





#### Ultraviolet Radiation for Water Treatment: Disinfection and Beyond

Madjid Mohseni, Ph.D., P.Eng. University of British Columbia RES'EAU-WaterNET Strategic Network

Wednesday, April 07, 2010

## UV Disinfection An emerging technology

#### **IS IT REALLY NEW?**

- 1878 Microbial inactivation with UV from the sun was discovered by Downes & Blunt
- 1910 First full scale UV disinfection system for pre-filtered water from the river Durance (Marseille, France)
- 1916 First full-scale application of UV in the US (Henderson, Kentucky)
- 1940s With the invention of neon tubes, low pressure Hg lamps became available for UV disinfection
- 1970s Discovery of DBPs from chemical disinfection, supported and promoted UV disinfection
- 1982 First large scale UV disinfection system in Canada (Tillsonburg, ON)
- 1998 Low UV dose was found effective for the inactivation of *Crypto* and *Giardia*

1878	Microbial inactivation with UV from the sun was discovered by Downes & Blunt
1910	First full scale UV disinfection system for pre-filtered water from the river Durance (Marseille, France)
1916	First full-scale application of UV in the US (Henderson, Kentucky)
1940s	With the invention of neon tubes, low pressure Hg lamps became available for UV disinfection
1970s	Discovery of DBPs from chemical disinfection, supported and promoted UV disinfection
1982	First large scale UV disinfection system in Canada (Tillsonburg, ON)
1998	Low UV dose was found effective for the inactivation of <i>Crypto</i> and <i>Giardia</i>

1878	Microbial inactivation with UV from the sun was discovered by Downes & Blunt
1910	First full scale UV disinfection system for pre-filtered water from the river Durance (Marseille, France)
1916	First full-scale application of UV in the US (Henderson, Kentucky)
1940s	With the invention of neon tubes, low pressure Hg lamps became available for UV disinfection
1970s	Discovery of DBPs from chemical disinfection, supported and promoted UV disinfection
1982	First large scale UV disinfection system in Canada (Tillsonburg, ON)
1998	Low UV dose was found effective for the inactivation of <i>Crypto</i> and <i>Giardia</i>

- 1878 Microbial inactivation with UV from the sun was discovered by Downes & Blunt
- 1910 First full scale UV disinfection system for pre-filtered water from the river Durance (Marseille, France)
- 1916 First full-scale application of UV in the US (Henderson, Kentucky)
- 1940s With the invention of neon tubes, low pressure Hg lamps became available for UV disinfection
- 1970s Discovery of DBPs from chemical disinfection, supported and promoted UV disinfection
- 1982 First large scale UV disinfection system in Canada (Tillsonburg, ON)
- 1998 Low UV dose was found effective for the inactivation of *Crypto* and *Giardia*

- 1878 Microbial inactivation with UV from the sun was discovered by Downes & Blunt
- 1910 First full scale UV disinfection system for pre-filtered water from the river Durance (Marseille, France)
- 1916 First full-scale application of UV in the US (Henderson, Kentucky)
- 1940s With the invention of neon tubes, low pressure Hg lamps became available for UV disinfection
- 1970s Discovery of DBPs from chemical disinfection, supported and promoted UV disinfection
- 1982 First large scale UV disinfection system in Canada (Tillsonburg, ON)
- 1998 Low UV dose was found effective for the inactivation of *Crypto* and *Giardia*

#### **UV Disinfection in Canada**

- 1965 Ontario Water Resource Commission evaluated germicidal performance of UV on Humber River
- 1975 Canada Centre for Inland Waters evaluated UV disinfection as viable alternative
- 1979 Train derailment in Mississauga and major Cl<sub>2</sub> release increased impetus for alternative disinfectants
- 1999 More than 100 UV disinfection plants in operation in the province of Ontario

Presently

# More than 6000 drinking water facilities use UV based disinfection

#### UV Disinfection Standards and Regulations

- 1989 The EPA Surface Water Treatment Rule (SWTR) did not indicate UV as Best Available Technology (BAT) for the inactivation of *Giardia*
- 2000 EPA started evaluating UV as a BAT for surface water disinfection
- 2006 EPA released the Long Term 2 Enhanced Surface Water Treatment Rule (LT2ESWTR)

