3 30	1 90	0.004	0.01	Aroclor 1200	-	Type of duck unknown
12-01-233	01/07/2012	Outside Hopedale	Eider Duck	Liver	12-01 385	3.50	0.80	<0.021	0.01		_	
12-01-304	01/07/2012	Outside Hopedale	Eider Duck	Musclo	12-01 384	1.30	0.00	<0.010	0.01		-	_
12-01-305	01/07/2012	Outside Hopedale	Eider Duck	Liver	12-01 387	1.30	0.5	0.010	0.01	Aroclor 1260	-	_
12-01-300	01/07/2012	Outside Hopedale	Eider Duck	Muscle	12-01 386	0.80	0.5	0.019	0.01	Aroclor 1260	-	-
12-01-307	01/01/2012	Outside Hopedale	LIGET DUCK	Muscie	12-01 300	0.00	0.5	0.004	0.01	AIOCIOI 1200		
12-01 715	01/10/2012	Umiattugiak	Eider Duck	Liver	-	-	-	0.035	0.01	Aroclor 1260	-	Muscle samples not sent to lab in error
40.04.740	01/10/2012	Umiattugiak	Eider Duck	Liver	-	-	-	0.020	0.01	Aroclor 1260	-	Muscle samples not sent to lab in error
12-01 /16				-								
12 01 717	01/10/2012	Umiattugiak	Eider Duck	Liver	-	-	-	0.048	0.01	Aroclor 1260	-	Muscle samples not sent to lab in error
12-01 717	01/10/2012	Hopodolo Boint	Eider Duck	Musele	12 01 702			0.024	0.01	Arcolor 1260		
12-01 701	01/10/2012	Hopedale Point	Eider Duck	liver	12-01 702	-	-	0.034	0.01	Aroclor 1260	-	-
12-01 702	01/10/2012	Hopedale Point	Eider Duck	Musele	12-01 701	-	-	0.013	0.01	Aroclor 1260	-	-
12-01 703	01/10/2012	Hopedale Point	Eider Duck	liver	12-01 704	-	-	0.075	0.01	Arcolor 1260	-	-
12-01 704	01/10/2012	Outside Henodele		Liver	12-01703 N/A	12.00	-	-0.022	0.01	ATUCIUI 1200	- Log Bono	-
12-01-155	01/07/2012	Outside Hopedale	Guuse	Musele	N/A	12.00	0.50	<0.010	0.01	-	Leg Bone	-
12-01-155 Lab-Dup	01/07/2012	Outside Hopedale	Goose	Muscle	IN/A	-	-	<0.010	0.01	-	Leg Bone	-
12-01-249	01/07/2012	Outside Hopedale	Goose	wuscie	12-01 265	4.10	0.50	<0.010	0.01	-	Leg muscle	-
12-01-203	01/07/2012	NCO Bond	Goose	Liver	12-01 249	2.10	0.50	<0.010	0.01	- Arcolor 1260	-	-
12-01-114	01/07/2012	NCO Pond	Shellbird	iviuscie	12-01 115	0.80	0.60	0.57	0.01	Aroclor 1260	-	-
12-01-115	01/07/2012	NUC Pond	Shellbird	Liver	12-01 114	3.60	1.3	0.15	0.01	Aroclor 1260	-	-
12-01-200	01/07/2012		Shellbird	IVIUSCIE	12-01 201	3.60	0.5	0.020	0.01	Aroclor 1260	-	-
12-01-201	01/07/2012		Shellbird	Liver	12-01 200	3.40	0.5	0.016	0.01	AFOCIOF 1260	-	-
12-01-202	01/07/2012	Outside Hopedale	Shellbird	Liver	12-01 203	1.00	0.60	<0.010	0.01	- Arealar 1960	-	-
12-01-203	01/07/2012		Shelibird	IVIUSCIE	12-01 202	1.30	0.7	0.029	0.01	Arocior 1260	-	-
12-01-290	01/07/2012	NCO Pond	Snellbird	Muscle	N/A	1.20	0.50	0.54	0.01	Arocior 1260	-	-

#### Table B.2 Results of Laboratory Analysis of PCBs in Birds Marine Study and Human Health Risk Assessment Re-evaluation Former U.S. Military Site, Hopedale, Labrador Stantec Project No. 121411777.310

Sample ID	Sample Date	Location	Sample Type	Sub-type	Same Animal As	Crude (%)	RDL	PCB (ug/g)	RDL	Comment	Features	Notes
12-01-290 Lab-Dup	01/07/2012	NCO Pond	Shellbird	Muscle	N/A	-	-	0.25	0.05	Duplicate: results are outside acceptance limit. Analysis was repeated with similar results.	-	-
12-01-204	01/07/2012	Outside Hopedale	White Partridge	Muscle	12-01 205	1.40	0.5	<0.010	0.01	-	-	-
12-01-205	01/07/2012	Outside Hopedale	White Partridge	Liver	12-01 204	4.80	4	<0.010	0.01	-	-	-
12-01-208	01/07/2012	Outside Hopedale	Spruce Partridge	Muscle	12-01 210	0.50	0.50	<0.010	0.01	-	-	-
12-01-208 Lab-Dup	01/07/2012	Outside Hopedale	Spruce Partridge	Muscle	12-01 210	0.60	0.50	-	-	-	-	-
12-01-210	01/07/2012	Outside Hopedale	Spruce Partridge	Liver	12-01208	-	-	<0.010	0.01	-	-	-
12-01-213	01/07/2012	Outside Hopedale	Spruce Partridge	Liver	12-01 214	1.70	0.5	0.012	0.01	Aroclor 1260	-	-
12-01-214	01/07/2012	Outside Hopedale	Spruce Partridge	Muscle	12-01 213	1.00	0.5	<0.010	0.01	-	-	-
12-01-211	01/07/2012	Outside Hopedale	Turr	Muscle	12-01 211	1.60	0.5	0.037	0.01	Aroclor 1260	-	-
12-01-212	01/07/2012	Outside Hopedale	Turr	Liver	12-01 212	4.50	1	0.16	0.01	Aroclor 1260	-	-
12-01-212 Lab-Dup	01/07/2012	Outside Hopedale	lurr	Liver	12-01 212	3.50	0.8	-	-	-	-	-
12-01-389	01/07/2012	Outside Hopedale	l urr	Liver	12-01 390	4.10	0.6	0.20	0.01	Aroclor 1260	-	-
12-01-390	01/07/2012	Outside Hopedale	i urr	Muscle	12-01 389	9.50	0.5	0.76	0.01	Arocior 1260	-	-
12-01 720	01/10/2012	Barge Island	Young Pigeon	Muscle	Unknown	-	-	<0.010	0.01	- Arceler 1960	-	-
12-01 721	01/10/2012	Darge Island	Young Pigeon	liver	Unknown	-	-	0.021	0.01	Aroclor 1260	-	-
12-01 710	01/10/2012	Barge Island	Young Pigeon	Liver	Unknown	-	-	0.031	0.01	Aroclor 1260	-	-
12-01-207	01/07/2012	Outside Honedale	Pigeon	Muscle	12-01 209	5.00	0.7	0.013	0.01	Aroclor 1260		
12-01-209	01/07/2012	Outside Hopedale	Pigeon	Liver	12-01 203	2 70	0.7	0.001	0.01	Aroclor 1260	-	
12-01-216	01/07/2012	Outside Hopedale	Pigeon	Liver	12-01 217	1.00	0.50	0.012	0.01	Aroclor 1260	-	_
12-01-217	01/07/2012	Outside Hopedale	Pigeon	Muscle	12-01 216	12 00	0.00	0.012	0.01	Aroclor 1260	-	-
12-01-467	01/07/2012	Black Heads	Pigeon	Liver	12-01 482	3 10	0.90	0.040	0.01	Aroclor 1260	-	-
12-01-482	01/07/2012	Black Heads	Pigeon	Muscle	12-01 467	3.20	0.5	0.033	0.01	Aroclor 1260	-	-
12-01-487	01/07/2012	Okalik Island	Pigeon	Liver	12-01 494	4.20	0.5	0.017	0.01	Aroclor 1260	Composite	-
12-01-494	01/07/2012	Okalik Island	Pigeon	Muscle	12-01 487	4.90	0.5	0.034	0.01	Aroclor 1260	Composite	-
12-01 490	01/10/2012	South Tip of Black Heads	Pigeon	Liver	-	-	-	0.019	0.01	Aroclor 1260	Composite (01 490- 01 493)	-
12-01 490 Lab-Dup	01/10/2012	South Tip of Black Heads	Pigeon	Liver	-	-	-	0.018	0.01	-	Composite (01 490- 01 493)	-
12-01 497	01/10/2012	South Tip of Black Heads	Pigeon	Muscle	12-01 490	-	-	0.029	0.01	Aroclor 1260	-	Livers consolidated in sample 12-01 490
12-01 498	01/10/2012	South Tip of Black Heads	Pigeon	Muscle	12-01 490	-	-	0.035	0.01	Aroclor 1260	-	Livers consolidated in sample 12-01 490
12-01 498 Lab-Dup	01/09/2012	South Tip of Black Heads	Pigeon	Muscle	12-01 490	-	-	0.038	0.01	-	-	Livers consolidated in sample 12-01 490
12-01 499	01/10/2012	South Tip of Black Heads	Pigeon	Muscle	12-01 490	-	-	0.055	0.01	Aroclor 1260	-	Livers consolidated in sample 12-01 490
12-01 500	01/11/2012	South Tip of Black Heads	Pigeon	Muscle	12-01 490	-	-	0.036	0.01	Aroclor 1260	-	Livers consolidated in sample 12-01 490

Notes:

RDL = Reportable Detection Limit Lab-Dup = Laboratory Initiated Duplicate N/A = Not applicable

#### Table B.3 Results of Laboratory Analysis of PCBs in Berries Marine Study and Human Health Risk Assessment Re-evaluation Former U.S. Military Site, Hopedale, Labrador Stantec Project No. 121411777.310

Sample ID	Sample Date	Location	Sample Type	Sub-type	Same Animal	Crude	RDL	PCB	RDL	Comment	Features	Notes
12-BA 1	01/09/2012	Outside Hopedale	Bakeapples	Whole	-	-	-	< 0.010	0.01	Aroclor 1260	-	-
12-BA 2	01/10/2012	Outside Hopedals	Bakeapples	Whole	-	-	-	< 0.010	0.01	Aroclor 1260	-	-
12-01-138	01/07/2012	Basehill Gulch	Bakeapples	Whole	-	<0.50	0.5	< 0.010	0.01	-	-	-
12-01-274	01/07/2012	BMEWS Hill North Side	Bakeapples	Whole	-	<0.50	0.5	< 0.010	0.01	-	-	-
12-01-275	01/07/2012	BMEWS Hill North Side	Bakeapples	Whole	-	0.70	0.5	0.14	0.01	Aroclor 1260	-	-
12-01-276	01/07/2012	BMEWS Hill North Side	Bakeapples	Whole	-	<0.50	0.5	<0.010	0.01	-	-	-
12-01-277	01/07/2012	BMEWS Hill North Side	Bakeapples	Whole	-	<0.90	0.9	<0.010	0.01	-	-	-
12-01-289	01/07/2012	NCO Pond Island	Bakeapples	Whole	-	0.90	0.50	<0.010	0.01	-	-	-
12-01-289 Lab-Dup	01/07/2012	NCO Pond Island	Bakeapples	Whole	-	0.60	0.50	<0.010	0.01	-	-	-
12-01 501	01/10/2012	Black Heads Cove (upstream by the pond)	Blackberries	Whole	-	-	-	<0.010	0.01	-	Not washed	GPS Location: 675115, 6151317
12-01 486	01/10/2012	Base (back hill of main base)	Blackberries	Whole	-	-	-	<0.010	0.01	-	Not Washed	-
12-01 479	01/10/2012	Base (back hill of main base)	Blackberries	Whole	-	-	-	<0.010	0.01	-	Not washed	-
12-01 502	01/10/2012	Black Heads Cove (upstream by the pond)	Blueberries	Whole	-	-	-	<0.010	0.01	-	Not Washed	GPS Location: 675115, 6151317
12-01-140	01/07/2012	Basehill North Side	Blackberries	Whole	-	<0.50	0.50	<0.010	0.01	-	-	-
12-01-281	01/07/2012	BMEWS Hill North side	Blackberries	Whole	-	-	-	<0.010	0.01	-	Composite	-
12-01-139	01/07/2012	Basehill North Side	Blueberries	Whole	-	<0.50	0.50	<0.010	0.01	-	-	-
12-01-278	01/07/2012	BMEWS Hill North Side	Blueberries	Whole	-	0.50	0.50	<0.010	0.01	-	Composite	-
12-01 480	01/10/2012	Base (back hill of main base)	Blueberries	Whole	-	-	-	<0.010	0.01	-	Not Washed	-
12-01-484	01/10/2012	Base (back hill of main base)	Blueberries	Whole	-	-	-	<0.010	0.01	-	Not washed	-
12-01 503	01/10/2012	Black Heads Cove (upstream by the pond)	Red Berries	Whole	-	-	-	<0.010	0.01	Aroclor 1260	Not Washed	GPS Location: 675115, 6151317
12-01 485	01/10/2012	Base (back hill of main base)	Red Berries	Whole	-	-	-	<0.010	0.01	Aroclor 1260	Not Washed	-
12-01 481	01/09/2012	Base (back hill of main base)	Red Berries	Whole	-	-	-	<0.010	0.01	Aroclor 1260	Not Washed	-
12-01-141	01/07/2012	Basehill North Side	Red Berries	Whole	-	<0.50	0.50	<0.010	0.01	-	-	-
12-01-360	01/07/2012	South Side of Black Heads	Tullignak	Leaves		1.50	0.6	<0.010	0.01	-	-	-

Notes:

RDL = Reportable Detection Limit Lab-Dup = Laboratory Initiated Duplicate N/A = Not applicable

#### Table B.4 Results of Laboratory Analysis of PCBs in Fish Marine Study and Human Health Risk Assessment Re-evaluation Former U.S. Military Site, Hopedale, Labrador Stantec Project No. 121411777.310

Sample ID	Sample Date	Location	Sample Type	Sub-type	Same Animal As	Crude (%)		PCB (ug/g)	RDL	Comment	Features	Notes
12-01-102 12-01-103	01/07/2012 01/07/2012 01/07/2012	Hopedale Harbour Hopedale Harbour	Rock Cod Rock Cod	Pitsik	-	0.90	0.60	0.60	0.01	Aroclor 1260 Aroclor 1260 Aroclor 1260	Skin on - dried meat Skin on - dried meat	White spots seen in the flesh of the muschle White spots seen in the flesh of the muschle
12-01-103 Lab-Dup 12-01-104	01/07/2012 01/07/2012	Hopedale Harbour Hopedale Harbour	Rock Cod Rock Cod	Pitsik Pitsik	-	- <0.50	- 0.5	1.0 0.68	0.01 0.01	- Aroclor 1260	Skin on - dried meat Skin on - dried meat	White spots seen in the flesh of the muschle
12-01-105 12-01-106	01/07/2012 01/07/2012	Hopedale Harbour Hopedale Harbour	Rock Cod Rock Cod	Pitsik Pitsik	-	1.90	0.5	0.18	0.01	Aroclor 1260 Aroclor 1260	Skin on - dried meat Skin on - dried meat	
12-01-107 12-01-108 12-01-109	01/07/2012 01/07/2012 01/07/2012	Hopedale Harbour Hopedale Harbour Outside Hopedale	Rock Cod Rock Cod	Pitsik Pitsik Pitsik		<0.50 1.20	0.5	0.70	0.01	Aroclor 1260 Aroclor 1260	Skin on - dried meat Skin on - dried meat	-
12-01-110 12-01-110 12-01-111	01/07/2012 01/07/2012	Outside Hopedale Outside Hopedale Outside Hopedale	Rock Cod Rock Cod	Pitsik	-	1.80	0.6	0.026	0.01	Aroclor 1260 Aroclor 1260	Skin on - dried meat Skin on - dried meat	
12-01-111 Lab-Dup 12-01-112	01/07/2012 01/07/2012	Outside Hopedale Outside Hopedale	Rock Cod Rock Cod	Pitsik Pitsik	-	- 1.20	- 0.5	0.013 <0.010	0.01 0.01	-	Skin on - dried meat Skin on - dried meat	-
12-01-113 12-01-285	01/07/2012 01/07/2012	Outside Hopedale Hopedale Harbour	Rock Cod Rock Cod	Pitsik Siva	-	0.70 24.00	0.50	0.026	0.01	Aroclor 1260 Aroclor 1260	Skin on - dried meat -	
12-01-286 12-01 344	01/07/2012 01/10/2012	Hopedale Harbour Inner Harbour Hopedale	Rock Cod Rock Cod	Siva Muscle	- N/A	- 25.00	- 0.7	14 0.22	0.01	Aroclor 1260 Aroclor 1260	- White spots in flesh of	
12-01-287	01/07/2012	Railroads	Rock Cod	Siva		41.00	0.5	0.30	0.01	Aroclor 1260	meat	-
AREA 2 FISH 4 AREA 2 FISH 4 Lab-Dup	2010	Inner Hopedale Harbour	Rock cod	muscle		1.50	0.7	1.6	0.05	Aroclor 1260	-	Stantec Sample Stantec Sample
AREA 2 FISH 5 AREA 2 FISH 6	2010 2010	Inner Hopedale Harbour Inner Hopedale Harbour	Rock cod Rock cod	muscle muscle		2.10 1.00		1	0.05	Aroclor 1260 Aroclor 1260		Stantec Sample Stantec Sample
AREA 2 FISH 7 AREA 2 FISH 8	2010 2010	Inner Hopedale Harbour Inner Hopedale Harbour	Rock cod Rock cod	muscle muscle		1.60 2.30		0.81 0.92	0.05	Aroclor 1260 Aroclor 1260		Stantec Sample Stantec Sample
AREA 2 FISH 9 AREA 2 FISH 10	2010 2010	Inner Hopedale Harbour Inner Hopedale Harbour	Rock cod Rock cod	muscle muscle		1.40		2.1	0.05	Aroclor 1260 Aroclor 1260		Stantec Sample Stantec Sample
AREA 2 FISH 11 AREA 2 FISH 12 AREA 2 FISH 13	2010 2010 2010	Inner Hopedale Harbour	Rock cod Rock cod	muscle		3.30 1.30 2.70		1.5	0.05	Aroclor 1260 Aroclor 1260		Stantec Sample Stantec Sample
AREA 3 FISH 8 AREA 3 FISH 8 Lab-Dup	2010 2010 2010	Inner Hopedale Harbour Inner Hopedale Harbour	Rock cod Rock cod	muscle		nd		0.29	0.05	Aroclor 1260		Stantec Sample Stantec Sample
AREA 3 FISH 9 AREA 3 FISH 10	2010 2010	Inner Hopedale Harbour Inner Hopedale Harbour	Rock cod Rock cod	muscle muscle		0.60 0.80		0.48	0.05	Aroclor 1260 Aroclor 1260		Stantec Sample Stantec Sample
AREA 3 FISH 11 AREA 3 FISH 12	2010 2010	Inner Hopedale Harbour Inner Hopedale Harbour	Rock cod Rock cod	muscle muscle		nd 0.60		0.22	0.05	Aroclor 1260 Aroclor 1260		Stantec Sample Stantec Sample
AREA 3 FISH 13 AREA 3 FISH 13 Lab-Dup	2010 2010	Inner Hopedale Harbour Inner Hopedale Harbour	Rock cod Rock cod	muscle		0.50		0.16 0.21	0.05	Aroclor 1260		Stantec Sample Stantec Sample
AREA 4 FISH 1 AREA 4 FISH 2 AREA 4 FISH 3	2010 2010 2010	Inner Hopedale Harbour	Rock cod	muscle		0.70		nd 1.5	0.05	- Aroclor 1260		Stantec Sample Stantec Sample
11-ROCKCOD-IH1 11-ROCKCOD-IH2	2011 2011	Inner Hopedale Harbour Inner Hopedale Harbour	Rock cod Rock cod	muscle		1.10 nd		nd 0.1	0.05	- Aroclor 1260		Stantec Sample Stantec Sample
11-ROCKCOD-IH3 11-ROCKCOD-IH4	2011 2011	Inner Hopedale Harbour Inner Hopedale Harbour	Rock cod Rock cod	muscle muscle		0.50 0.60		0.32 0.72	0.05	Aroclor 1260 Aroclor 1260		Stantec Sample Stantec Sample
11-ROCKCOD-IH5 11-ROCKCOD-IH6	2011 2011	Inner Hopedale Harbour Inner Hopedale Harbour	Rock cod Rock cod	muscle muscle		0.50		0.1	0.05	Aroclor 1260 Aroclor 1260		Stantec Sample Stantec Sample
11-ROCKCOD-IH7 11-ROCKCOD-IH7 Lab-Dup 11-ROCKCOD-IH8	2011 2011 2011	Inner Hopedale Harbour	Rock cod	muscle		nd 0.50		0.11 - 0.45	0.05	Aroclor 1260 - Aroclor 1260		Stantec Sample Stantec Sample Stantec Sample
11-ROCKCOD-IH9 11-ROCKCOD-IH10	2011 2011 2011	Inner Hopedale Harbour Inner Hopedale Harbour	Rock cod Rock cod	muscle muscle		nd 0.60		0.43	0.05	Aroclor 1260 Aroclor 1260		Stantec Sample Stantec Sample
11-ROCKCOD-IH10 Lab-Dup 11-ROCKCOD LIVER-IH1	2011 2011	Inner Hopedale Harbour Inner Hopedale Harbour	Rock cod	muscle liver		43.00		0.29	0.05	- Aroclor 1260		Stantec Sample Stantec Sample
11-ROCKCOD LIVER-IH2 11-ROCKCOD LIVER-IH3	2011 2011	Inner Hopedale Harbour Inner Hopedale Harbour	Rock cod Rock cod	liver liver		37.00 35.00		34 26	0.05	Aroclor 1260 Aroclor 1260		Stantec Sample Stantec Sample
11-ROCKCOD LIVER-IH3 Lab-Dup 11-ROCKCOD-OH1 11-ROCKCOD OH1 Lab Due	2011 2011	Outer Hopedale Harbour	Rock cod Rock cod	liver muscle		33.00 0.50		26 0.2	0.05	- Aroclor 1260		Stantec Sample Stantec Sample
11-ROCKCOD-OH1 Lab-Dup 11-ROCKCOD-OH2 11-ROCKCOD-OH3	2011 2011 2011	Outer Hopedale Harbour Outer Hopedale Harbour Outer Hopedale Harbour	Rock cod Rock cod	muscle		0.60		0.21 0.15	0.05	- Aroclor 1260 Aroclor 1260		Stantec Sample Stantec Sample
11-ROCKCOD-OH4 11-ROCKCOD-OH5	2011 2011 2011	Outer Hopedale Harbour Outer Hopedale Harbour	Rock cod Rock cod	muscle		0.70 nd		0.51 0.11	0.05	Aroclor 1260 Aroclor 1260		Stantec Sample Stantec Sample
11-ROCKCOD-OH6 11-ROCKCOD-OH7	2011 2011	Outer Hopedale Harbour Outer Hopedale Harbour	Rock cod Rock cod	muscle muscle		0.60 nd		0.88	0.05	Aroclor 1260 Aroclor 1260		Stantec Sample Stantec Sample
11-ROCKCOD-OH8 11-ROCKCOD-OH9	2011 2011	Outer Hopedale Harbour Outer Hopedale Harbour	Rock cod Rock cod	muscle muscle		0.70		0.09 nd	0.05	Aroclor 1260		Stantec Sample Stantec Sample
11-ROCKCOD-0H10 11-ROCKCOD LIVER-0H1 11-ROCKCOD LIVER-0H2	2011 2011 2011	Outer Hopedale Harbour Outer Hopedale Harbour Outer Hopedale Harbour	Rock cod Rock cod	liver		22.00 35.00		0.08 16 21	0.05	Aroclor 1260 Aroclor 1260 Aroclor 1260		Stantec Sample Stantec Sample
11-ROCKCOD LIVER-OH3 11-ROCKCOD-BHT1	2011 2011	Outer Hopedale Harbour Blackhead Tickle	Rock cod Rock cod	liver muscle		32.00 0.50		5.8 nd	0.05	Aroclor 1260		Stantec Sample Stantec Sample
11-ROCKCOD-BHT2 11-ROCKCOD-BHT2 Lab-Dup	2011 2011	Blackhead Tickle Blackhead Tickle	Rock cod Rock cod	muscle muscle		0.50		nd nd	0.05	-		Stantec Sample Stantec Sample
11-ROCKCOD-BHT3 11-ROCKCOD-BHT4	2011 2011	Blackhead Tickle Blackhead Tickle	Rock cod Rock cod	muscle muscle		nd 0.50		nd nd	0.05	-		Stantec Sample Stantec Sample
11-ROCKCOD-BHT5 11-ROCKCOD-BHT6 11 BOCKCOD BHT7	2011 2011	Blackhead Tickle Blackhead Tickle	Rock cod Rock cod	muscle muscle		0.60 nd		nd nd	0.05	-		Stantec Sample Stantec Sample
11-ROCKCOD-BHT8 11-ROCKCOD-BHT8 11-ROCKCOD-BHT9	2011 2011 2011	Blackhead Tickle Blackhead Tickle	Rock cod	muscle		nd		nd	0.05	-		Stantec Sample Stantec Sample
11-ROCKCOD-BHT10 11-ROCKCOD LIVER-BHT1	2011 2011 2011	Blackhead Tickle Blackhead Tickle	Rock cod Rock cod	muscle		0.50 35.00		nd 0.1	0.05	- Aroclor 1260		Stantec Sample Stantec Sample
11-ROCKCOD LIVER-BHT2 11-ROCKCOD LIVER-BHT3	2011 2011	Blackhead Tickle Blackhead Tickle	Rock cod Rock cod	liver liver		32.00 29.00		nd nd	0.1 0.1	-		Stantec Sample Stantec Sample
11-ROCKCOD LIVER-BHT3 Lab-Dup 11-ROCKCOD-TB1	2011 2011	Blackhead Tickle Tooktoosner Bay	Rock cod Rock cod	liver muscle		35.00 nd		- nd	0.05	-		Stantec Sample Stantec Sample
11-ROCKCOD-TB2 11-ROCKCOD-TB2 Lab-Dup	2011 2011 2011	Tooktoosner Bay Tooktoosner Bay	Rock cod Rock cod	muscle muscle		1.60 -		nd nd	0.05	-		Stantec Sample Stantec Sample
11-ROCKCOD-TB3 11-ROCKCOD-TB4 11-ROCKCOD-TB5	2011 2011	Tooktoosner Bay Tooktoosner Bay	Rock cod	muscle		nd 1.00		nd	0.05	-		Stantec Sample Stantec Sample
11-ROCKCOD-TB6 11-ROCKCOD-TB7	2011 2011	Tooktoosner Bay Tooktoosner Bay	Rock cod Rock cod	muscle		0.50		nd nd	0.05	-		Stantec Sample Stantec Sample
11-ROCKCOD-TB8 11-ROCKCOD-TB9	2011 2011	Tooktoosner Bay Tooktoosner Bay	Rock cod Rock cod	muscle muscle		0.50 nd		nd nd	0.05	-		Stantec Sample Stantec Sample
11-ROCKCOD-TB10 11-ROCKCOD LIVER-TB1 14 ROCKCOD LIVER TB2	2011 2011	Tooktoosner Bay Tooktoosner Bay	Rock cod Rock cod	muscle liver		1.10 42.00		nd 0.2	0.05	- Aroclor 1260		Stantec Sample Stantec Sample
11-ROCKCOD LIVER-TB2 11-ROCKCOD LIVER-TB2 Lab-Dup 11-ROCKCOD LIVER-TB3	2011 2011	Tooktoosner Bay Tooktoosner Bay	Rock cod	liver		40.00		- 0.2	0.1	- Aroclor 1260		Stantec Sample Stantec Sample
12-01-219 12-01-220	01/07/2012 01/07/2012	Hopedale Point Hopedale Point	Flatfish	Muscle	12-01 220 12-01 219, 12-01 21	1.10	0.6	<0.010 0.21	0.01	- Aroclor 1260	- Composite	- Composite (12-01 220, 222)
12-01-221 12-01-223	01/07/2012 01/07/2012	Hopedale Point Hopedale Harbour	Flatfish Flatfish	Muscle Muscle	12-01 220 12-01 224	1.10 1.10	0.7 0.8	0.39 0.59	0.01	Aroclor 1260 Aroclor 1260	-	-
12-01-224	01/07/2012	Hopedale Harbour	Flatfish	Liver	12-01 223, 12-01 231-237, 272	15.00	1	8.1	0.01	Aroclor 1260	Composite	Composite (12-01 224 - 230, 273)
12-01-231 12-01-233 12-01-234	01/07/2012 01/07/2012 01/07/2012	Hopedale Harbour Hopedale Harbour Hopedale Harbour	Flatfish	Muscle Muscle	12-01 224 12-01 224 12-01 224	0.70	0.5	0.16 0.13	0.01	Aroclor 1260 Aroclor 1260		-
12-01-272 12-01 232	01/07/2012 01/10/2012	Hopedale Harbour Hopedale Harbour	Flatfish	Muscle Muscle	12-01 224 12-01 224	1.00	0.5	0.2	0.01	Aroclor 1260 Aroclor 1260	-	- Livers consolidated in sample 12-01 224
12-01 236 12-01 237	01/10/2012 01/10/2012	Hopedale Harbour Hopedale Harbour	Flatfish Flatfish	Muscle Muscle	12-01 224 12-01 224	-	-	0.12 0.52	0.01	Aroclor 1260 Aroclor 1260		Livers consolidated in sample 12-01 224 Livers consolidated in sample 12-01 224
12-01 235 12-01-330	01/10/2012 01/07/2012	Hopedale Harbour Hopedale Point	Flatfish Salmon	Muscle Muscle	12-01 224 Unknown	- 6.50	- 0.5	0.29 <0.010	0.01 0.01	Aroclor 1260	-	Livers consolidated in sample 12-01 224
12-01-331 12-01-332 12-01-333	01/07/2012 01/07/2012	Hopedale Point Hopedale Point	Salmon Salmon	Muscle Muscle	Unknown Unknown	7.60	0.5	0.015	0.01	Aroclor 1260 Aroclor 1260	-	
12-01-334 12-01-450	01/07/2012 01/07/2012 01/07/2012	Hopedale Point Hopedale Point	Salmon Salmon	Muscle	Unknown	2.40 4.70	0.5	0.022	0.01	Aroclor 1260 Aroclor 1260	-	
12-01-451 12-01-452	01/07/2012 01/07/2012	Hopedale Point Hopedale Point	Salmon Salmon	Liver Liver	Unknown Unknown	1.30 2.50	0.5	<0.010 <0.010	0.01	-	-	
12-01-453 12-01-454	01/07/2012 01/07/2012	Hopedale Point Hopedale Point	Salmon Salmon	Liver Liver	Unknown Unknown	2.00 5.30	0.5 0.5	<0.010 <0.010	0.01	-	-	
12-01 300 12-01 300 Lab-Dup	01/10/2012 01/10/2012	Neksarsoak Cove Neksarsoak Cove	Salmon Salmon	Muscle Muscle	N/A N/A	-	-	0.019	0.01	Aroclor 1260 -	-	
12-01-020 12-01-116 12-01-117	01/07/2012 01/07/2012 01/07/2012	NEKSARSOAK COVE NCO Pond NCO Pond	Brook Trout Brook Trout	Whole Whole	N/A - -	- 3.00 1.10	0.5	0.016	0.01	Aroclor 1260 Aroclor 1260 Aroclor 1260	- Whole - bones in Whole - bones in	-
12-01-118 12-01-119	01/07/2012 01/07/2012	NCO Pond NCO Pond	Brook Trout Brook Trout	Whole	-	0.90	0.5	0.046	0.01	Aroclor 1260 Aroclor 1260 Aroclor 1260	Whole - bones in Whole - bones in	
12-01-120 12-01-121	01/07/2012 01/07/2012	NCO Pond NCO Pond	Brook Trout Brook Trout	Whole Whole	-	1.50 1.50	0.5 0.5	0.054 0.043	0.01	Aroclor 1260 Aroclor 1260	Whole - bones in Whole - bones in	· · ·
12-01-122 12-01-123	01/07/2012 01/07/2012	NCO Pond NCO Pond	Brook Trout Brook Trout	Whole Whole	-	2.00	0.5	0.034 <0.010	0.01	Aroclor 1260	Whole - bones in Whole - bones in	
12-01-124 12-01-284 12-01-291	01/07/2012	NCO Pond	Brook Trout Brook Trout	Whole Piteile	-	1.10 3.30	0.5	0.038	0.01	Aroclor 1260 Aroclor 1260 Aroclor 1260	Whole - bones in Dried most	-
12-01-292 FISH-10	01/07/2012 2009	NCO Pond NCO Pond Big Lake	Brook Trout Brook Trout Brook Trout	Pitsik Whole	-	2.40 2.10 5.50	0.5	0.078	0.01	Aroclor 1260 Aroclor 1260	Dried meat	- - Stantec Sample
FISH-10 Lab-Dup FISH-11	2009	Big Lake Big Lake	Brook Trout Brook Trout	Whole Whole		- 5.40	0.5	0.06	0.05			Stantec Sample Stantec Sample
Big Lake - Fish1 Big Lake - Fish2	2010 2010	Big Lake Big Lake	Brook Trout Brook Trout	Whole Whole		7.50	0.5 0.5	<0.3 0.4	0.3			Stantec Sample Stantec Sample
Big Lake - Fish3 Big Lake - Fish4 20120023NET1 A	2010 2010	Big Lake Big Lake	Brook Trout Brook Trout	Whole Whole		8.00 2.60	0.5 0.5	0.6	0.05	Aroches (2000		Stantec Sample Stantec Sample
20120923NET1A 20120923NET1B 20120924NET1A	2012 2012 2012	Big Lake Big Lake	Brook Trout Brook Trout	Muscle Muscle				0.11	0.05	Aroclor 1260 Aroclor 1260 Aroclor 1260		Stantec Sample Stantec Sample
20120923NET2A 20120923NET2B	2012 2012	Big Lake Big Lake	Brook Trout Brook Trout	Muscle Muscle				<0.050 <0.050	0.05			Stantec Sample Stantec Sample
20120923NET2C 20120923NET2D	2012 2012	Big Lake Big Lake	Brook Trout Brook Trout	Muscle Muscle				0.056	0.05	Aroclor 1260		Stantec Sample Stantec Sample
20120923NET2E 20120924NET2A	2012 2012	Big Lake Big Lake	Brook Trout Brook Trout	Muscle Muscle				<0.050	0.05	Aroclor 1260		Stantec Sample Stantec Sample
20120924NE12B 20120923NET3A 20120923NET3B	2012 2012 2013	Big Lake Big Lake	Brook Trout Brook Trout Brook Trout	Muscle Muscle				<0.050	0.05	Aroclor 1260		Stantec Sample Stantec Sample
20120923NET3C 20120924NET3A	2012 2012 2012	Big Lake Big Lake	Brook Trout Brook Trout	Muscle				0.19	0.05	Aroclor 1260 Aroclor 1260		Stantec Sample Stantec Sample
20120924NET3B	2012	Big Lake	Brook Trout	Muscle		ľ	1	0.18	0.05	Aroclor 1260		Stantec Sample

# Table B.4 Results of Laboratory Analysis of PCBs in Fish Marine Study and Human Health Risk Assessment Re-evaluation Former U.S. Military Site, Hopedale, Labrador Stantec Project No. 121411777.310

