

US Geological Survey Techniques for Sontinuous Water-Quality Data Collection, Processing and Dissemination

Patrick Rasmussen St John's, NF, November, 201

U.S. Department of the Interio

Growth of USGS water-quality sites



Value engineering studies

- Water Quality: North Carolina and Kansas (2009)
- Surface Water: Maine and Colorado (2010)
- Groundwater: New York, New Mexico, Florida (2012)

Т

USGS Value Engineering Study – Water Quality Summary Report September 29, 2009

Executive Summary:

The U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) conducts critical work of monitoring the nation's water resources and their quality. In order to improve the efficiency of its field procedures, the USGS worked with the Interstate Council on Water policy (ICWP) to commission a study which provided an outside perspective on the agency's processes.

The study (March-May 2009) identified four major areas of improvement. These recommendations focus on automating water quality data collection, reducing waste associated with equipment usage, adding telemetry/wireless capabilities in order to reduce frequency of field visits, and streamlining additional steps.

The Value Engineering Team strongly encourages the USGS to seriously consider these insights and assess their feasibility to implement. The savings achieved through streamlining and consolidation of processes could be very significant.

Introduction:

The USGS assembled a team of experts in in-situ water quality sensors and applications to conduct a value engineering study on the USGS's water quality monitoring processes and procedures. The team was comprised of individuals from sensor manufacturers, USGS water quality experts, and individuals from two USGS Water Science Centers (see Appendix A).



Value engineering study

Automate data collection

Reduce waste

Add telemetry/wireless

Streamline additional steps

USGS Value Engineering Study – Water Quality Summary Report September 29, 2009

Executive Summary:

Ι

The U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) conducts critical work of monitoring the nation's water resources and their quality. In order to improve the efficiency of its field procedures, the USGS worked with the Interstate Council on Water policy (ICWP) to commission a study which provided an outside perspective on the agency's processes.

The study (March-May 2009) identified four major areas of improvement. These recommendations focus on automating water quality data collection, reducing waste associated with equipment usage, adding telemetry/wireless capabilities in order to reduce frequency of field visits, and streamlining additional steps.

The Value Engineering Team strongly encourages the USGS to seriously consider these insights and assess their feasibility to implement. The savings achieved through streamlining and consolidation of processes could be very significant.

Introduction:

The USGS assembled a team of experts in in-situ water quality sensors and applications to conduct a value engineering study on the USGS's water quality monitoring processes and procedures. The team was comprised of individuals from sensor manufacturers, USGS water quality experts, and individuals from two USGS Water Science Centers (see Appendix A).



CWQ records workflow





CWQ records workflow





Recent Changes

• Sensors (ongoing)

- Better quality
- Antifouling
- Metadata
- New parameters
- Swap monitors or sensors (increasing)





Any use of trade, firm, or product names is for descriptive purposes only and does not imply endorsement by the U.S. Government.

Recent Changes

- Continuous Records Processing (2010)
 - Category 1 150 days
 - Category 2 240 days
 - Category 3 indefinite





Recent Changes

- AAA process (2017)
 - Analyze
 - Approve
 - Audit
- Records management System update (2017)





USGS TM1D3 (2006)

- Water-Quality Monitoring Station
 Operation
 - Site Selection
 - Monitor Selection
 - Placement of Sensors in the Aquatic Environment
 - Stream Cross-Section Surveys
 - Lake or Estuary Vertical Profiles



Guidelines and Standard Procedures for Continuous Water-Quality Monitors: Station Operation, Record Computation, and Data Reporting





USGS TM1D3 (2006)

- Use and Calibration of Field Meters
- Monitor Operation and Maintenance
- Record Computation
 - Data-Processing Procedures Preparation of the Review Package
 - Data Reporting
 - Archiving of Records



Guidelines and Standard Procedures for Continuous Water-Quality Monitors: Station Operation, Record Computation, and Data Reporting



U.S. Department of the Interior U.S. Geoingical Servey



Changes since TM1D3 (2006)

AQUARIUS replaces ADAPS (2017)



