PSAB Information Session

Department of Municipal Affairs



PSAB Information Session Phase Two

Department of Municipal Affairs





Attention Please...

A **REPORTING ENTITY** is an organization that is obliged to prepare **audited financial statements** for external reporting

MUNICIPAL REPORTING ENTITY Describes which...

- Funds
- Agencies
- Boards
- Commissions
- Non-profit organizations

...are part of a municipality's summary financial statements and which are not.





Why is defining the MRE so important?



Knowing what to include / exclude is critical, as it can have an enormous impact on your <u>financial</u> <u>statements</u> and the picture they provide about your municipality's finances.

Why is defining the MRE so important?

- It helps to draw a circle around the activities a government should report in its summary financial statements
- Clear boundaries for including or excluding organizations help users better understand the financial position, as well as revenues and expenditures of the municipality
- Clear boundaries also help elected officials understand the extent of the financial resources and obligations for which they are responsible



OK, so the MRE is important. But how exactly do we decide which organizations are part of our municipal government?









REMEMBER:

Control is a 'principles' based concept.

Need to look at the nature and substance of the relationship between the municipal government and the organization, and NOT on the organization's legal form.



CONTROL is defined as:

 The power to govern the financial & operating policies of another organization; 2. With the expectation of **benefiting** (or being exposed to the **risk of loss**) from that organization's activities.







PRIMARY indicators of control:

- 1. Unilaterally appoint or remove a majority of the governing body
 - *Example*: Can appoint or remove a majority of the board members

2. Ongoing access to the assets or responsibility for the debt and deficits

Example: If an organization dissolves, and assets revert back to municipality, and/or municipality is 'on the hook' for the liabilities

MRE – What is CONTROL? PRIMARY indicators of control, cont'd: 3. Hold a majority of the 4. Unilateral power to voting shares dissolve the organization **Municipal governments** don't often have voting Access assets shares Become responsible for its obligations 20

PRIMARY indicators of control:



If any **one** (1) of these indicators exists, the organization is likely **controlled** and should be consolidated.

SECONDARY indicators of control:



In addition to the 4 **primary indicators of control**, there may be others.

These **secondary indicators** may provide evidence of control when considered **collectively**.





SECONDARY indicators of control:



Remember to consider the indicators **collectively**.

The more indicators that exist, the more likely control exists.

Let's review the indicators of control:

Evaluate each organization against the four (4) primary indicators of control and seven (7) secondary indicators.



Evaluate each organization on an *individual* basis.

If determined control exists, the organization will be included in your municipality's *consolidated financial statements*.

26



 Still not sure?
See the Municipal (Consolidated) Reporting Entity Checklist in the Reference Manual or online at the PSAB website.



Financial dependence on the municipality, on it's own

Organization still has choice to not accept funding and close its doors



<u>Regulatory control</u> (e.g. building & fire inspections)

Control only extends to the regulatory aspects of the operations



Temporary control

- Short term in nature
- Council intends to relinquish control

MRE – Restricted Organizations

Some assets of organizations are often restricted for specific purposes.

Inclusion in the MRE based on control and <u>not</u> ownership. Restricted organizations should be included in MRE only if controlled.



29

MRE – Restricted Organizations

Example: <u>some</u> fire departments

- Operates completely independent of town,
- Holds its own fundraisers,
- Makes loan payments on the fire truck,
- Has its own association that elects Chief and Deputy Chief.



- BUT, does not have ability to unilaterally sell or buy a new fire truck
- This has to go through town council
- Council does not control fire department itself, but it does control major asset of fire department.





Control Indicators

Primary Indicators:

- 1. Appoint or remove a majority of the members
- 2. Ongoing access to the assets of the organization
- 3. Holds the majority of the voting
- 4. Power to dissolve the organization

Secondary Indicators:

- 1. Significant input into the appointment of a governing body
- 2. Appoint or remove key personnel
- 3. Establish/amend organization's mission or mandate
- 4. Approve/change organization's budget
- 5. Establish borrowing/investment limits
- 6. Restrict revenue generating capacity
- 7. Establish/amend organizational policies





Control Indicators

Primary Indicators:

- 1. Appoint or remove a majority of the members
- 2. Ongoing access to the assets of the organization
- 3. Holds the majority of the voting
- 4. Power to dissolve the organization

Secondary Indicators:

- 1. Significant input into the appointment of a governing body
- 2. Appoint or remove key personnel
- 3. Establish/amend organization's mission or mandate
- 4. Approve/change organization's budget
- 5. Establish borrowing/investment limits
- 6. Restrict revenue generating capacity
- 7. Establish/amend organizational policies




Control Indicators

Primary Indicators:

- 1. Appoint or remove a majority of the members
- 2. Ongoing access to the assets of the organization
- 3. Holds the majority of the voting
- 4. Power to dissolve the organization

Secondary Indicators:

- 1. Significant input into the appointment of a governing body
- 2. Appoint or remove key personnel
- 3. Establish/amend organization's mission or mandate
- 4. Approve/change organization's budget
- 5. Establish borrowing/investment limits
- 6. Restrict revenue generating capacity
- 7. Establish/amend organizational policies



MRE – Disclosure Requirements



Municipalities should disclose a listing of the major organizations comprising the reporting entity.

