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## A GUIDE TO THE SCHOOL LEVEL INDICATORS

- School level indicators appear in a series of pull-out pages found at the end of the report.
- Each school is grouped based on the grades offered. The six different school types are defined in the following table.

| School type | What grades are available at the school? |
| :--- | :--- |
| Kindergarten <br> (K) -12 | All grades. |
| Primary | Any combination of grades between Kindergarten and Grade 5. |
| Elementary | Kindergarten to Grades 6 or 9 or any combination in this range. |
| Intermediate | Often includes Grades 7-9 but can include 1 or 2 grades above or below (e.g., <br> Grades 6-9). |
| Secondary | Any combination of grades between Grade 7 and Level III. |
| Senior High | Grades 9 to Level III or Levels I to III. |
| Private, First Nations <br> and other | Includes private schools, First Nations schools, and the NL Youth Center. |

- Each pull-out page includes a core group of indicators for each school. Depending on the school type and space limitations, each pull-out may consist of different indicators. This document and the entire set of indicators can be viewed and/or downloaded at www.gov. nl.ca/edu/publications
- All data are based on the 2010/11 school year and is current as of December 2011, unless otherwise noted.
- Provincial results are presented as the last row in each pull-out page.
- Unless otherwise noted, provincial data are based on information provided in the annual Education Statistics report published by the Department of Education.
- Data are not reported in cases where scores are based on five or fewer students.
- For new schools, data are displayed only if the test or survey was administered after the school was opened.


## TABLE OF CONTENTS

A Guide to the School Level Indicators. ..... i
List of Figures ..... v
List of Tables ..... vi
Chapter 1: Introduction ..... 1
PART I: PROVINCIAL ASSESSMENTS
Chapter 2: Provincial Assessments ..... 3
Criterion-Referenced Tests (CRTs) ..... 3
The Mathematics CRT ..... 4
Public Examinations ..... 4
Chapter 3: The English Language Arts CRT ..... 5
Primary Level (Grade 3) ..... 5
The constructed response section (2010/11) ..... 5
The multiple choice section (2010/11) ..... 7
Provincial trends - Primary level ELA (2006/07-2010/11) ..... 8
Elementary Level (Grade 6) ..... 9
The constructed response section (2010/11) ..... 9
The multiple choice section (2010/11) ..... 11
Provincial trends - Elementary level ELA (2006/07-2010/11) ..... 12
Intermediate Level (Grade 9) ..... 13
The constructed response section (2010/11) ..... 13
Provincial trends - Intermediate level (2006/07-2010/11) ..... 16
Chapter 4: The Mathematics CRT ..... 17
Primary Level (Grade 3) ..... 17
The constructed response section (2010/11) ..... 17
The multiple choice and written response sections (2010/11) ..... 19
Provincial trends - Primary level mathematics (2006/07-2010/11) ..... 20
Elementary Level (Grade 6) ..... 21
The constructed response section (2010/11). ..... 21
The multiple choice and written response sections (2010/11) ..... 23
Provincial trends - Elementary level mathematics (2006/07-2010/11) ..... 24
Intermediate Level (Grade 9) ..... 26
The multiple choice and constructed response sections (2010/11) ..... 26
Chapter 5: Public Examinations. ..... 29
Student Performance (2010/11) ..... 29
Mathematics ..... 29
Science ..... 31
Languages ..... 33
Social studies ..... 34
Five Year Trends (2006/07-2010/11) ..... 35
PART II: INTERNATIONAL AND NATIONAL ASSESSMENTS
Chapter 6: Programme for International Student Assessment ..... 37
Test Administration ..... 37
Scoring ..... 37
Assessing Reading Literacy ..... 38
Average reading scores ..... 39
Performance on the sub-domains ..... 40
Gender differences ..... 41
Reading proficiency ..... 42
Proficiency on the reading sub-domains ..... 43
Mathematical and Scientific Literacy ..... 45
Average mathematical and science scores ..... 46
Gender differences in average scores ..... 47
Provincial Trends in Student Performance ..... 48
Chapter 7: Pan-Canadian Assessment Program ..... 49
What is PCAP? ..... 49
Question types ..... 49
Performance measures ..... 49
The Mathematics Assessment ..... 50
Proficiency levels ..... 51
Mathematics sub-domains ..... 51
Gender differences ..... 53
The Science and Reading Assessment ..... 54
Provincial Trends ..... 57
PART III: SELECTED TOPICS
Chapter 8: The Quality of School Life Survey ..... 59
Respondent Information. ..... 60
Total Responses ..... 60
Significant Differences ..... 61
Focusing on Safety and Security ..... 63
Chapter 9: Graduation ..... 65
Pass Rate (2010/11) ..... 65
Trends in provincial and district pass rate (2005/06-2010/11) ..... 66
Graduation Status ..... 67
Appendix A: List of Tables ..... 69
Appendix B: School Level Indicators ..... 124
Appendix C: Description of Indicators ..... 148
Appendix D: Bibliography ..... 150