		•	20			s ~ 0	NWIS-TS Production AQU	ARIUS	Envi	ronmént×	NWIS-TS User Support Portal	1
Locations	069	3450	10 ×									
There are 139871 locations.	D	ata S	ets	V	sits	Sensors & Gauges	Thresholds		6	Active	Derivation Groups Vie	5
You can grant User Access by location folder.				•	• Le	Parameter 📀	Label	Pi Di	•	Sub-Location	() () ()	6
				6	-	NO3+NO2,water,insi	mg/LHach Nitratax sensor					
Q Find Locations			=	5	-	pH	pH Units from DCP					
			≡	6		DCP battery voltage	V.(DCP ID: CE7927CE).Work				۷.	
06934500 •			Ξ	1		Discharge	ft^3/s					
	×		Ξ	Ð		Diss oxygen saturtn	%	÷.,				
12353650			Ξ	B		Dissolved oxygen	mg/l	S.,	÷			
Clark Fork at S	•		Ξ			Gage height	It.FROM THE DCP				ft .	••
07138050	•	\Box	≡	5		CDOM, fluorescence	QSE					
AMAZON GRE	×		≡	4		Precipitation	in FROM THE DCP.Work				in .	
≡ ×	•		-	-	•••	SS load, regres ss	Mean SSL	***			•••	
ARROYO DE L	•		≡	B	•••	Specific cond at 25C	uS/cm.from DCP					
New	×					Susp sediment, wu, est	Mean SSC mg/L from derived g	***				
Location	н		1		4	D.H.						

Changes since TM1D3 (2006)

Water quality monitor activity added to USGS field application (SVMAQ, 2018)



P Monitor Readings			- 🗆 x
Setup Select Monitor			
Sub-Location Default			~
Fouling Maintenance Calibration Monitor Info/Swap	Final		
Before Cleaning After Cleaning			
Sensor	Time	Site Monitor	Field Monitor
pHpH, field, electrometricpH Units Stie monitor - Unspecified Manu - Unspecified Model - Unspecified			
Specific cond at 25CSpecific conductance Stie monitor - Unspecified Manu - Unspecified Model - Unspecified			
Temperature, waterTemperature, water, Site monttor - Unspecified Manu - Unspecified Model - Unspecified			
Dissolved oxygenDiss oxygen, luminescence Stee monttor - Unspecified Manu - Unspecified Model - Unspecified			
Turbidity, FNUYSI Environmental, sensor Site monitor - Unspecified Manu - Unspecified Manu - Unspecified			
Turbidity, FNUYSI EXO turbidity sensor.			×
CANCEL			DONE

New processors

Processors

- Fill data gap processor to combine DCP and EDL data
- Can use one sensor for values up to a certain point and then another
- Calculation processor can compute surrogates and correct fDOM





Trends in CWQ corrections

Fouling and drift corrections Decrease in average number of fouling and drift corrections over the last 4 years due to improvements in: antifouling techniques sensor technology





Trends in CWQ corrections

Dissolved oxygen

most corrections until luminescent DO sensors 2007 60% decrease since

pН

corrections have decreased 50% from 2010 Specific conductance and turbidity corrections have decreased only slightly over the last 3 years





Real-Time Water Temperature, in °C



TM1D3 Version 2

- A team is currently writing version 2
- New correction and calibration criteria
- New process for assessing error
- New sensors
- Better guidance on crosssection data
- Published in early 2020



Guidelines and Standard Procedures for Continuous Water-Quality Monitors: Station Operation, Record Computation, and Data Reporting



Automate records processing Computer works the record Personnel review and approve

Modernize field data collection app Include all activities Wireless communication with loggers and sensors



Develop more sensor networks Water temperature Sediment

Determine algorithms to compute uncertainty For every instantaneous value For any measurement



Water Prediction Work Program (2WP) Advance the capabilities of the National Water Model (NWM) as a National asset supporting the Nation's earth and biological system prediction capability.

USGS science will be an engine, coupled with the NWM, that drives prediction of:

- stream temperature
- surficial processes
- in-stream transport



Questions?

Patrick Rasmussen USGS, Kansas

pras@usgs.gov

http://pubs.usgs.gov/tm/tm3c4/

http://water.usgs.gov/osw/suspended_sediment/time_series.html

http://waterwatch.usgs.gov/wqwatch/

http://nrtwq.usgs.gov





Real-Time Water Temperature, in °C





Real-Time pH, field, in standard units



November 04, 2018 16:30ET

Real-Time Water Temperature, in °C



Real-Time Water Temperature, in °C