 A contractual relationship between a municipality and another party outside the MRE

 Other parties are normally other municipalities



- Other parties could be the Province, not-for-profit organizations, etc.
- A municipality's interest in a government partnership should be proportionately consolidated (*Exception*: Government Business Partnerships)

- Contractual relationship can be in writing but it doesn't have to be:
 - By-law establishing the GP
 - Passed resolution of council
 - Contractual agreement

Activities conducted with no formal agreement, but which meet the definition of a GP, is in substance a GP.



Characteristics of a GP:

Partners:

- Have <u>common goals</u> for the activity
- Equitably <u>share risks & benefits</u> of the activities
- Make a <u>financial investment</u>
- Have <u>shared control</u> over decisions





Let's look more closely at <u>Financial Investment</u>

Not limited to activities that generate a financial return Extends to goods & services that provide a benefit to the community

Investments can be in the form of:

-Contribution of cash and other assets

-Assuming responsibility for ongoing operating costs

-Purchase of goods & services from the GP



Let's look at an example:

 A municipality has outsourced garbage pickup to a private contractor. Would that municipality be in a partnership with the contractor?





The answer is... **NO**

> It's a buyer/vendor relationship

 Municipality & contractor don't share common goals Municipality not responsible for any losses, and doesn't share any profits

Purchase of this service would be accounted for as an expense

- Let's say that you've used the Government Partnerships Checklist (see Reference manual) and have determined that a particular arrangement is indeed a Government Partnership.
- Example: Regional Waste Management Facility



- ✓ Common goals
- ✓ Financial investment
- ✓ Shared control
- ✓ Share risks & benefits

A <u>Government Business Partnership</u> is a government partnership that has *all* of the following characteristics:

- Separate legal entity with power to contract in its own name and that can sue and be sued.
- Sells goods & services to individuals and organizations other than the partners as its principal activity.
- 2. Has been delegated financial and operational authority to carry on a business.

4. In normal course of operations, can maintain its operations and meet its liabilities from revenues received from sources other than the partners.

 If the GP being evaluated is a
 Government
 Business
 Partnership...

> Each municipality would account for its investment using the <u>modified equity</u> basis of accounting.

If the GP is not a Government Business Partnership…

> Each municipality would account for its investment using the proportionate consolidation method.

GP – Disclosure Requirements

Municipalities should disclose: Description of nature & purpose of GP List of GP, municipality's share, and how it is accounted for Condensed supplementary financial information

Share of any commitments and contingencies



52

Consolidations – what is it?

Consolidation **combines** the individual financial statements of the organizations that make up the **MRE** to present it as a **single economic unit.**



Consolidations – why?



Let me explain...

Current financial statements:

- 1. Present a partial view of the overall activities of a municipality.
- 2. Don't allow for comparability with other municipalities that provide the same service using a different delivery method.

Consolidations – why?



On the other hand,

Consolidated financial statements:

- 1. Provide a fuller picture of a municipality's activities.
- 2. Allow meaningful comparisons between municipalities.
- 3. Will help councillors understand the full extent of the financial resources and obligations for which they are responsible.

Consolidations – why?



Summary financial statements recognize that, even though a municipal government and its organizations may be separate legal or organizational entities, they make up a single economic unit.







Could somebody please tell me what this means!!!



This is when you...

- Calculate your organization's ownership percentage of a partnership,
- ...and then include the same percentage of the <u>assets</u>, <u>liabilities</u>, <u>revenues</u> and <u>expenses</u> of that partnership in your organization's financial statements.



So you'd include 50% of the board's assets, liabilities, revenues, and expenses in your organization's financial statements.

Let's say you have a 50% interest in a Regional Arena Management Board. The other partners(s) are responsible for the remaining 50%.

Basic steps are the same as with full consolidation, with <u>one</u> <u>exception</u>



Controlled entities and GP often have year ends other than Dec. 31.	Should disclose entities with different dates.
This is not a reason to exclude from MRE.	Significant events or transactions occurring during the intervening period should be disclosed or adjusted by the MRE.

Consolidations – Different F/S Dates?

Significant impairment of an asset:

- Bad debt (adjust MRE financial statements)
- TCA (adjust MRE financial statements)

Capital Commitment

- Disclose in the notes to the financial statements of the MRE
- <u>Example</u>: recreation board is committed to building a new arena

 For an example of consolidations involving a fire department, museum, & arena management board, see the Reference Manual.

Being Prepared is the Key





By <u>December 1, 2008</u> municipalities should determine which organizations should be included in their reporting entity.

Being Prepared is the Key

To minimize audit fees and ensure your consolidated financial statements are completed by June 30, 2010:

- Make sure that your list of controlled entities & GP is complete; <u>and</u>
- 2. Have audited F/S for your controlled entities and government partnerships ready for consolidation, <u>or</u>
- 3. Ensure that your controlled entities are ready to be audited at the same time as your municipality










Liabilities

These are *present obligations*

Definition

- Duty or responsibility to others and the government has <u>no realistic alternative</u> <u>but to settle the obligation</u>
- 2. Settlement of this obligation is expected to result in a <u>future outflow of cash</u> from the municipality

- Goods or services from which obligation has arisen have <u>already been received</u> by municipality
 - E.g. delivery of gas
 - E.g. audit



Recognition Criteria



Liabilities should be recognized in the financial statements when:

- 1. There is an appropriate basis of measurement;
- 2. A reasonable estimate can be made of the amount involved.