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 3.1: Proficiency level - Primary ELA CRT (2010/11). .....  .6
Figure 3.2: Average score - Primary ELA CRT (2010/11) ..... 7
Figure 3.3: Provincial trends - Primary ELA CRT (2006/07-2010/11) ..... 8
Figure 3.4: Proficiency level - Elementary ELA CRT (2010/11) ..... 10
Figure 3.5: Average score - Elementary ELA CRT (2010/11) ..... 11
Figure 3.6: Provincial trends - Elementary ELA CRT (2006/07-2010/11) ..... 12
Figure 3.7: Proficiency level - Intermediate ELA CRT (2010/11) ..... 14
Figure 3.8: Average score - Intermediate ELA CRT (2010/11) ..... 15
Figure 3.9: Provincial trends - Intermediate ELA CRT (2006/07-2010/11) ..... 16
Figure 4.1: Proficiency level - Primary mathematics CRT (2010/11) ..... 18
Figure 4.2: Average score - Primary mathematics CRT (2010/11) ..... 19
Figure 4.3: Provincial trends - Primary mathematics CRT (2006/07-2010/11) ..... 20
Figure 4.4: Proficiency level - Elementary mathematics CRT (2010/11) ..... 22
Figure 4.5: Average score - Elementary mathematics CRT (2010/11) ..... 23
Figure 4.6: Provincial trends - Elementary mathematics CRT (2006/07-2010/11) ..... 25
Figure 4.7: Average score - Intermediate mathematics CRT (2010/11) ..... 27
Figure 5.1: Student performance in mathematics (2010/11) ..... 30
Figure 5.2: Student performance in science (2010/11) ..... 32
Figure 5.3: Student performance in language (2010/11) ..... 33
Figure 5.4: Student performance in social studies courses (2010/11) ..... 34
Figure 5.5: Trends in student performance (2006/07-2010/11) ..... 35
Figure 6.1: Average reading scores across Canada (PISA 2009) ..... 39
Figure 6.2: Gender differences in average combined reading scores across ..... 41
Canada (PISA 2009) ..... 41
Figure 6.3: Gender differences in average reading scores of Newfoundland and ..... 41
Labrador students on the English sub-domains (PISA 2009) ..... 41
Figure 6.4: Reading proficiency levels across Canada (PISA 2009) ..... 43
Figure 6.5: Provincial student proficiency on the reading sub-domains (PISA 2009) ..... 45
Figure 6.6: Average scores across Canada (PISA 2009) ..... 46
Figure 6.7: Gender differences in average scores (PISA 2009) ..... 47
Figure 6.8: Trends in provincial average scores (2000-2009) ..... 48
Figure 7.1: Average scores in mathematics (PCAP-2010) ..... 50
Figure 7.2: Percentage of students with a proficiency of level 2 or higher (PCAP-2010) ..... 51
Figure 7.3: Provincial average scores on the mathematics sub-domains (PCAP-2010) ..... 52
Figure 7.4: Provincial gender differences in the average scores on the mathematics sub-domains (PCAP-2010) ..... 54
Figure 7.5: Average scores on the science and reading assessments (PCAP-2010) ..... 55
Figure 7.6: Average scores (PCAP 2007 and 2010) ..... 57
Figure 8.1: Percentage of students in agreement across the eight dimensions ..... 61
Figure 8.2: Significant differences in average percent in agreement ..... 62
Figure 8.3: Percentage of students in agreement with the following safety statements ..... 64
Figure 9.1: Provincial and district pass rates (2010/11) ..... 65
Figure 9.2: Pass rate trends (2006/07-2010/11) ..... 66
Figure 9.3: Graduation status (2010/11) ..... 67