Sample ID	Sample Date	Location	Sample Type	Sub-type	Same Animal As	Crude (%)	RDL	PCB (ug/g)	RDL	Comment	Features	Notes
20120924NET3C 20120924NET3D	2012	Big Lake	Brook Trout Brook Trout	Muscle Muscle				<0.050	0.05	Aroclor 1260		Stantec Sample
20120924NET3E	2012	Big Lake	Brook Trout	Muscle				0.071	0.05	Aroclor 1260		Stantec Sample
20120924NET3F 20120924NET3F Lab-Dup	2012 2012	Big Lake Big Lake	Brook Trout Brook Trout	Muscle Muscle				<0.050	0.05			Stantec Sample Stantec Sample
20120924NET3G	2012	Big Lake	Brook Trout	Muscle				< 0.050	0.05			Stantec Sample
20120924NE13H 2012092424NET3LIVERBIG	2012 2012	Big Lake Big Lake	Brook Trout Brook Trout	Liver				0.061	0.05	Aroclor 1260 Aroclor 1260		Stantec Sample Stantec Sample
2012092424NET123LIVER	2012	Big Lake	Brook Trout	Liver		2.50		< 0.050	0.05			Stantec Sample
20130922BROOKA-WHOLE Lab-Dup	2013	Big Lake	Brook Trout	Whole		2.40		-	-			Stantec Sample
20130922BROOKB-WHOLE 20130922BROOKC-WHOLE	2013 2013	Big Lake Big Lake	Brook Trout Brook Trout	Whole Whole		2.00		<0.050	0.05	·		Stantec Sample Stantec Sample
20130922BROOKC-WHOLE Lab-Dup	2013	Big Lake	Brook Trout	Whole		3.90		< 0.050	0.05			Stantec Sample
20130922NE14A-WHOLE 20130922NET4B-WHOLE	2013	Big Lake Big Lake	Brook Trout Brook Trout	Whole		3.60		<0.050	0.05			Stantec Sample Stantec Sample
20130923NET4E-WHOLE	2013	Big Lake	Brook Trout Brook Trout	Whole		2.00		< 0.050	0.05			Stantec Sample
20130923NET5B-WHOLE	2013	Big Lake	Brook Trout	Whole		8.40		<0.050	0.05			Stantec Sample
20130922NET4C-FILLET 20130923NET4E-FILLET	2013 2013	Big Lake Big Lake	Brook Trout Brook Trout	Muscle Muscle		7.40		0.12	0.05	Aroclor 1260. Aroclor 1260.		Stantec Sample Stantec Sample
20130922NET5A-FILLET	2013	Big Lake	Brook Trout	Muscle		2.70		< 0.050	0.05	Arcolor 1260		Stantec Sample
20130923NET5E-FILLET	2013	Big Lake	Brook Trout	Muscle		1.90		0.08	0.05	Aroclor 1260. Aroclor 1260.		Stantec Sample
20130922NET6A-FILLET 20130922NET6B-FILLET	2013 2013	Big Lake Big Lake	Brook Trout Brook Trout	Muscle Muscle		5.20 5.30		0.24	0.05	Aroclor 1260. Aroclor 1260.		Stantec Sample Stantec Sample
20130923NET6C-FILLET	2013	Big Lake	Brook Trout	Muscle		3.80		< 0.050	0.05			Stantec Sample
20130923NE16D-FILLE1 20130923NET6F-FILLET	2013	Big Lake Big Lake	Brook Trout Brook Trout	Muscle		3.30		<0.050	0.05	Aroclor 1260.		Stantec Sample Stantec Sample
20130924NET7A-FILLET 20130924NET7A-FILLET Lab-Dup	2013	Ussiranniak Lake	Brook Trout Brook Trout	Muscle		11.00		< 0.050	0.05			Stantec Sample
20130924NET7B-FILLET	2013	Ussiranniak Lake	Brook Trout	Muscle		5.10		<0.050	0.05			Stantec Sample
20130924NET7C-FILLET 20130924NET7D-FILLET	2013 2013	Ussiranniak Lake Ussiranniak Lake	Brook Trout Brook Trout	Muscle Muscle		6.60 6.20		<0.050	0.05			Stantec Sample Stantec Sample
20130924NET8A-FILLET	2013	Ussiranniak Lake	Brook Trout	Muscle		9.80		0.11	0.05	Aroclor 1260.		Stantec Sample
20130924NE18B-FILLET 20130924NET8B-FILLET Lab-Dup	2013	Ussiranniak Lake	Brook Trout	Muscle		5.10		<0.050	0.05			Stantec Sample
20130924NET8C-FILLET 20130924NET9A-FILLET	2013 2013	Ussiranniak Lake	Brook Trout Brook Trout	Muscle Muscle		2.90		<0.050	0.05			Stantec Sample
20130924NET9B-FILLET	2013	Ussiranniak Lake	Brook Trout	Muscle		2.40		< 0.050	0.05			Stantec Sample
20130924NET9C-FILLET 20130924NET7I-LIVER	2013 2013	Ussiranniak Lake Ussiranniak Lake	Brook Trout Brook Trout	Muscle Liver		3.00 6.90		<0.050	0.05	·		Stantec Sample Stantec Sample
20130924NET8G-LIVER	2013	Ussiranniak Lake	Brook Trout	Liver		8.30		0.071	0.05	Aroclor 1260.		Stantec Sample
20130924NET9G-LIVER 12-01-243	01/07/2012	Hopedale Point	Herring	Liver	-	2.50	0.9	<0.050	0.05	-	-	Recorded as liver - but actually whole sample
12-01-244 12-01-375	01/07/2012	Hopedale Point Black Heads	Herring	Muscle Muscle	-	12.00	0.5	0.013	0.01	Aroclor 1260	-	Recorded as muscle - but actually whole sample
12-01-376	01/07/2012	Black Heads	Capelin	Muscle	-	2.20	0.5	<0.010	0.01	-	-	-
12-01-367 12-01-368	01/07/2012 01/07/2012	Big Bay Neck Big Bay Neck	Trout Trout	Muscle Muscle	N/A N/A	6.20 8.50	0.5	<0.010	0.01	- Aroclor 1260	-	-
12-01-215	01/07/2012	Udjutok Outside Hopedale	Smelts	Muscle	-	2.70	0.5	<0.010	0.01	-	Gutted	Recorded as muscle - but actually whole sample
20120923NET3D 20120923NET3D Lab-Dup	2012	Big Lake	Arctic Char Arctic Char	Muscle Muscle				0.072	0.05	Aroclor 1260		Stantec Sample
20130922NET4D-FILLET	2012	Big Lake	Arctic Char	Muscle		11.00		0.000	0.05	Aroclor 1260.		Stantec Sample
20130923NET5D-FILLET 20130924NET7E-FILLET	2012 2013	Big Lake Ussiranniak Lake	Arctic Char Arctic Char	Muscle Muscle		11.00 11.00		0.13	0.05	Aroclor 1260.		Stantec Sample Stantec Sample
20130924NET7F-FILLET	2013	Ussiranniak Lake	Arctic Char	Muscle		11.00		< 0.050	0.05			Stantec Sample
20130924NET7G-FILLET 20130924NET7H-FILLET	2013	Ussiranniak Lake	Arctic Char	Muscle		4.20		<0.050	0.05			Stantec Sample
20130924NET8D-FILLET 20130924NET8E-FILLET	2013	Ussiranniak Lake	Arctic Char Arctic Char	Muscle Muscle		11.00 6.70		<0.050	0.05			Stantec Sample
20130924NET8F-FILLET	2013	Ussiranniak Lake	Arctic Char	Muscle		2.30		< 0.050	0.05	-		Stantec Sample
20130924NET9D-FILLET 20130924NET9E-FILLET	2013	Ussiranniak Lake	Arctic Char Arctic Char	Muscle		12.00		<0.050	0.05			Stantec Sample Stantec Sample
20130924NET9F-FILLET	2013	Ussiranniak Lake	Arctic Char	Muscle		4.60		< 0.050	0.05			Stantec Sample
20130924NET9H-LIVER	2013	Ussiranniak Lake	Arctic Char	Liver		11.00		< 0.050	0.05			Stantec Sample
20130924NET7J-LIVER	2013	Ussiranniak Lake	Arctic Char	Liver		13.00		<0.050	0.05			Stantec Sample
12-01 762	01/11/2012	Char from Nain - Site Bushes	Smoked Char	Muscle	12-01 764	-	-	3.0	0.01	Aroclor 1260	Skin on - flat muscle	Inters from same lish were used to make two dimeterint smoked chart types. One filet was cut in half and smoked by 1) using berry bushes from around the base 2) using berry bushes from areas away from the base (collected towards the dump area). A fresh filet sample was kept separate for comparison of results
12-01 763	01/11/2012	Char from Nain - Background Bushes	Smoked Char	Muscle	12-01 764	-	-	0.019	0.01	Aroclor 1260	Skin on - flat muscle	Filets from same fish were used to make two different smoked char types. One filet was cut in half and smoked by 1) using berry bushes from around the base 2) using berry bushes from areas away from the base (collected towards the dump area). A fresh filet sample was kept separate for comparison of results
12-01 764	01/11/2012	Nain	Smoked Char	Muscle	-	-	-	0.031	0.01	Aroclor 1260	Skin on - flat muscle - not smoked	Filets from same fish were used to make two different smoked char types. One filet was cut in half and smoked by 1) using berry bushes from around the base 2) using berry bushes from areas away from the base (collected towards the dump area). A fresh filet sample was kept separate for comparison of results
12-01 765	01/11/2012	Char from Nain - Site Bushes	Smoked Char	Muscle	12-01 767	-	-	2.4	0.01	Aroclor 1260	Skin on - flat muscle	Filets from same fish were used to make two different smoked char types. One filet was cut in half and smoked by 1) using berry bushes from around the base 2) using berry bushes from areas away from the base (collected towards the dump area). A fresh filet sample was kept separate for comparison of results
12-01 766	01/11/2012	ıar from Nain - Background Bush	Smoked Char	Muscle	12-01 767	-	-	0.020	0.01	Aroclor 1260	Skin on - flat muscle	Filets from same fish were used to make two different smoked char types. One filet was cut in half and smoked by 1) using berry bushes from around the base 2) using berry bushes from areas away from the base (collected towards the dump area). A fresh filet sample was kept separate for comparison of results
12-01 767	01/11/2012	Nain	Smoked Char	Muscle	-	-	-	0.027	0.01	Aroclor 1260	Skin on - flat muscle - not smoked	Filets from same fish were used to make two different smoked char types. One filet was cut in half and smoked by 1) using berry bushes from around the base 2) using berry bushes from areas away from the base (collected towards the dump area). A fresh filet sample was kept separate for comparison of results
12-01 768	01/11/2012	Char from Nain - Site Bushes	Smoked Char	Muscle	12-01 770	-	-	2.0	0.01	Aroclor 1260	Skin on - flat muscle	Filets from same fish were used to make two different smoked char types. One filet was cut in half and smoked by 1) using berry bushes from around the base 2) using berry bushes from areas away from the base (collected towards the dump area). A fresh filet sample was kept separate for comparison of results
12-01 769	01/11/2012	Char from Nain - Background Bushes	Smoked Char	Muscle	12-01 770	-	-	0.024	0.01	Aroclor 1260	Skin on - flat muscle	Filets from same fish were used to make two different smoked char types. One filet was cut in half and smoked by 1) using berry bushes from around the base 2) using berry bushes from areas away from the base (collected towards the dump area). A fresh filet sample was kept separate for comparison of results

												comparison or results
12-01 770	01/11/2012	Nain	Smoked Char	Muscle	-	-	-	0.027	0.01	Aroclor 1260	Skin on - flat muscle - not smoked	Filets from same fish were used to make two different smoked char types. One filet was cut in half and smoked by 1) using berry bushes from around the base 2) using berry bushes from areas away from the base (collected towards the dump area). A fresh filet sample was kept separate for comparison of results
12-01 771	01/11/2012	Char from Nain - Site Bushes	Smoked Char	Muscle	12-01 773	-	-	1.9	0.01	Aroclor 1260	Skin on - flat muscle	Filets from same fish were used to make two different smoked char types. One filet was cut in half and smoked by 1) using berry bushes from around the base 2) using berry bushes from areas away from the base (collected towards the dump area). A fresh filet sample was kept separate for comparison of results
12-01 772	01/11/2012	Char from Nain - Background Bushes	Smoked Char	Muscle	12-01 773	-	-	0.018	0.01	Aroclor 1260	Skin on - flat muscle	Filets from same fish were used to make two different smoked char types. One filet was cut in half and smoked by 1) using berry bushes from around the base 2) using berry bushes from areas away from the base (collected towards the dump area). A fresh filet sample was kept separate for comparison of results
12-01 773	01/11/2012	Nain	Smoked Char	Muscle	-	-	-	0.021	0.01	Aroclor 1260	Skin on - flat muscle - not smoked	Filets from same fish were used to make two different smoked char types. One filet was cut in half and smoked by 1) using berry bushes from around the base 2) using berry bushes from areas away from the base (collected towards the dump area). A fresh filet sample was kept separate for comparison of results
12-01 774	01/11/2012	Char from Nain - Site Bushes	Smoked Char	Muscle	12-01 776	-	-	3.5	0.01	Aroclor 1260	Skin on - flat muscle	Filets from same fish were used to make two different smoked char types. One filet was cut in half and smoked by 1) using berry bushes from around the base 2) using berry bushes from areas away from the base (collected towards the dump area). A fresh filet sample was kept separate for comparison of results

#### Table B.4 Results of Laboratory Analysis of PCBs in Fish Marine Study and Human Health Risk Assessment Re-evaluation Former U.S. Military Site, Hopedale, Labrador Stantec Project No. 121411777.310

Sample ID	Sample Date	Location	Sample Type	Sub-type	Same Animal As	Crude (%)	RDL	PCB (ug/g)	RDL	Comment	Features	Notes
12-01 775	01/11/2012	Char from Nain - Background Bushes	Smoked Char	Muscle	12-01 776	-	-	0.016	0.01	Aroclor 1260	Skin on - flat muscle	Filets from same fish were used to make two different smoked char types. One filet was cut in half and smoked by 1) using berry bushes from around the base 2) using berry bushes from areas away from the base (collected towards the dump area). A fresh filet sample was kept separate for comparison of results
12-01 776	01/11/2012	Nain	Smoked Char	Muscle	-	-	-	0.023	0.01	Aroclor 1260	Skin on - flat muscle - not smoked	Filets from same fish were used to make two different smoked char types. One filet was cut in half and smoked by 1) using berry bushes from around the base 2) using berry bushes from areas away from the base (collected towards the dump area). A fresh filet sample was kept separate for comparison of results
12-01-381	01/07/2012	Kegetgauyak	Char	Muscle	N/A 12-01 778	6.60	0.6	<0.010	0.01	- Aroclor 1260	- Fresh muscle	- No skin - muscle only (Filets from same used to make
12-01 778	01/11/2012	Hopedale Harbour	Char	Pitsik	12-01 777	-	-	0.029	0.01	Aroclor 1260	Dried meat	pitsik) No skin - muscle only (Filets from same used to make
12-01 779	01/11/2012	Hopedale Harbour	Char	Muscle	12-01 780	-		0.038	0.01	Aroclor 1260	Fresh muscle	pitsik) No skin - muscle only (Filets from same used to make
12-01 780	01/11/2012	Hopedale Harbour	Char	Pitsik	12-01 779	-	-	0.064	0.01	Aroclor 1260	Dried meat	No skin - muscle only (Filets from same used to make
12-01 781	01/11/2012	Hopedale Harbour	Char	Muscle	12-01 782	-	-	0.019	0.01	Aroclor 1260	Fresh muscle	No skin - muscle only (Filets from same used to make
12-01 782	01/11/2012	Hopedale Harbour	Char	Pitsik	12-01 781	-	-	0.062	0.01	Aroclor 1260	Dried meat	No skin - muscle only (Filets from same used to make
12-01-254 12-01-254 Lab-Dup	01/07/2012	Hopedale Harbour	Clams	Muscle	-	0.80	0.5	0.27	0.01	Aroclor 1260	-	
12-01-255 12-01-255 12-01-256	01/07/2012	Hopedale Harbour Hopedale Harbour	Clams	Muscle	-	0.70	0.5	0.20	0.01	Aroclor 1260 Aroclor 1260		-
12-01-257 12-01-257 Lab-Dup	01/07/2012	Hopedale Harbour Hopedale Harbour	Clams	Muscle Muscle	-	1.10	0.8	0.15	0.01	Aroclor 1260	-	-
12-01-258 12-01-266	01/07/2012 01/07/2012	Hopedale Harbour Airstrip Cove	Clams Clams	Muscle Muscle	-	0.60	0.5 0.5	0.13	0.01	Aroclor 1260 Aroclor 1260	-	-
12-01-267 12-01-269	01/07/2012 01/07/2012	Blackheads Cove Blackheads Cove	Clams Clams	Muscle Muscle	-	0.90	0.7 0.7	<0.010 <0.010	0.01	-	-	-
12-01-270 12-01-271	01/07/2012 01/07/2012	Airstrip Cove Airstrip Cove	Clams Clams	Muscle Muscle	-	<1.8 0.90	1.8 0.8	0.036	0.01	Aroclor 1260 Aroclor 1260	-	-
12-01-296 12-01-351	01/07/2012 01/07/2012	Airstrip Cove South Side of Black Heads	Clams Clams	Muscle Muscle	-	0.70	0.5	0.042	0.01	Aroclor 1260 Aroclor 1260	-	-
12-01-352 12-01-352 Lab-Dup	01/07/2012 01/07/2012	South Side of Black Heads South Side of Black Heads	Clams Clams	Muscle	-	1.30	1 0.7	<0.010	0.01	-	-	
12-01-362 11-CLAMS-SBA(I)1	2011	South Side of Black Heads Southwest Bays Area 1	Clams	Muscle	-	1.10 nd	0.5	<0.010 nd	0.01	-	-	Stantec Sample
11-CLAMS-SBA(I)2 11-CLAMS-SBA(I)2 Lab-Dup 11-CLAMS-SBA(I)3	2011 2011	Southwest Bays Area 1 Southwest Bays Area 1	Clams	Muscle		0.90	0.5	-	0.05	- Arodor 1260		Stantec Sample Stantec Sample
11-CLAMS-SBA(II)1 11-CLAMS-SBA(II)1 11-CLAMS-SBA(II)2	2011	Southwest Bays Area 2 Southwest Bays Area 2	Clams	Muscle	-	1.10	0.5	0.05	0.05	Aroclor 1260 Aroclor 1260		Stantec Sample
11-CLAMS-SBA(II)3 11-CLAMS-TB1	2011 2011	Southwest Bays Area 2 Tooktoosner Bay	Clams	Muscle	-	1.00	0.5	nd	0.05	-		Stantec Sample Stantec Sample
11-MUSSELS-SBA(I)1 11-MUSSELS-SBA(I)2	2011 2011	Southwest Bays Area 1 Southwest Bays Area 1	Mussels Mussels	Muscle Muscle	-	1.50 1.50	0.5	0.23	0.05	Aroclor 1260 Aroclor 1260		Stantec Sample Stantec Sample
11-MUSSELS-SBA(I)3 11-MUSSELS-SBA(II)1	2011 2011	Southwest Bays Area 1 Southwest Bays Area 2	Mussels Mussels	Muscle Muscle	-	1.30 2.00	0.5 0.5	0.25 0.12	0.05	Aroclor 1260 Aroclor 1260		Stantec Sample Stantec Sample
11-MUSSELS-SBA(II)2 11-MUSSELS-SBA(II)3	2011 2011	Southwest Bays Area 2 Southwest Bays Area 2	Mussels Mussels	Muscle Muscle	-	1.60 2.10	0.5 0.5	0.16 0.12	0.05	Aroclor 1260 Aroclor 1260		Stantec Sample Stantec Sample
11-MUSSELS-BHT1 11-MUSSELS TB1	2011 2011	Black Head Tickle Tooktoosner Bay	Mussels Mussels	Muscle Muscle	-	1.90 1.70	0.5	nd nd	0.1	-		Stantec Sample Stantec Sample
12-01-180 12-01-181 12-01-198	01/07/2012 01/07/2012	Hopedale Harbour Hopedale Harbour	Wrinkles Wrinkles	Muscle Muscle	-	0.90	0.8	0.089	0.01	Aroclor 1260 Aroclor 1260	-	-
12-01-130 12-01-329 12-01-353	01/07/2012	Hopedale Harbour South Side of Black Heads	Wrinkles	Muscle	-	1.20	0.5	1.6	0.01	Aroclor 1260		
12-01 468 12-01 700	01/10/2012 01/10/2012	Hopedale Harbour Black Heads	Wrinkles Wrinkles	Muscle	-	-	-	0.66	0.01	Aroclor 1260	Composite Composite	Rinsed and Boiled for 10-15 minutes Rinsed and Boiled for 10-15 minutes
12-01 195	01/10/2012	Hebron Side	Wrinkles	Muscle	-	-	-	0.069	0.01	Aroclor 1260	Composite (01 195- 01 197)	Rinsed and Boiled for 10-15 minutes
12-01-134 12-01-170	01/07/2012 01/07/2012	Hebron Side Hopedale Harbour	Sea Urchin Sea Urchin	Muscle Muscle	-	4.10 3.70	0.5 0.5	0.026	0.01	Aroclor 1260 Aroclor 1260	Composite Composite	-
12-01-185 12-01-238	01/07/2012 01/07/2012	Hebron Side Hopedale Harbour	Sea Urchin Sea Urchin	Muscle Muscle	-	3.80 4.60	0.6	0.015	0.01	Aroclor 1260 Aroclor 1260	Composite Composite	-
12-01-240 12-01 483 12-01 709	01/07/2012 01/10/2012	Hopedale Harbour Hopedale Harbour	Sea Urchin Sea Urchin	Muscle		- 2.00	-	0.14	0.01	Aroclor 1260 Aroclor 1260	Composite	Rinsed and Boiled for 5-10 minutes
12-01 709 11-SALMON-TB1	01/10/2012	Black Heads Tooktoosner Bay	Sea Urchin Atlantic Salmon	Muscle	-	-	-	<0.010 <0.010 nd	0.01	-	Composite	Rinsed and Boiled for 5-10 minutes Stante: Sample
11-SALMON-TB2 11-SALMON-TB3	2011 2011	Tooktoosner Bay Tooktoosner Bay	Atlantic Salmon Atlantic Salmon	muscle muscle		17.00 3.10		nd	0.05	-		Stantec Sample Stantec Sample
11-SALMON LIVER-TB1 11-SALMON LIVER-TB2	2011 2011	Tooktoosner Bay Tooktoosner Bay	Atlantic Salmon Atlantic Salmon	liver liver		8.10 5.60		nd nd	0.05	-		Stantec Sample Stantec Sample
11-SALMON LIVER-TB2 Lab-Dup 11-SALMON LIVER-TB3	2011 2011	Tooktoosner Bay Tooktoosner Bay	Atlantic Salmon Atlantic Salmon	liver liver		5.60 11.00		- nd	0.05	-		Stantec Sample Stantec Sample
AREA 2 FISH 1 AREA 3 FISH 1 AREA 3 FISH 14	2010 2010	Inner Hopedale Harbour Inner Hopedale Harbour	Shorthorn sculpin Shorthorn sculpin	muscle		2.50 nd		nd nd	0.05	-		Stantec Sample Stantec Sample
AREA 3 FISH 14 AREA 3 FISH 15 AREA 3 FISH 16	2010	Inner Hopedale Harbour	Shorthorn sculpin Shorthorn sculpin	muscle		5.20		0.08	0.05	Aroclor 1260		Stantec Sample Stantec Sample
AREA 3 FISH 17 AREA 4 FISH 5	2010 2010 2010	Inner Hopedale Harbour Inner Hopedale Harbour	Shorthorn sculpin Shorthorn sculpin	muscle		0.70		nd 0.05	0.05	- Aroclor 1260		Stantec Sample Stantec Sample
11-SCULPIN-IH1 11-SCULPIN-IH2	2011 2011	Inner Hopedale Harbour Inner Hopedale Harbour	Sculpin Sculpin	muscle muscle		1.80 0.60		nd 0.23	0.05	- Aroclor 1260		Stantec Sample Stantec Sample
11-SCULPIN-IH3 11-SCULPIN-IH4	2011 2011	Inner Hopedale Harbour Inner Hopedale Harbour	Sculpin Sculpin	muscle muscle		1.40 1.90		0.25	0.05	Aroclor 1260 Aroclor 1260		Stantec Sample Stantec Sample
11-SCULPIN-IH5 11-SCULPIN-IH6	2011 2011	Inner Hopedale Harbour Inner Hopedale Harbour	Sculpin Sculpin	muscle muscle		2.10		0.99	0.05	Aroclor 1260 Aroclor 1260		Stantec Sample Stantec Sample
11-SCULPIN-IH7 11-SCULPIN-IH8 11 SCULPIN-IH0	2011 2011	Inner Hopedale Harbour Inner Hopedale Harbour	Sculpin Sculpin	muscle		0.70		0.05 0.82	0.05	Aroclor 1260 Aroclor 1260		Stantec Sample Stantec Sample
11-SCULPIN-IH10 11-SCULPIN LIVER-IH1	2011 2011	Inner Hopedale Harbour	Sculpin	muscle		0.80		0.44	0.05	Aroclor 1260 Aroclor 1260		Stantec Sample Stantec Sample
11-SCULPIN LIVER-IH2 11-SCULPIN LIVER-IH3	2011 2011	Inner Hopedale Harbour Inner Hopedale Harbour	Sculpin Sculpin	liver liver		ins. 15.00		3.3 3.7	0.05	Aroclor 1260 Aroclor 1260		Stantec Sample Stantec Sample
11-SCULPIN-0H1 11-SCULPIN-0H2	2011 2011	Outer Hopedale Harbour Outer Hopedale Harbour	Sculpin Sculpin	muscle muscle		ins. 0.90		nd nd	0.05	-		Stantec Sample Stantec Sample
11-SCULPIN-0H3 11-SCULPIN-0H4 11 SCULPIN-0H4	2011 2011	Outer Hopedale Harbour Outer Hopedale Harbour	Sculpin Sculpin	muscle muscle		ins.		0.08 nd	0.05	Aroclor 1260 -		Stantec Sample Stantec Sample
11-SCULFIN-0H6 11-SCULPIN-0H6 11-SCULPIN-0H7	2011 2011 2011	Outer Hopedale Harbour Outer Hopedale Harbour	Sculpin Sculpin	muscle		0.70 1.00			0.05	- - Aroclor 1260		Stantec Sample Stantec Sample
11-SCULPIN-0H8 11-SCULPIN-0H9	2011 2011	Outer Hopedale Harbour Outer Hopedale Harbour	Sculpin Sculpin	muscle		0.80		nd nd	0.05	-		Stantec Sample Stantec Sample
11-SCULPIN-0H10 11-SCULPIN LIVER-OH1	2011 2011	Outer Hopedale Harbour Outer Hopedale Harbour	Sculpin Sculpin	muscle liver		0.70 9.00		0.23	0.05	Aroclor 1260 Aroclor 1260		Stantec Sample Stantec Sample
11-SCULPIN LIVER-OH2 11-SCULPIN LIVER-OH3	2011 2011	Outer Hopedale Harbour Outer Hopedale Harbour	Sculpin Sculpin	liver liver		5.40 7.00		0.32 0.24	0.05	Aroclor 1260 Aroclor 1260		Stantec Sample Stantec Sample
11-SCULPIN-BHT1 11-SCULPIN-BHT1 Lab-Dup	2011 2011	Blackhead Tickle Blackhead Tickle	Sculpin Sculpin	muscle muscle		0.60		nd	0.05			Stantec Sample Stantec Sample
11-SCULPIN-BHT3 11-SCULPIN-BHT4	2011 2011 2011	Blackhead Tickle	Sculpin Sculpin	muscle		1.40 1.20 0.80		nd	0.05			Stantec Sample Stantec Sample
11-SCULPIN-BHT5 11-SCULPIN-BHT6	2011 2011	Blackhead Tickle Blackhead Tickle	Sculpin	muscle		0.80		nd	0.05	-		Stantec Sample Stantec Sample
11-SCULPIN-BHT7 11-SCULPIN-BHT8	2011 2011	Blackhead Tickle Blackhead Tickle	Sculpin Sculpin	muscle muscle		0.70		nd nd	0.05			Stantec Sample Stantec Sample
11-SCULPIN-BHT9 11-SCULPIN-BHT10	2011 2011	Blackhead Tickle Blackhead Tickle	Sculpin Sculpin	muscle muscle		3.90 1.80		nd nd	0.05	-		Stantec Sample Stantec Sample
11-SCULPIN LIVER-BHT1 11-SCULPIN LIVER-BHT2	2011 2011	Blackhead Tickle Blackhead Tickle	Sculpin Sculpin	liver liver		11.00 17.00		0.1	0.1	Aroclor 1260		Stantec Sample Stantec Sample
AREA 1 FISH 1 AREA 1 FISH 1	2010	Tooktoosper Pay	Shorthorn sculpin	muscle		9.00 0.90		nd nd	0.05	-		Stantec Sample Stantec Sample
AREA 1 FISH 3 AREA 1 FISH 3 Lab-Dun	2010 2010	Tooktoosner Bay Tooktoosner Bay	Shorthorn sculpin Shorthorn sculpin	muscle		2.30		nd -	0.05	-		Stantec Sample Stantec Sample Stantec Sample
AREA 1 FISH 4 AREA 1 FISH 5	2010 2010	Tooktoosner Bay Tooktoosner Bay	Shorthorn sculpin Shorthorn sculpin	muscle muscle		1.70 6.00		0.89 nd	0.05	Aroclor 1260		Stantec Sample Stantec Sample
AREA 1 FISH 6 11-SCULPIN-TB1	2010 2011	Tooktoosner Bay Tooktoosner Bay	Shorthorn sculpin Sculpin	muscle muscle		1.10 1.80		nd nd	0.05	-		Stantec Sample Stantec Sample
11-SCULPIN-TB2 11-SCULPIN-TB3	2011 2011	Tooktoosner Bay Tooktoosner Bay	Sculpin Sculpin	muscle muscle		0.80		nd	0.05			Stantec Sample Stantec Sample
11-SCULPIN-TB5 11-SCULPIN-TB5	2011 2011	Tooktoosner Bay	Sculpin Sculpin	muscle muscle		0.90		nd	0.05	-		Stantec Sample Stantec Sample
11-SCULPIN-TB7 11-SCULPIN-TB8	2011 2011	Tooktoosner Bay Tooktoosner Bay	Sculpin Sculpin	muscle		2.00 nd	1	nd nd	0.05	-		Stantec Sample Stantec Sample Stantec Sample
11-SCULPIN-TB9 11-SCULPIN-TB10	2011	Tooktoosner Bay Tooktoosner Bay	Sculpin Sculpin	muscle muscle		1.30 0.70		nd nd	0.05			Stantec Sample Stantec Sample
11-SCULPIN LIVER-TB1 11-SCULPIN LIVER-TB1 Lab-Dup	2011 2011	Tooktoosner Bay Tooktoosner Bay	Sculpin Sculpin	liver liver		8.20		0.1 0.1	0.1	Aroclor 1260		Stantec Sample Stantec Sample
11-SCULPIN LIVER-TB2 11-SCULPIN LIVER-TB3	2011 2011	Tooktoosner Bay Tooktoosner Bay	Sculpin Sculpin	liver liver		6.10 9.00	_	0.1 nd	0.1	Aroclor 1260 Aroclor 1260		Stantec Sample Stantec Sample

# APPENDIX C

Maxxam Analytics Inc. Reports





Your P.O. #: 16400NR Your Project #: 121411777.400 Site Location: RMC/NG HOPEDALE Your C.O.C. #: N/A

Attention: Jim Slade Stantec Consulting Ltd

607 Torbay Rd St. John's, NL A1A 4Y6

Report Date: 2012/12/11

### **CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS**

#### MAXXAM JOB #: B2G1304 Received: 2012/10/17, 12:42

Sample Matrix: Soil # Samples Received: 3

		Date	Date		Method
Analyses	Quantity	Extracted	Analyzed	Laboratory Method	Reference
Moisture	3	N/A	2012/10/18	ATL SOP 00001	MOE Handbook 1983
Low Level PCB in Soil by GC-ECD	3	2012/10/25	2012/10/26	ATL SOP 00106	Based EPA8082

Sample Matrix: TISSUE # Samples Received: 170

		Date	Date	Method
Analyses	Quantity	Extracted	Analyzed Laboratory Method	Reference
Lipids (Crude Fat)	20	2012/10/24	2012/10/31	AOAC 948.16
Lipids (Crude Fat)	20	2012/10/29	2012/11/01	AOAC 948.16
Lipids (Crude Fat)	20	2012/10/31	2012/11/02	AOAC 948.16
Lipids (Crude Fat)	20	2012/11/02	2012/11/07	AOAC 948.16
Lipids (Crude Fat)	20	2012/11/06	2012/11/08	AOAC 948.16
Lipids (Crude Fat)	18	2012/11/13	2012/11/14	AOAC 948.16
Lipids (Crude Fat)	20	2012/11/13	2012/11/15	AOAC 948.16
Lipids (Crude Fat)	19	2012/11/16	2012/11/21	AOAC 948.16
Lipids (Crude Fat)	9	2012/11/19	2012/11/21	AOAC 948.16
PCBs in tissue by GC/ECD (1)	20	2012/10/22	2012/11/02 ATL SOP 00110	Based on EPA8082
PCBs in tissue by GC/ECD (1)	20	2012/10/23	2012/11/27 ATL SOP 00110	Based on EPA8082
PCBs in tissue by GC/ECD (1)	19	2012/10/24	2012/11/26 ATL SOP 00110	Based on EPA8082
PCBs in tissue by GC/ECD (1)	1	2012/10/24	2012/11/27 ATL SOP 00110	Based on EPA8082
PCBs in tissue by GC/ECD (1)	21	2012/10/25	2012/12/05 ATL SOP 00110	Based on EPA8082
PCBs in tissue by GC/ECD (1)	12	2012/10/26	2012/11/15 ATL SOP 00110	Based on EPA8082
PCBs in tissue by GC/ECD (1)	8	2012/10/26	2012/11/23 ATL SOP 00110	Based on EPA8082
PCBs in tissue by GC/ECD (1)	1	2012/10/30	2012/11/26 ATL SOP 00110	Based on EPA8082
PCBs in tissue by GC/ECD (1)	20	2012/10/31	2012/11/22 ATL SOP 00110	Based on EPA8082
PCBs in tissue by GC/ECD (1)	16	2012/10/31	2012/11/27 ATL SOP 00110	Based on EPA8082
PCBs in tissue by GC/ECD (1)	1	2012/10/31	2012/12/05 ATL SOP 00110	Based on EPA8082
PCBs in tissue by GC/ECD (1)	18	2012/11/01	2012/12/05 ATL SOP 00110	Based on EPA8082
PCBs in tissue by GC/ECD (1)	6	2012/11/08	2012/11/28 ATL SOP 00110	Based on EPA8082
PCBs in tissue by GC/ECD (1)	7	2012/11/08	2012/11/29 ATL SOP 00110	Based on EPA8082

### Remarks:

Reporting results to two significant figures at the RDL is to permit statistical evaluation and is not intended to be an indication of analytical precision.

\* RPDs calculated using raw data. The rounding of final results may result in the apparent difference.

\* Results relate only to the items tested.

(1) Results are reported on an as received basis unless otherwise indicated.

Encryption Key

Please direct all questions regarding this Certificate of Analysis to your Project Manager.

Michelle Hill, Project Manager Email: MHill@maxxam.ca Phone# (902) 420-0203 Ext:289

\_\_\_\_\_

Maxxam has procedures in place to guard against improper use of the electronic signature and have the required "signatories", as per section 5.10.2 of ISO/IEC 17025:2005(E), signing the reports. For Service Group specific validation please refer to the Validation Signature Page.

Total cover pages: 1

Page 2 of 23



Stantec Consulting Ltd Client Project #: 121411777.400 Site Location: RMC/NG HOPEDALE Your P.O. #: 16400NR Sampler Initials: KH

### **RESULTS OF ANALYSES OF SOIL**

Maxxam ID		PF9752	PF9753	PF9754		
Sampling Date		2012/07/01	2012/07/01	2012/07/01		
	Units	12-01-391	12-01-392	12-01-393	RDL	QC Batch
Inorganics						
Moisture	%	24	23	18	1	3005886

### PCB'S AND DDT BY GC-ECD (SOIL)

Maxxam ID		PF9752	PF9752	PF9753	PF9754		
Sampling Date		2012/07/01	2012/07/01	2012/07/01	2012/07/01		
	Units	12-01-391	12-01-391 Lab-Dup	12-01-392	12-01-393	RDL	QC Batch
PCBs		_			-	_	
Total PCB	mg/kg	<0.010	<0.010	<0.010	<0.010	0.010	3013967
Surrogate Recovery (%)							
Decachlorobiphenyl	%	87(1)	98(1)	<b>91</b> (1)	88(1)		3013967

### **RESULTS OF ANALYSES OF TISSUE**

Maxxam ID		PF9741		PF9742		PF9743		PF9744			PF9745		
Sampling Date		2012/07/01		2012/07/01		2012/07/01		2012/07/01			2012/07/01		
	Units	12-01-138	QC Batch	12-01-274	QC Batch	12-01-275	QC Batch	12-01-276	RDL	QC Batch	12-01-277	RDL	QC Batch
Inorganics	_	_	_	_		_	_		_		_	_	
Crude Fat	%	< 0.50	3027077	< 0.50	3034090	0.70	3027077	<0.50	0.50	3034090	< 0.90	0.90	3027077

Maxxam ID		PF9746	PF9746		PF9747	PF9749		PF9750	PF9751		PF9755		
Sampling Date		2012/07/01	2012/07/01		2012/07/01	2012/07/01		2012/07/01	2012/07/01		2012/07/01		
	Units	12-01-289	12-01-289	QC Batch	12-01-140	12-01-139	QC Batch	12-01-278	12-01-141	QC Batch	12-01-206	RDL	QC Batch
			Lab-Dup										
Inorganics	-												
Crude Fat	%	0.90	0.60	3027077	<0.50	<0.50	3027083	0.50	<0.50	3038479	0.80	0.50	3020430

RDL = Reportable Detection Limit

QC Batch = Quality Control Batch

(1) - PCB sample analysed past recommended hold time as per client request.