Examples:

Characteristics	Delivery of Culverts	Holidays Payable
Goods or services have already been received by municipality	Delivery of culverts	Employee has worked the required # of hrs to earn holidays.
Future outflow of cash is expected	Payment by cheque or cash within 30 days of the receipt of invoice.	Pay out when the employee takes holidays or finishes employment with municipality.
Little or no discretion to avoid	Supplier will repossess the culverts & in the future will only accept C.O.D.	Labour codes require employers to pay for holidays.
		77





Liability Exist?

- 1. A duty or responsibility to others leaving the municipality little or no choice to avoid ;
- 2. Settlement of this duty is expected to decrease the available assets of the government on the occurrence of a specified event;
- 3. The transaction or event obligating the municipality has already occurred

Record or Disclose?

- There is an appropriate basis of measurement;
- 2. A reasonable estimate can be made of the amount involved

Payable to a reserve

1. NO – not a requirement to pay into reserve

Not a liability therefore do not proceed



Liability Exist?

- 1. A duty or responsibility to others leaving the municipality little or no choice to avoid ;
- 2. Settlement of this duty is expected to decrease the available assets of the government on the occurrence of a specified event;
- 3. The transaction or event obligating the municipality has already occurred

Record or Disclose?

- 1. There is an appropriate basis of measurement;
- 2. A reasonable estimate can be made of the amount involved

Open purchase commitment

1. NO – municipality still has the option to not purchase

Not a liability therefore do not proceed

So what's the difference between a liability and a contingent liability?

With a **contingent liability**, there is a <u>degree of uncertainty</u> as to whether a present obligation exists.

With a **liability**, it isn't up for debate - a present obligation does exist.



- These are possible obligations.
- They may become liabilities.
- Distinct characteristics:
 - There must be an existing condition or situation; and
 - An expected future event that will resolve the uncertainty

- To determine if a liability exists at financial statement date, ask:
 - What is the probability that this expected future event will occur?
 - What is the probability that this expected future event will not occur?

Consider this example:

- Your municipality is involved in an ongoing lawsuit.
 - This is an <u>existing</u> <u>condition/event</u>

 It is only the awarding of a judgment (<u>future</u> <u>event</u>) that will confirm whether a liability exists.

Levels of Uncertainty

- 1. Likely (probability is 3. Not Determinable high)
 - Legal counsel advises that you should settle with a litigant because they have a strong case

2. Unlikely (probability is slight)

Legal counsel views a lawsuit to be frivolous and has no basis in law

Legal counsel views a lawsuit as possibly being settled in favour of either party



How do we determine the likelihood that an event will or will not occur?



It's a matter of judgment. Before completing your financial statements, consider all available information.



Contingent Liabilities should be disclosed in the notes to the financial statements when:

- Occurrence of confirming event is likely but the amount cannot be reasonably estimated;
- 2. There exists exposure in excess of the accrued amounts; or
- 3. The occurrence (outcome) of the future event is not determinable





Let's take a closer look at these disclosures....

2. There exists exposure in excess of the accrued amounts;

- For example: you know that your municipality is going to lose the lawsuit and you believe that the amount of this loss will be around \$50,000;
- **4** Therefore, you record \$50,000 in your financial statements.
- However, the plaintiff is suing for \$200,000, so there is an excess exposure of \$150,000 that has not been recorded in the financial statements.
- This excess would then be disclosed in the notes to the financial statements.



More on disclosures...

In current financial statements, some municipalities have disclosed employee holidays' payable as a contingent liability.

Can't do this under PSAB





Probability of the Confirming Future Event	Amount of the Liability Can Be Reasonably Estimated	Amount of the Liability Cannot Be Reasonably Estimated
Likely	Accrue in the F/S	Disclose the situation in the notes
Not Determinable	Disclose the situation & amount in the notes	Disclose the situation in the notes
Unlikely	No action required	No action required
		97

Loan Guarantees

This is a promise to pay all or part of the principal and/or interest on a debt obligation in the event that the borrower defaults.

 Loan Guarantees checklist available on the PSAB website.



Loan Guarantees, cont'd

- This is a contingent liability of the municipality.
- Provision for losses should be accrued when it is determined that a loss is likely.
- Provision should include principal and interest outstanding.
- Only loan guarantees to organizations outside the MRE need to be disclosed in consolidated financial statements.

Guaranteed Loans to be Repaid Through Future Assistance

- 4 Municipality guarantees loan and provides a funding commitment for repayment.
- Used in the past to keep debt off the Balance Sheet.
- Record the entire loan as an expense & liability of the municipality, unless borrower can repay from its own existing revenues.
- Dr. Grant Expense 3rd Party Loan XXX Cr. Liability – Future Funding Commitment

XXX

Obligations – Contingent Liabilities Guaranteed Loans to be Repaid Through Future Assistance In 2009 a town council guarantee a loan of \$150,000 for a Tennis Club. In addition, the council elected to commit funding of \$15,000 a year over 10 years to the Tennis Club to repay the loan. 4 Under PSAB, the town would now be liable for the loan once the funding was committed. 101

- Also known as commitments.
- Commitments are obligations that <u>will</u> <u>become liabilities</u> in the future, when the terms of the contracts and agreements are met.