## LIST OF TABLES

Table 3.1: Proficiency level - Primary ELA CRT (2010/11) ..... 69
Table 3.2: Average score - Primary ELA CRT (2010/11) ..... 70
Table 3.3: Provincial trends - Primary ELA CRT (2006/07-2010/11) ..... 71
Table 3.4: Proficiency level - Elementary ELA CRT (2010/11). ..... 71
Table 3.5: Average score - Elementary ELA CRT (2010/11) ..... 72
Table 3.6: Provincial trends - Elementary ELA CRT (2006/07-2010/11) ..... 73
Table 3.7: Proficiency level - Intermediate ELA CRT (2010/11) ..... 73
Table 3.8: Average score - Intermediate ELA CRT (2010/11) ..... 74
Table 3.9: Provincial trends - Intermediate ELA CRT (2006/07-2010/11) ..... 75
Table 4.1: Proficiency level - Primary mathematics CRT (2010/11). ..... 76
Table 4.2: Average score - Primary mathematics CRT (2010/11) ..... 77
Table 4.3: Provincial trends - Primary mathematics CRT (2006/07-2010/11) ..... 77
Table 4.4: Proficiency level - Elementary mathematics CRT (2010/11) ..... 78
Table 4.5: Average score - Elementary mathematics CRT (2010/11) ..... 79
Table 4.6: Provincial trends - Elementary mathematics CRT (2006/07-2010/11) ..... 80
Table 4.7: Average score - Intermediate mathematics CRT (2010/11) ..... 80
Table 5.1: Student performance in mathematics courses (2010/11) ..... 81
Table 5.2: Student performance in science courses (2010/11) ..... 83
Table 5.3: Student performance in language courses (2010/11) ..... 85
Table 5.4: Student performance in social studies courses (2010/11) ..... 86
Table 5.5: Trends in student performance (2006/07-2010/11) ..... 87
Table 6.1: Significant differences in reading scores across Canada (PISA 2009). ..... 87
Table 6.2: Gender difference in reading performance ..... 91
Table 6.3: Gender differences in Newfoundland and Labrador (PISA 2009) ..... 97
Table 6.4: Reading proficiency levels across Canada (PISA 2009) ..... 97
Table 6.5: NL student proficiency on the reading sub-domains ..... 100
Table 6.6: Average scores across Canada (PISA 2009) ..... 101
Table 6.7: Gender differences in average scores across Canada (PISA 2009) ..... 103
Table 6.8: Trends in provincial average scores (2000-2009) ..... 104
Table 7.1: Average scores on the mathematics assessment (PCAP-2010) ..... 105
Table 7.2: Proficiency levels in mathematics across Canada (PCAP-2010) ..... 106
Table 7.3: Average scores on the mathematics sub-domains (PCAP-2010) ..... 107
Table 7.4: Gender differences in average scores on the mathematics sub-domains (PCAP-2010) ..... 110
Table 7.5: Average scores in science and reading (PCAP-2010) ..... 113
Table 7.6: Differences in provincial average scores (PCAP-2007 and PCAP-2010) ..... 116
Table 8.1: Average percentage in agreement ..... 117
Table 8.2: Differences in average percentage in agreement ..... 117
Table 8.3: Percentage of students agreeing with the following statements ..... 118
Table 9.1: Pass rates (2010/11) ..... 120
Table 9.2: Trends in pass rates (2006/07-2010/11) ..... 120
Table 9.3: Graduation status (2010/11) ..... 121

## CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION

Public interest in school-level data, particularly student achievement, is very high and increasing all the time. People want to know how their children and their schools are performing. In an effort to make our education system open and accountable to the public it serves, the Department of Education is releasing the fifth installment of Indicators: Indicators 2010/11 - A Report on Schools. Part I explores student performance on two provincial assessments: public examinations and criterion-referenced tests (or CRTs). Part II focuses on the international and national assessments students took part in - the Programme for International Student Assessment (PISA) in 2009 and the Pan-Canadian Assessment Program (PCAP) in 2010. Finally, part III will examine two specific aspects of the educational system - graduation rates and student attitudes towards school.