Stantec Consulting Ltd Client Project #: 121411777.400 Site Location: RMC/NG HOPEDALE Your P.O. #: 16400NR Sampler Initials: KH

### **RESULTS OF ANALYSES OF TISSUE**

Maxxam ID		PF9756		PF9757		PF9757		PF9758	PF9759		PF9760		
Sampling Date		2012/07/01		2012/07/01		2012/07/01		2012/07/01	2012/07/01		2012/07/01		
	Units	12-01-388	QC Batch	12-01-354	RDL	12-01-354	RDL	12-01-355	12-01-356	QC Batch	12-01-357	RDL	QC Batch
						Lab-Dup							
Inorganics			-	_	_	_		_	_	_	_		_
Crude Fat	%	18	3020408	14	0.50	12	2.5	20	7.9	3020430	3.4	0.50	3034090

Maxxam ID		PF9761	PF9762	PF9763	PF9764		PF9765		PF9766	PF9767	PF9768		
Sampling Date		2012/07/01	2012/07/01	2012/07/01	2012/07/01		2012/07/01		2012/07/01	2012/07/01	2012/07/01		
	Units	12-01-358	12-01-359	12-01-127	12-01-128	QC Batch	12-01-129	QC Batch	12-01-245	12-01-246	12-01-247	RDL	QC Batch
Inorganics													
Crude Fat	%	3.6	5.4	1.3	1.5	3020430	1.7	3034090	4.8	2.9	1.8	0.50	3020430

Maxxam ID		PF9769		PF9770		PF9771		PF9772		PF9773	PF9774	PF9775		
Sampling Date		2012/07/01		2012/07/01		2012/07/01		2012/07/01		2012/07/01	2012/07/01	2012/07/01		
	Units	12-01-248	QC Batch	12-01-263	QC Batch	12-01-264	RDL	12-01-299	RDL	12-01-153	12-01-249	12-01-265	RDL	QC Batch
Inorganics	_		_			_	_	_	_		_			
Crude Fat	%	2.6	3020430	2.5	3034090	2.9	0.50	3.3	1.9	12	4.1	2.1	0.50	3020430

Maxxam ID		PF9776		PF9777		PF9778		PF9779			PF9780		
Sampling Date		2012/07/01		2012/07/01		2012/07/01		2012/07/01			2012/07/01		
	Units	12-01-384	RDL	12-01-385	QC Batch	12-01-386	QC Batch	12-01-387	RDL	QC Batch	12-01-207	RDL	QC Batch
Inorganics													
Crude Fat	%	3.5	0.80	1.3	3020408	1.8	3020430	0.80	0.50	3038479	5.0	0.70	3020430

Maxxam ID		PF9781		PF9782		PF9783	PF9784		PF9785		PF9786		
Sampling Date		2012/07/01		2012/07/01		2012/07/01	2012/07/01		2012/07/01		2012/07/01		
	Units	12-01-209	QC Batch	12-01-216	RDL	12-01-217	12-01-467	RDL	12-01-482	QC Batch	12-01-487	RDL	QC Batch
Inorganics						_	_			-	_		
Crude Fat	%	2.7	3020442	1.0	0.50	12	3.1	0.90	3.2	3027083	4.2	0.50	3020442

RDL = Reportable Detection Limit



Stantec Consulting Ltd Client Project #: 121411777.400 Site Location: RMC/NG HOPEDALE Your P.O. #: 16400NR Sampler Initials: KH

### **RESULTS OF ANALYSES OF TISSUE**

Maxxam ID		PF9787			PF9788			PF9789		PF9790		
Sampling Date		2012/07/01			2012/07/01			2012/07/01		2012/07/01		
	Units	12-01-494	RDL	QC Batch	12-01-114	RDL	QC Batch	12-01-115	RDL	12-01-200	RDL	QC Batch
Inorganics					_	_	_	_	_	_	_	
Crude Fat	%	4.9	0.50	3020408	0.80	0.60	3027083	3.6	1.3	3.6	0.50	3020442

Maxxam ID		PF9791			PF9792			PF9793			PF9794		
Sampling Date		2012/07/01			2012/07/01			2012/07/01			2012/07/01		
	Units	12-01-201	RDL	QC Batch	12-01-202	RDL	QC Batch	12-01-203	RDL	QC Batch	12-01-290	RDL	QC Batch
Inorganics													
Crude Fat	%	3.4	0.50	3020430	1.0	0.60	3020442	1.3	0.70	3020408	1.2	0.50	3020442

Maxxam ID		PF9795	PF9795	PF9797	PF9798		PF9799			PF9800		
Sampling Date		2012/07/01	2012/07/01	2012/07/01	2012/07/01		2012/07/01			2012/07/01		
	Units	12-01-208	12-01-208	12-01-213	12-01-214	RDL	12-01-360	RDL	QC Batch	12-01-211	RDL	QC Batch
			Lab-Dup									
Inorganics					_				_			
Crude Fat	%	0.50	0.60	1.7	1.0	0.50	1.5	0.60	3020408	1.6	0.50	3034090

Maxxam ID		PF9801		PF9801		PF9802		PF9803		PF9804		
Sampling Date		2012/07/01		2012/07/01		2012/07/01		2012/07/01		2012/07/01		
	Units	12-01-212	RDL	12-01-212	RDL	12-01-389	RDL	12-01-390	QC Batch	12-01-204	RDL	QC Batch
				Lab-Dup								
Inorganics												
Crude Fat	%	4.5	1.0	3.5	0.80	4.1	0.60	9.5	3034118	1.4	0.50	3027083

Maxxam ID		PF9805		PF9806	PF9806	PF9807			PF9808		
Sampling Date		2012/07/01		2012/07/01	2012/07/01	2012/07/01			2012/07/01		
	Units	12-01-205	RDL	12-01-394	12-01-394	12-01-395	RDL	QC Batch	12-01-396	RDL	QC Batch
					Lab-Dup						
Inorganics				_		_	_	_	_		_
Crude Fat	%	4.8	4.0	1.4	1.5	<0.50	0.50	3034090	90	1.1	3027077



Stantec Consulting Ltd Client Project #: 121411777.400 Site Location: RMC/NG HOPEDALE Your P.O. #: 16400NR Sampler Initials: KH

### **RESULTS OF ANALYSES OF TISSUE**

Maxxam ID		PF9809			PF9811		PF9812	PF9813		PF9814	PF9815		
Sampling Date		2012/07/01			2012/07/01		2012/07/01	2012/07/01		2012/07/01	2012/07/01		
	Units	12-01-363	RDL	QC Batch	12-01-365	QC Batch	12-01-366	12-01-370	QC Batch	12-01-371	12-01-372	RDL	QC Batch
Inorganics					_	_	_	_	_	_			
Crude Fat	%	1.2	0.60	3034118	54	3020408	5.6	0.80	3020442	1.3	77	0.50	3020408

Maxxam ID		PF9816		PF9817		PF9818			PF9819	PF9820		
Sampling Date		2012/07/01		2012/07/01		2012/07/01			2012/07/01	2012/07/01		
	Units	12-01-373	QC Batch	12-01-374	QC Batch	12-01-401	RDL	QC Batch	12-01-402	12-01-403	RDL	QC Batch
Inorganics		_				-			_			
Crude Fat	%	0.70	3020442	68	3020408	53	0.50	3034090	77	83	1.2	3027077

Maxxam ID		PF9821		PF9822		PF9823		PF9824		
Sampling Date		2012/07/01		2012/07/01		2012/07/01		2012/07/01		
	Units	12-01-404	QC Batch	12-01-405	QC Batch	12-01-406	QC Batch	12-01-379	RDL	QC Batch
Inorganics										
Crude Fat	%	0.90	3034090	1.1	3027077	3.3	3034090	0.70	0.50	3034118

Maxxam ID		PF9825			PF9826			PF9827		
Sampling Date		2012/07/01			2012/07/01			2012/07/01		
	Units	12-01-380	RDL	QC Batch	12-01-397	RDL	QC Batch	12-01-398	RDL	QC Batch
Inorganics										
Crude Fat	%	89	0.90	3020408	85	1.0	3020442	3.6	0.60	3027083

Maxxam ID		PF9828	PF9828		PF9829	PF9830			PF9831		
Sampling Date		2012/07/01	2012/07/01		2012/07/01	2012/07/01			2012/07/01		
	Units	12-01-399	12-01-399	RDL	12-01-400	12-01-407	RDL	QC Batch	12-01-408	RDL	QC Batch
			Lab-Dup								
Inorganics	_	-	_	-	_				_		
Crudo Eat	0(	01	04	44	0.4	0.70	0.50	0000440	0.00	0.00	2020 400

RDL = Reportable Detection Limit



Stantec Consulting Ltd Client Project #: 121411777.400 Site Location: RMC/NG HOPEDALE Your P.O. #: 16400NR Sampler Initials: KH

### **RESULTS OF ANALYSES OF TISSUE**

Maxxam ID		PF9832			PF9833	PF9833			PF9834		
Sampling Date		2012/07/01			2012/07/01	2012/07/01			2012/07/01		
	Units	12-01-409	RDL	QC Batch	12-01-410	12-01-410	RDL	QC Batch	12-01-101	RDL	QC Batch
						Lab-Dup					
Inorganics					_	_			_		
Crude Fat	%	0.90	0.50	3020442	81	64	1.0	3027083	1.9	0.60	3020442

Maxxam ID		PF9835			PF9836	PF9837		PF9838		
Sampling Date		2012/07/01			2012/07/01	2012/07/01		2012/07/01		
	Units	12-01-102	RDL	QC Batch	12-01-103	12-01-104	QC Batch	12-01-105	RDL	QC Batch
Inorganics										
Crude Fat	%	0.90	0.60	3027083	0.70	<0.50	3038479	1.9	0.50	3027077

Maxxam ID		PF9839		PF9840	PF9841	PF9842			PF9843		
Sampling Date		2012/07/01		2012/07/01	2012/07/01	2012/07/01			2012/07/01		
	Units	12-01-106	QC Batch	12-01-107	12-01-108	12-01-109	RDL	QC Batch	12-01-110	RDL	QC Batch
Inorganics				_	_				_		
Crude Fat	%	0.60	3038479	<0.50	1.2	1.6	0.50	3027083	1.8	0.60	3027077

Maxxam ID		PF9844		PF9845	PF9846			PF9847		
Sampling Date		2012/07/01		2012/07/01	2012/07/01			2012/07/01		
	Units	12-01-111	RDL	12-01-112	12-01-113	RDL	QC Batch	12-01-285	RDL	QC Batch
Inorganics										
Crude Fat	%	1.3	0.60	1.2	0.70	0.50	3027077	24	0.90	3038479

Maxxam ID		PF9848		PF9849			PF9850		
Sampling Date		2012/07/01		2012/07/01			2012/07/01		
	Units	12-01-286	RDL	12-01-287	RDL	QC Batch	12-01-288	RDL	QC Batch
Inorganics	_						_	_	
Crude Fat	%	25	0.70	41	0.50	3027077	33	0.70	3027083

RDL = Reportable Detection Limit



Stantec Consulting Ltd Client Project #: 121411777.400 Site Location: RMC/NG HOPEDALE Your P.O. #: 16400NR Sampler Initials: KH

### **RESULTS OF ANALYSES OF TISSUE**

Maxxam ID		PF9851		PF9853		PF9854		PF9855		
Sampling Date		2012/07/01		2012/07/01		2012/07/01		2012/07/01		
	Units	12-01-219	RDL	12-01-221	RDL	12-01-223	RDL	12-01-224	RDL	QC Batch
Inorganics						_				
Crude Fat	%	1.1	0.60	1.1	0.70	1.1	0.80	15	1.0	3038479

Maxxam ID		PF9856		PF9857		PF9858		PF9859		
Sampling Date		2012/07/01		2012/07/01		2012/07/01		2012/07/01		
	Units	12-01-330	QC Batch	12-01-331	QC Batch	12-01-332	QC Batch	12-01-333	RDL	QC Batch
Inorganics										
Crude Fat	%	6.5	3034090	7.6	3027077	4.3	3034118	7.1	0.50	3027077

Maxxam ID		PF9860		PF9861		PF9862	PF9863		PF9864		
Sampling Date		2012/07/01		2012/07/01		2012/07/01	2012/07/01		2012/07/01		
	Units	12-01-334	RDL	12-01-450	RDL	12-01-451	12-01-452	QC Batch	12-01-453	RDL	QC Batch
Inorganics						-	-	_		_	
Crude Fat	%	2.4	0.50	4.7	0.60	1.3	2.5	3034118	2.0	0.50	3034090

Maxxam ID		PF9865		PF9866		PF9867		PF9868		
Sampling Date		2012/07/01		2012/07/01		2012/07/01		2012/07/01		
	Units	12-01-454	QC Batch	12-01-116	QC Batch	12-01-117	QC Batch	12-01-118	RDL	QC Batch
Inorganics										
Crude Fat	%	5.3	3034090	3.0	3034118	1.1	3034090	0.90	0.50	3034118

Maxxam ID		PF9869		PF9870	PF9871	PF9872	PF9873		PF9874		
Sampling Date		2012/07/01		2012/07/01	2012/07/01	2012/07/01	2012/07/01		2012/07/01		
	Units	12-01-119	QC Batch	12-01-120	12-01-121	12-01-122	12-01-123	QC Batch	12-01-124	RDL	QC Batch
Inorganics		_					_				
Crude Fat	%	2.9	3034090	1.5	1.5	2.0	1.3	3034118	1.1	0.50	3038479



Stantec Consulting Ltd Client Project #: 121411777.400 Site Location: RMC/NG HOPEDALE Your P.O. #: 16400NR Sampler Initials: KH

### **RESULTS OF ANALYSES OF TISSUE**

Maxxam ID		PF9875			PF9876		PF9877	PF9878		
Sampling Date		2012/07/01			2012/07/01		2012/07/01	2012/07/01		
	Units	12-01-284	RDL	QC Batch	12-01-291	QC Batch	12-01-292	12-01-375	RDL	QC Batch
Inorganics	_	_								
Crude Fat	%	3.3	0.60	3038479	2.4	3027077	2.1	1.2	0.50	3038479

Maxxam ID		PF9879			PF9880			PF9881		
Sampling Date		2012/07/01			2012/07/01			2012/07/01		
	Units	12-01-376	RDL	QC Batch	12-01-381	RDL	QC Batch	12-01-367	RDL	QC Batch
Inorganics					-					
Crude Fat	%	2.2	0.50	3027083	6.6	0.60	3038479	6.2	0.50	3034090

Maxxam ID		PF9882		PF9883	PF9884	PF9885	PF9886			PF9887		
Sampling Date		2012/07/01		2012/07/01	2012/07/01	2012/07/01	2012/07/01			2012/07/01		
	Units	12-01-368	QC Batch	12-01-215	12-01-254	12-01-255	12-01-256	RDL	QC Batch	12-01-257	RDL	QC Batch
Inorganics				_	_	_					_	
Crude Fat	%	8.5	3027077	2.7	0.80	0.70	0.90	0.50	3034118	1.1	0.80	3038479

Maxxam ID		PF9887		PF9888	PF9889			PF9890	PF9891		
Sampling Date		2012/07/01		2012/07/01	2012/07/01			2012/07/01	2012/07/01		
	Units	12-01-257	RDL	12-01-258	12-01-266	RDL	QC Batch	12-01-267	12-01-269	RDL	QC Batch
		Lab-Dup									
Inorganics											
Crude Fat	%	1.0	0.80	0.60	0.80	0.50	3038479	0.90	0.80	0.70	3040774

Maxxam ID		PF9892		PF9893		PF9894		PF9895		
Sampling Date		2012/07/01		2012/07/01		2012/07/01		2012/07/01		
	Units	12-01-270	RDL	12-01-271	RDL	12-01-296	QC Batch	12-01-351	RDL	QC Batch
Inorganics		_			_					
Crude Fat	%	<1.8	1.8	0.90	0.80	0.70	3040774	1.3	0.50	3027077

RDL = Reportable Detection Limit



Stantec Consulting Ltd Client Project #: 121411777.400 Site Location: RMC/NG HOPEDALE Your P.O. #: 16400NR Sampler Initials: KH

### **RESULTS OF ANALYSES OF TISSUE**

Maxxam ID		PF9896		PF9896			PF9897		
Sampling Date		2012/07/01		2012/07/01			2012/07/01		
	Units	12-01-352	RDL	12-01-352	RDL	QC Batch	12-01-362	RDL	QC Batch
				Lab-Dup					
Inorganics	_			_			_		
Crude Fat	%	1.3	1.0	1.3	0.70	3040774	1.1	0.50	3027083

Maxxam ID		PF9898		PF9899		PF9900		PF9901	PF9902		
Sampling Date		2012/07/01		2012/07/01		2012/07/01		2012/07/01	2012/07/01		
	Units	12-01-180	RDL	12-01-181	RDL	12-01-198	QC Batch	12-01-329	12-01-353	RDL	QC Batch
Inorganics											
Crude Fat	%	0.90	0.80	1.4	1.3	0.60	3040774	1.2	0.80	0.50	3027083

Maxxam ID		PF9903	PF9904			PF9905			PF9906		
Sampling Date		2012/07/01	2012/07/01			2012/07/01			2012/07/01		
	Units	12-01-134	12-01-170	RDL	QC Batch	12-01-185	RDL	QC Batch	12-01-238	RDL	QC Batch
Inorganics		_							_		_
Crude Fat	%	4.1	3.7	0.50	3020442	3.8	0.60	3027083	4.6	0.50	3020442

Maxxam ID		PF9907		PG5660		PG5692		PG5693	PG5694		
Sampling Date		2012/07/01		2012/07/01		2012/07/01		2012/07/01	2012/07/01		
	Units	12-01-240	QC Batch	12-01-231	QC Batch	12-01-233	QC Batch	12-01-234	12-01-272	RDL	QC Batch
Inorganics											
Crude Fat	%	2.0	3020442	0.70	3020408	1.3	3020442	0.70	1.0	0.50	3020408

Maxxam ID		PG5695		PG5696		
Sampling Date		2012/07/01		2012/07/01		
	Units	12-01-243	RDL	12-01-244	RDL	QC Batch
Inorganics		-	-	-		
Crude Fat	%	2.5	0.90	12	0.50	3020408

RDL = Reportable Detection Limit



Stantec Consulting Ltd Client Project #: 121411777.400 Site Location: RMC/NG HOPEDALE Your P.O. #: 16400NR Sampler Initials: KH

### POLYCHLORINATED BIPHENYLS BY GC-ECD (TISSUE)

Maxxam ID		PF9741	PF9742	PF9743	PF9744	PF9745	PF9746	PF9746	PF9747		PF9748		
Sampling Date		2012/07/01	2012/07/01	2012/07/01	2012/07/01	2012/07/01	2012/07/01	2012/07/01	2012/07/01		2012/07/01		
	Units	12-01-138	12-01-274	12-01-275	12-01-276	12-01-277	12-01-289	12-01-289	12-01-140	QC Batch	12-01-281	RDL	QC Batch
								Lab-Dup					
PCBs													
Total PCB	ug/g	<0.010	<0.010	0.14	<0.010	<0.010	<0.010	<0.010	<0.010	3022653	<0.010	0.010	3014261
Surrogate Recovery (%)													
Decachlorobiphenyl	%	37(1)	34(1)	14(2)	27(1)	37(1)	31 (3)	29(3)	83	3022653	65(3)		3014261

Maxxam ID		PF9749		PF9750	PF9751		PF9755		PF9756		PF9757		
Sampling Date		2012/07/01		2012/07/01	2012/07/01		2012/07/01		2012/07/01		2012/07/01		
	Units	12-01-139	QC Batch	12-01-278	12-01-141	QC Batch	12-01-206	QC Batch	12-01-388	QC Batch	12-01-354	RDL	QC Batch
PCBs													
Total PCB	ug/g	<0.010	3022653	<0.010	<0.010	3021250	<0.010	3009962	<0.010	3012902	0.027	0.010	3009962
Surrogate Recovery (%)						_					-		
Decachlorobiphenyl	%	44(3)	3022653	129	78	3021250	88	3009962	51 (3)	3012902	92(4)		3009962

Maxxam ID		PF9758	PF9759	PF9760	PF9761	PF9762	PF9763	PF9764	PF9765	PF9766	PF9767		
Sampling Date		2012/07/01	2012/07/01	2012/07/01	2012/07/01	2012/07/01	2012/07/01	2012/07/01	2012/07/01	2012/07/01	2012/07/01		
	Units	12-01-355	12-01-356	12-01-357	12-01-358	12-01-359	12-01-127	12-01-128	12-01-129	12-01-245	12-01-246	RDL	QC Batch
PCBs													
Total PCB	ug/g	0.025	0.023	0.43	0.15	0.67	0.026	0.019	0.021	0.073	0.020	0.010	3009962
Surrogate Recovery (%)													
Decachlorobiphenyl	%	74(4)	116(4)	100(4)	87(4)	96(4)	84(4)	78(4)	56(2)	79(4)	64(2)		3009962

RDL = Reportable Detection Limit

QC Batch = Quality Control Batch

(1) - PCB surrogate not within acceptance limits. Insufficient sample to repeat.

(2) - Aroclor 1260. PCB surrogate not within acceptance limits. Analysis was repeated with similar results.

(3) - PCB surrogate not within acceptance limits. Analysis was repeated with similar results.

(4) - Aroclor 1260.



Stantec Consulting Ltd Client Project #: 121411777.400 Site Location: RMC/NG HOPEDALE Your P.O. #: 16400NR Sampler Initials: KH

### POLYCHLORINATED BIPHENYLS BY GC-ECD (TISSUE)

Maxxam ID		PF9768	PF9769	PF9770	PF9771	PF9772	PF9773	PF9773	PF9774	PF9775		
Sampling Date		2012/07/01	2012/07/01	2012/07/01	2012/07/01	2012/07/01	2012/07/01	2012/07/01	2012/07/01	2012/07/01		
	Units	12-01-247	12-01-248	12-01-263	12-01-264	12-01-299	12-01-153	12-01-153	12-01-249	12-01-265	RDL	QC Batch
								Lab-Dup				
PCBs												
Total PCB	ug/g	0.057	0.062	0.25	0.064	0.021	<0.010	<0.010	<0.010	<0.010	0.010	3009962
Surrogate Recovery (%)												
Decachlorobiphenyl	%	82(1)	64(2)	99(1)	73(1)	37(2)	84	87	86	49(3)		3009962

Maxxam ID		PF9776	PF9777		PF9778		PF9779		PF9780		PF9781		
Sampling Date		2012/07/01	2012/07/01		2012/07/01		2012/07/01		2012/07/01		2012/07/01		
	Units	12-01-384	12-01-385	QC Batch	12-01-386	QC Batch	12-01-387	QC Batch	12-01-207	QC Batch	12-01-209	RDL	QC Batch
PCBs		_											
Total PCB	ug/g	<0.010	<0.010	3012902	0.019	3011651	0.054	3021250	0.061	3011651	0.023	0.010	3014261
Surrogate Recovery (%)													
Decachlorobiphenyl	%	87	72	3012902	39(4)	3011651	89(1)	3021250	41 (4)	3011651	72(1)		3014261

Maxxam ID		PF9782		PF9783	PF9784	PF9785		PF9786		PF9787		
Sampling Date		2012/07/01		2012/07/01	2012/07/01	2012/07/01		2012/07/01		2012/07/01		
	Units	12-01-216	QC Batch	12-01-217	12-01-467	12-01-482	QC Batch	12-01-487	QC Batch	12-01-494	RDL	QC Batch
PCBs												
Total PCB	ug/g	0.012	3011651	0.057	0.040	0.033	3030702	0.017	3014261	0.034	0.010	3012902
Surrogate Recovery (%)												
Decachlorobiphenyl	%	87(1)	3011651	64(4)	76(1)	82(1)	3030702	78(1)	3014261	64(2)		3012902

RDL = Reportable Detection Limit

QC Batch = Quality Control Batch

(1) - Aroclor 1260.

(2) - Aroclor 1260. PCB surrogate not within acceptance limits. Analysis was repeated with similar results.

(3) - PCB surrogate not within acceptance limits. Analysis was repeated with similar results.

(4) - Aroclor 1260. PCB surrogate not within acceptance limits. Insufficient sample to repeat.


Stantec Consulting Ltd Client Project #: 121411777.400 Site Location: RMC/NG HOPEDALE Your P.O. #: 16400NR Sampler Initials: KH

## POLYCHLORINATED BIPHENYLS BY GC-ECD (TISSUE)

Maxxam ID		PF9788		PF9789	PF9790		PF9791		PF9792		PF9793		
Sampling Date		2012/07/01		2012/07/01	2012/07/01		2012/07/01		2012/07/01		2012/07/01		
	Units	12-01-114	QC Batch	12-01-115	12-01-200	QC Batch	12-01-201	QC Batch	12-01-202	QC Batch	12-01-203	RDL	QC Batch
PCBs													
Total PCB	ug/g	0.57	3011651	0.15	0.020	3014261	0.016	3011651	<0.010	3014261	0.029	0.010	3011651
Surrogate Recovery (%)												-	
Decachlorobiphenyl	%	59(1)	3011651	37(1)	76(2)	3014261	57(1)	3011651	40(3)	3014261	60(1)		3011651

Maxxam ID		PF9794		PF9794		PF9795		PF9796		PF9797		
Sampling Date		2012/07/01		2012/07/01		2012/07/01		2012/07/01		2012/07/01		
	Units	12-01-290	RDL	12-01-290	RDL	12-01-208	QC Batch	12-01-210	QC Batch	12-01-213	RDL	QC Batch
				Lab-Dup								
PCBs												
Total PCB	ug/g	0.54	0.010	0.25(4)	0.050	<0.010	3012902	<0.010	3011651	0.012	0.010	3030702
Surrogate Recovery (%)												
Decachlorobiphenyl	%	72(2)		59(5)		49(3)	3012902	41 (3)	3011651	54(1)		3030702

Maxxam ID		PF9798	PF9799		PF9800	PF9801	PF9802	PF9803		PF9804		
Sampling Date		2012/07/01	2012/07/01		2012/07/01	2012/07/01	2012/07/01	2012/07/01		2012/07/01		
	Units	12-01-214	12-01-360	QC Batch	12-01-211	12-01-212	12-01-389	12-01-390	QC Batch	12-01-204	RDL	QC Batch
PCBs												
Total PCB	ug/g	<0.010	<0.010	3012902	0.037	0.16	0.20	0.76	3016187	<0.010	0.010	3011651
Surrogate Recovery (%)												
Decachlorobiphenyl	%	115	70	3012902	72(2)	87(2)	100(2)	91(2)	3016187	60(5)		3011651

RDL = Reportable Detection Limit

QC Batch = Quality Control Batch

(1) - Aroclor 1260. PCB surrogate not within acceptance limits. Insufficient sample to repeat.

(2) - Aroclor 1260.

(3) - PCB surrogate not within acceptance limits. Insufficient sample to repeat.

(4) - Duplicate: results are outside acceptance limit. Analysis was repeated with similar results.

(5) - PCB surrogate not within acceptance limits. Analysis was repeated with similar results.



Stantec Consulting Ltd Client Project #: 121411777.400 Site Location: RMC/NG HOPEDALE Your P.O. #: 16400NR Sampler Initials: KH

## POLYCHLORINATED BIPHENYLS BY GC-ECD (TISSUE)

Maxxam ID		PF9805		PF9806	PF9806	PF9807		PF9808		PF9809		
Sampling Date		2012/07/01		2012/07/01	2012/07/01	2012/07/01		2012/07/01		2012/07/01		
	Units	12-01-205	QC Batch	12-01-394	12-01-394	12-01-395	QC Batch	12-01-396	QC Batch	12-01-363	RDL	QC Batch
					Lab-Dup							
PCBs												
Total PCB	ug/g	<0.010	3030702	0.041	0.042	<0.010	3016187	0.29	3022653	0.11	0.010	3016187
Surrogate Recovery (%)												
Decachlorobiphenyl	%	47(1)	3030702	70(2)	88	76	3016187	294(3)	3022653	74(2)		3016187

Maxxam ID		PF9810		PF9811		PF9812	PF9813		PF9814	PF9815		
Sampling Date		2012/07/01		2012/07/01		2012/07/01	2012/07/01		2012/07/01	2012/07/01		
	Units	12-01-364	QC Batch	12-01-365	QC Batch	12-01-366	12-01-370	QC Batch	12-01-371	12-01-372	RDL	QC Batch
PCBs												
Total PCB	ug/g	1.0	3014261	1.2	3012902	0.047	<0.010	3014261	0.036	1.2	0.010	3012902
Surrogate Recovery (%)												
Decachlorobiphenyl	%	27(3)	3014261	123(4)	3012902	21 (3)	28(5)	3014261	72(2)	255(6)		3012902

Maxxam ID		PF9816	PF9816			PF9817			PF9818	PF9819		
Sampling Date		2012/07/01	2012/07/01			2012/07/01			2012/07/01	2012/07/01		
	Units	12-01-373	12-01-373	RDL	QC Batch	12-01-374	RDL	QC Batch	12-01-401	12-01-402	RDL	QC Batch
			Lab-Dup									
PCBs												
Total PCB	ug/g	0.021	0.022	0.010	3014261	<0.20(7)	0.20	3012902	5.3	2.9	0.010	3022653
Surrogate Recovery (%)												
Decachlorobiphenyl	%	71(2)	56(5)		3014261	101		3012902	220(8)	119(9)		3022653

RDL = Reportable Detection Limit

QC Batch = Quality Control Batch

(1) - PCB surrogate not within acceptance limits. Insufficient sample to repeat.

(2) - Aroclor 1260.

(3) - Aroclor 1260. PCB surrogate not within acceptance limits. Analysis was repeated with similar results.

(4) - Aroclor 1260. PCB: Unidentified (possibly halogenated) compounds detected.

(5) - PCB surrogate not within acceptance limits. Analysis was repeated with similar results.

(6) - Aroclor 1260. PCB:Unidentified (possibly halogenated) compounds detected. PCB surrogate(s) not within acceptance limits due to sample dilution / product interference.

(7) - Elevated PCB RDL due to matrix / co-extractive interference.

(8) - Aroclor 1254, 1260. PCB surrogate not within acceptance limits. Analysis was repeated with similar results.

(9) - Aroclor 1254, 1260.



Stantec Consulting Ltd Client Project #: 121411777.400 Site Location: RMC/NG HOPEDALE Your P.O. #: 16400NR Sampler Initials: KH

## POLYCHLORINATED BIPHENYLS BY GC-ECD (TISSUE)

Maxxam ID		PF9820		PF9821		PF9822	PF9823		PF9824		
Sampling Date		2012/07/01		2012/07/01		2012/07/01	2012/07/01		2012/07/01		
	Units	12-01-403	QC Batch	12-01-404	QC Batch	12-01-405	12-01-406	QC Batch	12-01-379	RDL	QC Batch
PCBs											
Total PCB	ug/g	1.3	3022653	0.11	3016187	0.043	0.085	3022653	0.098	0.010	3021250
Surrogate Recovery (%)											
Decachlorobiphenyl	%	50(1)	3022653	127(2)	3016187	32(3)	72(2)	3022653	98(2)		3021250

Maxxam ID		PF9825			PF9826			PF9827	PF9827		PF9828		
Sampling Date		2012/07/01			2012/07/01			2012/07/01	2012/07/01		2012/07/01		
	Units	12-01-380	RDL	QC Batch	12-01-397	RDL	QC Batch	12-01-398	12-01-398	QC Batch	12-01-399	RDL	QC Batch
									Lab-Dup				
PCBs													
Total PCB	ug/g	0.88	0.010	3012902	<0.20	0.20	3014261	0.035	0.034	3011651	0.079	0.010	3014261
Surrogate Recovery (%)													
Decachlorobiphenyl	%	85(2)		3012902	66(4)		3014261	76(2)	96	3011651	20(3)		3014261

Maxxam ID		PF9829	PF9830		PF9831		PF9832		PF9833		PF9834		
Sampling Date		2012/07/01	2012/07/01		2012/07/01		2012/07/01		2012/07/01		2012/07/01		
	Units	12-01-400	12-01-407	QC Batch	12-01-408	QC Batch	12-01-409	QC Batch	12-01-410	QC Batch	12-01-101	RDL	QC Batch
PCBs													
Total PCB	ug/g	0.023	0.041	3014261	0.064	3012902	0.019	3014261	0.49	3011651	3.6	0.010	3014261
Surrogate Recovery (%)													
Decachlorobiphenyl	%	70(2)	52(3)	3014261	72(2)	3012902	55(3)	3014261	56(3)	3011651	88(2)		3014261

RDL = Reportable Detection Limit

QC Batch = Quality Control Batch

(1) - Aroclor 1254, 1260. PCB surrogate not within acceptance limits. Analysis was repeated with similar results.

(2) - Aroclor 1260.

(3) - Aroclor 1260. PCB surrogate not within acceptance limits. Analysis was repeated with similar results.

(4) - PCB surrogate not within acceptance limits. Analysis was repeated with similar results. Elevated PCB RDL due to matrix / co-extractive interference.



Stantec Consulting Ltd Client Project #: 121411777.400 Site Location: RMC/NG HOPEDALE Your P.O. #: 16400NR Sampler Initials: KH

## POLYCHLORINATED BIPHENYLS BY GC-ECD (TISSUE)

Maxxam ID		PF9835		PF9836	PF9836	PF9837		PF9838		PF9839		
Sampling Date		2012/07/01		2012/07/01	2012/07/01	2012/07/01		2012/07/01		2012/07/01		
	Units	12-01-102	QC Batch	12-01-103	12-01-103	12-01-104	QC Batch	12-01-105	QC Batch	12-01-106	RDL	QC Batch
					Lab-Dup							
PCBs												
Total PCB	ug/g	0.60	3011651	0.97	1.0	0.68	3021250	0.18	3022653	2.2	0.010	3021250
Surrogate Recovery (%)												
Description of the second	0/	07.00	2011651	07(0)	07	05 (0)	2021250	76 (0)	2022652	09.00		2021250

Maxxam ID		PF9840	PF9841	PF9842		PF9843		PF9844	PF9844		PF9845		
Sampling Date		2012/07/01	2012/07/01	2012/07/01		2012/07/01		2012/07/01	2012/07/01		2012/07/01		
	Units	12-01-107	12-01-108	12-01-109	QC Batch	12-01-110	QC Batch	12-01-111	12-01-111	QC Batch	12-01-112	RDL	QC Batch
									Lab-Dup				
PCBs													
Total PCB	ug/g	1.3	0.70	<0.010	3011651	0.026	3022653	0.012	0.013	3030702	<0.010	0.010	3022653
Surrogate Recovery (%)													
Decachlorobiphenyl	%	122(2)	125(2)	114	3011651	66(1)	3022653	93(2)	93	3030702	52(3)		3022653

Maxxam ID		PF9846		PF9847		PF9848	PF9849		PF9850		PF9851		
Sampling Date		2012/07/01		2012/07/01		2012/07/01	2012/07/01		2012/07/01		2012/07/01		
	Units	12-01-113	QC Batch	12-01-285	QC Batch	12-01-286	12-01-287	QC Batch	12-01-288	QC Batch	12-01-219	RDL	QC Batch
PCBs													
Total PCB	ug/g	0.026	3030702	23	3021250	14	0.30	3022653	0.14	3011651	<0.010	0.010	3021250
Surrogate Recovery (%)													
Decachlorobiphenyl	%	93(2)	3030702	54(1)	3021250	33(1)	137(1)	3022653	68(1)	3011651	88		3021250

RDL = Reportable Detection Limit

QC Batch = Quality Control Batch

(1) - Aroclor 1260. PCB surrogate not within acceptance limits. Analysis was repeated with similar results.

(2) - Aroclor 1260.

(3) - PCB surrogate not within acceptance limits. Analysis was repeated with similar results.



Stantec Consulting Ltd Client Project #: 121411777.400 Site Location: RMC/NG HOPEDALE Your P.O. #: 16400NR Sampler Initials: KH

## POLYCHLORINATED BIPHENYLS BY GC-ECD (TISSUE)

Maxxam ID		PF9852		PF9853	PF9854	PF9855		PF9856		PF9857		
Sampling Date		2012/07/01		2012/07/01	2012/07/01	2012/07/01		2012/07/01		2012/07/01		
	Units	12-01-220	QC Batch	12-01-221	12-01-223	12-01-224	QC Batch	12-01-330	QC Batch	12-01-331	RDL	QC Batch
PCBs		_	_	_		_	_	_	_	_		_
Total PCB	ug/g	0.21	3030702	0.39	0.59	8.1	3021250	<0.010	3022653	0.015	0.010	3030702
Surrogate Recovery (%)												
Decachlorobiphenyl	%	37(1)	3030702	98(2)	102(2)	90(2)	3021250	106	3022653	100(2)		3030702

Maxxam ID		PF9858		PF9859		PF9860		PF9861		PF9862	PF9863		
Sampling Date		2012/07/01		2012/07/01		2012/07/01		2012/07/01		2012/07/01	2012/07/01		
	Units	12-01-332	QC Batch	12-01-333	QC Batch	12-01-334	QC Batch	12-01-450	QC Batch	12-01-451	12-01-452	RDL	QC Batch
PCBs													
Total PCB	ug/g	0.036	3021250	0.022	3030702	0.014	3021250	0.020	3016187	<0.010	<0.010	0.010	3021255
Surrogate Recovery (%)													
Decachlorobiphenyl	%	107(2)	3021250	102(2)	3030702	91 (2)	3021250	102(2)	3016187	91	74		3021255

Maxxam ID		PF9864	PF9865	PF9866	PF9867	PF9868	PF9869	PF9870		PF9871	PF9872		
Sampling Date		2012/07/01	2012/07/01	2012/07/01	2012/07/01	2012/07/01	2012/07/01	2012/07/01		2012/07/01	2012/07/01		
	Units	12-01-453	12-01-454	12-01-116	12-01-117	12-01-118	12-01-119	12-01-120	QC Batch	12-01-121	12-01-122	RDL	QC Batch
PCBs									-				
Total PCB	ug/g	<0.010	<0.010	0.039	0.038	0.046	0.035	0.054	3016187	0.043	0.034	0.010	3021255
Surrogate Recovery (%)													
Decachlorobiphenyl	%	89	90	87(2)	102(2)	108(2)	80(2)	72(2)	3016187	85(2)	79(2)		3021255

Maxxam ID		PF9873	PF9874	PF9875		PF9876		PF9877		PF9878		
Sampling Date		2012/07/01	2012/07/01	2012/07/01		2012/07/01		2012/07/01		2012/07/01		
	Units	12-01-123	12-01-124	12-01-284	QC Batch	12-01-291	QC Batch	12-01-292	QC Batch	12-01-375	RDL	QC Batch
PCBs		_		_							-	
Total PCB	ug/g	<0.010	0.038	0.058	3021255	0.078	3030702	0.051	3021250	<0.010	0.010	3021255
Surrogate Recovery (%)								-		-	-	
Decachlorobiphenyl	%	73	81(2)	73(2)	3021255	92(2)	3030702	111(2)	3021250	91		3021255

RDL = Reportable Detection Limit

QC Batch = Quality Control Batch

(1) - Aroclor 1260. PCB surrogate not within acceptance limits. Insufficient sample to repeat.

(2) - Aroclor 1260.



Stantec Consulting Ltd Client Project #: 121411777.400 Site Location: RMC/NG HOPEDALE Your P.O. #: 16400NR Sampler Initials: KH

## POLYCHLORINATED BIPHENYLS BY GC-ECD (TISSUE)

Maxxam ID		PF9879		PF9880		PF9881		PF9882		PF9883		
Sampling Date		2012/07/01		2012/07/01		2012/07/01		2012/07/01		2012/07/01		
	Units	12-01-376	QC Batch	12-01-381	QC Batch	12-01-367	QC Batch	12-01-368	QC Batch	12-01-215	RDL	QC Batch
PCBs		_	_	_		_	_	_	_	_	_	
Total PCB	ug/g	<0.010	3011651	<0.010	3021255	<0.010	3016187	0.032	3030702	<0.010	0.010	3016187
Surrogate Recovery (%)												
Decachlorobiphenyl	%	58(1)	3011651	76	3021255	80	3016187	98(2)	3030702	70		3016187

Maxxam ID		PF9884	PF9884		PF9885	PF9886		PF9887		PF9888	PF9889		
Sampling Date		2012/07/01	2012/07/01		2012/07/01	2012/07/01		2012/07/01		2012/07/01	2012/07/01		
	Units	12-01-254	12-01-254	QC Batch	12-01-255	12-01-256	QC Batch	12-01-257	QC Batch	12-01-258	12-01-266	RDL	QC Batch
			Lab-Dup										
PCBs													
Total PCB	ug/g	0.27	0.28	3021255	0.21	0.16	3016187	0.15	3021250	0.13	0.053	0.010	3021255
Surrogate Recovery (%)													
Decachlorobiphenyl	%	101(2)	101	3021255	127(2)	70(2)	3016187	96(2)	3021250	94(2)	87(2)		3021255

Maxxam ID		PF9890	PF9891	PF9892	PF9893	PF9894		PF9895		PF9896		
Sampling Date		2012/07/01	2012/07/01	2012/07/01	2012/07/01	2012/07/01		2012/07/01		2012/07/01		
	Units	12-01-267	12-01-269	12-01-270	12-01-271	12-01-296	QC Batch	12-01-351	QC Batch	12-01-352	RDL	QC Batch
PCBs												
Total PCB	ug/g	<0.010	<0.010	0.036	0.051	0.042	3021255	0.014	3030702	<0.010	0.010	3021255
Surrogate Recovery (%)												
Decachlorobiphenyl	%	85	103	91(2)	92(2)	80(2)	3021255	99(2)	3030702	81		3021255

RDL = Reportable Detection Limit

QC Batch = Quality Control Batch

(1) - PCB surrogate not within acceptance limits. Analysis was repeated with similar results.