- Distinct from contingent
 liabilities <u>no uncertainty</u>
 about the existence of the obligation
 - Does not include a municipality's obligation to provide services such as waste collection, fire protection, etc.



Significant commitments should be disclosed in the notes to the financial statements.





Consider 2 key factors:

- 1. Is the level of expenditures significant when compared to the overall operations of your municipality?
- 2. How long is the commitment?



<u>For example,</u>

 The leasing of office space for the next 10 years would probably be a significant commitment for most municipalities.

2. The leasing of a photocopier for 3 years may not...



You should disclose commitments that are significant to the current financial position or future operations.

- 1. High degree of **speculative risk** (i.e. forward contracts)
- 2. Large **expenditure levels** (i.e. capital projects)
- 3. Long time frames (i.e. office leases)

Obligations

 Check the PSAB website for checklists on Liabilities & Contingent Liabilities.


Environmental Liabilities (EL)



Municipalities are required to report EL in accordance with PSAB's recommendations on Eliabilities

Contingent Liabilities

The accounting for EL is independent from the decisions surrounding the funding of these liabilities.

EL - Background

- The Department of Environment and Conservation is responsible for the regulations covered under the:
 - Environmental Protection Act (EPA)
 - Water Resources Act (WRA)
- In 2005, Dept. of Environment and Conservation updated the contaminated site cleanup criteria in a document called The Guidance Document for the Management of Impacted Sites.
- See page 12 of Part 2 of the <u>Reference Manual</u> for Guiding Principles.

EL - Background

Guidance Document for the Management of Impacted Sites:

- Upon identification of a contaminated site, the following process is followed:
 - Initial Actions
 - Site Assessment
 - Remedial Action Planning; and
 - Remediation and Closure.



EL - Background

Guidance Document for the Management of Impacted Sites:

Principle of 'polluter pays' – ensures, where possible, that the party responsible for the contamination bears the cost of site remediation.

In cases where the 'polluter' cannot be located, or has no financial resources, the site becomes an orphaned and abandoned (O&A) site.



Environmental Liabilities (EL)

There is a logical thought process that all municipalities need to follow in order to evaluate if they have an environmental liability.



Environmental Liabilities (EL)



- 1. Do we have potential contaminated sites?
- 2. Is remediation required?
- 3. Is the municipality obligated to accept responsibility for the remediation costs?
- 4. Can the liability be reasonably estimated?

EL – Obligating Event

There must be an obligating event before a municipality is deemed to be responsible for remediation of the environment.

 Date of the obligating event is important because it determines <u>in which</u> <u>accounting period</u> the remediation costs should be accrued.

If municipality caused the contamination, then it is responsible for remediation cost.

EL – Obligating Event

- The obligating event is <u>not</u> when the pollution occurs.
- Obligating event occurs when municipality accepts responsibility for remediation costs.
- The municipality would accrue remediation cost in the period it accepts responsibility.



EL – Obligating Event

So, if a site had been contaminated for 20 years prior to its discovery, the municipality is not obligated for remediation cost until it has accepted responsibility.







Step 1

Identify Potential Environmental Liability

- Petroleum storage facilities
- Buildings (mold, asbestos, etc)
- Landfills



Step 1, cont'd

Example: Asbestos

- Not a threat to human health if left undisturbed.
- Demolition or major renovation asbestos has to be properly disposed.
- Must accrue liability for asbestos disposal:
 - Council has approved decision for demolition or renovation of building (i.e. obligating event).
 - Cost of asbestos removal can be reasonably estimated at the financial statements date.



<u>Step 2</u>

Is Environmental Remediation Required?

Once the Department of Environment and Conservation is notified of the contaminated site, an EPO will gather <u>site information</u> to assess the type and extent of contamination and the receptors at possible risk.

<u>Steps 3-5</u>

Is the Municipality Obligated to Accept Responsibility?

- The 'polluter' is responsible for site remediation
- Municipality is not necessarily obligated because it owns or occupies contaminated site – polluter may be previous owner

125

<u>Step 6</u>

Can the liability be Reasonably Estimated?

Remedial Action Plan

Remediation involves development and application of a planned approach (*Remedial Action Plan - RAP*)

For more info, see Department of Environment and Conservation website. http://www.env.gov.nl.ca/env/Env/pollprev/contaminated_sites.asp

Step 6, cont'd

Calculation of Remediation Costs

- Costs should be consistent with your RAP and defined on a site by site basis
- Include all incremental direct operating costs associated with remediation.
 - Legal fees
 - Site assessment costs, etc.

- Don't include capital expenditures
- If more than one remediation strategy is being considered, a range of costs should be provided.



Step 6, cont'd

Time Value of Money

- Many RAP could take several years to start or complete.
- If timing & future amounts of remediation payments can be estimated, the measurement of the liability should be discounted for the time value of money.
- If EL is recorded at NPV, the accrued amount should be revalued annually; record any changes in the value of the liability as a current period cost.