#### Standards and Guidelines (Atlantic Provinces)

Atlantic Canada Guidelines for the Supply, Treatment, Storage, Distribution, and Operation of Drinking Water Supply Systems

•For the four Atlantic Canada Provinces and coordinated by the Atlantic Canada Water Works Association

 http://www.gov.ns.ca/enla/water/docs/watersup plyguidelines

•Section 4.6.2.2

# How does UV work and

#### What does affect its

#### performance?

#### **Electromagnetic Spectrum**



#### **DNA Structure and Replication**



#### **UV Damage to DNA**



UV **DOSE** is the primary design parameter

#### UV *DOSE* is a product of Intensity and retention time Intensity x Retention time = DOSE



Courtesy of Aquionics (www.aquionics.com)



- N UV lamp type
- Water quality
- Target organisms
- Reactor geometry and configuration

All are important parameters in determining and obtaining the required *MINIMUM UV DOSE* 

- n UV lamp type
- n Water quality
- n Target organisms
- Reactor geometry and configuration

Three most common lamp technologies used in water disinfection are:

- Low pressure (LP)
- Low pressure high output (LPHO)Medium pressure (MP)

<u>Other UV lamps:</u>

- Electrode less mercury vapor lamp
- LED lamp
- UV lasers
- Pulsed UV

#### Mercury Vapor UV Lamps

- Emit UV in the germicidal wavelength ranges
- UV is generated by applying a voltage across a gas mixture containing mercury vapor

Vapor pressure	Temperature	UV
Low (<1 torr)	Moderate (40°C)	Monochromatic (253.7 nm)
High (>300 torr)	High (600-900 °C)	Polychromatic

#### **Mercury Vapor UV Lamps**

Parameter	LP	LPHO	MP
Germicidal UV	253.7 (nm)	253.7 (nm)	Polychro- matic
Electrical input (W/cm)	0.5	1.5-10	50-250
Efficiency (%)	35-38	30-40	10-20
No. lamps required	High	Intermediate	Low
Complexity	Low	Moderate	Moderate

<u>Source:</u> EPA's UV Disinfection Guidance Manual

- n UV lamp type
- Noter quality
- n Target organisms
- Reactor geometry and configuration

#### Water Quality Parameters

- Solids and suspended particles (turbidity and TSS)
- Dissolved organics and inorganic matters (TOC, NOM, iron, Ca, sulfites)
  - block or attenuate UV
  - cause fouling of the quartz and/or UV sensor
- Temperature



#### **Effect of Particles**



Typical response of Coliform bacteria to UV in wastewater (containing suspended solids)

#### **UV Transmittance**

Water	Typical UVT
Unfiltered surface water	70% - 95%
Filtered surface water	75% - 95%
Groundwater	80% - 95%
Membrane treated water	> 95%

- A 5% reduction in UVT translates in nearly doubling the UV reactor size (to maintain the same dose)
- Turbidity of < 5 NTU and TSS of < 10 ppm recommended</p>
- For UVTs less than 85-90%, pretreatment is recommended

- n UV lamp type
- n Water quality
- Target organisms

#### Reactor geometry and configuration

## **Target Organisms**

UV germicidal efficiency for different organisms varies based on:

Content of cytosine relative to thymine in the DNA

- Quantum yield for thymine dimer formation is different from that of cytosine dimer formation
- Thymine and cytosine have different absorbance spectra

Specific characteristics of the DNA repair system

 Viruses and bacteria have different repair mechanisms

#### **Effect of Organisms**



28

Chang et al. 1985

Source:

- n UV lamp type
- n Water quality
- n Target organisms
- Reactor geometry and configuration

#### **Reactor Configuration**

- UV reactors are designed to optimize dose delivery
- Reactor configuration and hydrodynamics play important roles in design
  - Lamp placements
  - Inlet and outlet configurations
  - Baffles
  - Upstream flow conditions