(2) - Aroclor 1260.



Stantec Consulting Ltd Client Project #: 121411777.400 Site Location: RMC/NG HOPEDALE Your P.O. #: 16400NR Sampler Initials: KH

## POLYCHLORINATED BIPHENYLS BY GC-ECD (TISSUE)

Maxxam ID		PF9897		PF9898		PF9899		PF9900		PF9901		
Sampling Date		2012/07/01		2012/07/01		2012/07/01		2012/07/01		2012/07/01		
	Units	12-01-362	QC Batch	12-01-180	QC Batch	12-01-181	QC Batch	12-01-198	QC Batch	12-01-329	RDL	QC Batch
PCBs		_	_	_	_		_	_		_	_	
Total PCB	ug/g	<0.010	3011651	0.089	3021255	0.085	3021250	0.059	3021255	1.6	0.010	3011651
Surrogate Recovery (%)												
Decachlorobiphenyl	%	93	3011651	75(1)	3021255	93(1)	3021250	82(1)	3021255	112(1)		3011651

Maxxam ID		PF9902		PF9903	PF9904		PF9905		PF9906	PF9907		
Sampling Date		2012/07/01		2012/07/01	2012/07/01		2012/07/01		2012/07/01	2012/07/01		
	Units	12-01-353	QC Batch	12-01-134	12-01-170	QC Batch	12-01-185	QC Batch	12-01-238	12-01-240	RDL	QC Batch
PCBs												
Total PCB	ug/g	<0.010	3011651	0.026	0.036	3014261	0.015	3011651	0.15	0.14	0.010	3014261
Surrogate Recovery (%)												
Decachlorobiphenyl	%	123	3011651	43(2)	38(2)	3014261	98(1)	3011651	86(1)	82(1)		3014261

Maxxam ID		PG5660	PG5692	PG5693	PG5694	PG5695	PG5696		
Sampling Date		2012/07/01	2012/07/01	2012/07/01	2012/07/01	2012/07/01	2012/07/01		
	Units	12-01-231	12-01-233	12-01-234	12-01-272	12-01-243	12-01-244	RDL	QC Batch
PCBs									
Total PCB	ug/g	0.16	0.13	0.18	0.20	<0.010	0.013	0.010	3012902
Surrogate Recovery (%)									
Decachlorobiphenyl	%	97(1)	97(1)	92(1)	81(1)	106	116(1)		3012902

RDL = Reportable Detection Limit

QC Batch = Quality Control Batch

(1) - Aroclor 1260.

(2) - Aroclor 1260. PCB surrogate not within acceptance limits. Insufficient sample to repeat.



Stantec Consulting Ltd Client Project #: 121411777.400 Site Location: RMC/NG HOPEDALE Your P.O. #: 16400NR Sampler Initials: KH

Package 1	2.3°C
Package 2	2.7°C
Package 3	4.7°C
Package 4	3.0°C

Each temperature is the average of up to three cooler temperatures taken at receipt

GENERAL COMMENTS



Stantec Consulting Ltd Client Project #: 121411777.400 Site Location: RMC/NG HOPEDALE Your P.O. #: 16400NR Sampler Initials: KH

#### QUALITY ASSURANCE REPORT

			Matrix S	Spike	Spiked I	Blank	Method Blan	k	RP	D	QC Star	ndard
QC Batch	Parameter	Date	% Recovery	QC Limits	% Recovery	QC Limits	Value	Units	Value (%)	QC Limits	% Recovery	QC Limits
3009962	Decachlorobiphenyl	2012/11/02	83	30 - 130	72	30 - 130	70	%				
3009962	Total PCB	2012/11/02	88	30 - 130	85	30 - 130	<0.010	ug/g	NC	50		
3011651	Decachlorobiphenyl	2012/11/27	70	30 - 130	80	30 - 130	75	%				
3011651	Total PCB	2012/11/27	78	30 - 130	91	30 - 130	<0.010	ug/g	NC	50		
3012902	Decachlorobiphenyl	2012/11/26	88	30 - 130	88	30 - 130	73	%				
3012902	Total PCB	2012/11/26	NC	30 - 130	111	30 - 130	<0.010	ug/g	72.4(1, 2)	50		
3013967	Decachlorobiphenyl	2012/10/26	91	70 - 130	103	70 - 130	92	%				
3013967	Total PCB	2012/10/26	100	70 - 130	108	70 - 130	<0.010	mg/kg	NC	50		
3014261	Decachlorobiphenyl	2012/12/05	69(3)	30 - 130	104	30 - 130	71	%				
3014261	Total PCB	2012/12/05	103	30 - 130	104	30 - 130	<0.010	ug/g	NC	50		
3016187	Decachlorobiphenyl	2012/11/15	77	30 - 130	82	30 - 130	70	%				
3016187	Total PCB	2012/11/15	97	30 - 130	93	30 - 130	0.019, RDL=0.010	ug/g	NC	50		
3020408	Crude Fat	2012/11/01					<0.50	%	NC	25	102	80 - 120
3020430	Crude Fat	2012/10/31					<0.50	%	NC	25	106	80 - 120
3020442	Crude Fat	2012/11/02					<0.50	%	0.7	25	97	80 - 120
3021250	Decachlorobiphenyl	2012/11/27	98	30 - 130	96	30 - 130	101	%				
3021250	Total PCB	2012/11/27	NC	30 - 130	77	30 - 130	<0.010	ug/g	4.4	50		
3021255	Decachlorobiphenyl	2012/11/22	114	30 - 130	93	30 - 130	83	%				
3021255	Total PCB	2012/11/22	NC	30 - 130	80	30 - 130	<0.010	ug/g	3.5	50		
3022653	Decachlorobiphenyl	2012/12/05	32(3)	30 - 130	89	30 - 130	99	%				
3022653	Total PCB	2012/12/05	29(1, 4)	30 - 130	85	30 - 130	<0.010	ug/g	NC	50		
3027077	Crude Fat	2012/11/07					<0.50	%	NC	25	100	80 - 120
3027083	Crude Fat	2012/11/08					<0.50	%	23.1	25	105	80 - 120
3030702	Decachlorobiphenyl	2012/11/28	84	30 - 130	87	30 - 130	92	%				
3030702	Total PCB	2012/11/28	94	30 - 130	93	30 - 130	<0.010	ug/g	NC	50		
3034090	Crude Fat	2012/11/14					<0.50	%	NC	25	93	80 - 120
3034118	Crude Fat	2012/11/15					<0.50	%	NC	25	93	80 - 120
3038479	Crude Fat	2012/11/21					<0.50	%	NC	25	94	80 - 120
3040774	Crude Fat	2012/11/21					<0.50	%	NC	25	97	80 - 120



Stantec Consulting Ltd Client Project #: 121411777.400 Site Location: RMC/NG HOPEDALE Your P.O. #: 16400NR Sampler Initials: KH

#### QUALITY ASSURANCE REPORT

			Reagent Blank	
QC Batch	Parameter	Date	% Recovery	QC Limits
3009962	Decachlorobiphenyl	2012/11/02	78	30 - 130
3009962	Total PCB	2012/11/02	<0.010	N/A
3011651	Decachlorobiphenyl	2012/11/27	77	30 - 130
3011651	Total PCB	2012/11/27	<0.010	N/A
3012902	Decachlorobiphenyl	2012/11/26	119	30 - 130
3012902	Total PCB	2012/11/26	<0.010	N/A
3014261	Decachlorobiphenyl	2012/12/05	80	30 - 130
3014261	Total PCB	2012/12/05	<0.010	N/A
3016187	Decachlorobiphenyl	2012/11/15	83	30 - 130
3016187	Total PCB	2012/11/15	0.018	N/A
3021250	Decachlorobiphenyl	2012/11/27	99	30 - 130
3021250	Total PCB	2012/11/27	<0.010	N/A
3021255	Decachlorobiphenyl	2012/11/22	91	30 - 130
3021255	Total PCB	2012/11/22	<0.010	N/A
3022653	Decachlorobiphenyl	2012/12/05	94	30 - 130
3022653	Total PCB	2012/12/05	<0.010	N/A
3030702	Decachlorobiphenyl	2012/11/28	90	30 - 130
3030702	Total PCB	2012/11/28	<0.010	N/A

N/A = Not Applicable

RDL = Reportable Detection Limit

RPD = Relative Percent Difference

Duplicate: Paired analysis of a separate portion of the same sample. Used to evaluate the variance in the measurement.

Reagent Blank: A blank matrix containing all reagents used in the analytical procedure. Used to determine any analytical contamination.

Matrix Spike: A sample to which a known amount of the analyte of interest has been added. Used to evaluate sample matrix interference.

QC Standard: A sample of known concentration prepared by an external agency under stringent conditions. Used as an independent check of method accuracy.

Spiked Blank: A blank matrix sample to which a known amount of the analyte, usually from a second source, has been added. Used to evaluate method accuracy.

Method Blank: A blank matrix containing all reagents used in the analytical procedure. Used to identify laboratory contamination.

Surrogate: A pure or isotopically labeled compound whose behavior mirrors the analytes of interest. Used to evaluate extraction efficiency.

NC (Matrix Spike): The recovery in the matrix spike was not calculated. The relative difference between the concentration in the parent sample and the spiked amount was not sufficiently significant to permit a reliable recovery calculation.

NC (RPD): The RPD was not calculated. The level of analyte detected in the parent sample and its duplicate was not sufficiently significant to permit a reliable calculation.

(1) - Recovery or RPD for this parameter is outside control limits. The overall quality control for this analysis meets acceptability criteria.

(2) - Duplicate: results are outside acceptance limit. Analysis was repeated with similar results.

(3) - PCB surrogate not within acceptance limits. Analysis was repeated with similar results.

(4) - Matrix Spike: results are outside acceptance limit. Analysis was repeated with similar results.



# Validation Signature Page

Maxxam Job #: B2G1304

The analytical data and all QC contained in this report were reviewed and validated by the following individual(s).

Alan Stewart, Scientific Specialist (Organics)

Colleen Acker, Supervisor, General Chemistry

lin Smith austrong

==

Robin Smith-Armstrong, Bedford SemiVol Spvsr

Maxxam has procedures in place to guard against improper use of the electronic signature and have the required "signatories", as per section 5.10.2 of ISO/IEC 17025:2005(E), signing the reports. For Service Group specific validation please refer to the Validation Signature Page.

\_



т



Т



T



.

.













I.







Ţ .



T



T



PCB003 2012/12/13 10:21:18 AM Kristy Joudrey



PCB003 2012/12/13 10:21:51 AM Kristy Joudrey

T









PCB003 2012/12/13 10:24:56 AM Kristy Joudrey

...



Ť



PCB003 2012/12/13 10:31:03 AM Kristy Joudrey



PCB003 2012/12/13 10:33:28 AM Kristy Joudrey






PCB003 2012/12/13 10:40:06 AM Kristy Joudrey







PCB003 2012/12/13 10:43:34 AM Kristy Joudrey













PCB003 2012/12/13 10:47:08 AM Kristy Joudrey







PCB003 2012/12/13 10:49:23 AM Kristy Joudrey



PCB003 2012/12/13 10:49:48 AM Kristy Joudrey









'1











PCB003 2012/12/13 4:18:09 PM Kristy Joudrey





-\*-















PCB003 2012/12/13 10:55:33 AM Kristy Joudrey







PCB003 2012/12/13 10:56:40 AM Kristy Joudrey











T




Т







t



t



HAA002 12/13/2012 2:16:49 PM robin Smith

ĩ





Т









τ









Ť



Ī





-













÷



÷









ĩ





## HAA002 12/13/2012 2:40:20 PM robin Smith








T





Т



Т





Т



Ť





T



T











'†







.





Ť



Ţ



T



Т



T



Т







Ŧ



т









ĩ







PCB003 2012/12/13 11:06:10 AM Kristy Joudrey

. T


Т



·Т





T







Т











Ť





T



Т















PCB003 2012/12/13 11:08:33 AM Kristy Joudrey

T





Т





.











Т



τ



τ



τ



T






Ť



1



T



Ť





ţ



t



т





~

т



T



T





T



T



t

.





Ť









Your P.O. #: 16400NR Your Project #: 121411777.400 Site Location: RMC/NG HOPEDALE Your C.O.C. #: N/A

#### Attention: Jim Slade Stantec Consulting Ltd

607 Torbay Rd St. John's, NL A1A 4Y6

Report Date: 2013/02/08

# **CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS**

### MAXXAM JOB #: B2K0998 Received: 2012/12/20, 13:16

Sample Matrix: Soil # Samples Received: 7

		Date	Date		Method
Analyses	Quantity	Extracted	Analyzed	Laboratory Method	Reference
Moisture	7	N/A	2012/12/24	ATL SOP 00001	MOE Handbook 1983
Low Level PCB in Soil by GC-ECD	1	2013/01/18	2013/01/22	ATL SOP 00106	Based EPA8082
Low Level PCB in Soil by GC-ECD	6	2013/01/18	2013/01/25	ATL SOP 00106	Based EPA8082

Sample Matrix: TISSUE # Samples Received: 67

		Date	Date		Method
Analyses	Quantity	Extracted	Analyzed	Laboratory Method	Reference
PCBs in tissue by GC/ECD (1)	10	2013/01/04	2013/01/31	ATL SOP 00110	Based on EPA8082
PCBs in tissue by GC/ECD (1)	9	2013/01/04	2013/02/01	ATL SOP 00110	Based on EPA8082
PCBs in tissue by GC/ECD (1)	8	2013/01/04	2013/02/06	ATL SOP 00110	Based on EPA8082
PCBs in tissue by GC/ECD (1)	2	2013/01/04	2013/03/06	ATL SOP 00110	Based on EPA8082
PCBs in tissue by GC/ECD (1)	9	2013/01/08	2013/01/17	ATL SOP 00110	Based on EPA8082
PCBs in tissue by GC/ECD (1)	7	2013/01/08	2013/01/30	ATL SOP 00110	Based on EPA8082
PCBs in tissue by GC/ECD (1)	4	2013/01/08	2013/02/07	ATL SOP 00110	Based on EPA8082
PCBs in tissue by GC/ECD (1)	17	2013/01/09	2013/02/05	ATL SOP 00110	Based on EPA8082
PCBs in tissue by GC/ECD (1)	1	2013/01/09	2013/02/07	ATL SOP 00110	Based on EPA8082

Sample Matrix: Vegetation # Samples Received: 11

		Date	Date		Method
Analyses	Quantity	Extracted	Analyzed	Laboratory Method	Reference
PCBs in tissue by GC/ECD (1)	8	2013/01/04	2013/01/31	ATL SOP 00110	Based on EPA8082
PCBs in tissue by GC/ECD (1)	3	2013/01/04	2013/02/01	ATL SOP 00110	Based on EPA8082

## Remarks:

Reporting results to two significant figures at the RDL is to permit statistical evaluation and is not intended to be an indication of analytical precision.

\* RPDs calculated using raw data. The rounding of final results may result in the apparent difference.

\* Results relate only to the items tested.

(1) Results are reported on an as received basis unless otherwise indicated.



Stantec Consulting Ltd Client Project #: 121411777.400 Site Location: RMC/NG HOPEDALE Your P.O. #: 16400NR Sampler Initials: KH

-2-

Encryption Key

Please direct all questions regarding this Certificate of Analysis to your Project Manager.

Michelle Hill, Project Manager Email: MHill@maxxam.ca Phone# (902) 420-0203 Ext:289

\_\_\_\_\_

Maxxam has procedures in place to guard against improper use of the electronic signature and have the required "signatories", as per section 5.10.2 of ISO/IEC 17025:2005(E), signing the reports. For Service Group specific validation please refer to the Validation Signature Page.

Total cover pages: 2



Stantec Consulting Ltd Client Project #: 121411777.400 Site Location: RMC/NG HOPEDALE Your P.O. #: 16400NR Sampler Initials: KH

### **RESULTS OF ANALYSES OF SOIL**

Maxxam ID		QB1870	QB1871	QB1872	QB1873	QB1874	QB1875	QB1876		
Sampling Date		2012/10/01	2012/10/01	2012/10/01	2012/10/01	2012/10/01	2012/10/01	2012/10/01		
	Units	12-01 504	12-01 505	12-01 506	12-01 507	12-01 478	12-01 508	12-01 509	RDL	QC Batch
Inorganics	_	_	_	_	_	_		_		_
Moisture	%	15	20	22	49	11	68	13	1	3079664

## PCB'S AND DDT BY GC-ECD (SOIL)

Maxxam ID		QB1870	QB1871	QB1871	QB1872	QB1873	QB1874	QB1875	QB1876		
Sampling Date		2012/10/01	2012/10/01	2012/10/01	2012/10/01	2012/10/01	2012/10/01	2012/10/01	2012/10/01		
	Units	12-01 504	12-01 505	12-01 505	12-01 506	12-01 507	12-01 478	12-01 508	12-01 509	RDL	QC Batch
				Lab-Dup							
PCBs											
Total PCB	mg/kg	<0.010	<0.010	<0.010	<0.010	<0.010	<0.010	<0.010	<0.010	0.010	3098344
Surrogate Recovery (%)								-			
Decachlorobinhenyl	0/	105	104	101	80	87	87	03	02		3008344

## POLYCHLORINATED BIPHENYLS BY GC-ECD (TISSUE)

Maxxam ID		QB1838	QB1839	QB1840	QB1841	QB1842	QB1843	QB1844	QB1845		QB1846		
Sampling Date		2012/10/01	2012/10/01	2012/10/01	2012/10/01	2012/10/01	2012/10/01	2012/10/01	2012/10/01		2012/10/01		
	Units	12-01 715	12-01 716	12-01 717	12-01 701	12-01 702	12-01 703	12-01 704	12-01 730	QC Batch	12-01 320	RDL	QC Batch
PCBs	_	_		_	_		_	_	_		-		_
Total PCB	ug/g	0.035	0.020	0.048	0.034	0.013	0.075	0.022	0.59	3085220	0.068	0.010	3087673
Surrogate Recovery (%)													
Decachlorobiphenyl	%	103(1)	124(1)	81(1)	82(1)	57(2)	85(1)	82(1)	118(1)	3085220	61 (2)		3087673

RDL = Reportable Detection Limit

QC Batch = Quality Control Batch

(1) - Aroclor 1260.

(2) - Aroclor 1260. PCB surrogate not within acceptance limits. Analysis was repeated with similar results.



Stantec Consulting Ltd Client Project #: 121411777.400 Site Location: RMC/NG HOPEDALE Your P.O. #: 16400NR Sampler Initials: KH

# POLYCHLORINATED BIPHENYLS BY GC-ECD (TISSUE)

Maxxam ID		QB1847		QB1848	QB1849	QB1849		QB1850		QB1851		
Sampling Date		2012/09/01		2012/10/01	2012/10/01	2012/10/01		2012/10/01		2012/10/01		
	Units	12-01 705	QC Batch	12-01 322	12-01 731	12-01 731	QC Batch	12-01 732	QC Batch	12-01 810	RDL	QC Batch
						Lab-Dup						
PCBs	_		-	_	_	_	-	_				_
Total DCD	1									1		(
TOLAL PCB	ug/g	0.54	3087673	0.060	<0.010	<0.010	3085220	<0.010	3087673	0.46	0.010	3085220
Surrogate Recovery (%)	ug/g	0.54	3087673	0.060	<0.010	<0.010	3085220	<0.010	3087673	0.46	0.010	3085220

Maxxam ID		QB1852		QB1853		QB1854	QB1858	QB1859	QB1859	QB1860	QB1861		
Sampling Date		2012/10/01		2012/10/01		2012/10/01	2012/10/01	2012/10/01	2012/10/01	2012/10/01	2012/10/01		
	Units	12-01 729	QC Batch	12-01 706	QC Batch	12-01 707	12-01 344	12-01 300	12-01 300	12-01 828	12-01 483	RDL	QC Batch
									Lab-Dup				
PCBs	_												_
Total PCB	ug/g	0.84	3087673	0.018	3085220	0.64	0.22	0.019	0.015	0.016	0.45	0.010	3087673
Surrogate Recovery (%)													
Decachlorobiphenyl	%	111(2)	3087673	81 (2)	3085220	107(2)	94(2)	89(2)	91	92(2)	84(2)		3087673

Maxxam ID		QB1862	QB1863	QB1864	QB1865	QB1866	QB1867	QB1868	QB1869	QB1877	QB1878		
Sampling Date		2012/10/01	2012/10/01	2012/10/01	2012/10/01	2012/10/01	2012/09/01	2012/10/01	2012/10/01	2012/09/01	2012/10/01		
	Units	12-01 708	12-01 709	12-01 327	12-01 323	12-01 325	12-01 328	12-01 324	12-01 326	12-01 468	12-01 700	RDL	QC Batch
PCBs	-												
Total PCB	ug/g	<0.010	<0.010	0.016	0.085	<0.010	0.019	0.066	0.017	0.66	<0.010	0.010	3087673
Surrogate Recovery (%)													
Decachlorobiphenyl	%	89	83	78(2)	37(1)	61 (3)	76(2)	23(1)	76(2)	79(2)	85		3087673

RDL = Reportable Detection Limit

QC Batch = Quality Control Batch

(1) - Aroclor 1260. PCB surrogate not within acceptance limits. Analysis was repeated with similar results.

(2) - Aroclor 1260.

(3) - PCB surrogate not within acceptance limits. Analysis was repeated with similar results.



Stantec Consulting Ltd Client Project #: 121411777.400 Site Location: RMC/NG HOPEDALE Your P.O. #: 16400NR Sampler Initials: KH

# POLYCHLORINATED BIPHENYLS BY GC-ECD (TISSUE)

Maxxam ID		QB1879		QB1880	QB1881	QB1882	QB1884		QB1885	QB1886	QB1887		
Sampling Date		2012/10/01		2012/10/01	2012/10/01	2012/10/01	2012/10/01		2012/10/01	2012/10/01	2012/10/01		
	Units	12-01 720	QC Batch	12-01 721	12-01 718	12-01 719	12-01 232	QC Batch	12-01 236	12-01 237	12-01 195	RDL	QC Batch
PCBs	_	_		_	_	_	_	_	_		_	_	
Total PCB	ug/g	<0.010	3087673	0.021	0.031	0.013	0.38	3089136	0.12	0.52	0.069	0.010	3085493
Surrogate Recovery (%)													
Decachlorobiphenyl	%	76	3087673	99(1)	84(1)	86(1)	93(1)	3089136	98(1)	92(1)	87(1)		3085493

Maxxam ID		QB1888	QB1888		QB1889	QB1890	QB1890		QB1891		QB1892		
Sampling Date		2012/10/01	2012/10/01		2012/10/01	2012/10/01	2012/10/01		2012/09/01		2012/10/01		
	Units	12-01 490	12-01 490	QC Batch	12-01 497	12-01 498	12-01 498	QC Batch	12-01 235	QC Batch	12-01 499	RDL	QC Batch
			Lab-Dup				Lab-Dup						
PCBs													
Total PCB	ug/g	0.019	0.018	3085493	0.029	0.035	0.038	3089136	0.29	3085493	0.055	0.010	3089136
Surrogate Recovery (%)													
Decachlorobiphenyl	%	100(1)	82	3085493	90(1)	80(1)	84	3089136	101(1)	3085493	96(1)		3089136

Maxxam ID		QB1893	QB1894		QB1895	QB1896		QB1897		QB1898	QB1899		
Sampling Date		2012/10/01	2012/11/01		2012/11/01	2012/11/01		2012/11/01		2012/11/01	2012/11/01		
	Units	12-01 500	12-01 762	QC Batch	12-01 763	12-01 764	QC Batch	12-01 765	QC Batch	12-01 766	12-01 767	RDL	QC Batch
PCBs													
Total PCB	ug/g	0.036	3.0	3089136	0.019	0.031	3085493	2.4	3089136	0.020	0.027	0.010	3085493
Surrogate Recovery (%)													
Decachlorobiphenyl	%	86(1)	104(1)	3089136	99(1)	98(1)	3085493	95(1)	3089136	99(1)	99(1)		3085493

Maxxam ID		QB1900	QB1901	QB1902	QB1903	QB1904	QB1905	QB1906	QB1907		QB1908		
Sampling Date		2012/11/01	2012/11/01	2012/11/01	2012/11/01	2012/11/01	2012/11/01	2012/11/01	2012/11/01		2012/11/01		
	Units	12-01 768	12-01 769	12-01 770	12-01 771	12-01 772	12-01 773	12-01 774	12-01 775	QC Batch	12-01 776	RDL	QC Batch
PCBs													
Total PCB	ug/g	2.0	0.024	0.027	1.9	0.018	0.021	3.5	0.016	3085493	0.023	0.010	3089136
Surrogate Recovery (%)													
Decachlorobiphenyl	%	98(1)	101(1)	94(1)	103(1)	97(1)	96(1)	99(1)	94(1)	3085493	91(1)		3089136

RDL = Reportable Detection Limit QC Batch = Quality Control Batch (1) - Aroclor 1260.



Stantec Consulting Ltd Client Project #: 121411777.400 Site Location: RMC/NG HOPEDALE Your P.O. #: 16400NR Sampler Initials: KH

# POLYCHLORINATED BIPHENYLS BY GC-ECD (TISSUE)

Maxxam ID		QB1909	QB1910	QB1911	QB1912	QB1913	QB1914	QB1915			
Sampling Date		2012/11/01	2012/11/01	2012/11/01	2012/11/01	2012/11/01	2012/11/01	2012/11/01			
	Units	12-01 777	12-01 778	12-01 779	12-01 780	12-01 781	12-01 782	12-01 369	RDL	QC Batch	
PCBs	_	_	_	_	_			_	_	_	
Total PCB	ug/g	0.010	0.029	0.038	0.064	0.019	0.062	0.72	0.010	3089136	
Surrogate Recovery (%)											
Decachlorobiphenyl	%	99(1)	97(1)	97(1)	96(1)	99(1)	105(1)	112(1)		3089136	

### POLYCHLORINATED BIPHENYLS BY GC-ECD (VEGETATION)

Maxxam ID		QB1830	QB1831		QB1832	QB1833	QB1834	QB1835			
Sampling Date		2012/09/01	2012/10/01		2012/10/01	2012/10/01	2012/10/01	2012/10/01			
	Units	12-BA 1	12-BA 2	QC Batch	12-01 501	12-01 486	12-01 479	12-01 502	RDL	QC Batch	
PCBs	_	_	_			_	_	_	_	_	
Total PCB	ug/g	<0.010	<0.010	3085493	<0.010	<0.010	<0.010	<0.010	0.010	3085220	
Surrogate Recovery (%)											
Decachlorobiphenyl	%	44(2)	48(2)	3085493	83	81	77	80		3085220	

Maxxam ID		QB1836	QB1837	QB1855	QB1856		QB1857				
Sampling Date		2012/10/01	2012/10/01	2012/10/01	2012/10/01		2012/09/01				
	Units	12-01 484	12-01 480	12-01 503	12-01 485	QC Batch	12-01 481	RDL	QC Batch		
PCBs	_	_	_			_	_		_		
Total PCB	ug/g	<0.010	<0.010	<0.010	<0.010	3085220	<0.010	0.010	3085493		
Surrogate Recovery (%)											
Decachlorobiphenyl	%	72	72	63(2)	51(2)	3085220	43(2)		3085493		

RDL = Reportable Detection Limit

QC Batch = Quality Control Batch

(1) - Aroclor 1260.

(2) - PCB surrogate not within acceptance limits. Analysis was repeated with similar results.



Stantec Consulting Ltd Client Project #: 121411777.400 Site Location: RMC/NG HOPEDALE Your P.O. #: 16400NR Sampler Initials: KH

Dookogo 1	2000
	3.0 C
Package 2	1.0°C

Each temperature is the average of up to three cooler temperatures taken at receipt

GENERAL COMMENTS

PCB in sediment samples received past the 14 day holding time recommended for this test.



Stantec Consulting Ltd Client Project #: 121411777.400 Site Location: RMC/NG HOPEDALE Your P.O. #: 16400NR Sampler Initials: KH

#### QUALITY ASSURANCE REPORT

			Matrix Spike		Spiked Blank		Method Blank		RPD		Reagent Blank	
QC Batch	Parameter	Date	% Recovery	QC Limits	% Recovery	QC Limits	Value	Units	Value (%)	QC Limits	% Recovery	QC Limits
3085220	Decachlorobiphenyl	2013/01/31	87	30 - 130	91	30 - 130	73	%			92	30 - 130
3085220	Total PCB	2013/01/31	80	30 - 130	71	30 - 130	<0.010	ug/g	NC	50	<0.010	N/A
3085493	Decachlorobiphenyl	2013/02/01	92	30 - 130	91	30 - 130	87	%			90	30 - 130
3085493	Total PCB	2013/02/01	72	30 - 130	89	30 - 130	<0.010	ug/g	NC	50	<0.010	N/A
3087673	Decachlorobiphenyl	2013/01/17	89	30 - 130	77	30 - 130	93	%			88	30 - 130
3087673	Total PCB	2013/01/17	78	30 - 130	78	30 - 130	<0.010	ug/g	NC	50	<0.010	N/A
3089136	Decachlorobiphenyl	2013/02/05	90	30 - 130	91	30 - 130	78	%			91	30 - 130
3089136	Total PCB	2013/02/05	94	30 - 130	101	30 - 130	<0.010	ug/g	NC	50	<0.010	N/A
3098344	Decachlorobiphenyl	2013/01/22	105	70 - 130	103	70 - 130	95	%				
3098344	Total PCB	2013/01/22	104	70 - 130	96	70 - 130	<0.010	mg/kg	NC	50		

N/A = Not Applicable

RPD = Relative Percent Difference

Reagent Blank: A blank matrix containing all reagents used in the analytical procedure. Used to determine any analytical contamination.

- Matrix Spike: A sample to which a known amount of the analyte of interest has been added. Used to evaluate sample matrix interference.
- Spiked Blank: A blank matrix sample to which a known amount of the analyte, usually from a second source, has been added. Used to evaluate method accuracy.
- Method Blank: A blank matrix containing all reagents used in the analytical procedure. Used to identify laboratory contamination.
- Surrogate: A pure or isotopically labeled compound whose behavior mirrors the analytes of interest. Used to evaluate extraction efficiency.

NC (RPD): The RPD was not calculated. The level of analyte detected in the parent sample and its duplicate was not sufficiently significant to permit a reliable calculation.

Page 8 of 9



# Validation Signature Page

Maxxam Job #: B2K0998

The analytical data and all QC contained in this report were reviewed and validated by the following individual(s).

cientific Specialist (Organics)

Robin Smith-Armstrong, Bedford SemiVol Spvsr

Maxxam has procedures in place to guard against improper use of the electronic signature and have the required "signatories", as per section 5.10.2 of ISO/IEC 17025:2005(E), signing the reports. For Service Group specific validation please refer to the Validation Signature Page.

Page 9 of 9





·.-



HAA002 2/7/2013 8:50:04 AM Kristy Joudrey







Page 1 of 1




HAA002 2/7/2013 9:02:38 AM Kristy Joudrey







HAA002 2/7/2013 9:03:56 AM Kristy Joudrey











HAA002 2/7/2013 9:06:08 AM Kristy Joudrey





ł





ł



ł







2







Page 1 of 1











HAA002 2/7/2013 8:58:40 AM Kristy Joudrey



. ..



---





;





HAA002 2/7/2013 8:59:12 AM Kristy Joudrey







rint of all graphic windows



.






# rint of window 38: Current Chromatogram(s)



# rint of window 38: Current Chromatogram(s)









PCB003 2013/01/30 11:20:36 AM Kristy Joudrey





### Print of window 38: Current Chromatogram(s)



## Print of window 38: Current Chromatogram(s)



## Print of window 38: Current Chromatogram(s)



ł



| .







ł



I.



1.

e





ł

HAA002 2/7/2013 8:51:04 AM Kristy Joudrey





HAA002 2/7/2013 8:52:08 AM Kristy Joudrey



l



1 .

HAA002 2/7/2013 8:53:21 AM Kristy Joudrey



ł



1



1





HAA002 2/7/2013 9:14:02 AM Kristy Joudrey



1



1





Ţ



Ţ

HAA002 2/7/2013 8:54:51 AM Kristy Joudrey



. ...


ł



Page 1 of 1



Į.



1



Ţ

HAA002 2/7/2013 8:44:31 AM Kristy Joudrey



1





ł

HAA002 2/7/2013 8:48:23 AM Kristy Joudrey



i t



1

HAA002 2/7/2013 8:43:04 AM Kristy Joudrey



1

Page 1 of 1



ł



ł

HAA002 2/7/2013 9:17:06 AM Kristy Joudrey





HAA002 2/7/2013 9:17:59 AM Kristy Joudrey



ł



HAA002 2/7/2013 9:18:41 AM Kristy Joudrey



ł



Ι.,

Maxxam

Your P.O. #: 16400NR Your Project #: 121411777.400 Site Location: RMC/NG HOPEDALE Your C.O.C. #: N/A

#### Attention: Jim Slade Stantec Consulting Ltd 607 Torbay Rd St. John's, NL

A1A 4Y6

#### Report Date: 2013/03/07

This report supersedes all previous reports with the same Maxxam job number

# **CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS**

#### MAXXAM JOB #: B2K0998 Received: 2012/12/20, 13:16

Sample Matrix: Soil # Samples Received: 7

		Date	Date		Method
Analyses	Quantity	Extracted	Analyzed	Laboratory Method	Reference
Moisture	7	N/A	2012/12/24	ATL SOP 00001	MOE Handbook 1983
Low Level PCB in Soil by GC-ECD	1	2013/01/18	2013/01/22	ATL SOP 00106	Based EPA8082
Low Level PCB in Soil by GC-ECD	6	2013/01/18	2013/01/25	ATL SOP 00106	Based EPA8082

Sample Matrix: TISSUE # Samples Received: 67

		Date	Date	Method
Analyses	Quantity	Extracted	Analyzed Laboratory Method	Reference
Lipids (Crude Fat)	4	2013/02/18	2013/02/19	AOAC 948.16
Lipids (Crude Fat)	17	2013/02/19	2013/02/26	AOAC 948.16
Lipids (Crude Fat)	15	2013/02/27	2013/02/26	AOAC 948.16
Lipids (Crude Fat)	11	2013/02/27	2013/02/28	AOAC 948.16
Lipids (Crude Fat)	18	2013/03/05	2013/03/07	AOAC 948.16
PCBs in tissue by GC/ECD (1)	10	2013/01/04	2013/01/31 ATL SOP 00110	Based on EPA8082
PCBs in tissue by GC/ECD (1)	9	2013/01/04	2013/02/01 ATL SOP 00110	Based on EPA8082
PCBs in tissue by GC/ECD (1)	8	2013/01/04	2013/02/06 ATL SOP 00110	Based on EPA8082
PCBs in tissue by GC/ECD (1)	2	2013/01/04	2013/03/06 ATL SOP 00110	Based on EPA8082
PCBs in tissue by GC/ECD (1)	9	2013/01/08	2013/01/17 ATL SOP 00110	Based on EPA8082
PCBs in tissue by GC/ECD (1)	7	2013/01/08	2013/01/30 ATL SOP 00110	Based on EPA8082
PCBs in tissue by GC/ECD (1)	4	2013/01/08	2013/02/07 ATL SOP 00110	Based on EPA8082
PCBs in tissue by GC/ECD (1)	17	2013/01/09	2013/02/05 ATL SOP 00110	Based on EPA8082
PCBs in tissue by GC/ECD (1)	1	2013/01/09	2013/02/07 ATL SOP 00110	Based on EPA8082

Sample Matrix: Vegetation # Samples Received: 11

		Date	Date		Method
Analyses	Quantity	Extracted	Analyzed	Laboratory Method	Reference
PCBs in tissue by GC/ECD (1)	8	2013/01/04	2013/01/31	ATL SOP 00110	Based on EPA8082
PCBs in tissue by GC/ECD (1)	3	2013/01/04	2013/02/01	ATL SOP 00110	Based on EPA8082

#### Remarks:

Reporting results to two significant figures at the RDL is to permit statistical evaluation and is not intended to be an indication of analytical precision.

Page 1 of 12



Stantec Consulting Ltd Client Project #: 121411777.400 Site Location: RMC/NG HOPEDALE Your P.O. #: 16400NR Sampler Initials: KH

-2-

\* RPDs calculated using raw data. The rounding of final results may result in the apparent difference.

\* Results relate only to the items tested.

(1) Results are reported on an as received basis unless otherwise indicated.

Encryption Key

Please direct all questions regarding this Certificate of Analysis to your Project Manager.

Michelle Hill, Project Manager Email: MHill@maxxam.ca Phone# (902) 420-0203 Ext:289

Maxxam has procedures in place to guard against improper use of the electronic signature and have the required "signatories", as per section 5.10.2 of ISO/IEC 17025:2005(E), signing the reports. For Service Group specific validation please refer to the Validation Signature Page.