Step 6, cont'd

Recoveries From 3rd Parties



- Remediation costs should be reduced by any recoveries from identified 3rd parties as long as they agree to accept responsibility for the obligation and have the resources available.
- Can also be reduced by any partial or complete recoveries from insurance.
- However, recoveries cannot be netted against the obligation in F/S; both the receivable and payable must be shown at the gross amount.

<u>Step 7</u>

Accrue environmental liability in financial statements.

Step 8

Disclose contingent liability in financial statements.

See Environmental Liability/Accounting Matrix in Reference Manual.

130

EL – Accounting Examples

Example #1

- Contaminated site identified Dec. 31/08
- Remediation is required
- Cost estimated to be \$100,000



<u>Entry at Jan. 1/09:</u>

Dr. Opening Accm Surplus Cr. Environmental Liability \$100,000

\$100,000

131

EL – Accounting Examples Example #2 Contaminated site first discovered Sept. 30/09 Remediation is required Cost estimated to be \$100,000 Entry at Dec. 31, 2009: **Dr. Environmental Health** \$100,000 **Cr. Environmental Liability** \$100,000

EL – Accounting Examples

Example #3

- Contaminated site identified Dec. 31/08
- Insufficient information to determine if remediation is required
- Cost estimated to be \$100,000



No entry to record in 2009. Disclose contingent liability of \$100,000 in notes.



Landfills



PSAB's recommendation on landfills apply to all:**1.** <u>Operating</u> landfills; and

2. Closed landfills.

Landfills



Recommendations apply equally to:

- 1. Municipal landfills; and
- 2. <u>Regional</u> landfills. (i.e. gov't partnerships)

Landfills

Recommendations do not apply to:

- 1. Development & construction cost of opening a new landfill site (i.e. TCA)
 - Access Road
 - Fence and gate
 - Scales

- 2. End use transformation or conversion costs
 - Transfer station
- Unforeseen & catastrophic events (i.e. environmental liabilities)
 - Leachate contamination

Landfills – Closure Costs

- Includes all activities related to closing a landfill:
- 1. Final cover & vegetation
- 2. Completion of facilities for:
 - Drainage control features,
 - Leachate monitoring,
 - Water quality monitoring, and
 - Monitoring & recovery of gas.



Landfills – Post-Closure Costs Includes all monitoring activities after 0 the landfill no longer accepts waste: Monitoring of ground and surface water 1. Ongoing maintenance of control & monitoring 2. systems and final cover 3. Acquisition of land for buffer Treating & monitoring of leachate 4.

Landfills – Closure & Post Closure Costs



The previous lists are not exhaustive and may not apply in all circumstances.

Activities vary from landfill to landfill

Legislative and regulatory requirements should determine which activities to include in closure and post closure costs.

Landfills – Regulations

The Government Services Centre classifies landfills by the risk level of the site (See Appendix 5)

Class A Sites	Waste disposal sites which are considered high risk.
Class B Sites	Waste disposal sites which are considered moderate risk.
Class C Sites	Waste disposal sites which are considered low risk.

See Reference Manual for closure & post closure requirements for each landfill class.

Landfills – Provincial Strategy

Provincial Solid Waste Management Strategy

\$200 million multi-year
Strategy to ensure effective
& efficient management of solid waste in NL

Number of dump sites in NL should decrease to 40

Three full service regional waste management facilities on island portion of province, and programs for zones in Labrador Reduce amount of waste going into landfills by 50%

Landfills – Funding of Closure Costs



The accounting for landfill closure and post closure costs is independent from the decisions surrounding the funding of these liabilities. Under PSAB, you must record all liabilities regardless of whether you have funded/budgeted for those liabilities.

Landfills – Funding of Closure Costs



 For information on funding, please contact the Waste
Management Division of the Department of Municipal Affairs.
Landfill Costs are Liabilities!

Landfill liabilities are **present obligations**.

- Improper to disclose landfill liabilities as a contingency
 - Existence of the liability is known with certainty.
- Improper to disclose landfill liabilities as a contractual obligation or commitment.
 - Municipality is obligated as soon as landfill starts accepting waste.

Landfill Costs are Liabilities!

Characteristics of a Liability	Landfills	
Obligating event has already occurred	Municipality is obligated for closure & post closure costs once the site starts accepting waste.	
Future cash outflow on the part of the municipality	Municipality will incur the expenditures once the landfill is closed.	
Little or no discretion to avoid	Environmental Protection Act requires operators to properly close and monitor landfills.	
]4		

Landfill Costs - Recognition



 Liabilities should be recognized in the financial statements when:

a) There is an appropriate basis of measurement; and
b) A reasonable estimate can be

made of the amount involved.

Landfill Costs - Disclosure



The majority of municipalities throughout NL will be required to disclose in the notes to their financial statements solid waste landfill closure and post-closure costs for December 31, 2009 due to a reasonable estimate not being available.



Landfill Costs - Disclosure

Waste Management Zone	Disclose Closure and Post-Closure Costs	Accrue Closure and Post-Closure Costs
Avalon Region	Before Dec. 31, 2010	After Dec. 31, 2010
Central Region	Before Dec. 31, 2011	After Dec. 31, 2011
Western Region	Before Dec. 31, 2016	After Dec. 31, 2016
All non-host waste management zones excluding isolated communities	Before Dec. 31, 2020	After Dec. 31, 2020
Isolated Communities	Dates will vary based on condition of landfill	Dates will vary based on condition of landfill

□ Note: this is a guide only – dates will vary



Landfill Liabilities - Measurement



Your liability for operating landfills increases every year for 2 reasons:

- 1. Discount period is getting shorter; and
- 2. Remaining landfill capacity is decreasing.

