

Exploits Regional Services Board

“A Case Study”



History:

- | | |
|------------------------------|------|
| a.) Bishop's Falls Crisis | 1972 |
| b.) Grand Falls Crisis | 1976 |
| c.) Windsor Crisis | 1979 |
| d.) Water Quality Crisis | 1985 |
| e.) Botwood/Peterview Crisis | 2005 |

Exploits Regional Services Board

Public Water Supplies

- Protected Water Supplies
- Natural Drainage Outside Protected Area
- Pending Protected Supplies (ILUC)
- Unprotected Water Supplies
- Potential Water Supplies

Ils-Windsor - Northern Arm Lake

Norris Arm - Mill Lake

18 km of
300 mm

4 km of 250,
200, & 150

25.4 km of
600 mm

3.4 km @
300 mm

© 2007 Navteq

Image © 2007 DigitalGlobe

© 2007 Europa Technologies

Image © 2007 TerraMetrics

Pointer 49°02'14.59" N 55°31'34.23" W elev 124 ft

Streaming ||||| 100%

Eye alt 26.14 mi

Google

Exploits Regional Services Board

“A Case Study”



Infrastructure:

- 1972 Constructed Water Supply
13 km 600mm water main
chemical feeder building
5km 300mm water main to Bishop's Falls
- 1976 8km 600mm water main to GFW
- 1996 25 million litre per day W.T.P.
- 2005 18km 300mm water main to Botwood/Peterview

Exploits Regional Services Board

Exploits Regional Services Board

“A Case Study”

Board Structure:

- a.) Communities appoint two members each
- b.) Board elects a chair
- c.) Acts as unincorporated committee
- d.) Board is administered by Town of Grand Falls-Windsor
- e.) MOU Agreement on Board Function



Exploits Regional Services Board

Exploits Regional Services Board

“A Case Study”



Operations:

- a.) Employees are on Town of Grand Falls-Windsor payroll and benefits.
- b.) Purchasing/HR functions done by Town of Grand Falls-Windsor
- c.) Day to day supervision by Town of Grand Falls-Windsor
- d.) Member towns billed monthly for service

Exploits Regional Services Board

Exploits Regional Services Board "A Case Study"

Per Capita Membership:



	Population	%
Grand Falls-Windsor	13,558	65.1
Bishop's Falls	3,399	16.3
Botwood	3,052	14.7
Peterview	807	3.9

Exploits Regional Services Board

Exploits Regional Services Board

“A Case Study”



Other Operations:

- Landfill operations for member towns**
- Animal control services provided to Bishop's Falls**
- Fire alarm services to Bishop's Falls**

Exploits Regional Services Board

Exploits Regional Services Board

“A Case Study”



Regional Options:

- a.) One community selling regional services**
- b.) Regional Services Board**

Exploits Regional Services Board

Exploits Regional Services Board “A Case Study”

Community Based: Pros

- a.) Uses an existing administration
- b.) Saves H.R. costs
- c.) Saves Purchasing costs
- d.) Saves Supervisory costs
- e.) Regional Services Agreement



Exploits Regional Services Board

Exploits Regional Services Board “A Case Study”

Community Based: Con



- a.) Community Trust Issues
- b.) Regional Communities Control on operation
- c.) Committee representation
- d.) Risk to operating community on collections

Exploits Regional Services Board

Exploits Regional Services Board “A Case Study”



Regional Services Board: Pros

- a.) Autonomous Board
- b.) Board control over operation
- c.) Board control over Budgeting
- e.) More control over collections

Exploits Regional Services Board

Exploits Regional Services Board “A Case Study”

Regional Service Board: Cons

- a.) Regional incorporation
- b.) Regional Administration setup
- c.) Regional separate audit
- d.) Less flexibility with operational problems
- e.) Cash handling, budget controls are problematic



Exploits Regional Services Board

Exploits Regional Services Board

“A Case Study”

Conclusion: ERSB

- a.) Excellent Community relations
- b.) Strength in numbers
- c.) Qualified personnel
- d.) Efficiencies of Scale
- e.) Safe, clean water

Exploits Regional Services Board