Total cover pages: 2



Stantec Consulting Ltd Client Project #: 121411777.400 Site Location: RMC/NG HOPEDALE Your P.O. #: 16400NR Sampler Initials: KH

## **RESULTS OF ANALYSES OF SOIL**

Maxxam ID		QB1870	QB1871	QB1872	QB1873	QB1874	QB1875	QB1876		
Sampling Date		2012/10/01	2012/10/01	2012/10/01	2012/10/01	2012/10/01	2012/10/01	2012/10/01		
	Units	12-01 504	12-01 505	12-01 506	12-01 507	12-01 478	12-01 508	12-01 509	RDL	QC Batch
Inorganics	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Moisture	%	15	20	22	49	11	68	13	1	3079664

#### PCB'S AND DDT BY GC-ECD (SOIL)

Maxxam ID		QB1870	QB1871	QB1871	QB1872	QB1873	QB1874	QB1875	QB1876		
Sampling Date		2012/10/01	2012/10/01	2012/10/01	2012/10/01	2012/10/01	2012/10/01	2012/10/01	2012/10/01		
	Units	12-01 504	12-01 505	12-01 505	12-01 506	12-01 507	12-01 478	12-01 508	12-01 509	RDL	QC Batch
				Lab-Dup							
PCBs											
Total PCB	mg/kg	<0.010	<0.010	<0.010	<0.010	<0.010	<0.010	<0.010	<0.010	0.010	3098344
Surrogate Recovery (%)											
Decachlorobiphenyl	%	105	104	101	89	87	87	93	92		3098344

#### **RESULTS OF ANALYSES OF TISSUE**

Maxxam ID		QB1840	QB1841	QB1842	QB1843		QB1844	QB1845	QB1846		
Sampling Date		2012/10/01	2012/10/01	2012/10/01	2012/10/01		2012/10/01	2012/10/01	2012/10/01		
	Units	12-01 717	12-01 701	12-01 702	12-01 703	QC Batch	12-01 704	12-01 730	12-01 320	RDL	QC Batch
Inorganics	_	_	_	_		_	_	_	_	_	_
Crude Fat	%	17	14	3.7	11	3126734	1.7	73	1.2	0.50	3133858

Maxxam ID		QB1847			QB1848	QB1848		QB1849			QB1850		
Sampling Date		2012/09/01			2012/10/01	2012/10/01		2012/10/01			2012/10/01		
	Units	12-01 705	RDL	QC Batch	12-01 322	12-01 322	RDL	12-01 731	RDL	QC Batch	12-01 732	RDL	QC Batch
						Lab-Dup							
Inorganics			-										
Crude Fat	%	72	1.0	3140856	1.1	1.5	0.50	2.4	2.0	3133858	1.0	0.50	3135595

RDL = Reportable Detection Limit

QC Batch = Quality Control Batch



Stantec Consulting Ltd Client Project #: 121411777.400 Site Location: RMC/NG HOPEDALE Your P.O. #: 16400NR Sampler Initials: KH

## **RESULTS OF ANALYSES OF TISSUE**

Maxxam ID		QB1851	QB1852	QB1853	QB1854		QB1858	QB1859		QB1860	QB1861		
Sampling Date		2012/10/01	2012/10/01	2012/10/01	2012/10/01		2012/10/01	2012/10/01		2012/10/01	2012/10/01		
	Units	12-01 810	12-01 729	12-01 706	12-01 707	QC Batch	12-01 344	12-01 300	QC Batch	12-01 828	12-01 483	RDL	QC Batch
Inorganics		_				_		_	_	_	_	_	
Crude Fat	%	55	87	3.0	75	3133858	0.50	6.1	3140856	9.5	3.3	0.50	3133858

Maxxam ID		QB1862		QB1863		QB1864	QB1865		QB1866	QB1867		
Sampling Date		2012/10/01		2012/10/01		2012/10/01	2012/10/01		2012/10/01	2012/09/01		
	Units	12-01 708	RDL	12-01 709	RDL	12-01 327	12-01 323	QC Batch	12-01 325	12-01 328	RDL	QC Batch
Inorganics												
Crude Fat	%	4.1	0.80	3.7	0.70	6.4	81	3133858	2.2	5.7	0.50	3135595

Maxxam ID		QB1868		QB1869			QB1877		QB1878	QB1879	QB1880		
Sampling Date		2012/10/01		2012/10/01			2012/09/01		2012/10/01	2012/10/01	2012/10/01		
	Units	12-01 324	RDL	12-01 326	RDL	QC Batch	12-01 468	RDL	12-01 700	12-01 720	12-01 721	RDL	QC Batch
Inorganics									_	_	_		
Crude Fat	%	89	1.7	4.1	0.50	3140856	1.0	0.60	1.4	0.60	1.3	0.50	3135595

Maxxam ID		QB1881	QB1882	QB1884			QB1885		QB1886		
Sampling Date		2012/10/01	2012/10/01	2012/10/01			2012/10/01		2012/10/01		
	Units	12-01 718	12-01 719	12-01 232	RDL	QC Batch	12-01 236	RDL	12-01 237	RDL	QC Batch
Inorganics											
Crude Fat	%	1.6	0.80	<0.50	0.50	3135595	0.80	0.70	<0.90	0.90	3135583

Maxxam ID		QB1887		QB1888			QB1889		
Sampling Date		2012/10/01		2012/10/01			2012/10/01		
	Units	12-01 195	RDL	12-01 490	RDL	QC Batch	12-01 497	RDL	QC Batch
Inorganics									
Crude Fat	%	0.90	0.50	4.7	0.90	3135583	8.4	0.50	3140856

QC Batch = Quality Control Batch



Stantec Consulting Ltd Client Project #: 121411777.400 Site Location: RMC/NG HOPEDALE Your P.O. #: 16400NR Sampler Initials: KH

## **RESULTS OF ANALYSES OF TISSUE**

Maxxam ID		QB1890			QB1891			QB1892	QB1893		
Sampling Date		2012/10/01			2012/09/01			2012/10/01	2012/10/01		
	Units	12-01 498	RDL	QC Batch	12-01 235	RDL	QC Batch	12-01 499	12-01 500	RDL	QC Batch
Inorganics	_	_						_	_		
Crude Fat	%	3.3	2.0	3133858	0.90	0.90	3135583	15	7.5	0.50	3140856

Maxxam ID		QB1894	QB1894		QB1895	QB1895	QB1896	QB1897	QB1898	QB1899		
Sampling Date		2012/11/01	2012/11/01		2012/11/01	2012/11/01	2012/11/01	2012/11/01	2012/11/01	2012/11/01		
	Units	12-01 762	12-01 762	QC Batch	12-01 763	12-01 763	12-01 764	12-01 765	12-01 766	12-01 767	RDL	QC Batch
			Lab-Dup			Lab-Dup						
Inorganics												
Crude Fat	%	9.6	11	3135595	6.0	6.9	9.6	7.8	8.6	10	0.50	3135583

Maxxam ID		QB1900	QB1901	QB1902	QB1903	QB1904		QB1905	QB1906	QB1906		
Sampling Date		2012/11/01	2012/11/01	2012/11/01	2012/11/01	2012/11/01		2012/11/01	2012/11/01	2012/11/01		
	Units	12-01 768	12-01 769	12-01 770	12-01 771	12-01 772	QC Batch	12-01 773	12-01 774	12-01 774	RDL	QC Batch
										Lab-Dup		
Inorganics				_	_					_		_
Crude Fat	%	9.6	6.8	7.4	10	6.3	3135583	8.3	13	13	0.50	3140856

Maxxam ID		QB1907	QB1908	QB1909	QB1910	QB1911		QB1912		QB1913		
Sampling Date		2012/11/01	2012/11/01	2012/11/01	2012/11/01	2012/11/01		2012/11/01		2012/11/01		
	Units	12-01 775	12-01 776	12-01 777	12-01 778	12-01 779	QC Batch	12-01 780	QC Batch	12-01 781	RDL	QC Batch
Inorganics												
Crude Fat	%	6.4	11	3.4	14	11	3140856	24	3133858	6.1	0.50	3140856

Maxxam ID		QB1914		QB1915		
Sampling Date		2012/11/01		2012/11/01		
	Units	12-01 782	RDL	12-01 369	RDL	QC Batch
Inorganics		-				-
Crude Fat	%	17	0.50	55	1.1	3140856

RDL = Reportable Detection Limit

QC Batch = Quality Control Batch



Stantec Consulting Ltd Client Project #: 121411777.400 Site Location: RMC/NG HOPEDALE Your P.O. #: 16400NR Sampler Initials: KH

#### POLYCHLORINATED BIPHENYLS BY GC-ECD (TISSUE)

Maxxam ID		QB1838	QB1839	QB1840	QB1841	QB1842	QB1843	QB1844	QB1845		QB1846		
Sampling Date		2012/10/01	2012/10/01	2012/10/01	2012/10/01	2012/10/01	2012/10/01	2012/10/01	2012/10/01		2012/10/01		
	Units	12-01 715	12-01 716	12-01 717	12-01 701	12-01 702	12-01 703	12-01 704	12-01 730	QC Batch	12-01 320	RDL	QC Batch
PCBs	_	_	_	_	_	_		_	_	_	_		
Total PCB	ug/g	0.035	0.020	0.048	0.034	0.013	0.075	0.022	0.59	3085220	0.068	0.010	3087673
Surrogate Recovery (%)													
Decachlorobiphenyl	%	103(1)	124(1)	81(1)	82(1)	57(2)	85(1)	82(1)	118(1)	3085220	61 (2)		3087673

Maxxam ID		QB1847		QB1848	QB1849	QB1849		QB1850		QB1851		
Sampling Date		2012/09/01		2012/10/01	2012/10/01	2012/10/01		2012/10/01		2012/10/01		
	Units	12-01 705	QC Batch	12-01 322	12-01 731	12-01 731	QC Batch	12-01 732	QC Batch	12-01 810	RDL	QC Batch
						Lab-Dup						
PCBs												
Total PCB	ug/g	0.54	3087673	0.060	<0.010	<0.010	3085220	<0.010	3087673	0.46	0.010	3085220
Surrogate Recovery (%)												
Decachlorobiphenyl	%	47(2)	3087673	76(1)	90	94	3085220	77	3087673	114(1)		3085220

Maxxam ID		QB1852		QB1853		QB1854	QB1858	QB1859	QB1859	QB1860	QB1861		
Sampling Date		2012/10/01		2012/10/01		2012/10/01	2012/10/01	2012/10/01	2012/10/01	2012/10/01	2012/10/01		
	Units	12-01 729	QC Batch	12-01 706	QC Batch	12-01 707	12-01 344	12-01 300	12-01 300	12-01 828	12-01 483	RDL	QC Batch
									Lab-Dup				
PCBs													
Total PCB	ug/g	0.84	3087673	0.018	3085220	0.64	0.22	0.019	0.015	0.016	0.45	0.010	3087673
Surrogate Recovery (%)													
Decachlorobiphenyl	%	111(1)	3087673	81(1)	3085220	107(1)	94(1)	89(1)	91	92(1)	84(1)		3087673

RDL = Reportable Detection Limit

QC Batch = Quality Control Batch

(1) - Aroclor 1260.

(2) - Aroclor 1260. PCB surrogate not within acceptance limits. Analysis was repeated with similar results.



Stantec Consulting Ltd Client Project #: 121411777.400 Site Location: RMC/NG HOPEDALE Your P.O. #: 16400NR Sampler Initials: KH

#### POLYCHLORINATED BIPHENYLS BY GC-ECD (TISSUE)

Maxxam ID		QB1862	QB1863	QB1864	QB1865	QB1866	QB1867	QB1868	QB1869	QB1877	QB1878		
Sampling Date		2012/10/01	2012/10/01	2012/10/01	2012/10/01	2012/10/01	2012/09/01	2012/10/01	2012/10/01	2012/09/01	2012/10/01		
	Units	12-01 708	12-01 709	12-01 327	12-01 323	12-01 325	12-01 328	12-01 324	12-01 326	12-01 468	12-01 700	RDL	QC Batch
PCBs		_	_	_	_	_	_	_		_	_	_	
Total PCB	ug/g	<0.010	<0.010	0.016	0.085	<0.010	0.019	0.066	0.017	0.66	<0.010	0.010	3087673
Surrogate Recovery (%)													-
Decachlorobiphenyl	%	89	83	78(1)	37(2)	61 (3)	76(1)	23(2)	76(1)	79(1)	85		3087673

Maxxam ID		QB1879		QB1880	QB1881	QB1882	QB1884		QB1885	QB1886	QB1887		
Sampling Date		2012/10/01		2012/10/01	2012/10/01	2012/10/01	2012/10/01		2012/10/01	2012/10/01	2012/10/01		
	Units	12-01 720	QC Batch	12-01 721	12-01 718	12-01 719	12-01 232	QC Batch	12-01 236	12-01 237	12-01 195	RDL	QC Batch
PCBs													
Total PCB	ug/g	<0.010	3087673	0.021	0.031	0.013	0.38	3089136	0.12	0.52	0.069	0.010	3085493
Surrogate Recovery (%)													
Decachlorobiphenyl	%	76	3087673	99(1)	84(1)	86(1)	93(1)	3089136	98(1)	92(1)	87(1)		3085493

Maxxam ID		QB1888	QB1888		QB1889	QB1890	QB1890		QB1891		QB1892		
Sampling Date		2012/10/01	2012/10/01		2012/10/01	2012/10/01	2012/10/01		2012/09/01		2012/10/01		
	Units	12-01 490	12-01 490	QC Batch	12-01 497	12-01 498	12-01 498	QC Batch	12-01 235	QC Batch	12-01 499	RDL	QC Batch
			Lab-Dup				Lab-Dup						
PCBs													
Total PCB	ug/g	0.019	0.018	3085493	0.029	0.035	0.038	3089136	0.29	3085493	0.055	0.010	3089136
Surrogate Recovery (%)													
Decachlorobiphenyl	%	100(1)	82	3085493	90(1)	80(1)	84	3089136	101(1)	3085493	96(1)		3089136

RDL = Reportable Detection Limit

QC Batch = Quality Control Batch

(1) - Aroclor 1260.

(2) - Aroclor 1260. PCB surrogate not within acceptance limits. Analysis was repeated with similar results.

(3) - PCB surrogate not within acceptance limits. Analysis was repeated with similar results.



Stantec Consulting Ltd Client Project #: 121411777.400 Site Location: RMC/NG HOPEDALE Your P.O. #: 16400NR Sampler Initials: KH

## POLYCHLORINATED BIPHENYLS BY GC-ECD (TISSUE)

Maxxam ID		QB1893	QB1894		QB1895	QB1896		QB1897		QB1898	QB1899		
Sampling Date		2012/10/01	2012/11/01		2012/11/01	2012/11/01		2012/11/01		2012/11/01	2012/11/01		
	Units	12-01 500	12-01 762	QC Batch	12-01 763	12-01 764	QC Batch	12-01 765	QC Batch	12-01 766	12-01 767	RDL	QC Batch
PCBs													
Total PCB	ug/g	0.036	3.0	3089136	0.019	0.031	3085493	2.4	3089136	0.020	0.027	0.010	3085493
Surrogate Recovery (%)													
Decachlorobiphenyl	%	86(1)	104(1)	3089136	99(1)	98(1)	3085493	95(1)	3089136	99(1)	99(1)		3085493

Maxxam ID		QB1900	QB1901	QB1902	QB1903	QB1904	QB1905	QB1906	QB1907		QB1908		
Sampling Date		2012/11/01	2012/11/01	2012/11/01	2012/11/01	2012/11/01	2012/11/01	2012/11/01	2012/11/01		2012/11/01		
	Units	12-01 768	12-01 769	12-01 770	12-01 771	12-01 772	12-01 773	12-01 774	12-01 775	QC Batch	12-01 776	RDL	QC Batch
PCBs													
Total PCB	ug/g	2.0	0.024	0.027	1.9	0.018	0.021	3.5	0.016	3085493	0.023	0.010	3089136
Surrogate Recovery (%)													
Decachlorobiphenyl	%	98(1)	101(1)	94(1)	103(1)	97(1)	96(1)	99(1)	94(1)	3085493	<b>91</b> (1)		3089136

Maxxam ID		QB1909	QB1910	QB1911	QB1912	QB1913	QB1914	QB1915				
Sampling Date		2012/11/01	2012/11/01	2012/11/01	2012/11/01	2012/11/01	2012/11/01	2012/11/01				
	Units	12-01 777	12-01 778	12-01 779	12-01 780	12-01 781	12-01 782	12-01 369	RDL	QC Batch		
PCBs												
Total PCB	ug/g	0.010	0.029	0.038	0.064	0.019	0.062	0.72	0.010	3089136		
Surrogate Recovery (%)												
Decachlorobiphenyl	%	99(1)	97(1)	97(1)	96(1)	99(1)	105(1)	112(1)		3089136		



Stantec Consulting Ltd Client Project #: 121411777.400 Site Location: RMC/NG HOPEDALE Your P.O. #: 16400NR Sampler Initials: KH

#### POLYCHLORINATED BIPHENYLS BY GC-ECD (VEGETATION)

Maxxam ID		QB1830	QB1831		QB1832	QB1833	QB1834	QB1835			
Sampling Date		2012/09/01	2012/10/01		2012/10/01	2012/10/01	2012/10/01	2012/10/01			
	Units	12-BA 1	12-BA 2	QC Batch	12-01 501	12-01 486	12-01 479	12-01 502	RDL	QC Batch	
PCBs	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	
Total PCB	ug/g	<0.010	<0.010	3085493	<0.010	<0.010	<0.010	<0.010	0.010	3085220	
Surrogate Recovery (%)											
Decachlorobiphenyl	%	44(1)	48(1)	3085493	83	81	77	80		3085220	

Maxxam ID		QB1836	QB1837	QB1855	QB1856		QB1857				
Sampling Date		2012/10/01	2012/10/01	2012/10/01	2012/10/01		2012/09/01				
	Units	12-01 484	12-01 480	12-01 503	12-01 485	QC Batch	12-01 481	RDL	QC Batch		
PCBs											
Total PCB	ug/g	<0.010	<0.010	<0.010	<0.010	3085220	<0.010	0.010	3085493		
Surrogate Recovery (%)											
Decachlorobiphenyl	%	72	72	63(1)	51(1)	3085220	43(1)		3085493		

RDL = Reportable Detection Limit

QC Batch = Quality Control Batch

(1) - PCB surrogate not within acceptance limits. Analysis was repeated with similar results.



Stantec Consulting Ltd Client Project #: 121411777.400 Site Location: RMC/NG HOPEDALE Your P.O. #: 16400NR Sampler Initials: KH

E	Package 13.0°CPackage 21.0°C									
Each temp	Each temperature is the average of up to three cooler temperatures taken at receipt									
	GENERAL COMMENTS									
PCB in sediment samples received past the 14 day holding time recommended for this test.										
Sample	QB1849-01: Fat analysis: DL raised due to limited sample.									
Sample	QB1862-01: Fat analysis: DL raised due to limited sample.									
Sample	QB1863-01: Fat analysis: DL raised due to limited sample.									
Sample	QB1885-01: Fat analysis: DL raised due to limited sample.									
Sample	QB1886-01: Fat analysis: DL raised due to limited sample.									
Sample	QB1888-01: Fat analysis: DL raised due to limited sample.									
Sample	QB1890-01: Fat analysis: DL raised due to limited sample.									
Sample	QB1891-01: Fat analysis: DL raised due to limited sample.									



Stantec Consulting Ltd Client Project #: 121411777.400 Site Location: RMC/NG HOPEDALE Your P.O. #: 16400NR Sampler Initials: KH

#### QUALITY ASSURANCE REPORT

			Matrix S	Spike	Spiked	Blank	Method	Blank	RF	סי	QC Star	ndard	Reagent	Blank
QC Batch	Parameter	Date	% Recovery	QC Limits	% Recovery	QC Limits	Value	Units	Value (%)	QC Limits	% Recovery	QC Limits	% Recovery	QC Limits
3085220	Decachlorobiphenyl	2013/01/31	87	30 - 130	91	30 - 130	73	%					92	30 - 130
3085220	Total PCB	2013/01/31	80	30 - 130	71	30 - 130	<0.010	ug/g	NC	50			<0.010	N/A
3085493	Decachlorobiphenyl	2013/02/01	92	30 - 130	91	30 - 130	87	%					90	30 - 130
3085493	Total PCB	2013/02/01	72	30 - 130	89	30 - 130	<0.010	ug/g	NC	50			<0.010	N/A
3087673	Decachlorobiphenyl	2013/01/17	89	30 - 130	77	30 - 130	93	%					88	30 - 130
3087673	Total PCB	2013/01/17	78	30 - 130	78	30 - 130	<0.010	ug/g	NC	50			<0.010	N/A
3089136	Decachlorobiphenyl	2013/02/05	90	30 - 130	91	30 - 130	78	%					91	30 - 130
3089136	Total PCB	2013/02/05	94	30 - 130	101	30 - 130	<0.010	ug/g	NC	50			<0.010	N/A
3098344	Decachlorobiphenyl	2013/01/22	105	70 - 130	103	70 - 130	95	%						
3098344	Total PCB	2013/01/22	104	70 - 130	96	70 - 130	<0.010	mg/kg	NC	50				
3126734	Crude Fat	2013/02/19					<0.50	%	16.4	25	106	80 - 120		
3133858	Crude Fat	2013/02/26					<0.50	%	NC	25	95	80 - 120		
3135583	Crude Fat	2013/02/26					<0.50	%	14.0	25	98	80 - 120		
3135595	Crude Fat	2013/02/28					<0.50	%	13.6	25	91	80 - 120		
3140856	Crude Fat	2013/03/07					<0.50	%	0.8	25	96	80 - 120		

N/A = Not Applicable

RPD = Relative Percent Difference

Duplicate: Paired analysis of a separate portion of the same sample. Used to evaluate the variance in the measurement.

Reagent Blank: A blank matrix containing all reagents used in the analytical procedure. Used to determine any analytical contamination.

Matrix Spike: A sample to which a known amount of the analyte of interest has been added. Used to evaluate sample matrix interference.

QC Standard: A sample of known concentration prepared by an external agency under stringent conditions. Used as an independent check of method accuracy.

Spiked Blank: A blank matrix sample to which a known amount of the analyte, usually from a second source, has been added. Used to evaluate method accuracy.

Method Blank: A blank matrix containing all reagents used in the analytical procedure. Used to identify laboratory contamination.

Surrogate: A pure or isotopically labeled compound whose behavior mirrors the analytes of interest. Used to evaluate extraction efficiency.

NC (RPD): The RPD was not calculated. The level of analyte detected in the parent sample and its duplicate was not sufficiently significant to permit a reliable calculation.

Page 11 of 12



# Validation Signature Page

Maxxam Job #: B2K0998

The analytical data and all QC contained in this report were reviewed and validated by the following individual(s).

Colleen Acker, Supervisor, General Chemistry

mike The Sell

Mike Macgillivray, Scientific Specialist (Inorganics)

ecialist (Organics)

Robin Smith-Armstrong, Bedford SemiVol Spvsr

Maxxam has procedures in place to guard against improper use of the electronic signature and have the required "signatories", as per section 5.10.2 of ISO/IEC 17025:2005(E), signing the reports. For Service Group specific validation please refer to the Validation Signature Page.

HUMAN HEALTH RISK ASSESSMENT FOR THE CONSUMPTION OF COUNTRY FOODS IN THE TOWN OF HOPEDALE, NL

# APPENDIX D

Environmental Sciences Group Report on the Country Food Sampling Program



# Hopedale Country Food Sampling Program Information

Prepared by

# Environmental Sciences Group Royal Military College Kingston, Ontario



© Environmental Sciences Group January 2013

## **Hopedale Sampling Information**

- Collection: Samples were collected by local Hopedale residents, identified hunters in the • community and ESG staff when possible. The sampling was done in two different ways. 1) Hopedale residents were asked to provide a sample of their hunted/harvested country foods to the HICG. HICG staff were responsible for packaging and labelling the samples and recording the date, location and type of sample in a record log. We relied on Hopedale residents to provide samples of foods that were being consumed within the community and did not specify what types of foods and/or locations were required. All samples that came in were at the discretion of the harvester; 2) Three to four respected hunters within the community were identified and asked to participate in the sampling program. These individuals were tasked with providing samples of specific types and from specific locations (i.e. porpoise muscle, fat and liver from Hopedale Harbour). This was done to ensure that we had the appropriate number and types of samples from within Hopedale as well as from background areas. ESG trained both HICG staff and the identified hunters in the proper packaging and labelling of samples as well as recording information about the sample (harvesting date, sample type and sub-type, sample # and location) in a record log. The location was recorded in the field notes as either a place name or as 'Outside of Hopedale' when the local name was unknown and the sample came from anywhere outside of Hopedale Harbour or Hopedale itself. Sample numbers were written on maps provided to give approximate location of sampling. Instructions provided to hunters and HICG staff can be found below. All samples were stored in a freezer at the HICG until ESG staff returned to Hopedale to retrieve samples and ship them south. Samples were then stored at ESG. If any additional processing of samples was needed this was done at the ESG lab (e.g. boiling and de-shelling shellfish, sub-sampling of large samples).
- Specific information about the samples:
  - Skin and muscle removed from all muttak/fat samples (sub-samples stored at ESG are a cross-section of skin, muscle and fat).
  - Composite samples: composites were made when enough sample could not be made-up independently. Often the case for shellfish and bird livers. Composites were always combined of samples from the same area.
  - Eggs: Duck and gull eggs were boiled for 15 mins, shell removed and packaged. (Picture 2 and 3 show the boiled eggs prior to packaging.)
  - Shellfish: Shellfish were soaked for 20mins 1hr with continuous rinsing of water to remove sediment. Shellfish were boiled whole for 10-15 mins, muscle was removed and packaged to obtain sample large enough for analysis. (Sea urchins were only boiled for 2-5 mins). This was done by ESG staff at the ESG laboratory. (Picture 4 and 5)
  - Plants and berries: Only the edible portion of plants and or berries were packaged for analysis. (Picture 6-9).
  - All samples were packaged in baked foil to ensure PCBs were not present, stored in whirlpak and or Ziploc bags with a uniquely numbered label.



Picture 1: Gull and Duck Eggs



Picture 2: Duck Egg



Picture 3: Gull Eggs


Picture 4: Whole wrinkles prior to boiling and de-shelling



Picture 5: Wrinkles after boiling (muscle only for analysis)



Picture 6: Tullignak (Roseroot) growing near Hopedale



Picture 7: Tullignak leaves for analysis.



Picture 8: Black, red and blueberries



Picture 9: Picked berry portion for analysis

#### Instructions provided to HICG Staff and Hunters:

# Goal:

To collect terrestrial and marine wild food samples from different areas around the community of Hopedale, as well as some traditional prepared dishes. See Tables 1 and 2 at the end of this document for the complete list of items we are hoping to get.

# Why?

These samples are needed in order to determine where the healthiest populations of country foods can be found for the people of Hopedale to harvest.

## **Instructions:**

As much as possible, we wish to harvest the terrestrial food items from the different locations shown on the terrestrial map located at the end of this document.

For the marine food items, traditional harvesting locations are preferred. To simplify things, however, when filling out the location in the information sheet at the end of this document, use whichever of the following locations is closest to the harvesting point: **Big Island**, **Black Head Tickle**, **Ellen Island**, **Hopedale Harbour and Tooktoosner Bay**.

For each item that is brought in or collected:

1. Find the name of the item that was brought in or collected in Table 1 or 2 to determine which parts are needed.

2. Remove enough of each type of the required material so that a 'fist-sized' sample is collected.

3. For berry, plant and root samples, please rinse the sample as you would normally do before eating it.

4. Wrap up the material in aluminum foil.

5. Place the wrapped sample into one of the ESG-labelled Ziploc bags. Note that each type of sample should get its own Ziploc bag. For example, the fat, liver and muscle samples from each animal will get their own sample number and bag, resulting in three different bags for each animal.

6. Mark the location where the item was collected with an 'X' on one of the maps provided at the end of this document and include the sample number listed on the Ziploc bag. An example has been provided.

7. Fill out the requested information in Table 3.

8. Place the Ziploc bag into the freezer.

# Table 1. Terrestrial and marine wild food samples required.

Category	Sub- category	Sample Type	Sub-type	Maximum # of samples	Location	Priority
		Bear - black		5x fat, 5x liver, 5x muscle	anywhere	low
		Bear - polar		5x fat, 5x liver, 5x muscle	anywhere	low
	Animal	Caribou	1. Fat	5x fat, 5x liver, 5x muscle	anywhere	high
		Okalik (arctic hare)	2. Liver 3. Muscle	10x fat, 10x liver, 10x muscle	Hopedale area and background	high
		Partridge		10x fat, 10x liver, 10x muscle	Hopedale area and background	high
		Porcupine		10x fat, 10x liver, 10x muscle	Hopedale area and background	medium
		Bakeapples	lust the	5x <b>handful</b> of fruit	Hopedale area and background	high
		Blackberries	fruit	5x <b>handful</b> of fruit	Hopedale area and background	high
Terrestrial	Berries	Blueberries	portion	5x <b>handful</b> of fruit	Hopedale area and background	high
		Raspberries	that you	5x <b>handful</b> of fruit	Hopedale area and background	high
		Red berries	would eat	5x <b>handful</b> of fruit	Hopedale area and background	high
		Labrador tea		5x <b>bagful</b> of leaves	Hopedale area and background	high
	Plant	Tulligunnak	Leaves only	5x <b>bagful</b> of leaves	Hopedale area and background	high
		Ukaujak		5x <b>bagful</b> of leaves	Hopedale area and background	high
		Wild turnip	Only the <b>root</b> portion that you would eat	5x <b>handful</b> of root	Hopedale area and background	high
		Jumper (dolphin)	1. Fat	5x fat, 5x liver, 5x muscle	Hopedale area and background	low
		Porpoise	2. Liver	10x fat, 10x liver, 10x muscle	Hopedale area and background	medium
Marine	Animal	Seal - harp or ring	4. Jaw	10x fat, 10x liver, 10x muscle, 10x jaw bone	Hopedale area and background	high
		Whale	seals only)	5x fat, 5x liver, 5x muscle	Hopedale area and background	low
	Bird	Pigeon (black1. Liverguillemots)2. Muscle		10x liver, 10x muscle, 10x egg	Hopedale area and background	high

Category	Sub- category	Sample Type	Sub-type	Maximum # of samples	Location	Priority
		Goose 3. Eggs		10x liver, 10x muscle, 10x egg	Hopedale area and background	high
		Duck		10x liver, 10x muscle, 10x egg	Hopedale area and background	high
		Beachbirds - snowcap		10x bird	Hopedale area and background	medium
		Beachbirds - whitecap	Whole <b>bird</b>	10x bird	Hopedale area and background	medium
		Beachbirds - plumbers		10x bird	Hopedale area and background	medium
		Flatfish		10x liver, 10x muscle	Hopedale area and background	high
	Fich	Capelin	1. Liver	10x liver, 10x muscle	Hopedale area and background	high
	FISH	Salmon	2. Muscle	10x liver, 10x muscle	Hopedale area and background	high
		Char		10x liver, 10x muscle	Hopedale area and background	high
		Wrinkles (periwinkles)		5x shellfish (20-30 shellfish per sample)	Hopedale area and background	high
	Shellfish	Scallop	Whole <b>shellfish</b>	5x shellfish (20-30 shellfish per sample)	Hopedale area and background	high
		ltiks (sea urchin)		5x shellfish (20-30 shellfish per sample)	Hopedale area and background	high

# Table 2. Traditional prepared dishes required.

Category	Type of food						
	Sivak (fried rock cod liver patte)						
Prepared	Berry bush- <b>smoked char</b> (note which <b>type of berry</b> was used)						
foods	Pitsik (dried fish)						
	Nikku (dried caribou)						

# Table 3. Information requirements for each sample.

Harvest date	Sample date	Sample type (see Table 1)	Sub-type (see Table 1)	Sample # (off Ziploc bag)	Location (see Maps for closest names)
July 23, 2012	July 23, 2012	Caribou	Muscle	12114	Closest to Main Station

HUMAN HEALTH RISK ASSESSMENT FOR THE CONSUMPTION OF COUNTRY FOODS IN THE TOWN OF HOPEDALE, NL

# **APPENDIX E**

Blank Copy of Hopedale Food Survey (as provided to NLDEC by ESG) and Complete Anonymized Results



#### PARTICIPANT CONSENT FORM

### Hopedale Labrador Community Food Dietary Survey

Project Description: This project is being conducted by the Nunatsiavut Government (NG) for the purposes of peer review and support to the Province of Newfoundland and Labrador in the clean-up of the former military site. A sampling team hired by the province will be coming to Hopedale starting in August for the next three years. This survey will be used to identify any wild foods collected and eaten by the residents of Hopedale to direct the sampling plan and associated exposure assessment following the collection of samples.

Your participation in the Hopedale community dietary survey will help direct future work at Hopedale by the Province, especially the collection of environmental samples, to ultimately better benefit the residents of the community.

You have been selected to participate in this survey. The survey is structured in seven sections. The first 6 sections are general questions related to you, your general health and how you obtain the food you eat. It includes questions related to other health behaviours such as smoking and alcohol consumption, but its focus is on food and diet. The last section is a more detailed questionnaire on what wild foods you eat throughout the year and how much you eat. The first 6 sections can be filled out on your own or with Mary, Sukie or Megan. The last section will be filled out in the office at the Hopedale Inuit Community Government buildings with Mary, Sukie or Megan. If at any point, you wish not to answer a question, please leave it blank or write prefer not to answer. In order to receive the \$20.00 payment for completing this questionnaire you must bring this survey to the Hopedale Inuit Community Government buildings on or before July 20<sup>th</sup> and complete section 7.

I understand that the information I provide will be used to assess the exposure related to wild foods from the Hopedale area and for related research analyses associated with diet behaviour in the community of Hopedale.

By signing below I \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ agree that I have been fully informed and understand the nature of the project and agree to participate. I understand that my participation is voluntary and I am free to withdraw at any time before or during the session. If I decide to withdraw, any information I have given will be promptly destroyed and I will not be included in the project in any way. I understand that my withdrawal will bear no consequences and no judgements or prejudice will be held against me. All data I provide will be confidential and will be kept under lock and key and seen only by the research team for the purposes of this study. The data will be kept under lock and key after the completion of this study by the NG research team and any personal identification information will be kept in a different location. I UNDERSTAND THAT MY NAME OR PERSONAL IDENTIFICATION INFORMATION WILL NEVER BE RELEASED IN THE REPORT OR PUBLICATION OF THE INFORMATION and all reporting will be done in a way that I will not be able to be identified individually unless I am informed and asked to do so and I give prior authorization.

Thank you for your participation and if you have any questions or concerns please ask Mary Denniston (NG), Sukie Aggek (Hopedale), Megan Lord-Hoyle (ESG)

I agree for the information I provide to be used by the NG. I understand that I will be compensated \$20.00 for my time and information that is being provided.

Participant:			Date:	 
Print	Name			
Signa	ture			
Researcher Witness:			Date:	 
	Print Name			
			-	
	Signature			
FOR ANY QUESTIONS	OR CONCERNS PLE	ASE CON	TACT:	
Sukie Aggek				
Phone: (709) 933-386	64/3587 or <u>seaggek@</u>	<u>@hotmail</u>	.com	
Wayne Piercy				
Angajukkak Hopedale Phone: (709) 933-386	e Inuit Community G 64 or wayne.piercy@	overnme Inunatsia	nt vut.com	
Tom Sheldon				
Director, Environmen Department of Lands Nunatsiavut Governm	t Division and Natural Resourc ient	ces		

Phone: (709) 922-2588 or tom sheldon@nunatsiavut.com

## 1. Socio-demographic/personal characteristics

1	Age Category:								
1.	Age category.								
	Circle age group:	12-18	19-24	25-30	31-40 4	1-50	Over 50		
2.	Sex:								
	Circle one:		Μ	F					
3.	Which of the followi	ing best d	escribes your	<sup>.</sup> present job	status?				
	Work full time with salary								
	Work regular part-ti	mo with a	alary						
	Work occasionally (s	ne with a	contract on-	call) with cal	201				
	Self ampleved								
	Hunter support program								
	Potirod or on ponsio	'n							
	Employment insurar	ni nco (or un	omployment	incuranco)					
			employment	insulance					
	Student								
	Othor								
1		ne in vour	family over	VES		NO		NB	
4.	worked on the old h	ie ili youi	it was	TLS		NO			
	rupping or whon it w	vas takon	down2						
		vas lakeli	uown						
5.	Did you participate i	in the Inui	it Health	YES		NO		NR	
	Survey (blood sampl	ling done	along the						
	coast)?	-	-						

## <u>2. General Health</u>

1.	Do you think you eat a healthy diet?	Y	NO					
2.	In general, would you say your health is:	Excellent Very Good		Good	Fair	Poor	DNK	NR
3.	Do you smoke?	o you smoke? YES			NO NR			
За.	If YES How often? (on average) Circle one: less than 1 pack/week	L pack/week	More tha	n 1 pack/	week	1 pack	/day	more than 1 pack/day
4.	Do you drink alcohol?	YES		NO		NR		
4a.	If YES How often? (on average)							
	Circle one: less than Iday/month	i uay/month	т аау/ week	2	uays/w	чеек		iviore than 2 days/week

### <u>3. Women's Health</u>

1.	Do you have any children?	YES	NO
1a.	If yes how many?		
2.	Did you ever breastfeed or would you feed breastmilk to any of your children?	YES	NO
2a.	IF YES: At what age did you stop breastfeeding your most recently breastfed child?	DAYS, WEEKS, MONTHS OR YEARS	
2b.	IF NO: Why not?		NR
2c.	If NO: How old was your child when they were first fed formula?	ENTER AGE	DAYS, WEEKS, MONTHS OR YEARS
2d.	How old was your child/children when they <b>completely stopped</b> drinking formula?	ENTER AGE	DAYS, WEEKS, MONTHS OR YEARS
3.	How old was your child/children when they were first fed anything other than breast milk or formula? (DO NOT COUNT MEDICATIONS, VITAMIN DROPS, OR SMALL AMOUNT OF WATER THAT WAS USED FOR ORAL HYGIENE PURPOSES.)	ENTER AGE	DAYS, WEEKS, MONTHS OR YEARS

## 4. General uses of the Hopedale Area over the past 12 months

1.	In the past 1	2 months, how	r many days have	e you spent on	site			Days	DNK	NR		
2.	In the past 12 months, what sorts of activities have you carried out on the former military site? Circle all that apply											
	Hunting	Walking	Camping	Berry Recreational Picnic Picking snowmobiling/AT V			Other:		DNK	NR		
3.	In which season do you go to the former military site most often over the last 12 mont								Spring	Summer	Winter	Fall
4.	In the past 1	2 months, how	r many days have	e you spent in c	or arou	und the harbo	ur			Days	DNK	NR
5.	In the past 1	2 months, wha	t sorts of activiti	es have you ca	rried o	out in the hark	oour? Circle	e all t	that apply			
	Swimming /wadingPlaying on the beachOn water (in boat)On Ice (skidoo/walking)Fishing for rock cod / sculpin						Fish salr	ning for mon/char	Harvesting shellfish	Shooting seal/whale/ju	Imper	
6.	In the past 12 months, how often do you fish in the harbour									Days	DNK	NR

## 5. Diet and Food Access over the last 12 months

1.	Do you shop at the g	rocery store for food?				YES			NO		
2.	Who grocery shops in	Who grocery shops in your family? List all members:									
3.	Do you eat wild food	s from the Hopedale a		YES		NO	DNK	N	R		
3a.	If YES why?	If YES why?									
	Circle one: It is the only area I have access to get wild foods I know all the areas I like to go to get wild foods other reason										
3b.	If NO why?										
	Circle one: you don't like wild foods you are concerned that wild foods are contaminated other reason										
4.	Is there an active hur	nter in your household	!?			YES		NO	DNK	N	R
5.	Do you or your house community	ehold distribute/share	wild foods to/with oth	ner members of	the	YES NC		NO	DNK	NR	
6.	Do you prefer mainly	wild foods or grocery	store foods or a mix o	f both		Countr Foods	ту 5	Store Foods	Mix of Both	DNK	NR
7.	Would you like to ear	t more wild foods thar	n you have in the last 1	2 months?		YES		NO	Neutral	DNK	NR
8.	In the past 12 month	s, how did you get you	ur wild foods? Circle al	l that apply and	put a c	heckmark	on the	e most com	imon source of	wild fo	ods:
	Hunting	From family in Hopedale	From friends in Hopedale	From stores	Com Fre	imunity eezer	No v ac by	vild foods cquired //in the home	Not interested in wild foods	DNK	NR

9.	If you wanted to but could not get wild foods in the past 12 months, can you tell me why?								
	No active hunter in household	Active hunter is sick or away	Not enough money to buy supplies and gas to go hunting/fishing	No skidoo / ATV OR skidoo / ATV is broke	No boat or boat broken	Wild foods not in the area	Wild foods is not importa nt to me	DNK	NR
	Other reason:			1			•		
10.	What have you o	done in the past 1	2 months when ye	our household w	as out of wild food	s?			
	Go hunting or fishing	Borrow / as wild foods f friends or fa	k for Take w rom from th imily commu	ild foods B le fo Inity freezer	uy more store ood	Do without so (i.e. eat l	ome food ess)	DNK	NR
	Other:	<b>I</b>							L

## 6. Grocery Store Foods (Not wild foods)

What foods do you regularly buy at the grocery store? (e.g., fruit, vegetables, milk, pasta, hamburgers, snacks) List as many as you can think of.