Landfill Liabilities - Measurement

Recognize opening liability based on current capacity used.
 The change in the landfill liability due to usage would be calculated as follows:

Total Costs (NPV)XTotal Capacity Used-Costs Previously RecordedTotal Capacity

The Net Present Value (NPV) of total costs is the total closure and post closure costs at the date of closing, discounted to the current date.



Landfill Liabilities - Measurement



Use your auditor if you are uncomfortable with NPV.

Your auditor will need:

- Total costs
- □ Total capacity
- □ Current usage
- □ Borrowing rate

Landfills – Disclosure Requirements

Notes to financial statements should include:

- Closure & post-closure care requirements
- Discount rate
- Total estimated expenditures & liability recognized to date
- Remaining capacity & estimated landfill life in years
- Amount of designated assets
- Length of time for post closure care





PSAB Information Session TCA Valuation Examples

Department of Municipal Affairs



158

Discounted Replacement Cost, Valuation, & Amortization

Residential Road Example

- In 1999, a developer contributed a twolane residential road to the municipality of Warwick.
- It is now 2008 and Betty, the town clerk of Warwick, has to value the road without any invoices.
- Betty will have to value the road using the <u>discounted replacement cost</u> method.

Process

Follow these steps:

- 1. Determine measurements
- 2. Determine 2007 replacement cost
- 3. Determine 1999 estimated cost

- 4. Add applicable taxes
- 5. Calculate annual amortization
- 6. Calculate accumulated amortization
- 7. Calculate net book value

Above Ground Infrastructure Map



Step 1 – Determine Measurements

- Calculate surface area of the road in m²
 - a) Measure the length of the road (I)
 - b) Measure the width at various points (w)
 - Average the various width points ((w₁ +w₂+w₃)/3 = AvgW)
 - Multiply the length of the road by the average width (I x AvgW) = m²

This process does not have to be exact

Step 1

 Betty's measurements:
 a) I = 2.5km
 b) w₁ = 8.1m w₂ = 7.9m w₃ = 7.8m w₄ = 8.2m





What is the Surface Area (m²) of the road?

Above Ground Infrastructure Map



Solution Step 1

- Average Width
 - AvgW = (8.1m+7.9m+7.8m+8.2m) / 4 = (32m / 4) = 8m
- Surface Area m² = (2.5km x 1000m) x 8m = 2,500m x 8m = 20,000m²

Step 2 – Determine 2007 Replacement Cost

- Use the length & surface area (m²) calculated in Step 1 to determine the cost of the residential road.
 - a) Determine <u>components</u> of road. (e.g. paving, road bed, or land underneath bed)
 - b) Determine <u>unit price per component</u> (See Appendix B of Valuation Manual)
 - c) Multiply unit price per component by the appropriate measurement to arrive at the 2007 replacement cost of the road.





What is Betty's 2007 Replacement cost for the road surface component of the road?

Above Ground Infrastructure Map

LEGEND



Solution Step 2

- Unit Price per m²
 = \$37/m² (Per Valuation Manual)
- Surface Area

 $m^2 = 20,000m^2$

- 2007 Replacement Cost of Paving = 20,000m² x \$37/m²
 - = \$740,000

Step 3 – Determine 1999 Estimated Cost

Betty needs the estimated cost of the road in 1999, not 2007.

To calculate the 1999 estimated cost she will have to use a discount rate, such as the Consumer Price Index (CPI), to discount the 2007 cost back to 1999.

 CPI rates are available in Appendix A of the Valuation Manual.





What is the estimated cost of the road surface in 1999?

Above Ground Infrastructure Map



Solution Step 3

- 1999 CPI Rate
 - = 83.32% (Per Valuation Manual)
- 2007 Replacement Cost
 - = \$740,000
- 1999 Cost
 - = \$740,000 x 83.32%
 - = \$616,568

Step 4 – Add Applicable Taxes

- Determine applicable sales taxes to add to discounted replacement cost.
- Tax rates are available in Appendix H of the Valuation Manual.
- Tax rates vary based on the year.
- **1**999
 - □ HST 15%
 - Municipal Rebate 4%

Solution Step 4

- 1999 Estimated Cost = \$616,568
- Estimated HST paid (15%) = \$92,485
- GST rebate received (4%) = <u>(\$24,663)</u>

Total 1999 Estimated Cost = **\$684,390**

Step 5 – Calculate Annual Amortization

- The estimated cost now has to be amortized up to 2008.
- But what is amortization?