32









#### **UV Reactors**



#### UV8000<sup>™</sup> (courtesy of Trojan Technologies)

#### **UV Disinfection Systems**



UV disinfection drinking water facility in Victoria (Trojan Technologies)

#### **UV Disinfection Systems**



UV disinfection drinking water facility in Helsinki, Finland (Wedeco)

#### Design Guideline (Section 4.6.2.2)

A number of considerations should be made when designing UV systems, among them being:

The lowest transmittance of the supply to provide pathogen inactivation consistent with regulation

A minimum 3 log inactivation of Giardia, Cryptosporidium, and Viruses

- A minimum of 50% redundancy
- Based on peak flow
- Pretreatment for turbidity reduction
- Confirmation of reactor validation

# What is the cost of UV disinfection?

## Case Study

#### **UVSWIFT**®

#### by Trojan Technologies



## **Trojan UVSWIFT®**

- UVSWIFT will inactivate bacteria and protozoa, with a dose of 40 mJ/cm<sup>2</sup>
- For some source waters, UV may be sufficient (e.g., City of Victoria)
  - However, if turbidity is high settling and/or filtration and coagulation may also be needed

#### **Trojan UVSWIFT®**

#### **CAPITAL & OPERATING COSTS PER DAY**



#### CAPACITY in m<sup>3</sup>/day

#### **Operational Considerations**

Although UV is considered a *plug* & *play* system, some regular monitoring and maintenance are required:

- Proper O&M ensures the system operate according to specifications
- O&M requirements vary according to the system and manufacturer

Visual inspection always provides much needed information

#### **Sleeve and Sensor Fouling**

By far, the most significant operational issue of the UV systems

Sleeve Fouling can affect UVT and disinfection performance

Many parameters contribute to sleeve fouling (Lamp technology, water quality, flow, etc.)

e.g., iron content is often a significant factor in fouling

Hardness causes scaling on sleeve

#### **Sleeve and Sensor Fouling**

Cleaning can be done through chemical or mechanical wiping

If automatic wipers are available, ensure they operate properly (e.g., check wiper cleaning fluid)

For manual cleanings, manually clean sleeves and UV sensors

# Is the application of UV limited to water disinfection?

46

#### **UV-Based Oxidation Processes**

UV photochemical oxidation processes for water treatment involve:

- Direct photolytic action of UV on dissolved matter in water (e.g. TOC, NOM)
- Photochemically assisted production of oxidants for removing harmful organic matter
- Photochemically assisted catalytic processes

#### **UV-based Oxidation**

#### (Commercial Installations)

Orange County Water District, CA, USA (2004)<sup>a</sup>

West Basin Municipal Water District, CA, USA (2005)<sup>a</sup>

Stockton, CA, USA (2001)<sup>a</sup>

PWN Treatment Plant Andijk, Netherlands (2004)<sup>b,c,d,e</sup>

City of Cornwall, ON, Canada (2006)<sup>a,f,g</sup>

Salt Lake City Department of Public Utilities, UT, USA (1998)<sup>h</sup>

TrojanUVPhox™ NDMA; 1,4-dioxane

TrojanUVPhox™ NDMA

TrojanUVPhox™ 1,4-dioxane

TrojanUVSwift™ ECT Pesticides

TrojanUVSwift™ ECT MIB & geosmin

Rayox™ PCE



TrojanUVPhox<sup>™</sup> at Stockton



Calgon Rayox™



1,4-dioxane



TrojanUVSwift™ ECT at PWN

a – case studies provided by Trojan Technologies Inc. 2006; b – Kruithof et al. 2005; c – Martin et al. 2005; d – Stefan et al. 2005; e – Williams et al. 2005; f – Royce et al. 2005; g – Paradis et al. 2005; h - case study provided by Calgon Carbon Corporation 2005







#### **Thank You!**

Madjid Mohseni, Ph.D., P.Eng. Department of Chemical & Biological Engineering University of British Columbia Phone: (604)822-0047 E-mail: mmohseni@chbe.ubc.ca