### 7. Wild Foods (hunted/fished/collected)

This section asks specific questions about wild foods that you collect, eat and how much you eat.

Deciding how much? Use the objects in front of you to decide how much of a food you would normally eat. Record the label on the object in the box of usual serving.

If you eat it as a snack and as a meal record how much you would eat as the meal and how much you would eat as the snack and how you eat it separately.

Eating the same thing twice in one day counts as two servings (e.g. if you ate it as a meal and a snack or for breakfast and dinner, that is two servings per day)

In the past 12 months, did	Yes c	or No	Time of year	Check	Frequency	U	sual Serving	B	Notes
you eat any of the following ?:	Circ	cle:	In season = fresh Off season = stored	IIIdi K	# of days/week OR # of days/year	# of servings	Serving model (snack)	Serving model (meal)	How do you eat it? Raw, fresh, jams, baked Etc
Land mammals	_								
Caribou meat	YES	NO	In Season						
			Off Season						
Caribou liver	YES	NO	In Season						
			Off Season						
Caribou heart	YES	NO	In Season						
			Off Season						
Caribou tongue	YES	NO	In Season						
			Off Season						

In the past 12 months, did	Yes	or No	Time of year	Check	Frequency	U	sual Servin	g	Notes
you eat any of the following ?:	Cir	cle:	In season = fresh Off season = stored	тагк	# of days/week OR # of days/year	# of servings	Serving model (snack)	Serving model (meal)	How do you eat it? Raw, fresh, jams, baked Etc
Caribou stomach	YES	NO	In Season Off Season						
Caribou kidney	YES	NO	In Season Off Season						
Caribou ribs	YES	NO	In Season Off Season						
Caribou marrow	YES	NO	In Season Off Season						
Caribou organs (brain or other)	YES	NO	In Season Off Season						
Okalik meat (raw, cooked, dried)	YES	NO	In Season Off Season						
Porcupine meat (raw, cooked, frozen, dried)	YES	NO	In Season Off Season						
Black bear meat (raw, cooked, frozen, dried)	YES	NO	In Season Off Season						
Polar bear meat (raw, cooked, frozen,	YES	NO	In Season						

In the past 12 months, did	Yes o	or No	Time of year	Check	Frequency	U	sual Servin	g	Notes
you eat any of the following ?:	Cir	cle:	In season = fresh Off season = stored	mark	# of days/week OR # of days/year	# of servings	Serving model (snack)	Serving model (meal)	How do you eat it? Raw, fresh, jams, baked Etc
dried)			Off Season						
, 	YES	NO	In Season						
			Off Season						
	YES	NO	In Season						
			Off Season						
	YES	NO	In Season						
			Off Season						
	YES	NO	In Season						
			Off Season						
Birds									
Partridge (raw, cooked, frozen, dried)	YES	NO	In Season						
			Off Season						
Goose	YES	NO	In Season						
			Off Season						
Loon	YES	NO	In Season						
			Off Season						
Eider duck	YES	NO	In Season						

In the past 12 months, did	Yes o	or No	Time of year	Check	Frequency	U	sual Servin	g	Notes	
you eat any of the following ?:	Cir	cle:	In season = fresh Off season = stored	mark	# of days/week OR # of days/year	# of servings	Serving model (snack)	Serving model (meal)	How do you eat it? Raw, fresh, jams, baked Etc	
			Off Season							
Duck/Turre/Teal/Pintail/Pied	YES	NO	In Season							
			Off Season							
Tern	YES	NO	In Season							
			Off Season							
Pigeon/Guillemot	YES	NO	In Season							
			Off Season							
Pigeon/Guillemot eggs	YES	NO	In Season							
			Off Season							
Seagull eggs	YES	NO	In Season							
			Off Season							
Tern eggs	YES	NO	In Season							
			Off Season							
Duck eggs	YES	NO	In Season							
			Off Season							
	YES	NO	In Season							
Snowbird/whitecap/plumbers			Off Season							

In the past 12 months, did	Yes	or No	Time of year	Check	Frequency	U	sual Servin	g	Notes
you eat any of the following?:	Cir	cle:	In season = fresh Off season = stored	mark	# of days/week OR # of days/year	# of servings	Serving model (snack)	Serving model (meal)	How do you eat it? Raw, fresh, jams, baked Etc
	YES	NO	In Season Off Season						
	YES	NO	In Season Off Season						
Sea mammals				<u> </u>		I			
Seal blubber	YES	NO	In Season Off Season						
Seal liver	YES	NO	In Season Off Season						
Seal kidney	YES	NO	In Season Off Season						
Seal meat	YES	NO	In Season Off Season						
Whale blubber	YES	NO	In Season Off Season						
Whale meat	YES	NO	In Season						
			Ott Season						

In the past 12 months, did	Yes	Yes or No Ti	Time of year	Check	Frequency	U	sual Servin	g	Notes
you eat any of the following ?:	Cir	cle:	In season = fresh Off season = stored	mark	# of days/week OR # of days/year	# of servings	Serving model (snack)	Serving model (meal)	How do you eat it? Raw, fresh, jams, baked Etc
Porpoise meat	YES	NO	In Season						
			Off Season						
Porpoise liver	YES	NO	In Season						
			Off Season						
Jumper/dolphin meat	YES	NO	In Season						
			Off Season						
Jumper/dolphin blubber	YES	NO	In Season						
			Off Season						
Rock cod	YES	NO	In Season						
			Off Season						
Rock cod liver	YES	NO	In Season						
			Off Season						
Sculpin meat	YES	NO	In Season						
			Off Season						
Sculpin liver	YES	NO	In Season						
			Off Season						
Char meat	YES	NO	In Season						

In the past 12 months, did	Yes o	or No	Time of year	Check	Frequency	U	sual Servin	g	Notes
you eat any of the following?:	Cir	cle:	In season = fresh Off season =	mark	# of days/week OR	# of servings	Serving model (snack)	Serving model (meal)	How do you eat it? Raw, fresh, jams, baked Etc
			stored		# Of Udys/year				
			Off Season						
Salmon meat	YES	NO	In Season						
			Off Season						
Flatfish meat	YES	NO	In Season						
			Off Season						
Capelin meat	YES	NO	In Season						
			Off Season						
Clams	YES	NO	In Season						
			Off Season						
Mussels	YES	NO	In Season						
			Off Season						
Scallop	YES	NO	In Season						
			Off Season						
Wrinkles	YES	NO	In Season						
			Off Season						
Itiks/Sea urchins	YES	NO	In Season						
			Off Season						

In the past 12 months, did	Yes	Yes or No Tin	Time of year	Check	Frequency	U	sual Servin	g	Notes
you eat any of the following ?:	Cir	cle:	In season = fresh	mark	# of days/week	# of servings	Serving model	Serving model	How do you eat it? Raw, fresh, jams, baked Etc
			Off season = stored		# of days/year		(snack)	(meal)	
	YES	NO	In Season						
			Off Season						
	YES	NO	In Season						
			Off Season						
	YES	NO	In Season						
			Off Season						
	YES	NO	In Season						
			Off Season						
Wild fruits and wild plants									
Bakeapples	YES	NO	In Season						
			Off Season						
Wild raspberries	YES	NO	In Season						
			Off Season						
Wild blackberries	YES	NO	In Season						
			Off Season						
Wild blueberries	YES	NO	In Season						
			Off Season						

In the past 12 months, did	Yes	or No	Time of year	Check	Frequency	U	sual Servin	g	Notes
you eat any of the following ?:	Cir	cle:	In season = fresh Off season = stored	mark	# of days/week OR # of days/year	# of servings	Serving model (snack)	Serving model (meal)	How do you eat it? Raw, fresh, jams, baked Etc
Wild red berries	YES	NO	In Season Off Season						
Other picked berries	YES	NO	In Season Off Season						
Labrador tea	YES	NO	In Season Off Season						
tullegunnak	YES	NO	In Season Off Season						
Wild turnip	YES	NO	In Season Off Season						
uKaujak	YES	NO	In Season Off Season						
Other wild plants	YES	NO	In Season Off Season						
	YES	NO	In Season Off Season						
	YES	NO	In Season						

In the past 12 months, did	Yes o	or No	Time of year	Check	Frequency	U	sual Servin	g	Notes
you eat any of the following ?.	Ciro	cle:	In season = fresh Off season = stored	mark	# of days/week OR # of days/year	# of servings	Serving model (snack)	Serving model (meal)	How do you eat it? Raw, fresh, jams, baked Etc
			Off Season						
Other									
Sivak (rock cod liver)	YES	NO	In Season						
			Off Season						
Other Sivak (char/salmon spawns, seal fat)	YES	NO	In Season						
searracy			Off Season						
	YES	NO	In Season						
			Off Season						
	YES	NO	In Season						
			Off Season						

# Measuring Guide for Food Survey

#### Mounds

Model	Filling Size (cps)	Shell Diameter/length	Height (in)
		(in)	
M1	1/4	2	1
M2	1/3	2.5	1.5
M3	½ round	3	1.5
M4	½ long	5	1
M5	1	4	1.5
M6	1.5	4	1.75

Spoons:

Model	Filling Size
S1	1 tsp
S2	1 tbsp

#### Focus Group Outline

Focus groups held at 10am and 2pm on July 12 and at 10am on July 13, 2011. All 2hrs in length.

Introductions and signatures on the consent forms, followed by an informal presentation where questions were answered as they came up.

Tape recorder started at the end of the presentation. Each participant was paid \$20 at the end of the focus group.

General directions to focus group participants:

Remembering over the last year or two, please indicate on the maps the areas where you hunt/fish/collect wild foods. We are most interested in the areas surrounding Hopedale. Other areas are considered background and not likely to be influenced by local contamination. Even most things around Hopedale are likely to contain background concentrations but we want to make sure that everything gets sampled.

There are coloured markers and a symbol key to guide you in some of the foods we are looking for. If you can think of any that we are missing on the list please let one of us know.

On each map please indicate the general area where you would hunt for a food. Please use the coloured markers to indicate in what season you hunt there (e.g. if you hunt there in summer use green, fall use brown, if it is more than one season please use more than one colour. Then use the symbol key to describe the animal or fruit you get from that area. Each animal and fruit has been given a different code from C1 or F1 and up.

If you can remember it would be really helpful if you could write the number of animals you got from each area beside the code (e.g. C1,7 would mean 7 caribou were collected in this area). That way we can get an idea of the proportion of your diet that is coming from different areas. This is especially important when we are looking at foods collected right around Hopedale, maybe only 20% of your berries come from around here or maybe its 100%...?

General questions to ask:

How do you get to these areas in the spring, summer, fall and winter?

If you don't hunt right around Hopedale why not? Could you? Is there foods around to hunt or did there used to be?

Do you know of any areas dump sites, spills, uses of the old base that you think we should be sampling to make sure there is nothing there? i.e. old dump site in the harbour, MCO pond was airplane landing.

Marker	Season
Purple	Spring
Green	Summer
Blue	Winter
Brown	Fall

Animal	Symbol
Caribou	C1
Black Bear	C2
Polar Bear	C3
Partridge	C4
Okalik (hare)	C5
Pigeon	C6
Goose	C7
Duck	C8
Flatfish	C9
Capelin	C10
Salmon	C11
Char	C12
Rock Cod	C13
Sculpin	C14
Clams	C15
Mussel	C16
Winkle	C17
Scallop	C18
Jumper	C19
Seal	C20
Whale	C21

Fruit	Symbol
Bakeapple	F1
Wild raspberries	F2
Wild blueberries	F3
Wild red berries	F4
Wild blackberries	F5

Example: C1, 7 in purple marker = 7 caribou in the spring.

Table E.1 Consumption Rates for Each Country Food Item for Each Survey	ey Participant
--	----------------

Surveyid Bakeapples	Black Bear Meat Capelin Meat	Capelin Pitsik Caribou Caribou	Caribou Heart Caribou Kidnev	Caribou Liver	Caribou Marrow Caribou Meat	Caribou Nikku Caribou Organs(Brain or other)	Caribou Ribs Caribou Stomach	Caribou Tongue	Char Char Meat	Clams	Grass roots Deer Meat	Duck Eggs	Duck/Turre/Teal/Pied birds/Shellbird Eider Duck	Fish	Flatfish Meat Goose	ltiks/Sea Urchins Jumper/Dolphin Blubber	Jumper/Dolphin Meat	Labrador Tea Loon	Mussels	Nikku Okalik meat	Other Picked Berries	Other Wild Plants	Pigeon/Guillemot	Pigeon/Guillemot Eggs	Pitsik Polar Bear Meat	Porcupine Meat Porpoise Liver	Porpoise Meat Rhubarb	Rock Cod Rock Cod Liver	Rock Cod Pitsik Salmon Meat	Scallop Sculpin Liver	Sculpin Meat Seagull Eggs	Seal Blubber Seal Fat	Seal flipper Seal Kidney	Seal Liver Seal Meat	Sivak(Rock cod liver)	Snowbird/Whitcap/Plumbers Spawns	Tern Tern Eggs Trout	Trout Pitsik	Trout/Caribou tullegunnak	Whale Blubber	Whale Meat Wild BlackBerries	Wild Blueberries	Wild Raspberries Wild Red Berries	Wild Turnip Wrinkles
1 19	NV N\	/ NV NV N	V NV NV	V NV	NV 32	2 NV NV	9 NV	NV N	NV 25	3 N	NV NV N	V NV	7.5 NV	NV	NV 3	NV NV	NV	NV NV	3 N	IV NV	NV N	V NV 7.	5 NV	/ NV N	IV NV	4.5 NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV 1.5	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV	NV NV	NV NV NV	/ NV N	NV NV N	V NV	NV NV	15	NV NV	NV NV
2 3 3 NV	NV N\ NV 13	/ NV NV N' 3 NV NV N'	V 2 NV V 20 NV	V NV V NV	2 0. 51 7.	5 NV NV - 1 NV NV -	4.5 NV 98 NV	2 N 13 N	NV 12 NV 140	NV N		V 1.5 V NV	3 4.5 52 52	NV NV	NV 3 NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV		IV 0.99 IV NV	NV N	IV NV 6 IV NV 12	5 NV 20 13	/ NV N 3.3 N	IV NV	NV NV 13 NV	NV         NV           20         NV	NV NV 9 NV	NV NV	NV NV 13 NV	NV 1.5 NV 13	NV NV NV NV	NV NV	NV NV NV 78	NV I	NV NV I NV NV I		/ NV N / NV N		V NV V NV	NV NV 20 2	NV [	NV 2 NV 52	NV NV
4 6	NV N	/ NV NV 26	6 NV N	V NV	NV 3	NV NV	NV NV	NV N	NV NV	0.13 N		V NV	NV NV	NV	NV 1.5	NV NV	NV	NV NV	0.13 N		NV N	IV NV 6	9 NV	/ NV N	IV NV	NV NV	1.5 NV	NV NV	NV 1.5	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV I	NV NV	NV NV NV	/ NV N	NV NV N	V NV	NV NV	1.5	1.5 NV	NV NV
5     18       6     72	NV 9	0 NV NV N 0 NV NV N	V 6 NV V 130 NV	V NV V NV	0.75 14 NV 72	4 NV NV 10 NV NV 5	NV 11 580 NV	NV 1 33 1	NV 27 NV 110	470 N		V NV V 12	NV NV 290 36	NV NV	NV NV NV 24	NV NV NV 27	NV 27	NV NV NV NV	14 N 470 N	IV 3 IV 48	NV N NV N	IV NV 1 IV NV 14	4 NV 0 290	ONV N	IV NV IV NV	NV NV NV NV	NVNV27NV	18 NV 110 110	NV 4.5 NV 860	2.3 NV 110 NV	NV NV NV 48	NV NV 140 NV	NV NV NV 18	14 23 NV 290	NV 1 860 1	NV NV I NV NV I	NV NV NV	/ NV N / NV N	$\frac{1}{12}$	V NV 2 3	NV         15           3         72	4.5	3     14       72     72	NV NV NV 11(
7 26	NV 13	3 26 NV NV	V 26 NV	V 26	20 6.	5 NV NV	6.5 NV	65 N	NV 16	4.5 N	NV NV 78	8 20 V 6	26 6.5	NV 3	3.3 52 NV 13	NV NV	NV 72	NV NV	NV N	IV 6.5	NV NV	V NV 3	3 NV	/ NV N 5 NV N	IV NV	20 NV	20 NV	26 NV	78 3.3	NV 4.5	4.5 NV	NV NV	NV 26	26 26 75 11	4.5 I	NV NV I		/ 78 N		V NV	NV 26	6.5	20 4.3	NV NV
9 NV	NV N\	/ NV NV N	V NV NV	V NV	NV N	V NV NV	NV NV		NV NV	NV N	NV NV N	V NV	NV NV	NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV	NV NV	NV N	IV NV	NV N	V NV N	V NV	/ NV N	IV NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV I	NV NV		V NV N		V NV	NV NV		NV NV	
10 7.9 11 1.7	NV N\ NV 88	/ NV NV N 3 NV NV N	V NV NV V NV NV	V NV V NV	4.5 0.1 NV 12	13 NV NV 10 NV NV	NV NV 45 NV		NV 0.25 NV NV	NV N 2.5 N		V 0.99 V NV	NV NV NV NV	NV NV	NV NV NV NV	NV NV NV NV	NV NV	NV NV NV NV	0.99 N NV N	IV NV IV NV	NV N NV N	IV NV 0.: IV NV N	L3 NV V NV	/ 0.99 N / NV N	IV NV IV NV	NV NV NV NV	NV NV NV NV	NV NV 13 NV	NV NV NV 13	NV NV NV NV	NV 0.99 NV NV	NV NV 2.5 NV	NV NV NV NV	NV NV NV NV	NV I NV I	NV NV I NV NV I	NV NV NV	/ NV N / NV N	NV NV N' NV NV N'	V NV V NV	NV         NV           NV         2.5	8.9 r 1.7	NV         NV           NV         1.7	NV NV
12 4.5	NV N	/ NV NV N		V NV	0.66 52	2 NV NV	52 NV		NV 13	NV N		V NV	NV NV	NV	NV 7.9	NV NV	NV	NV NV	NV N	IV NV	NV N		60 NV	/ NV N			NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV		NV NV	NV NV	NV I	NV NV		/ NV N		V NV	NV NV	NV /	NV 18	NV NV
13 NV 14 14	NV 14	INV NV N V NV NV N	V 18 N	V NV V NV	90 36	0 NV NV	45 NV		NV 60 NV 27	NV N	NV NV N	V 1.5 V 45	18         NV           90         90	NV	NV 90 NV 32	NV NV	NV	NV NV NV NV	9 N	00 44 IV 9	NV NV 2	3 NV 18	0 NV	/ NV 30	IV NV	9 NV	NV NV NV NV	48NVNVNV	NV         NV           NV         18	NV NV	5 1.5 NV 18	NV NV NV NV	NV NV NV 18	NV         27           18         32	NV I	NV NV I	NV NV NV	V NV N	NV NV N	V NV V NV	NV NV	9	NV 6	NV NV
15 3 16 0.5	NV N	/ NV NV N / NV NV N	V 8.6 NV V NV NV	V NV	1.5 8. 0.25 1.	6 NV NV 5 NV NV	13 NV NV NV		NV 7.5	NV N		V 11 V NV	24 2 NV NV	NV NV	NV 0.99 NV NV	NV NV	0.99 NV	NV NV		IV NV		V NV 2	0 3	7.5 N	IV NV	NV NV	4 NV NV NV	NV NV	NV 3 NV NV	NV NV	NV 9 NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV 2 NV NV	NV I	NV NV I		/ NV N		V 3 V NV	3 3 NV 7.5	2 0	).99 NV NV NV	NV NV
17 NV	NV N\	/ NV 26 N	V NV N	V NV	26 17	0 NV NV	14 NV	3 N	NV NV	6.5 N	NV NV N	V NV	NV NV	52	NV 4.5	NV NV	NV	NV NV	20 N	IV NV	NV N	V NV 1.	5 NV	/ NV N	IV NV	NV NV	NV 0.75	0.5 NV	NV 26	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV 1.1	NV I	NV NV	NV NV NV	/ NV N	NV NV N	V 6	NV NV	NV /	NV NV	
18         110           19         1.5	NV 18 NV 4	NV NV NV	V 15 NV V NV NV	V NV V NV	9 18 1.5 39	B NV NV P NV NV	12 NV 12 13	18 NV N	NV 54 NV 1.5	9 N 3 N		V 4.5 V 3	NV NV NV NV	NV NV	NV 9 NV NV	NV NV NV NV	54 0.75	NV NV NV NV	18 N 2 N	IV NV IV 4.5	NV N NV N	IV NV 4. IV NV 9.	5 NV 9 NV	' NV N ' NV N	IV NV IV NV	NV NV NV NV	4.5 NV	NV NV 3 NV	NV 6 NV NV	NV NV NV NV	NV 9 NV NV	0.99 NV NV NV	NV NV NV NV	3 9 NV 12	NV I NV I	NV NV I NV NV I	NV NV NV	/ NV N / NV N	NV NV N NV 0.99 N	V NV V NV	NV 5 NV 3.8	1.5 (	NV NV 0.75 1.5	NV 9 NV 0.7!
20 1.5		/ NV NV N	V 1.5 N	V NV	52 16 3 7	0 NV NV	39 NV	1.5 N	NV 27	NV N		V 3	4.5 NV	NV	NV 4.5	NV NV	NV	NV NV	4.5 N	IV 1.5	NV N	V NV 2	0 NV	/ NV N	IV NV	NV NV	NV NV	36 NV	NV 4.5	NV NV	NV 9	NV NV	NV NV	3 160	NV I	NV NV I		/ NV N	NV NV N	V 4.5	1.5 1.5	1.5 f	1.5 NV	NV 4.5
22 18	NV 6	NV NV N	V NV NV	V NV	36 26	5 NV NV	90 NV	NV 1	NV 180	12 N	NV NV N	V NV	15 3	NV	NV 6	NV NV	NV	NV NV	12 N	IV 27	NV N	V NV 0.2	25 60	NV N	IV NV	NV NV	12 NV	60 NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	3 6	NV I	NV NV	NV NV NV	/ NV N	NV NV N'	V NV	NV 18		18 27	NV 12
23 1.5 24 0.99	NV 0.9 NV N\	9 NV NV N / NV NV N	V 0.99 0.7 V NV NV	75 0.75 V NV	NV 4. NV 15	5 NV 0.99 5 NV NV	3 1.5 NV NV	0.75 M	NV 18 NV NV	0.75 N NV N		V NV V NV	3 3 NV NV	NV NV	NV 3 NV NV	NV 0.99 NV NV	) 1.5 NV	78 0.99 NV NV	0.99 N NV N	IV 4.5 IV NV	NV N NV N	IV NV 3 IV NV N	8 2 V NV	3 N / NV N	IV NV C IV NV	NV NV	1.5 NV NV NV	3 1.5 NV NV	NV 15 NV NV	1.5 0.75 ( NV NV	0.99 3 NV NV	1.5 NV NV NV	NV 0.75 NV NV	0.99 NV NV NV	NV I NV I	NV NV I NV NV I	NV 1.5 NV NV NV NV	/ NV N / NV N	NV NV N' NV NV N'	V NV V NV	NV 3 NV 0.75	<u>3</u> 0.75	NV 0.75 NV 0.75	NV NV
25 NV		/ NV NV N	V NV N	V NV	NV 3	NV NV	NV NV		NV NV	NV N		V NV	NV NV	NV		NV NV	NV	NV NV	NV N		NV N	IV NV N	V NV	/ NV N			NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV		NV NV	NV NV	NV I	NV NV		/ NV N		V NV	NV NV	NV /	NV NV	NV NV
20         34           27         1.5	NV 1.5	5 NV NV N	V NV NV	V NV	12     11       3     0.7	75 NV NV	9 NV	3 N	NV 54	NV N	NV NV N	V NV (	0.13 NV	NV	NV 11	NV NV	NV	NV NV	9 N	IV 3	NV N	IV NV 0.8	2 NV 38 NV	0.99 N	IV NV	NV NV	NV NV	3 NV	NV NV	4.5 NV	NV 4	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV I	NV NV	NV NV NV	V NV N		V NV	NV NV	3	NV NV	NV 4.5
28 NV 29 39	NV N\ NV 13	/ NV NV N 3 NV NV N	V 30 NV V 0.25 NV	V 13 V NV	15 19 4.5 0.7	0 NV 23 75 NV NV	32 NV NV NV		NV 23 NV NV	NV N 39 N		V 34 V 6.5	22 22 NV 52	NV NV	NV 43 NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV		IV 7.5 IV NV	NV 6	.5 NV 2	2 NV 9 NV	′91 N ′NV N	IV NV	NV NV 3 NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV 210	NV NV	NV 52 NV 6.5	NV NV	NV NV	22 22 4.3 NV	NV I	NV NV I NV NV I	NV 26 NV NV NV NV	/ NV N / NV N		V 22 V NV	22 NV NV 26	NV [	NV NV NV 26	NV NV
30 29	NV N	/ NV NV N	V 3 N	V NV	2.5 11	0 NV NV	8.6 NV	NV N	NV 0.19	NV N	NV NV N	V 20 (	).25 NV	NV	NV 7.5	NV NV	NV	NV NV	0.42 N	IV NV	NV N	V NV 9	8 NV	/ 15 N	IV NV	NV NV	NV NV	44 NV	NV 1	NV NV	NV 0.62	0.19 NV	NV NV	NV 0.98	3 NV 1	NV NV	NV NV NV	/ NV N	NV NV N	V NV	NV 15	11	32 7.5	NV NV
31 100 32 NV	NV 52 NV 4.5	5 NV NV N 5 NV NV N	V 18 N V 9 N	V NV V NV	26 N NV 39	9 NV NV	13 6.5 54 NV	6 1 14 1	NV 26 NV 14	NV N NV N		V 0.75 V 18	NV 54 14 18	NV	NV 39 NV 4.5	NV 6.5 NV NV	NV 9	20 NV NV NV	NV N	IV 52 IV 9	NV N NV N	IV NV 16 IV NV 1	1 18	NV N	IV NV	13 NV 9 NV	13 NV 9 NV	26 NV 23 NV	NV NV NV NV	NV NV NV NV	NV 4.3 NV 18	NV NV	NV NV NV NV	52         6.5           18         18	12 I	NV NV I NV NV I	NV NV NV	V NV N V NV N	NV NV N'	V 13 V NV	NV 65 NV NV	NV	13 100 NV 90	NV NV
33 NV 34 59	NV N	/ NV NV N / NV NV N	V 3.3 NV	V 3.3	3.3 6. 13 3	5 NV NV	3.3 NV 1 NV	3.3 M	NV NV	NV N		V 3.3	3.3 NV NV NV	NV NV	NV 3.3 NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV N	IV 3.3		V NV 3.	3 3.3 2 NV	B NV N	IV NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV 3.3	NV NV	NV NV	3.3 3.3 10 NV	NV I	NV NV I		/ NV N		V NV	NV NV		NV NV	
35 4.5	NV N\	/ NV NV N	V 3 N	V NV	NV 0.2	25 NV NV	26 NV	NV 0	.19 NV	NV N	NV NV N	V NV	NV 11	NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV	NV NV	NV N	IV NV	NV N	V NV N	V NV	/ NV N	IV NV	NV NV	NV NV	24 NV	NV 6	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV 24	NV I	NV NV	NV NV NV	/ NV N	NV NV N	V NV	NV 4.5	NV /	NV 4.5	
36         14           37         39	NV 3 NV N\	NV NV N / NV NV N	V NV NV V NV NV	V NV V NV	3.5 20 26 27	0 NV NV 10 NV NV	6 NV 39 NV	1.7 M	NV 6 NV 160	NV N NV N		V 9 V NV	3.5 7.5 NV NV	NV NV	NV 5 NV NV	NV NV NV NV	3 NV	NV NV NV NV	4.5 N 39 N	1V 3 1V NV	NV N NV N	IV NV 7. IV NV N	5 2.5 V NV	5 NV N / NV N	IV NV . IV NV	3.5 NV NV NV	3NVNVNV	1.7 NV 26 17	NV 4.5 NV NV	NV NV NV NV	NV 9 NV NV	NV NV NV NV	NV NV NV NV	5 6 17 52	NV I NV I	NV NV I NV NV I	NV NV NV	/ NV N / NV N		V NV V 7.5	NV 3 NV 39	2.5 r 39	NV 6 9 26	NV NV NV 39
38 NV		/ NV NV N		V NV	NV 52	2 NV NV			NV 39	20 N		V 13	96 84	NV	NV 250	NV NV	NV	NV NV	20 N		NV 6	.5 NV 5	2 NV	/ NV N			NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV 6.5	NV NV	NV NV		NV I	NV NV I		/ NV N		V NV	NV NV	NV !	NV NV	
40 NV	NV N\	/ NV NV N	V NV NV	V NV	8.9 78	B NV NV	NV NV	NV N	NV 20	NV N	NV NV N	V NV	NV 6.5	NV	NV NV	NV 1.5	1.5	NV NV	NV N	IV NV	NV N	V NV N	V NV	/ NV N	IV NV	NV NV	1.5 NV	1.5 0.75	NV 51	NV NV	20 0.13	NV NV	NV 32	32 32	NV I	NV NV	NV NV NV	/ NV N	NV NV N	V 32	32 NV		NV NV	NV 17
41 99 42 NV	NV N\ NV N\	/ NV NV N / NV NV N	V NV NV V 24 NV	V NV V NV	NV 14 51 1.	0 NV NV 5 NV NV	54 NV 20 NV	NV 1 7.9 1	NV 4 NV NV	NV N NV N	NV NV N NV NV N	V NV V NV (	NV NV 0.99 NV	NV NV	NV NV NV NV	NV NV NV NV	NV NV	NV NV NV NV	NV N	V NV	NV N NV N	IV NV 5. IV NV 0.9	9 NV 99 NV	' NV N ' NV N	IV NV IV NV	NV NV NV NV	NV NV NV NV	NV NV NV NV	3NVNVNV	NV NV NV NV	NV NV NV 0.75	NV NV NV NV	NV NV NV NV	NV NV NV NV	NV I NV I	NV NV I NV NV I	NV NV NV	/ NV N / NV N		V NV V NV	NV NV	<u>39</u> ľ	NV         4.3           NV         NV	NV NV
43 4.5 44 13	NV N	/ NV NV N 3 NV NV N	V NV NV	V NV	NV 29	9 NV NV	23 NV		NV NV	NV N		V NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV	NV NV	NV N	IV NV	NV N	IV NV N 25 NV 4	V NV	/ NV N	IV NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV	NV NV I		/ NV N		V NV	NV 7.5	7.5	NV 1.5	
45 NV	NV N\	/ NV NV N	V 60 N	V NV	170 60	D NV NV 1	170 NV	60 N	NV 7.5	9 N	NV NV N	V 1.5	NV NV	NV	NV 30	NV NV	NV	NV NV	NV N	IV NV	NV N	V NV 3	0 NV	/ NV N	IV NV	NV NV	NV NV	110 NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV 1.5	3 NV	NV NV	NV 18	NV	NV NV		V NV N		V 0.75	NV 3	NV	NV 1.5	NV 1.5
46 930 47 NV	NV N\ NV N\	/ NV NV N / NV NV N	V 420 N V NV N	V NV V NV	NV 80 NV 22	0 NV NV 4 2 NV NV	460 NV 20 NV	NV NV N	NV NV NV 4.3	NV N NV N	NV NV N NV NV N	V 440 · V 5.2	420 NV NV NV	NV NV	NV 420 NV NV	NV NV NV NV	NV NV	NV NV NV NV	NV N NV N	IV 420 IV NV	NV N NV N	IV NV 44 IV NV 1	0 NV . NV	/ NV N / NV N	IV NV IV NV	NV NV NV NV	NV         NV           0.5         NV	NV         NV           0.5         NV	NV 850 NV 78	NV NV NV NV	NV 440 NV NV	NV NV NV NV	NV NV NV NV	NV 930 NV 1.2	NV I NV I	NV NV I NV NV I	NV NV NV	/ NV N / NV N	NV NV N' NV NV N'	V NV V NV	NV         590           NV         1.7	52 1 NV	700 140 NV 1.5	71 NV NV 20
48 36		/ NV NV N		V NV	NV 10	0 NV NV 1	140 NV	52 N	NV NV	NV N		V 20	NV NV	NV		NV NV	NV	NV NV	24 N		NV N	IV NV N	V NV	/ NV N	IV NV		20 NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV 20	NV NV	NV NV		NV I	NV NV I		/ NV N		V NV	NV 36	36 /	NV NV	
49         NV           50         23	NV NV	/ NV NV N	V NV NV	V NV V NV	360 36	io NV NV 1	180 NV	NV I	NV 52 NV 3	NV N	NV NV N	V 78	NV NV	NV	NV 23	NV NV NV NV	NV	NV NV	4.5 N	IV NV	NV N	IV NV N	V NV	/ NV N	IV NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV 78	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV NV 14	NV I	NV NV I	NV NV NV	V NV N		V NV V NV	NV NV	8	NV NV	
51 60 52 1.5	NV 6 NV N\	NV NV N' NV NV N'	V 1.5 NV V NV NV	V NV V NV	NV 62 NV 26	0 NV NV 5 NV NV	60 NV NV NV	12 NV	NV 150 NV 14	NV N		V 15 V NV	30 45 60 NV	NV NV	6 18 NV 14	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	6 NV N	IV 4 IV NV	NV 1	.2 NV 3 .5 NV 14	0 NV	/ NV N / NV N	IV NV	3 NV NV NV	NV NV	18 NV NV NV	NV 180	6 NV 1.5 NV	NV 9 NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV 15 NV NV	NV I	NV NV I NV NV I	NV 15 N\ NV NV N\	/ NV N / NV N		V NV V NV	NV 6 NV 1.5	6	6 NV 1.5 NV	NV NV
53 0.99	NV N	/ NV 16 N		V NV	0.5 0.6	53 NV NV	0.5 NV	NV	4 21	NV 1	15 NV N	V NV	NV NV	NV	NV 6	NV NV	NV	NV NV	4.5 N	IV NV	NV N	V NV 3	NV	/ 0.99 N	IV NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	9 NV	NV 17	NV NV	NV NV	2 NV	NV I	NV NV	NV NV NV	/ NV N		V NV	NV 2	3 '	NV 4	
54 NV 55 48	NV NV NV 0.2	7 NV NV N 5 NV NV N	V 0.99 N V NV N	V NV V NV	NV 13 NV 15	3 NV NV 5 NV NV	<u>NV NV</u> 11 NV		NV 4.5 NV 24	NV N NV N		V 1.5 V 4.5	NV NV NV NV	NV NV	NV NV NV NV	NV 1.5 NV NV	NV NV	NV NV NV NV	NV N 4.5 N	IV NV	NV N NV N	IV NV N IV NV N	V NV V NV	' NV N ' NV N	IV NV IV NV	NV NV NV NV	NV NV NV NV	NVNV30NV	NV NV NV NV	NV NV NV NV	NV 1.5 NV 4.5	NV NV NV NV	NV NV NV NV	NV NV 1.5 6	NV I NV I	NV NV I NV NV I	NV NV NV	/ NV N / NV N		V NV V NV	NV NV NV NV		<u>NV NV</u> J.99 140	NV 3 NV NV
56 39	NV 4.5	5 NV NV NV		V NV	0.13 2.	3 NV NV	9 0.75	5 0.75 N	NV 20	20 N		V 1.5	NV NV	NV NV	NV 3	NV NV	4.5	13 NV	26 N	IV 3	NV N	V NV 4	5 NV	/ NV N	IV NV C	.75 NV	3 NV	52 NV	NV 39	8.6 NV	NV 3	NV NV	NV NV	NV 13	NV I	NV NV I		/ NV N	NV 4.5 N	V NV	NV NV	4.5	3 59 NV NV	NV 20
58 0.25	NV N\	/ NV NV N	V NV NV	V NV	13 16	0 NV NV	13 NV	2.3 T	NV 20	13 N	NV NV N	V 6.5	39 NV	NV	NV 3	NV NV	20	NV NV	13 N	IV 1.5	NV N	IV NV 6.	5 NV	/ NV N	IV NV	NV NV	20 NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV 78	NV NV	NV NV	13 26	6.5	NV NV	NV NV NV	/ NV N		V NV	NV 0.25	0.25	NV 0.25	, NV 20
59 3 60 78	NV 7.9 NV N\	9 NV 36 N 7 NV NV N	V 39 N\ V NV N\	V 39 V NV	6 16 NV N	0 NV NV V NV NV	72 NV NV NV	39 NV	NV 9 NV NV	18 N NV N		V 4.5 V NV	14 9 NV NV	NV NV	NV 36 NV NV	20 NV NV NV	NV NV	NV 36 NV NV	18 N NV N	IV NV IV NV	NV N NV N	IV NV 3 IV NV N	6 NV V NV	′ 4.5 N ′ NV N	IV NV IV NV	NV NV NV NV	NV NV NV NV	100 NV 6.5 NV	NV 180 NV NV	NV 27 ( NV NV	0.75 4.5 NV NV	NV NV NV NV	NV 4.5 NV NV	24 100 NV NV	1.5   NV	NV NV 4 NV NV 1	4.5 NV NV NV NV NV	/ NV N / NV N	NV NV 1. NV NV N'	.5 NV V NV	12 34 NV 78	34 1 NV	1.5 16 NV NV	NV NV
61 NV	NV 75	5 NV NV N	V 52 N	V NV	25 54	4 NV NV 1	180 26	24	NV 26	8.6 N		V 2	24 0.25	5 NV	NV 24	1.5 NV	66	1.5 NV	8.6 N	IV 36	NV N	IV NV 10	0 NV	/ NV N		32 NV	57 NV	84 20	NV 32	1.5 12	12 2	34 NV	NV 32	18 60	NV I	NV NV		/ NV N	NV 6.5 N	V NV	NV NV		NV NV	NV 2
63 NV	NV N\	/ NV NV N	V NV NV	V NV	NV 35	0 NV NV	13 NV	NV N	NV 59	NV N	NV NV N	V NV	NV NV	NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV	NV NV	39 N	IV NV	NV N	IV NV N	V NV	/ NV N	IV NV	NV NV	NV NV	59 NV	NV 39	NV NV	NV 13	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV I	NV NV	NV NV NV	V NV N	IV NV N	V NV	<u>NV NV</u>		NV 26	NV NV
64 NV 65 NV	NV N\	/ NV NV N / NV NV N		V NV V NV	52 3. NV 3	5 NV NV 5 NV NV 2	78 NV 220 NV	NV NV M	NV 13 NV 4	NV N		V NV V NV	NV NV NV NV	NV NV	NV NV NV 1.5	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV N	IV NV		V NV N	V NV V NV	/ NV N / NV N	IV NV	NV NV NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV NV 1	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV I	NV NV I NV NV I	NV NV NV	/ NV N / NV N	NV NV N'	V NV V NV	NV NV	NV /	NV NV NV NV	NV NV
66 27	NV 23	B NV NV N	V 72 N	V NV	36 22	0 NV NV	180 NV	NV N	NV 27	3 N		V 9	NV 18	NV	NV 14	NV NV	3	NV NV	23 N	IV 6	NV N	IV NV 11	0 27	4.5 N		NV NV	3 NV	110 3	NV 54	NV NV	NV 9	NV NV	NV 4.5	4.5 54	3	NV NV	NV 4.5 NV		NV NV N	V NV	NV 9	9	3 23	NV 4.5
67     26       68     11	NV 7.9	NV         NV         N           /         NV         NV         N		v NV V NV	о 18 NV 9	NV 6 NV NV	24 6 4.5 NV		NV 18 NV 3	NV N 3 N		v 5.9 V 6.9	12 12 NV NV	NV	NV 12 NV NV	∠ NV NV NV	ь NV	NV 12 NV NV	2 N 1.5 N	V 12 V 14	NV N	IV INV 2 IV NV N	+ NV V NV	/ NV N / NV 3	9 NV	3 NV NV NV	3 NV NV NV	39 20 3 NV	NV 2 NV NV	5.9NVNVNV	NV 5.9	NV NV	NV B	12         24           NV         3	NV I	NV NV I	NV NV NV	V INV N V NV N	NV 1.5 N NV <u>14</u> N	v INV V 4.5	NV         13           NV         11	NV	<u>13</u> <u>26</u> <u>11</u> NV	NV 2 NV 1.5
69 0.75 70 120		/ NV NV N / NV NV N		V NV	NV 0.1	13 NV NV	NV NV		NV 3 NV 43	NV N		V NV (	0.06 NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	0.75 NV	0.75 N		0.75 N	V NV N	V NV 3 NV	/ NV N	IV NV		NV NV	0.17 NV 3 NV	NV 1.5	NV NV	NV NV		NV NV	0.06 0.08	3 NV I					V NV	NV 0.75 NV 18	0.75 0	1.75 0.75	NV 0.75
71 32	NV N\	/ NV NV N	V 100 N	V NV	18 16	0 NV NV	160 160	) NV M	NV 23	4.5 N		V 4.5	NV NV	NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV	NV NV	4.5 N	IV 3	NV N	IV NV 1	3 NV	/ NV N	IV NV	NV NV	4.5 NV	90 NV	NV 4.5	NV NV	NV 4.5	NV NV	NV NV	NV 90	NV	NV NV	NV NV NV	/ NV N	IV NV N	V NV	4.5 4.5	4.5	NV 4.5	NV 9
72         0.63           73         7.5	NV 13	5 NV NV N 0 NV NV N	v 8.6 N V 160 N	V NV V NV	78     20       4     23	0 NV NV	26 NV 18 NV	26 M	NV 33 NV 30	26 N 7.5 N	NV NV N NV NV N	v 39 V NV	INV         13           11         4.5	NV NV	NV NV NV 4.5	NV NV NV 23	NV 23	NV NV	13 N 15 N	IV NV	NV N	IV NV 2 IV NV 3	o NV 9 NV	' 33 N ' NV N	IV NV C IV NV	1.5 NV	NV NV	20 NV 21 NV	NV 26 NV 36	NV NV	NV 26	NV NV 20 NV	NV NV NV 88	NV 52 64 90	NV I	NV NV I NV NV I	NV NV NV	V NV N V NV N	NV NV N NV NV N	v NV V 140	INV         0.75           18         7.5	7.5	NV 0.38 NV 6	NV NV NV 38