- Amortization is simply the reduction in value of a TCA due to:
 - 🗆 usage
 - □ passage of time
 - □ wear and tear
 - technological outdating or other such factors

Step 5

- Determine the <u>estimated useful life</u> and <u>residual value</u> of the residential road by using Appendix 2 of the TCA Reference Manual.
- Annual Amortization = <u>(Estimated Cost – Residual Value)</u> Estimated Useful Life





What is the annual amortization for the road surface?
Above Ground Infrastructure Map

LEGEND



Solution Step 5

- 1999 Estimated Cost (incl. HST)
 = \$684,390
- Residual Value
 - = None (Per TCA Reference Manual)
- Estimated useful life
 - = 20 years (Per TCA Reference Manual)
- Annual Amortization
 - = \$684,390 / 20 years
 - = \$34,220

Step 6 – Calculate Accumulated Amortization

- With the annual amortization known, Betty can now determine the accumulated amortization up to December 31, 2007.
- Accumulated Amortization =
 Annual Amortization x Years in Use



What is the accumulated amortization for the road surface?



Above Ground Infrastructure Map



Solution Step 6

- Annual Amortization = \$34,220
- Years in Use

The road was constructed in 1999 so, as of Dec. 31, 2007, it has been in use for 9 years

- If exact date TCA entered into service not known only use ½ of the annual amortization in the year of acquisition
- Accumulated Amortization:

 1999 (1/2 year)
 \$ 17,110

 2000 - 2007 (8 years)
 \$ 273,760

 (8 years x \$34,220/yr)
 \$ 290,870

Step 7 – Calculate Net Book Value

Net Book Value =

Estimated Cost – Accumulated Amortization

- = \$684,390 \$290,870
- = \$393,520

Journal Entries

December 31, 2007

Dr. Road Surface 684,390 Cr. Accumulated Surplus 684,390 *To record road surface contributed to Warwick in 1999.*

- Dr. Accumulated Surplus 290,870
- Cr. Accumulated Amortization 290,870

To record accumulated amortization on road surface from 1999 to 2007.

Journal Entries

December 31, 2008

Dr. Amortization Expense34,220Cr. Accumulated Amortization34,220

To record annual amortization on road surface.

Financial Statement Presentation

December 31, 2007

	Cost	Accumulated Amortization	Net Book Value
Road Surface	\$ 684,390	\$290,870	\$393,520

December 31, 2008 (Additional year of amortization \$34,220)

	Cost	Accumulated Amortization	Net Book Value
Road Surface	\$ 684,390	\$325,090	\$359,300

Walk-thru of EXCEL

Residential Road

Step 1 – Determine Surface Are	ea of Road			
Length:	2.5 km	2500 m		
Width:	8.1 m	8.1 m		
	7.9 m	7.9 m		
	7.8 m	7.8 m		
	8.2 m	8.2 m		
Average Width:	8 m			
Surface Area:	20,000 m ²			
Step 2 – Determine 2007 Replacement Cost Unit Prices:				

Paving		37	m ²	
Road Bed		100	lane m	
2007 Paving Cost:	\$	740,000		
2007 Road Bed Cost:	\$	500,000		
Total 2007 Cost:	\$	1,240,000		
Step 3 – Determine 1999 Estimated Cost				
Discount Rate:		83.32%		

1999 Estimated Cost: \$ 1,033,168

Step 4 – Add Applicable Tax	es		
HST:	\$	154,975	15%
Rebate:	\$	(41,327)	4%
	\$	1,146,816	
			-
Step 5 – Calculate Annual A	ma	ortization	
Useful Life:		20) years
Residual Value:	\$	-	
Annual Amortization:	\$	57,341	
Step 6 – Calculate Accumul	ate	Amortizat	ion
Step 6 – Calculate Accumul Month of Acquisition:	ate	Amortizat Unknown	ion
Step 6 – Calculate Accumul Month of Acquisition: Year of Acquisition:	ate	e Amortizat Unknown 1999	ion
Step 6 – Calculate Accumula Month of Acquisition: Year of Acquisition: Current Year:	ate	Amortizat Unknown 1999 2007	ion
Step 6 – Calculate Accumula Month of Acquisition: Year of Acquisition: Current Year: Years in Use:	ate	Amortizat Unknown 1999 2007 7	ion years
Step 6 – Calculate Accumul Month of Acquisition: Year of Acquisition: Current Year: Years in Use:	ate	Amortizat Unknown 1999 2007 7	ion years
Step 6 – Calculate Accumul Month of Acquisition: Year of Acquisition: Current Year: Years in Use: Accumulated Amortization:	ate	Amortizat Unknown 1999 2007 7 430,056	ion years
Step 6 – Calculate Accumul Month of Acquisition: Year of Acquisition: Current Year: Years in Use: Accumulated Amortization:	ate	Amortizat Unknown 1999 2007 7 430,056	ion years
Step 6 – Calculate Accumula Month of Acquisition: Year of Acquisition: Current Year: Years in Use: Accumulated Amortization: Step 7 – Calculate Net Book	ate	Amortizati Unknown 1999 2007 7 430,056	ion years
Step 6 – Calculate Accumul Month of Acquisition: Year of Acquisition: Current Year: Years in Use: Accumulated Amortization: Step 7 – Calculate Net Book NBV:	ate \$ \$ \$	Amortizati Unknown 1999 2007 7 430,056 alue 716,760	ion years

Water Distribution System Example

- In 1999, a water distribution system was also contributed to the municipality of Warwick.
- It is 2008 and now that Betty, the town clerk of Warwick, has valued her roads, she must value the water distribution systems.
- Betty, once again, has no invoice and will have to value the road using the <u>discounted</u> <u>replacement cost</u> method.