r																																											
Surveyid Bakeapples Black Bear Meat	Capelin Meat	Capelin Pitsik Caribou Caribou Fish	Caribou Heart Caribou Kidney	Caribou humey Caribou Liver	Caribou Marrow Caribou Meat	Caribou Organs(Brain or other)	Caribou Ribs	Caribou Stomach Caribou Tongue	Char Meat	Clams Cod fish	Duck Eggs	Duck/Turre/Teal/Pied birds/Shellbird	Eider Duck Fish	Flatfish Meat Goose	ltiks/Sea Urchins Jumper/Dolphin Blubber	Jumper/Dolphin Meat	Labrador Tea Loon	Mussels	Nikku Okalik meat	Other Picked Berries Other Sivak/Char/	Other Wild Plants Partridge	Pigeon/Guillemot	Pigeon/Guillemot Eggs Pitsik	Polar Bear Meat Porcupine Meat	Porpoise Liver	Porpoise Meat Rhubarb	Rock Cod Rock Cod Liver	Rock Cod Pitsik Salmon Meat	Scallop Sculpin Liver	Sculpin Meat Seagull Eggs	Seal Blubber Seal Fat	Seal flipper Seal Kidney	Seal Liver Seal Meat	Sivak(Rock cod liver)	Snowbird/Whitcap/Plumbers Spawns	Tern Tern Eggs	Trout Trout Pitsik	Trout/Caribou tullegunnak	Ukaujak Whale Blubber	Whale Meat Wild BlackBerries	Wild Blueberries	Wild Raspberries Wild Red Berries	Wild Turnip Wrinkles
74 1.5 N	V 13 N		3 N	V NV	6 6	NV 12	24	0.99 1.5 N	V 52	4.5 NV N	V NV 3	3	NV NV	NV 3	2 3	3	NV NV	9	NV 12	NV 4	NV 3	3	NV NV	NV 3	8 NV	13 NV	52 34	NV 3	NV NV	NV 3	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	6	NV NV	3 NV 1		NV 0.99 I		NV 3	3 1	NV 0.99	NV 4.5
75 30 N	V NV N	IV NV NV	1 N	V NV	0.5 52	2 NV NV	0.5	NV         1         N	V 3	NV NV N	V NV 0.75	NV	NV NV	NV 0.13	NV NV	11	NV NV	0.99	NV NV	NV N	V NV 0.5	NV	NV NV	NV N\	V NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV 7.9	NV NV	NV 0.75	NV NV	NV NV	0.99 0.99	9 NV	NV NV	NV NV I	NV NV	NV NV I	NV NV	NV NV	24 ľ	NV 95	NV NV
76 0.5 N	V 27 N	IV NV NV	0.5 N	V NV	0.25 33	3 NV 0.13	0.5	NV 0.25 N	V 26	NV NV N	V NV 5	NV	NV NV	NV 12	NV NV	NV	NV NV	9	NV NV	NV 6	5 NV 8.8	NV	NV NV	NV N	V NV	NV NV	3 NV	NV 13	9 NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	3 7.5	5 NV	NV NV	NV NV I	NV NV	NV NV I	NV NV	NV 9	27	NV 2.3	NV 3
77 78 N 78 0.99 N	V 0.5 N V NV N	IV NV NV	3 N NV N	V NV V NV	26 16 0.66 13	0 NV 0.33 3 NV NV	26	3 3 N NV 1 N	V 350 V 0.33	6.5 NV N NV NV N	V NV 4.5 V NV 6.5	8.6	0.13 NV 0.17 NV	0.13 0.75 NV 0.13	6.5 1 NV NV	1 0.25	NV NV	6.5 2	NV 0.33 NV 0.08	NV N NV N	V NV 1.5 V NV NV	57 NV	4.5 NV NV NV	NV 0.0 NV 0.0	08 NV	0.5 NV 0.38 NV	0.25 NV NV NV	NV 6.5	NV 9 NV NV	9 NV NV 6.5	0.13 NV 0.08 NV	NV 2 NV NV	2 2 0.08 6.5	3	0.99 6 NV NV	NV NV I NV NV I	NV NV NV NV	NV NV I		NV 0.13 0.08 NV	0.13 0 NV 1	NV NV	NV 6.5 NV NV
79 1.5 N	V NV N	IV NV NV	NV N	V NV	NV 4.5	5 NV NV	NV	NV 0.75 N	V 0.13	0.13 NV N	V NV 0.25	NV	NV NV	NV 0.25	NV NV	0.13	NV NV	0.13	NV NV	NV N	V NV NV	NV	NV NV	NV N\	V NV	0.13 NV	NV NV	NV 0.13	3 NV NV	NV 0.25	NV NV	NV NV	NV 0.13	3 NV	NV NV	NV NV I	NV NV	NV NV I	NV NV (	0.13 1.5	1.5	NV 1.5	NV NV
80 0.25 N	V 3 N V 75 N	IV NV NV	NV N' 3 N'	V NV	14 N\ 26 62	V NV NV 0 NV 033	NV 26	1.5 NV N	V 6.5 V 310	4.5 NV N	V NV 13 V NV 45	3	3 NV 27 NV	NV 3	NV NV	NV 12	NV NV	14	NV 6 NV 033		V NV 0.5	NV 5 12	NV NV	NV N\	V NV	6.5 NV	6.5 NV	NV 0.75	5 3 NV / 3 NV	NV 13	4.3 NV	NV NV	6.5 6.5 24 1	NV NV	NV NV		NV NV		NV 6.5	NV 0.25	0.25 M	NV 0.25	NV 1.5
82 15 N	V NV N	IV NV NV	NV N	V NV	NV 13	0 NV NV	NV	NV NV N	V 6	NV NV N	V NV NV	NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV	NV NV	NV	NV NV	NV N	V NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV N\	V NV	NV NV	3 NV	NV NV	/ NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	/ NV	NV NV	NV NV I	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV 3	3	NV 18	NV NV
83 160 N	V 13 N	IV NV NV	NV N	V NV	17 59	NV NV	160	NV 6 N	V 59	4.5 NV N	V NV 26	59	9 NV	NV 54	NV NV	26	NV 9	4.5	NV 12	NV N	V NV 230	) 39	20 NV	NV 9	) NV	26 NV	26 NV	NV 20	NV NV	NV 26	9.8 NV	NV NV	NV 100	) 13	NV NV	NV NV I	NV NV	NV NV I	NV NV	NV 39	39 N	NV 32	NV NV
84 NV N 85 9 N	V NV N V 18 N	IV NV NV	0.99 N 18 N	V NV V NV	<u>0.08</u> 0.1 3 81	L NV NV	81	NV NV N NV 12 N	V 13 V 18	18 NV N	V NV 1.5 V NV 12	9	4.5 NV	NV 0.13 NV 12	NV NV	NV	NV NV	18	NV 0.13 NV NV	NV N NV N	V NV 0.1. V NV 18	NV NV	NV NV NV NV	NV N	V NV V NV	9 NV	54 NV	NV 0.99	9 NV NV NV NV	NV 1.5 NV 12	9 NV	NV NV NV 1.5	32 NV	9 NV / NV	NV NV	NV NV I NV NV I	NV NV	NV NV I		NV NV	9 ľ	NV NV NV 9	NV NV NV 18
86 3 N	V NV N	IV NV NV	NV N	V NV	NV 41	L NV NV	NV	NV NV N	V 23	NV NV N	V NV NV	14	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	4.5	NV NV	18	NV NV	NV N	V NV 27	NV	NV NV	NV 14	4 NV	9 NV	14 NV	NV NV	/ NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV 23	NV	NV NV	NV NV I	NV NV	NV NV I	NV NV	NV 14	14 N	NV 14	NV NV
87 26 N 88 3.3 N	V NV N V NV N	IV NV NV	NV N 26 N	V NV V NV	NV 86	5 NV NV 0 NV NV	NV 0.33	26 NV N 0.75 NV N	V 78 V 52		V NV 4.3 V NV 0.13	NV 0.08	NV NV 0.99 NV	NV NV NV 13	NV NV	NV 13	NV NV	13 0.06	NV NV NV NV	NV N' NV N'	V NV 6.5 V NV 6.5	NV NV	NV NV	NV N\ NV N\	V NV V NV	<u>NV</u> NV 13 NV	78 NV NV NV	NV 17	NV NV 8 NV NV	NV NV NV 0.13	NV NV 0.25 NV	NV NV NV NV	NV 13 0.25 1	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV I NV NV I	NV NV NV NV		NV NV	NV 6 13 3.3	26 2 3.3 1	26 6 NV NV	NV 65 NV 0.06
89 180 N	V NV N	IV NV NV	NV N	V NV	30 60	0 NV 30	60	NV 60 N	V 15	NV NV N	V NV 15	NV	NV NV	NV 36	NV NV	NV	NV NV	15	NV NV	NV N	V NV 15	NV	NV NV	NV N\	V NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	' 15 NV	NV 15	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	' NV	NV NV	NV NV I	NV NV	NV NV I	NV NV	NV 180	180 1	80 180	NV NV
90 16 20	0 3 N	IV 21 NV	12 6.	.5 12	3 20 NV 0.2		39	NV 9 N	V 39	NV NV N	V NV 21	17 NV	15 NV	4.5 33	NV 1.5	6	NV NV	9	NV 1.5	NV N	V NV 26	NV	NV NV	NV 18	BO NV	26 NV	90 NV	NV 52	4.5 NV	NV 21	3.3 0.75	NV NV	4.3 42	0.75	NV NV	NV 21 [			NV 2.3	NV NV	26 3	3.3 20	NV NV
91 1.3 N 92 NV 12	2 NV N	IV NV NV	NV N	V 14	NV 0.3 NV 41	L NV NV	NV	NV NV N	V NV	NV NV N	V NV NV	NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV	NV NV	NV	NV 4.5	NV N	V NV 6	5	NV NV	NV 3	B NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	/ NV NV	NV 14	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV I	NV NV	NV NV ſ	NV NV	NV NV	NV I	NV NV	NV NV
93 NV 20	0 52 N	IV 160 NV	160 16	60 160	160 16	0 NV 160	160	160 160 12	20 26	52 NV N	V NV 52	52	39 NV	NV 39	52 26	NV	NV 39	52	NV 20	26 20	6 NV 39	13	13 NV	20 20	0 78	26 NV	26 26	NV 210	) 52 26	26 13	26 NV	NV 26	26 160	) 26	NV NV	52 52 1	NV NV	NV NV I	NV NV	26 NV	NV N	NV 26	NV 52
94 1.5 N 95 NV N	V 1.5 N V 1.5 N	IV NV NV	1.5 N NV N	V NV V NV	1.5 6.5 0.19 0.3	5 NV 3 88 NV NV	0.99	0.75 2 N NV 2.3 N	V 6 V 0.13	3 NV N NV NV N	V NV 1.5 V NV 3.3	4.5	6 NV 0.13 NV	NV 3 NV NV	NV NV	1.5 1.5	NV NV	3 0.99	NV 1.5 NV 1.5	NV N NV N	V NV 7.5 V NV 0.1	3 0.13	3 NV NV NV	NV NV NV 1.5	V 0.75 5 NV	1.5 NV NV NV	0.99 0.75 0.99 NV	NV 1.5	8 NV NV	NV 1.5 NV 3.3	NV NV	NV 17 NV NV	0.99 2 NV 0.13	NV 3 NV	NV NV NV NV	NV NV I	NV NV NV NV	NV NV M	NV 0.75 ( NV NV	0.75 1.5 NV NV	1.5 r NV r	NV 0.99 NV NV	NV NV NV NV
96 6 N	V 6 N	IV NV NV	26 N	V NV	3 46	5 NV NV	9	3.3 26 N	V 12	6 NV N	V NV NV	12	NV NV	NV 14	NV 6	6	NV NV	6	NV 6	NV N	V NV 6	3	NV NV	NV N\	V NV	NV NV	12 12	NV 24	NV NV	NV NV	13 NV	NV 3.3	17 18	1.5	NV NV	NV NV I	NV NV	NV NV I	NV NV	NV 3	6	NV 6	NV NV
97 9 N	V NV N	IV NV NV	NV N	V NV	3 4.5	5 NV NV	39	NV 5.9 N	V 1.5	NV NV N	V NV 3	9	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	3	NV 4.5	NV N	V NV 4.5	NV	NV NV	NV N	V NV	NV NV	1.5 NV	NV 3	NV NV	NV 3	NV NV	NV NV	NV 4.5	NV	NV NV	4.5 NV 1		NV NV I		NV 4.5	4.5 M	NV 4.5	NV NV
99 6 N	V 1.5 N	IV NV NV	4.5 N NV N	V NV	2 4	NV NV	2	NV NV N	V 1.5	2 NV N	V NV 0.75	0.99	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV	NV NV	2	NV NV	NV N	V NV 1.5	NV	NV NV	NV N	V NV	0.75 NV	6 NV	NV 1.5	5 NV NV	NV 0.99	NV NV	NV NV	NV 0.7	5 NV	NV NV	NV 0.99 I	NV NV	NV NV I	NV NV	NV NV	6	6 6	NV 0.75
100 3.8 N	V 3 N	IV NV NV	NV N	V NV	51 51	L NV NV	0.37	NV NV N	V NV	2 NV N	V NV 2	12	3 NV	NV 2	NV NV	2	NV NV	5	NV NV	NV N	V NV 0.5	NV	NV NV	NV N\	V NV	2 NV	15 NV	NV 4.5	5 NV NV	NV 2	NV NV	NV NV	NV 12	6	NV NV	NV NV I	NV NV	NV NV I	NV NV	NV 3.8	NV 1	NV 60	NV NV
101 4.5 N 102 3 N	V NV N V NV N	IV NV NV	0.99 N NV N	V NV V NV	NV 39 0.75 3	9 NV 1.5 NV NV	6 3	1.5 3 N NV NV N	V 26 V NV	NV NV N NV NV N	V NV NV V NV NV	- 3 NV	2 NV NV NV	NV 3 NV NV	NV 0.99 NV NV	1.5 NV	NV NV	0.99 NV	NV 4.5 NV NV	NV N NV N	V NV NV V NV 6	NV	NV NV NV NV	NV NV	V NV V NV	NV NV NV NV	62 NV NV	NV 3 NV 32	NV NV	NV 1.5 NV NV	4 NV NV NV	NV 2 NV NV	3 NV NV NV	/ NV / NV	NV NV NV NV	NV NV I NV NV I	NV NV NV NV	NV NV 1 NV NV 1	NV NV	NV NV NV 3	NV r 3 r	NV NV NV 3	NV NV NV NV
103 120 N	V NV N	IV NV NV	3 N'	V NV	13 N\	V NV NV	110	NV 1.5 N	V 71	NV NV N	V NV NV	31	13 NV	NV 13	NV NV	NV	17 NV	6	NV NV	NV N	V NV 52	91	1.5 NV	NV N\	V NV	3 26	110 7.5	NV 6	NV NV	NV 3	20 NV	18 NV	3 90	18	NV NV	NV NV I	NV NV	NV NV I	NV NV	NV 65	26	NV 26	NV NV
104 9 N 105 3 3 N	V 6 N V NV N	IV NV NV	2.3 N 26 N	V NV V NV	0.06 34	1 NV NV 0 NV NV	0.08	NV 3 N	V NV V 0.38	18 NV N NV NV N	V NV NV V NV NV	NV 0.08	NV NV 0.08 NV	NV NV NV 13	NV NV	0.75	0.99 NV NV NV	18	NV 1.5 NV NV		V NV 1.5 V NV 65	NV NV	NV NV		V NV	NV NV 13 NV	160 13 NV NV	NV 0.99	9 NV NV 8 NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	0.99 52 NV 1	1.5 NV	NV NV 0.13 NV	NV NV I	NV NV		NV NV	NV 6	9 I 33 I	NV 6 NV NV	NV NV
106 4.5 N	V NV N	IV NV NV	4.5 N	V NV	0.75 36	5 NV NV	6	NV 1.5 N	V 36	9 NV N	V NV 14	18	18 NV	NV NV	NV 3	3	NV NV	9	NV NV	NV N	V NV 27	NV	NV NV	NV N\	V NV	3 NV	0.99 NV	NV 14	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	12 72	NV	NV NV	NV NV I	NV NV	NV NV I	NV NV	NV 14	14 N	NV 14	NV NV
107 NV N	V NV N	IV NV NV	NV N	V NV	6 39		7	NV NV N	V 38	12 NV N	V NV 0.75	NV 1 E	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV 2	NV NV	23	NV NV	NV N	V NV 6	NV (	0.75 NV		V NV	NV NV	23 NV	NV 3	NV NV	NV 0.75	NV NV	NV NV	0.75 4.5	6 NV	NV NV					NV NV	NV N	NV NV	NV NV
108 36 N 109 1.5 N	V NV N	IV NV NV	4.5 N 1.5 N	V NV	0.13 4.5	5 NV NV	0.13	NV 4.5 N NV 1.5 N	V NV	NV NV N	V NV NV	NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	1.5	NV NV	NV	NV 1.5	NV N	V NV 1.5	NV	NV NV	NV NV	V NV	1.5 NV	NV NV	NV NV	/ NV NV	NV 4.5 NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV 24 NV NV	' NV	NV NV	NV NV I	NV NV	NV 0.99 1	L.5 1.5	1.5 1.5	1.5	1.5 1.5	NV NV
110 6.5 N	V NV N	IV NV NV	NV N	V NV	NV 0.6	6 NV NV	0.17	NV NV N	V 0.08	NV NV N	V NV NV	NV	NV NV	NV 1.5	NV NV	NV	NV NV	NV	NV NV	NV N	V NV NV	NV	NV NV	NV N\	V NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	/ NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV 0.5	NV	NV NV	NV NV I	NV NV	NV NV I	NV NV	NV NV	6.5 N	NV 0.13	NV NV
111 100 N 112 45 N	V NV N V 1.5 N	IV NV NV	24 N NV N	V NV V NV	100 39 NV 45	9 NV NV 5 NV NV	130 3	NV 3 N NV NV N	V /1 V 15	NV NV N NV NV N	V NV NV V NV NV	3 NV	3 NV NV NV	NV 3 NV 3	NV NV	NV NV	17 NV NV NV	6 3	NV NV NV NV	NV N NV N	V NV 20 V NV 15	3 NV	1.5 NV NV NV	NV N\ NV N\	V NV V NV	3 26 NV NV	45 7.5 NV NV	NV 6 NV 6	NV NV	NV NV NV NV	20 NV NV NV	18 NV NV NV	3 23 NV 6	NV	NV NV NV NV	NV NV I NV NV I	NV NV NV NV	NV NV M		NV 100 NV NV	26 r 3 r	NV 26 NV NV	NV NV NV NV
113 18 N	V 3 N	IV NV NV	6 N	V NV	36 20	D NV NV	90	NV 1.5 N	V 90	12 NV N	V NV 3	60	30 NV	NV 6	NV NV	NV	NV NV	12	NV 27	NV 60	0 NV 30	60	NV NV	NV 9	) NV	12 NV	60 0.75	5 NV NV	V NV NV	0.75 3	NV NV	NV NV	60 60	9	NV NV	NV NV I	NV NV	NV NV I	NV NV	NV 18	18	18 NV	NV 12
114 21 N	V 1.5 N	IV NV NV	1.5 N'	V NV	21 52	2 NV 3 7 NV NV	9	1.5 6 N	V 66		V NV NV	3 NV	4.5 NV	NV 1.5	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	27	NV 3		V NV 32	NV 5 NV	9 NV	NV 3	B NV	NV NV	9 NV	NV 24	NV NV	NV 23	3 NV	NV NV	1.5 9		NV NV	NV NV I		NV NV I	NV 1.5	NV 6	9 1 0.75 M	1.5  27	NV 4.5
116 0.99 N	V NV N	IV NV NV	NV N	V NV	NV 36	5 NV NV	NV	NV NV N	V 5.9	NV NV N	V NV 0.99	NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV	NV NV	NV	NV NV	NV N	V NV 24	NV	NV NV	NV N	V NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV 9	NV NV	NV 0.99	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	/ NV	NV NV	NV NV I	NV NV	NV NV I	NV NV	NV NV	0.99	NV NV	NV NV
117 18 N	V NV N	IV NV NV	NV N	V NV	18 36	0 NV NV	54	NV NV N	V 6	6 NV N	V NV NV	NV	NV NV	NV 9	NV 9	NV	NV NV	NV	NV NV	NV N	V NV 30	NV	NV NV	NV N	V NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV 24	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV 12	NV	NV NV	NV NV I	NV NV	NV 2 [	NV 15	NV 220	27	45 15	NV 18
118 6 N 119 20 N	V 0.99 N V NV N	IV NV NV	0.25 N NV N	V NV V NV	NV 0.7 7.7 11	L NV NV	4.3	NV 0.06 N NV NV N	V 1.5 V 13	1.5 NV N NV NV N	V NV 1.5 V NV 4.3	0.13	6.5 NV NV NV	NV 1.5 NV 6	NV 0.99 NV NV	1.5 NV	3 NV NV NV	0.99	NV NV NV NV	NV N NV N	V NV 1.5 V NV 8	NV NV	NV NV NV NV	NV NV	V NV V NV	3 NV NV NV	6.5 NV NV NV	NV 0.99	9 NV NV 5 1.5 NV	NV 1.5 NV 17	NV NV NV NV	NV NV NV NV	0.75 1.5 NV NV	NV NV	NV NV NV NV	NV NV I NV NV I	NV NV NV NV	NV NV I	NV 0.75 NV NV	NV 3 NV NV	13 I	NV 13	NV 1.5 NV 4.5
120 1.5 N	V NV N	IV NV NV	NV N	V NV	NV 3	NV NV	NV	NV NV N	V NV	NV NV N	V NV NV	NV	NV NV	NV 0.99	NV NV	0.99	NV NV	NV	NV NV	NV N	V NV 1.5	NV	NV NV	NV N\	V NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	' NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV 1.5	5 NV	NV NV	NV NV I	NV NV	NV NV I	NV NV	NV NV	NV I	NV 1.5	NV NV
121 30 N 122 20 N	V NV N V 0.25 N	IV NV NV	NV N 0.13 N	V NV	15 26	5 NV NV	15	NV NV N	V 6 V 39		V NV 4.3 V NV 13	NV 6.5	NV NV	NV 9	NV NV	NV 0.38	NV NV	NV	NV NV	NV N	V NV 7.5	NV NV	NV NV		V NV	NV NV	4.5 NV	NV NV	/ 1.5 NV	NV 4.3	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV 4.3 I	NV NV			NV 3 NV NV		NV 30	NV 3 NV NV
123 3 N	V NV N	IV NV NV	NV N	V NV	0.06 6.5	5 NV NV	NV	NV NV N	V 6.5	NV NV N	V NV 6.5	NV	NV NV	NV 18	NV NV	NV	NV NV	NV	NV NV	NV N	V NV 0.1	3 NV	NV NV	NV N\	V NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV 6.5	5 NV NV	NV 6.5	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	/ NV	NV NV	NV NV I	NV NV	NV NV I	NV NV	NV NV	NV 1	NV 27	NV NV
124 36 N	V NV N	IV NV NV	9 N'	V 9	15 18 NV 1	0 NV NV	15 NV	NV 36 N	V 30	NV NV N	V NV 6	NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV 1	NV NV	NV N	V NV 6	NV NV	NV NV	NV N		NV NV	12 NV	NV NV	/ NV NV	NV 6	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	/ NV	NV NV	NV NV I		NV NV I		NV NV			NV NV
125 0.99 N	V NV N	IV NV NV	0.33 N	V NV	NV 0.3	3 NV NV	NV	NV NV N	V 0.25	NV NV N	V NV 0.25	0.06	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV	NV NV	NV	NV NV	NV N	V NV NV	NV	NV NV	NV N	V NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	/ NV NV	NV 0.25	NV NV	NV NV	1.5 0.0	6 NV	NV NV	NV NV I	NV NV	NV NV I	NV NV	NV NV	NV N	NV NV	NV NV
127 27 N	V NV N	IV NV NV	NV N	V NV	14 20	) NV NV	13	NV 4.5 N	V 18	NV NV N	V NV 36	NV	NV NV	NV 9	NV NV	NV	NV NV	4.5	NV NV	NV N	V NV 0.3	B NV	NV NV	NV N	V NV	3 NV	3 NV	NV 6	NV NV	NV 72	NV NV	NV NV	NV 12	NV	NV NV	NV NV I	NV NV	NV NV I	NV NV	NV 6	6	NV 4.5	NV NV
128 NV N 129 2 N	V NV N V NV N	IV NV NV	NV N NV N	V NV V NV	NV 18 NV 39	3 NV NV 9 NV NV	NV 2.3	NV NV N	V NV V NV	NV NV N 3 NV N	V NV NV V NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV 0.13 NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV 3	NV NV NV NV	NV N' NV N'	V NV 0.13 V NV NV	3 NV / NV	NV NV	NV N\ NV N\	V NV V NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV 4.3 NV NV	3 NV NV / NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV NV NV	8.6 NV NV 4.5	NV NV	NV NV NV NV	NV NV I NV NV I	NV NV NV NV			NV NV	NV 1	NV 0.08 NV NV	NV NV NV NV
130 20 N	V NV N	IV NV NV	NV N	V NV	13 52	2 NV NV	20	NV NV N	V NV	NV NV N	V NV 13	NV	NV NV	NV 39	NV NV	NV	NV NV	20	NV NV	NV N	V NV 20	NV	NV NV	NV N\	V NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV 78	NV NV	NV 13	NV NV	NV NV	NV 39	NV	NV NV	NV NV I	NV NV	NV NV I	NV NV	NV NV	20	NV NV	NV NV
131 NV N	V NV N	IV NV NV	NV N	V NV	NV 51	L NV NV	NV NV	NV NV N	V 4.5	NV NV N	V NV NV	NV	NV NV	NV 11	NV NV	NV	NV NV	NV 2			V NV 4.5	NV	NV NV		V NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV 4.5	5 NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	/ NV	NV NV	NV NV I		NV NV I		NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV
132 1.5 N	V 18 N	IV NV NV	4.8 N	V NV	NV 1.5	5 NV NV	1.5	NV NV N	V 6	1 NV N	V NV 1.5	2	NV NV	NV 14	NV 4.5	6	NV NV	1	NV 4	NV N	V NV 1	NV	NV NV	NV N	V NV	54 NV	0.74 0.88	3 NV 6	NV NV	NV 6	1 NV	NV NV	3.4 3	6	NV NV	NV NV I	NV NV	NV NV I	VV 18	6 18	6 I	NV NV	NV 18
134 1.5 N	V NV N	IV NV NV	3 N	V NV	6 14	0 NV NV	6	NV 3 N	V 45	NV NV N	V NV NV	6	NV NV	NV 45	NV NV	NV	NV NV	NV	NV NV	NV N	V NV 60	NV	NV NV	NV N	V NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV 30	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	/ NV	NV NV	NV NV I	NV NV	NV NV I	NV NV	NV 1.5	1.5 1	1.5 1.5	NV NV
135 0.75 N 136 13 N	V NV N	IV NV NV	NV N NV N	V NV V NV	0.75 N	V NV NV	9.8 3	NV NV N	V 8.6 V NV	NV NV N	V NV 0.5	0.75 NV	NV NV	NV 0.99 NV NV	NV NV	NV	NV NV	0.75 NV	NV NV NV NV	13 N'	V NV 2 V NV 1	NV	NV NV	NV N	V NV	NV NV	0.5 NV	NV NV	/ NV NV	NV 8.6	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	/ NV	NV NV	NV NV I	NV NV	NV NV I		NV 0.75 NV 13	0.13	NV 0.75 NV NV	NV 0.75 NV NV
137 1.5 N	V NV N	IV NV NV	NV N	V NV	0.5 0.6	3 NV NV	0.25	NV NV N	V 15	12 NV N	V NV 0.63	0.5	NV NV	NV 2	NV NV	NV	NV NV	12	NV 0.25	NV N	V NV 0.3	B NV	NV NV	NV 1.5	5 NV	18 NV	12 NV	NV NV	/ NV NV	NV 3.8	NV NV	NV NV	NV 2.3	NV	NV NV	NV NV I	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV I	NV 11	NV NV
138 20 N 139 4 5 N	V NV N V NV N	IV NV NV	52 N' NV N'	V NV V NV	NV 10 NV 1	U NV NV 5 NV NV	NV NV	NV 13 N NV 45 N	V 26 V 4	13 NV N NV NV N	V NV 20 V NV 099	13	13 NV 6 NV	NV 0.88 NV 15	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	13	NV NV NV N99	NV N NV N	V NV 72	NV NV	NV NV	NV 13	SU NV	NV NV	52 NV	NV 40	NV NV	NV 20 NV 0.99	NV NV	NV NV	NV 26 NV 1	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV I	NV NV			NV 13 NV 45	13 N 4.5 M	NV NV NV 15	NV NV
140 NV N	V NV N	IV NV NV	NV N	V NV	NV 0.1	.3 NV NV	0.13	NV NV N	V 13	NV NV N	V NV 1.5	0.25	NV NV	NV 0.13	NV NV	NV	NV NV	NV	NV 0.13	NV N	V NV 0.1	3 NV	NV NV	NV N\	V NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV 0.99	9 NV NV	NV 1.5	NV NV	NV NV	NV 0.9	9 NV	NV NV	NV NV I	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV 1	NV NV	NV NV
141 NV N		IV NV NV		V NV	NV 20	0 NV NV	NV 1	NV NV N				NV	NV NV	NV 2.5	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	3	NV 13	NV N		NV NV	NV NV			NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	V NV NV	NV 3	NV NV				NV NV	NV 18 [		7.5 NV [		NV 6.5	6.5 N		
143 230 N	V NV N	IV NV NV	0.5 N	V NV	NV 120	00 NV NV	NV	NV NV N	V NV	NV NV N	V NV 90	NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV	NV NV	NV	NV NV	NV N	V NV NV	NV	NV NV	NV N	V NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	/ NV NV	NV 270	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	/ NV	NV NV	NV NV I	NV NV	NV NV I	NV NV	NV 26	4.3 3	860 NV	NV NV
144 13 N	V 6 N	IV NV NV	NV N	V NV	2.3 83	3 NV NV	18	NV NV N	V 12	9 NV N	V NV 9	NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV 0.75	NV	NV NV	2	NV NV	NV N	V NV 3	NV	NV NV	NV N\	V NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	/ NV NV	NV 9	0.75 NV	NV NV	0.75 NV	0.99	NV NV	NV NV I	NV NV		NV NV	NV 3	3 1	NV 14	NV NV
145 NV N 146 3 N	v NV N V 0.75 N	IV NV NV	0.06 N NV N	v 0.08 V NV	U.U8 11 NV N\	L NV NV	0.08	0.08 0.06 N NV NV N	v 4.3 V NV	4.3 NV N NV NV N	V NV 4.3 V NV NV	4.3 NV	4.3 NV NV NV	NV 0.08 NV NV	NV 4.3 NV NV	4.3 NV	NV NV	4.3	NV NV NV NV	NV N NV N	V NV NV V NV NV	NV NV	NV NV NV NV	NV N\	V NV V NV	4.3 NV NV NV	NV NV 6 NV	NV NV	NV NV NV NV	NV 4.3 NV 0.99	NV NV	NV NV	4.3 4.3 NV 3	NV NV	NV NV NV NV	NV NV I NV NV I	NV NV	NV NV M	NV 4.3 NV NV	4.3 4.3 NV 3	4.3 M 2 M	NV 4.3 NV 3	NV NV
147 NV N	V NV N	IV NV NV	NV N	V NV	NV 6.5	5 NV NV	20	NV NV N	V 13	NV NV N	V NV 13	NV	NV NV	NV 20	NV NV	NV	NV NV	13	NV 6.5	NV N	V NV 13	NV	NV NV	NV N\	V NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	/ NV NV	NV 20	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	' NV	NV NV	NV NV I	NV NV	NV NV I	NV NV	NV NV	NV ſ	NV NV	NV NV
148 6.5 N	V   6.5   N	IV NV NV	3 N	V NV	3 26	5 NV NV	26	NV NV N	V 26	6.5 NV N	V NV 26	26	NV NV	NV 1	NV 1.5	1.5	NV NV	6.5	NV NV	NV 6.	5 NV 26	NV	NV NV	NV N\	V NV	1.5 NV	26 26	NV NV	/ NV NV	NV 26	13 NV	NV 13	13 13	NV	NV NV	NV NV I	NV NV	NV NV I	NV NV	NV 6.5	NV	NV 6.5	NV NV

								er)							llbird																							<u> </u>	s							$\overline{}$		
ırveyid	ceapples	bear weat elin Meat	elin Pitsik aribou	bou Fish oou Heart	ou Kidney	ou Liver Du Marrow	oou Meat oou Nikku	ns(Brain or othe	bou Ribs ou Stomach	ou Tongue	Char	ar Meat Clams	od fish	er Meat	//Pied birds/She	er Duck Fish	ish Meat	3oose ea Urchins	olphin Blubber	ador Tea	Loon	Nikku	llik meat	icked Berries Sivak(Char/	Wild Plants Irtridge	//Guillemot	iuillemot Eggs Pitsik	Bear Meat pine Meat	oise Liver oise Meat	ubarb	ock Cod Cod Liver	Cod Pitsik 10n Meat	callop pin Liver	pin Meat gull Eggs	l Blubber eal Fat	l flipper l Kidney	al Liver	al Meat	ock cod liver) /hitcap/Plumber	pawns Tern	rn Eggs Trout	ut Pitsik t/Caribou	kaujak e Blubber	ale Meat	lackBerries	3lueber ies taspberries	Red Berries	d Turnip rinkles
- S	Bal	Cap	COC	Cari	Carib	Caribo	Caril	Caribou Orga	Cari	Carib		5	Cups		Duck/Turre/Tea	Eid	Flatt	( Itiks/S	Jumper/D	Labr	2	2	OK	Other P Other	Other	Pigeor	Pigeon/G	Polar	Porp		Rock	Rock Saln	Scu	Scul	Sea	Sea		Se	Sivak(R« Snowbird/M	S	Te	Trou	Mha Wha	4 M	Wild E	Wild F	Wild F	
149	23 1			NV 24	NV 2	4 NV	24 NI	/ 24 2	24 NV	24	NV N					26 N	VNV	24 NV	NV N	V NV			NV		NV 24	NV N	NV NV	NV 30	NV NV	/ NV	23 NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV N			NV	NV NV	NV NV							23	NV NV
150	NV N	IV 2	NV 9.9 N		/ NV N	V 9	90 N	V NV F	68 NV	<u>-</u> / 6	NV 6	6 N\		V NV 2.3	3 4		V NV	7.9 NV	NV -		NV 7.	5 NV	16		NV 7.9	6 N	NV NV	NV NV	NV 3	NV	18 16	NV NV	NV NV	NV 2.3		V NV NV	16	15	2 NV	NV NV							NV	NV 5
151	NV N		NV NV N		/ NV N	V NV	45 N			' NV	NV N				V NV	NV N	VNV	NV NV	NV N		NV N	V NV	NV N		NV NV	NV N	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	/ NV	8.9 NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV N	/ NV NV	NV	NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV N				NV 6	.5 NV	NV	
152	9 N	IV NV	NV NV N	NV NV	/ NV N	V 6	26 N\	V NV	9 NV	' NV	NV 1	5 26	5 NV N	V NV 39	9 NV	NV N	V NV	NV NV	NV N	V NV	NV 26	6 NV	NV N	NV NV	NV NV	NV N	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	/ NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV 39	NV N	/ NV NV	NV	6	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV N			V NV	9 (	9 NV	6	NV 6
153	14 N	IV 4.5	NV NV M	NV 41	NV N	V 0.25	41 N\	V NV 0.	.75 NV	27	NV 0	.5 N\	/ NV N	V NV 20	0.75	20 N	V NV	59 NV	3 3	NV	NV 4.	.5 NV	0.5	VV 3	NV 20	NV N	NV NV	NV 0.5	NV 3	NV	6 NV	NV 0.5	NV NV	NV 20	6 N	' NV NV	0.5	0.75	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV N	IV NV N	V NV 6	NV	NV N	IV NV	NV	NV NV
154	2.3 0.	99 2.3	NV NV M	NV NV	/ NV N	V 0.75	0.99 N\	V NV 0.	.99 NV	0.75	NV 1	4 NV	/ NV N	V NV 14	4 14	3 N'	V NV (	.99 NV	NV N	V NV	NV 0.7	75 NV	2.3	NV NV	NV 0.75	2 N	NV NV I	NV NV	NV NV	/ NV	2 NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV 14	NV N	NV NV	0.75	2 ′	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV N			V NV	2.3 N	IV NV	2.3	NV NV
155	1.5 N	IV 4.5	NV NV M	NV 27	NV N	V 9	0.5 N\	V 9	3 NV	6	NV 3	30 3	NV N	V NV N	V 1.5	1.5 N	V NV	1.5 NV	NV 6	5 NV	NV 12	2 NV	NV I	NV NV	NV 3	NV N	NV NV	NV NV	NV 6	NV	12 NV	NV 12	NV NV	NV 20	NV N	' NV NV	6	9 .	1.5 NV	NV NV	NV NV N	IV NV N	/ NV N\	V 3	1.5 1	.5 NV	3	NV 12
156	7.1 N	IV 20	NV NV M	NV NV	′ NV 0.2	25 0.41	13 N\	V NV 0.	.25 NV	6.5	NV 1	.3 N\	/ NV N	V NV 0.7	74 0.75	0.13 N	V NV	NV NV	NV N	V NV	NV 0.	.5 NV	NV I	NV 13	NV NV	NV N	NV NV	NV NV	NV 20	) NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV N	' NV NV	NV	1.9 ′	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV N	IV NV N	/ NV N\	V NV	NV 0.	13 NV	98 '	NV 0.38
157	4.5 N	IV 1.5	NV NV M	NV 9	NV N	V 7.5	0.5 9	NV	9 NV	21	6.5 6	.5 4.5	5 NV N	V NV 6.5	5 15	15 N	V NV	7.5 NV	NV 1.	5 NV	NV 4.	.5 NV	3 1	NV 7.5	NV 15	NV N	NV NV	NV 1.5	NV 3	NV	0.38 27	NV 6.5	NV NV	NV 13	27 N	′ NV 9	27	9 /	4.5 NV	NV NV	6.5 NV N	IV NV N	/ NV N\	V NV	3	3 NV	9 ′	NV 3
158	9 N	IV 3	NV NV M	NV 6	NV N	V 4.5	23 N\	/ NV 1	12 NV	6	NV N	IV NV	/ NV N	V NV 1.5	56	NV N	V NV	NV NV	2 1.	5 NV	NV 14	4 NV	NV I	NV NV	NV 36	NV N	NV NV I	NV NV	NV 3	NV	9 NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV 1.5	NV N	' NV NV	3	6	3 NV	NV NV	NV NV N	IV NV N	/ NV N\	V NV	NV	Э NV	9 ′	NV NV
159	NV N	IV 3.5	NV NV M	NV NV	/ NV N	V 1.5	9 N\	V NV	2 NV	' NV	NV N	IV 11	l NV N	V NV 3.5	5 NV	0.75 N	V NV	NV NV	NV 2	NV	NV 11	1 NV	0.75	9 NV	NV 3	NV N	NV NV I	NV 0.75	NV NV	/ NV	47 NV	NV 3	NV NV	NV 8.7	NV N	' NV NV	NV	7 ′	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV N	IV NV N	/ 1.5 N\	V NV	NV N	IV NV	9 ′	NV NV
160	NV N	IV NV	NV NV M	NV NV	/ NV N	V NV	0.13 N\	V NV	9 NV	' NV	NV 0.	25 N\	/ NV N	V NV N\	V NV	NV N	V NV	NV NV	NV N	V NV	NV N	V NV	NV I	NV NV	NV NV	NV N	NV NV I	NV NV	NV NV	/ NV	0.5 NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV N	' NV NV	1.5	NV /	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV N	IV NV N	/ NV N\	V NV	NV N	IV NV	NV /	NV NV
161	23 N	IV 350	NV NV M	NV NV	/ NV N	V 5.3	3 N\	V 9 1	1.5 NV	12	NV 1	.5 4.5	5 NV N	V NV N\	V 180	180 N	V 4.5	180 NV	NV 9	) NV	NV 43	30 NV	18	NV 1.5	NV 140	14 6	6.5 NV	NV 9	NV 9	NV	0.25 NV	NV 54	6 NV	NV NV	6 N'	' NV NV	NV	9 ′	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV N	IV NV N	/ NV N\	V 9	24 1	.8 0.99	) 59 /	NV NV
162	16 N	IV NV	NV NV M	NV 0.06	6 NV N'	V NV	9.8 N\	V NV 3	3.3 NV	' NV	NV 6	.5 N\	/ NV N	V NV 3.3	3 0.75	NV N	V NV	NV NV	NV N	V NV	NV 0.0	06 NV	NV I	NV NV	NV 3.3	NV N	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	/ NV	6.5 6.5	NV NV	NV NV	NV 3.3	NV N	' NV 0.06	0.06	0.06 0	).75 NV	NV NV	NV NV N	IV NV N	/ NV N\	V NV	16 1	.6 NV	6.5 /	NV NV
163	3 N	IV 3	NV NV M	NV NV	NV N	V 1.5	160 N\	/ NV 1	1.3 NV	' NV	NV 3	80 NN	/ NV N	V NV 9.8	8 NV	1.5 N	V NV	12 NV	NV N	V NV	NV 6	5 NV	NV I	NV NV	NV 12	NV N	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	/ NV	48 NV	NV 12	NV NV	NV 9.8	NV N	' NV NV	NV	18 ′	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV N	IV NV N	/ NV N\	V NV	NV ?	3 NV	110 /	NV 12
164	NV N	IV NV	NV NV M	NV 4.5	5 NV N	V 0.75	78 N\	V NV 4	1.5 NV	' NV	NV 4	1 18	3 NV N	V NV 1.5	59	14 N	V NV	NV NV	NV N	V NV	NV 3	3 NV	NV I	NV NV	NV NV	NV N	NV NV	NV NV	NV 4.5	5 NV	6 NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	0.75 N	' NV NV	NV	6 ′	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV N	IV NV N	/ NV N\	V NV	NV N	IV NV	NV /	NV 6
165	NV N	IV NV	NV NV M	NV 9	NV N	V NV	78 N\	V NV 4	4.5 NV	' NV	NV 4	1 18	3 NV N	V NV 1.5	5 14	14 N	V NV	NV NV	NV N	V NV	NV 3	3 NV	NV I	NV NV	NV NV	NV N	NV NV	NV NV	NV 4.5	5 NV	9 NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	0.75 N	NV NV	NV	6 /	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV N	IV NV N	/ NV N\	V NV	NV N	IV NV	NV /	NV 6
166	65 N	IV 1.5	NV NV M	NV NV	' NV N	V NV	0.17 N\	V NV 0.	.17 0.17	7 NV	NV 3	39 20	) NV N	V NV N\	V NV	NV N	V NV	NV NV	NV N	V NV	NV 6.	.5 NV	NV I	NV NV	NV 36	4.5 N	NV NV	NV NV	NV 3	NV	26 NV	NV 13	NV NV	NV 0.99	52 N	NV NV	NV	33 /	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV N	IV NV N	/ NV N\	V NV	6.5 2	.6 NV	NV /	NV 6.5
167	13 N	IV NV	NV NV M	NV 39	NV N	V NV	180 N\	V NV 2	20 NV	' 13	NV 3	<b>6.5</b>	5 NV N	V NV 13	3 NV	NV N	V NV	NV NV	NV N	V NV	NV 13	3 NV	NV I	NV NV	NV 59	NV N	NV NV	NV 20	NV NV	/ NV	3 NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV 26	NV N	' NV NV	20	78 [	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV N	IV NV N	/ NV N\	V NV	NV 3	.3 NV	1.5 /	NV NV
168	59 N	IV 20	NV NV M	NV 59	NV N	V NV	20 N\	V NV 7	78 NV	20	NV 2	20 52	2 NV N	V NV 13	3 13	13 N	V NV	20 20	26 2	0 13	NV 78	8 NV	NV I	NV NV	NV 20	NV 1	13 NV	NV NV	NV NV	/ NV	100 NV	NV 13	NV NV	NV 13	52 N	' NV NV	NV	78	78 NV	NV NV	NV NV N	IV NV N	/ NV N\	V NV	78 5	,9 NV	39′	NV NV
169	16 N	IV 12	NV NV M	NV NV	/ NV N	V 54	78 N\	V NV 4	41 NV	′ <u>12</u>	NV 3	80 78	3 NV N	V NV N\	V 36	36 N	V NV	NV NV	NV N	V 0.75	NV 90	0 NV	NV I	NV 3	NV 48	24 4	4 NV	NV NV	NV 36	5 NV	60 6	NV NV	36 NV	NV 0.99	NV N	' NV NV	NV	6	3 NV	NV NV	NV NV N	IV NV N	/ NV 12	2 NV	12 1	.2 6	12/	NV 36
170	14 N	IV NV	NV NV M	NV 1.5	5 NV N	V NV	52 N\	V NV 6	5.5 NV	' 1.5	NV 2	26 0.0	6 NV N	V NV 4.3	3 13	NV N	V NV	6.5 NV	NV N	V 0.75	NV 0.0	08 NV	NV I	NV NV	NV 13	NV N	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	/ NV	NV NV	NV 1	NV NV	NV 4.3	NV N	' NV NV	NV	0.08	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV N	IV NV N	/ NV N\	V NV	NV 0.4	99 NV		NV 5.9
171	23 N	IV NV	NV NV M	NV 36	NV N	V 27	180 N\	V NV N	NV NV	' 12	NV 4	I5 N∖	/ NV N	V NV 23	3 36	4.5 N	V NV	72 NV	NV N	V NV	14 4.	.5 NV	NV I	NV NV	NV 90	NV N	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	/ NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV N	' NV NV	4.5	360 /	4.5 NV	NV NV	NV NV N	IV NV N	/ NV N\	V NV	NV N	V NV	<u> </u>	NV NV
172	NV N	IV NV	NV NV N	NV 6.5	5 NV N	V 1.5	6.5 N\	V NV	6 NV	3.3	NV N	IV NV	/ NV N	V NV 3	1.5	NV N	V NV	3 NV	NV N	V NV	NV N	V NV	1.5 N	NV NV	NV NV	NV N	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	/ NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV 2	NV N	' NV NV	NV	<u></u> /	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV N	IV NV N	/ NV N\	V NV	NV N	V NV	<u> </u>	NV NV
173	0.99 N	IV 0.99	NV NV N	NV 0.08	8 NV N'	V NV	26 N\	V NV N	NV NV	0.08	NV 0.	99 4.3	3 NV N	V NV 0.9	99 0.99	NV N	V NV (	.99 4.3	0.99 0.9	99 NV	NV 4.	.3 NV	NV I	NV NV	NV 0.99	NV N	NV NV	NV NV	NV 0.99	9 NV	5.9 0.99	NV 0.99	NV NV	NV 0.99	0.99 N	' NV 0.08	0.08	0.08 0	).99 NV	NV NV	NV NV N	IV NV N	/ NV 0.9	99 0.99	0.99 0.9	99 NV	0.99	NV 0.99
174	NV N	IV NV	NV NV N	NV NV	' NV N	V NV	1.5 N\	V NV	3 NV	4.5	NV 3	3 N\	/ NV N	V NV 3	NV	NV N	V NV	NV NV	NV N	V NV	NV N	V NV	NV I	NV NV	NV NV	NV N	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	/ NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV 3	NV N	' NV NV	NV	36 /	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV N	IV NV N	/ NV N\	V NV	NV N	V NV	<u> </u>	NV NV
175	NV N	IV NV	NV NV N	NV NV	/ NV N	V NV	1 N\	V NV N	NV NV	' NV	NV N	IV NV	/ NV N	V NV N\	V NV	NV N	V NV	NV NV	NV N	V NV	NV N	V NV	NV I	NV NV	NV NV	NV N	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	/ NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV N	' NV NV	NV	<u></u> /	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV N	IV NV N	/ NV N\	V NV	NV N	V NV	<u> </u>	NV NV
176	48 N	IV 6	NV NV N	NV 27	NV N	V 24	59 N\	V 0.75 2	24 0.99	94	NV 3	86 N\	/ NV N	V NV 4.5	5 24	18 N	V NV	18 NV	NV N	V NV	NV N	V NV	6 1	NV NV	NV 30	NV 1	1.5 NV	NV 12	NV 1.5	5 NV	NV NV	NV 9	NV NV	NV 4.5	NV N	' NV 3	3	3 [	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV N	IV NV N	/ NV N\	V 1.5	NV N	V NV	NV	NV NV
177	3 N	IV NV	NV NV M	NV 15	NV N	V 26	33 N\	V NV 2	26 NV	7.5	NV 7.	.5 5	NV N	V NV 15	5 NV	7.5 N	V NV	33 NV	7.5 7.	5 NV	NV 5	5 NV	7.5	NV NV	NV 7.5	51 N	NV NV	NV NV	NV 7.5	5 NV	7.5 NV	NV 3.8	NV NV	NV 15	3.8 N	' NV NV	7.5	7.5 [	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV N	IV NV N	/ NV 7.5	5 NV	3 ?	3 NV	3 ′	NV 5
178	NV N	IV NV	NV NV M	NV NV	' NV N'	V NV	18 N\	V NV N	NV NV	' NV	NV S	9 1.5	5 NV N	V NV N	V NV	NV N	V NV	NV NV	NV N	V NV	NV N	V NV	NV I	NV NV	NV 1.5	NV N	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	/ NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV N	NV NV	NV	<u>4.5</u>	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV N	IV NV N	/ NV N\	V NV	NV N	V NV	<u> </u>	NV 4.5
179	NV N	IV NV	NV NV N	NV 0.38	8 NV N	V 0.13	20 N\	V NV 3	39 3	0.13	NV N	IV N\	/ NV N	V NV N\	V NV	NV N	V NV (	0.38 NV	23 2	3 NV	NV N	V NV	9 1	NV NV	NV 59	NV N	NV NV	NV 9	NV 18	3 NV	NV NV	NV 23	NV NV	NV NV	NV N	NV NV	NV	<u>NV</u>	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV N	IV NV 4.	5 4.5 N\	V NV	14 N	V NV	14 /	NV NV
180	11 N	IV NV	NV NV N	NV NV	V NV N	V NV	6 N\	V NV N	NV NV	NV	NV 1	.2 N\	/ NV N	V NV N	V 6	6 N	V NV	1.5 NV	NV N	V NV	NV N	V NV	NV ľ	NV NV	NV 12	NV N	NV NV	NV 6	NV 3	NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV N	V NV NV	NV	<u> </u>	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV N	IV NV N	V NV NV	V NV	NV N	V NV		NV NV
181	20 N	IV NV	NV NV N	NV NV	V NV N	V NV	59 N\	V NV N	NV NV	NV	NV N	IV NV	/ NV N	V NV N	V NV	NV N	V NV	NV NV	NV N	V NV	NV N	V NV	24 N	NV NV	NV NV	NV N	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	/ NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV 6.5	NV N	V NV NV	NV	<u> </u>	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV N	IV NV N	V NV NV	V NV	20 2	<u>0 NV</u>		NV NV
182	15 N	IV NV	NV NV M	NV NV	V NV N	V 11	27 N			NV 10	NV 1	2 NV	/ NV N	V NV N	V 12	NV N	V NV	NV NV	NV 5.	5 NV	NV 3	3 NV	0.99	NV NV	NV 12	NV N	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	/ NV	12 NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV N	V NV NV	NV	<u>6</u>	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV P	IV NV N		V NV		V NV		NV NV
183		IV 0.75	NV NV P	NV 18		8 3	0.99 N		3 NV		NV 0.1	13 0.7	5 NV N	V NV 0.9	9 0.25	0.25 N	V NV (	0.75 NV	NV N	V NV	0.75 0.7	75 NV	0.99		NV 0.75	0.25 N	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	/ NV	1.5 1.5	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV N	V NV NV	0.08	NV 0	).75 NV	NV NV		IV NV N		V NV			2.3	NV NV
184	3 1	IV 1.5	NV NV P	NV NV		V 30	140 N\		20 NV		NV 1	.8 NV		V NV 4.5	5 24	NV N	V NV	11 NV	NV N	V NV	NV 1.	.5 NV		NV 1.5	NV 72	1.5 N	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	/ NV	NV NV	NV 6	NV NV	NV 23	NV N		NV	<u>6</u> ľ	NV NV	NV NV	1.5 NV M	IV NV N	V NV 0.9	0.99		3 NV		NV 1.5
185	0.41 M		NV NV P	NV NV		V NV	3.5 N		L./ NV	NV NV	NV 1	.6 0.1	.3 NV N	V NV 0.3	38 NV		V NV (	0.29 NV	NV N	V NV	NV 0.2	25 NV			NV 0.56			NV NV	NV 0.25	5 NV	1.6 NV	NV 0.33	NV NV	NV 0.56			NV		NV NV				.3 NV NV		0.25 N	V 0.17		NV 1.3
186					3 NV N		13 IN			0.25					V 0.25																39 39						IN V	<u>13</u>										
100	2.3 N					<u>v 1.5</u>																									2.3 INV			100 17											1.5 1.			
100						V 5			JZ 7.5						5 00	20 IN								11 1.5	NV 30							NV 7.5			9.8 9. NIV NIV		3Z											
100						V 0.66				1NV / 1		40 12 22 NI			5 90 5 90															NV 8 NIV													V INV 90 7 NIV NIV					
101	12 N			NV 120		V 120			20 NIV	110 <sup>1</sup>					5 0.0 7 110	27 N			72 7	2 1117			110		NV 197		27 NIV	NV 110	NN/ 72			NV 26		NI/ 27			110	110					V INV INV / NI\/ NI\					
107									13 NIV	/ NI/					, <u>110</u>				NV N						NV 0.00				NV 72	- INV	13 NIV						 						/ NI\/ NI\					
102				NV NV				/ NI\/ N								NI\ NI\						5 NIV	NIV N		N\/ N\/					/ NI\/			1.5 NIV										/ NI\/ NI\					
19/					/ N\/ N'								/ N\/ N								NV 1	5 NIV	2		NV 2			NV NV		/ N\/	18 N\/		NV NV	NV 2			N\/						/ N\/ N\					
195	45 1				/ N\/ N'				78 NIV			3 NI	/ N\/ N		75 NI\/			99 NI\/	0.75 N									NV NV		5 NIV	12 12	NV 6		NV 075			N\/						/ N\/ N\			5 NIV		
196	NV N		NV NV N	NV 46	NV N	V 98	190 NV	V NV F	65 NV	46	NV 1	5 9	NV N	V NV 20	) NV	13 N	V 18	26 NV	NV 3	NV	NV 6	5 NV	NV M		NV 52	NV N	NV NV	NV NV	NV 3	NV	48 NV	NV 30	NV NV	NV 20	NV N		3	18	1.5 NV	NV NV					31 1		53	NV 15
197	NV		NVNVN	NV NV	/ NV N	V NV	78 N		39 NV	' NV	NV 4	.3 N\			V NV		V NV	20 NV	NV N	V 3.3	NV NV	V NV	NV M		NV NV	NV N	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	/ NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV NV	NV 13	NV N	NV NV	NV	NV	NV NV	NV NV					NV N			NV NV
198	18	V 75	NV 6	NV 22		V 12	59 NI		33 NIV	· 22	NV 2	36 4 <sup>1</sup>	5 NV N	V NV 1	5 2	4.5 N	V NV	1.5 NV	NV 7	5 NV			9 1		NV 14	9 N		NV NV	NV 75	5 NV	14 15	NV 12	NV NV	1.5 1.5	3 N	/ NV 18	14	14	26 NV		NV 52 M				45 /	.5 NV	59	NV 6
<u> </u>	<u> </u>	, ,,,		55						- 55	J		- 1.44   14	±	- 1 5				/.	- 147			. ~		1 7.4				,	- 1 ' • •						1.11 10		<u> </u>							<u> </u>			<u> </u>

HUMAN HEALTH RISK ASSESSMENT FOR THE CONSUMPTION OF COUNTRY FOODS IN THE TOWN OF HOPEDALE, NL

# **APPENDIX F**

Conceptual Site Model







HUMAN HEALTH RISK ASSESSMENT FOR THE CONSUMPTION OF COUNTRY FOODS IN THE TOWN OF HOPEDALE, NL

# APPENDIX G

Sample Calculation – Country Food Consumption Rates


# **Hazard Quotient Sample Calculation**

The initial step was to extract the food item, frequency of consumption and quantity of each food item the respondents stated they ate from the food survey conducted by the Environmental Sciences Group (ESG) based out of the Royal Military College of Canada. The food survey provided the number of cups each respondent ate for each food item in a weekly, monthly or yearly time period in either an "In Season" or "Off Season" time frame. From the information provided, the following time assumptions were made to normalize to a yearly consumption rate for each respondent:

## **Table 1: Assumed Time Periods**

	Unit	In Season	Off Season
Weekly	Weeks	13	39
Monthly	Months	3	9
Yearly	Years	0.25	0.75

For this worked example, the calculations for "Respondent #1" will be used (as noted in the report, all personal information was removed from the dataset before Stantec received the food survey). It should be noted that each individual HQ<sub>Food i</sub> was calculated in a similar manner, therefore, the detailed calculation provided here was for the food item "Char Meat" only.

From the data provided in the food survey, there were two different meal types provided: Snacks and Meals. The total quantity of the food item ingested per year was calculated by summing the snack and meal groups for the same food item. For example:

### <u>Snack</u>

Respondent #1 stated that they snack on char meat 10 times per month and consuming on average about 0.33 cups each time. Therefore, the total cups per year of char meat Respondent #1 consumes in snacks is 9.9 cups (Cups/year = 10 times/month \* 0.33 cups \*3 months/year).

### <u>Meal</u>

A similar calculation was conducted for the meal group. Respondent #1 stated that they eat char meat 10 times per month and consuming on average about 0.5 cups during each meal where char meat was consumed. Therefore, the total cups per year of char meat Respondent #1 consumes in meals is 15 cups (Cups/year = 10 times/month \* 0.5 cups \*3 months/year).

Using the data provided above, the total number of cups of char meat Respondent #1 consumed each year was 24.90 cups (Total cups consumed = 9.9 cups + 15 cups)

It should be noted that Respondent #1 did not state that they ate char meat during the Off Season; however, if it was stated that Respondent #1 ate char meat during the Off Season, similar calculations

to those noted above for In Season would be conducted for both the Snack and Meal groupings. Then the Off Season quantity would have been added to the total cups of char meat consumed each year.

From the total cups consumed by Respondent #1, the total number of grams could then be calculated using a few assumptions:

1. The "dry to wet" ratio for chat meat was assumed to be 1 as the char was assumed to be eaten wet. This assumption is more important when calculating the number of grams from food items which are dried.

2. For char, there are 150 g in a cup. See Table below for conversion factors for other food items, as provided by ESG.

Food type	Conversion	Weight (g/cup)	Standard on which conversion is based	Reference
Terrestrial large game				•
Caribou head				
Caribou heart			Canada's Food Guide/ CNF for traditional meats and wild game	HC 2010a,b
Caribou kidney				
Caribou liver		150		
Caribou marrow	1/2 cup = 75 c			
Caribou organs (brain	1/2 cup = 75 g			
or other)				
Caribou ribs				
Caribou stomach				
Caribou tongue				
Caribou muscle			Donsity of caribou -	
Black bear muscle	1 cup = 139 g	139	$0.558  \mathrm{g/cm}^3$	Aqua-calc 2012
Polar bear muscle			0.556 g/cm	
Duck/bird eggs				
Tern eggs		243	Duck egg: whole, fresh, raw/egg: yolk, fresh, raw	Online Conversion 2012
Seagull eggs	1 egg = 70 g			
Pigeon/guillemot eggs	1 cup = 243 g			
Duck eggs			Tavv	2012
Ducks and birds				
Duck/turre/teal/pied				
birds/shellbird			Density of	
Eider duck			domesticated duck,	
Goose	1 cup = 149 c	140	cooked, meat and skin	Agua calo 2012
Pigeon/guillemot	1 cup = 148 g	148	on = 0.592 g/cm <sup>3</sup> ; pheasant has same density	
Sandpiper				
Snowbird/whitecap/				
plumber				
Small game				
Okalik muscle	3 oz = 80 g	212	Game meat: rabbit,	
Porcupine muscle	8 oz per cup	213	wild, cooked	03DA 2012
Partridge	1 cup = 148 g	148	Density of	Aqua-calc 2012

#### Table 2: Conversion Factors (g/cup) for Each Food Item

Food type	Conversion	Weight (g/cup)	Standard on which conversion is based	Reference
			domesticated duck, cooked, meat and skin on = 0.592 g/cm <sup>3</sup> ; pheasant has same density	
Sea mammals				
Jumper/dolphin muscle				
Whale muscle			Canada's Food Guide/	
Seal muscle	1/2 cup = 75 g	150	CNF for traditional	HC 2010
Seal liver			meats and wild game	
Seal kidney				
Jumper/dolphin			Density of fat animal	Online
blubber	1 cup = 205 g	205	meat = $0.866 \text{ g/cm}^3$	Conversion
Whale blubber				2012
Seal blubber				
Fish			1	
Char				
Rock cod				
Salmon				
Speckled trout			Canada's Food Guide/	
Rock cod liver	1/2 cup = 75 g	150	CNF for fish and	HC 2010
Sivak (rock cod liver)			shellfish	
Other sivak (char)				
Smelt				
Capelin				
Shellfish/sea invertebrat	es			
Clam				
Mussel			Density of shrimp.	
Wrinkle	1 cup = 135 g	135	multiple species,	Aqua-calc 2012
Starfish			canned = $0.541 \text{ g/cm}^3$	
Scallop				
itik/sea urchin				
Plants and berries				
Labrador tea	1 cup = 127 g	127	Rosehip	USDA 2012
Other wild plants				
Seaweed	1/8 cup = 10 g	80	Seaweed, kelp, raw	USDA 2012
Wild raspberries			Density of raspberries,	Online
Wild blackBerries	1 cup = 123 g	123	wild = $0.549 \text{ g/cm}^3$	Conversion
Bakeapples				2012
Wild blueberries	1 cup = 148 g	148	Density of blueberries, raw = 0.626 g/cm <sup>3</sup>	Conversion 2012
Bilberries	1 cup – 112 g	112	Currants, red and	
Wild red berries	1 cuh – 115 g	112	white, raw	030A 2012
Other edible berries	1 cup = 148 g	148	Highest berry weight	

Using these assumptions, the total number of grams Respondent #1 ate of char meat in a year was 3735 g (total grams = 24.90 cups \* 1 \* 150 g/cup).

Once the total number of grams of each food item consumed by Respondent #1 was determined, the ingestion rate ( $IR_{Food i}$ ) was calculated. This information was used to determine the individual Hazard Quotient (HQ) for each food item. Staying with the same food item, the  $IR_{food i}$  was calculated from the total grams consumed throughout the year and dividing that by 365 days per year. Therefore, the IR <sub>Char</sub> meat was calculated to be 0.0102 kg/day or 10.2 g/day ( $IR_{char meat} = 3735$  g/year / 365 days/year).

The HQ was determined for each respondent and food item by using the following equation:

$$Hazard \ Quotient_{Food \ i} = \frac{EPC_{Food \ i} \times AF_{Oral} \times IR_{Food \ i} \times ET_{Food \ i}}{TDI \times BW}$$

Where:

 $\begin{array}{ll} \mathsf{EPC}_{\mathsf{Food}\,i} = \mathsf{Concentration} \ \mathsf{of} \ \mathsf{PCBs} \ \mathsf{in} \ \mathsf{the} \ \mathsf{food} \ \mathsf{item} \ (\mathsf{mg/kg}) \\ \mathsf{AF}_{\mathsf{Oral}} &= \mathsf{Relative} \ \mathsf{Absorption} \ \mathsf{Factor} \ (\mathsf{assumed} \ \mathsf{to} \ \mathsf{be} \ 1) \ \mathsf{for} \ \mathsf{the} \ \mathsf{gastrointestinal} \ \mathsf{tract} \ (\mathsf{unitless}) \\ \mathsf{IR}_{\mathsf{Food}\,i} &= \mathsf{Receptor} \ \mathsf{ingestion} \ \mathsf{rate} \ \mathsf{for} \ \mathsf{food} \ i \ (\mathsf{kg/day}) \\ \mathsf{ET}_{\mathsf{Food}\,i} &= \mathsf{Exposure} \ \mathsf{time} \ (\mathsf{assumed} \ \mathsf{to} \ \mathsf{be} \ 100\%) \\ \mathsf{TDI} &= \mathsf{Tolerable} \ \mathsf{Daily} \ \mathsf{Intake} \ (\mathsf{mg/kg} \ \mathsf{bw/d}) \ (0.00013 \ \mathsf{mg/kg} \ \mathsf{bw/d} \ \mathsf{from} \ \mathsf{Health} \ \mathsf{Canada} \ 2010a) \\ \mathsf{BW} &= \mathsf{Body} \ \mathsf{Weight} \ (70.7 \ \mathsf{kg}; \ \mathsf{age} \ \mathsf{specific} \ \mathsf{from} \ \mathsf{Health} \ \mathsf{Canada} \ 2012) \end{array}$ 

Before the HQ for Respondent #1 could be calculated for char meat, the EPC <sub>char meat</sub> was calculated. Instead of modeling the concentration of PCBs in each food item, a food collection study was conducted by ESG and measured data was used to determine EPCs for both Hopedale Harbour and surrounding areas. Using measured data from Hopedale harbor, the EPC <sub>char meat</sub> for char caught in Hopedale harbor was determined to be 0.3 mg/kg. Therefore, for Respondent #1, the HQ for char meat collected in Hopedale Harbour was calculated to be 0.3 using the following equation:

$$Hazard\ Quotient_{Char\ Meat} = \frac{0.3\ mg/kg \times 1 \times 0.0102\ kg/day \times 1}{0.00013\ mg/kg\ bw/day \times 70.7\ kg}$$

Hazard Quotient<sub>Char Meat</sub> = 0.3

Using the process noted above for each food item listed by Respondent #1, HQs (for Hopedale Harbour) for each food item was determined to be:

### Table 3: Hazard Quotients for Each Food Item (Respondent #1)

Food Item	Hazard Quotient (unitless)
HQ (Bakeapples)	0.0998
HQ (Char meat)	0.334
HQ (Clams)	0.0399

HQ (Duck/Turre/Teal/Pied birds/Shellbird)	0.191
HQ (Goose)	0.00134
HQ (Mussels)	0.0554
HQ (Partridge)	0.00397
HQ (Salmon Meat)	0.000256
HQ (Wild Blueberries)	0.00662

The information on hazard quotients per food item was used for information purposes to identify food items that contribute most significantly to exposure, and therefore, those foods that might best be the subject of short term avoidance as shown in Table 3.6 of the report.

To determine a hazard quotient for Respondent #1 for all food items, the intake was calculated for char and each other food item as shown in the following calculation:

$$Intake_{Food \ i} = \frac{EPC_{Food \ i} \times AF_{Oral} \times IR_{Food \ i} \times ET_{Food \ i}}{BW}$$

Each term has been defined previously.

Therefore, the intake for char meat, for example, was calculated as follows:

$$Intake_{Char Meat} = \frac{0.3 \ mg/kg \times 1 \times 0.0102 \ kg/day \times 1}{70.7 \ kg}$$

The intake for char meat was calculated as 4.3E-05 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> body weight day<sup>-1</sup>. The intakes for each food item for Respondent#1 were summed to find the total intake.

Table 4: Intakes for Each Food Item (Respondent #1)

Food Item	Intake (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> body weight day <sup>-1</sup> )	
Intake (Bakeapples)	1.3E-05	
Intake (Char meat)	4.3E-05	
Intake (Clams)	5.2E-06	
Intake(Duck/Turre/Teal/Pied	2.5E-05	
birds/Shellbird)		
Intake (Goose)	1.7E-07	
Intake (Mussels)	7.2E-06	
Intake (Partridge)	5.2E-07	
Intake (Salmon Meat)	3.3E-08	
Intake (Wild Blueberries)	8.6E-07	

Total Intake	9.5E-05
--------------	---------

The total intake for Respondent #1 is 9.5E-05 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> body weight day<sup>-1</sup>.

The hazard quotient for Respondent#1 is then calculated as follows:

$$Hazard\ Quotient_{Respondent\#1} = \frac{\sum Intake \quad Respondent\#1}{TDI}$$

Where: TDI =Tolerable Daily Intake for PCBs (Health Canada, 2010a) = 0.00013 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> body weight day<sup>-1</sup>

The hazard quotient for Respondent#1 is calculated as follows:

 $Hazard\ Quotient_{Respondent\#1} = \frac{9.5E - 05\ kg}{0.00013\ mg/kg\ bw/day}$ 

Hazard Quotient<sub>Total for Respondent #1</sub> = 0.73

The HQ<sub>Total</sub> for Respondent #1 eating food items from Hopedale Harbour was calculated to be 0.73.

The  $HQ_{Total}$  was calculated for each respondent in the survey and the hazard quotients were used for calculations presented in Table 3.7 of the report.