Process

Follow these steps:

- 1. Determine measurements
- 2. Determine 2007 replacement cost
- 3. Determine 1999 estimated cost

- 4. Add applicable taxes
- 5. Calculate annual amortization
- 6. Calculate accumulated amortization
- 7. Calculate net book value

Below Ground Infrastructure Map



LEGEND

Step 1 – Determine Measurements

- Determine length of water line in meters.
- Betty did not have engineering drawings available.
- To determine length, she had to estimate using the fire hydrants.
- Betty's estimated measurement:
 - L = 2.25 km
 - L = (2.25km x 1000m) = **2,250m**

This process does not have to be exact

Step 2 – Determine 2007 Replacement Cost

- Determine <u>type of pipe</u> in order to establish unit price.
 - \Box Bob had repaired a leak in 2005.
 - Bob, the Maintenance Manager, tells Betty that the water line consisted of <u>200mm plastic pipe</u>.
- Determine <u>unit price</u> by using Appendix B of Valuation Manual.
- Multiply <u>unit price</u> by the <u>length</u> to arrive at the 2007 <u>replacement</u> <u>cost.</u>

Note: Unit price per Valuation Manual includes flow valves, fire hydrants, laterals and installation. In the future, when Warwick replaces its water distribution system, the town is encouraged to separately account for each of these components





What is Betty's 2007 Replacement Cost of the water line?

Below Ground Infrastructure Map



Solution Step 2

Water Line

- Unit Price per m
 - = \$195/m (Per Valuation Manual)

Length

m = 2,250m

- 2007 Replacement Cost of Water Line
 - = 2,250m x \$195/m
 - = \$438,750

Step 3 – Determine 1999 Estimated Cost

- Betty needs the estimated cost of the water line in 1999, not 2007.
- To calculate the 1999 estimated cost, she will have to use a discount rate (CPI), to discount the 2007 cost back to 1999.
- CPI Rates are available in Appendix A of the Valuation Manual.





What is the estimated cost of the water line in 1999?

Below Ground Infrastructure Map



LEGEND

Solution Step 3

1999 CPI Rate

= 83.32% (Per Valuation Manual)

2007 Replacement Cost

= \$438,750

1999 Cost

- = \$438,750 x 83.32%
- = \$365,567

Step 4 – Add Applicable Taxes

- Determine applicable sales taxes to add to discounted replacement cost.
- Tax rates are available in Appendix H of the Valuation Manual.
- Tax rates vary based on the year.
- **1**999
 - □ HST 15%
 - Municipal Rebate 4%

Solution Step 4

- 1999 Estimated Cost = \$365,567
- Estimated HST paid (15%) = \$54,835
- GST rebate received (4%) = <u>(\$14,623)</u>

Total 1999 Estimated Cost = **<u>\$405,799</u>**

Step 5 – Calculate Annual Amortization

- The estimated cost now has to be amortized up to 2008.
- Determine the <u>estimated useful life</u> and <u>residual value</u> of the water line by using *Appendix 2* of the TCA Reference Manual.
- Annual Amortization =

(Estimated Cost – Residual Value)

Estimated Useful Life



What is annual amortization of the water line?



Below Ground Infrastructure Map

LEGEND



Solution Step 5

- 1999 Estimated Cost (incl. HST) = \$405,799
- Residual Value
 - = None (Per TCA Reference Manual)
- Estimated useful life
 - = 25 years (Per TCA Reference Manual)
- Annual Amortization
 - = \$405,799 / 25 years
 - = \$16,232

Step 6 – Calculate Accumulated Amortization

- With the <u>annual amortization</u> known, Betty can now determine the <u>accumulated amortization</u> up to December 31, 2007.
- Accumulated Amortization =
 Annual Amortization x Years in Use





What is accumulated amortization of the water line?

Below Ground Infrastructure Map



LEGEND

Solution Step 6

Annual Amortization = \$16,232

Years in Use

The water line was constructed in 1999 so it has 9 years in use up to December 31, 2007.

- If exact date TCA entered into service not known, only use ½ of the annual amortization in the year of acquisition
- Accumulated Amortization:

	A	
(8 years x \$16,232/yr)	<u>\$1</u>	<u>29,856</u>
2000 - 2007 (8 years)		
1999 (1/2 year)	\$	8,116

Step 7 – Calculate Net Book Value

Net Book Value =

Estimated Cost – Accumulated Amortization

- = \$405,799 \$137,972
- = \$267,827

Journal Entries

December 31, 2007

Dr. Water Systems 405,799

Cr. Accumulated Surplus 405,799

To record water distribution system contributed to Warwick in 1999.

Dr. Accumulated Surplus 137,972

Cr. Accumulated Amortization 137,972

To record accumulated amortization on water distribution system from 1999 to 2007.

Journal Entries

December 31, 2008

Dr. Amortization Expense 16,232

Cr. Accumulated Amortization 16,232

To record annual amortization on water distribution system.
Financial Statement Presentation

December 31, 2007

	Cost	Accumulated Amortization	Net Book Value
Water System	\$ 405,799	\$137,972	\$267,827

December 31, 2008 (Additional year of amortization \$16,232)

	Cost	Accumulated Amortization	Net Book Value
Water System	\$ 405,799	\$154,204	\$251,595