Information on other educational indicators, such as, student enrolment, pupil-teacher ratios, etc., can be found in either the annual Education Statistics - Elementary-Secondary report (available at http://www.ed.gov.nl.ca/edu/ publications/k12/stats) or through the Department of Education's K-12 School Profile System, accessible online at www.education.gov.nl.ca/sch_rep/pro_year. htm.

It is important to note that Indicators 2010/11 does not rank schools. Rather, its purpose is to present selected indicators showing trends over time. These indicators are presented without any discussion of possible underlying reasons behind these trends and there are no implications or recommendations made based on the information provided. Instead, it is the purpose of this document to provide a wide range of information about the province's educational system to inform administrators, educators, students and a school community where their schools are succeeding at this moment in time and where they can work together to improve.

## PART I: PROVINCIAL ASSESSMENTS



TThere are two standardized assessments used in the province's schools to measure student performance - criterion referenced tests (CRTs) and public examinations. The following three chapters will explore student performance on each of these assessments in terms of provincial and district performance as well as gender differences.

## Criterion-Referenced Tests (CRTs)

Students in Grades 3, 6 and 9 complete CRTs every spring. These results provide information to teachers, administrators, district personnel and the Department of Education which may be used to:

- determine student achievement in relation to curriculum outcomes;
- improve both student learning and teaching effectiveness;
- chart student progress over time; and,
- offer a comprehensive data set and analysis supporting school development.

In other words, the ultimate goal of these assessments is to improve student achievement.

Chapters 3 and 4 will explore student performance in the two subject areas assessed in 2010/11 - English language arts and mathematics. Where possible, performance trends over the past five years (i.e. 2006/07-2010/11) will be provided. For each grade level assessed (i.e., primary, elementary and intermediate),
 a brief overview of the skills students are expected to know is provided. For a complete list of curriculum outcomes associated with English language arts and mathematics, readers can refer to the curriculum guides available on the Department of Education's web site (www.gov. nl.ca/edu/sp/main.htm).

The information provided is based on student responses to constructed response and multiple choice questions. Constructed response questions are evaluated on a five level rubric ${ }^{1}$ where five is the highest level a student can obtain. The percentages listed throughout these two chapters refer to the percentage of students possessing at least an appropriate understanding of the content area. The provincial standard for CRT assessments is that $85 \%$ of students be assessed at level 3 or above.

1 A rubric is a scoring tool that uses a set of criteria and standards linked to learning objectives to assess student performance.

## The Mathematics CRT

During 2007, the Department of Education announced the Excellence in Mathematics Strategy. This new strategy was comprised of three main components:

- Curriculum Development and Review focused on the nature and amount of curriculum covered and a review of textbooks;
- Excellence in Teaching and Learning focused on professional development and resources for teachers; and,
- Parent Support focused on developing materials and providing sessions/ workshops to assist parents at home.

Under this strategy, an independent review of the provincial mathematics curriculum was undertaken. This review made a series of recommendations that centered around four key areas:

- New curriculum, adopted from the Western and Northern Canadian Protocol, which will follow a three-year implementation schedule;
- New textbooks for all grade levels;
- Significant initial and sustained professional development for teachers; and,
- Development of guidelines for the assignment of homework.

In March 2008, the Department of Education announced it accepted these recommendations which led to significant changes being made to the mathematics curriculum (Department of Education, 2008). Starting in September 2008, the new mathematics curriculum was phased in and by September 2013 all grade levels will have the new curriculum in place. For Grade 9 students, the new curriculum was introduced in September 2010. In response, the mathematics CRT was updated to assess the new outcomes defined in the curriculum. Due to this, trend data on student performance over the past five years is not reported. The 2010/11 results will serve as the starting point to chart future results.

## Public Examinations

Chapter 5 explores the performance of high school students on provincial public examinations. At the senior high level, students are required to complete public examinations in selected academic or advanced Level III courses in mathematics, the sciences, social studies and languages. The results of these public examinations are used to determine a student's eligibility to graduate from high school.

Once students complete these public examinations, they are sealed and returned to the Department of Education for grading by a selected group of teachers. This helps to ensure that student performance on these examinations is graded in a consistent and reliable manner.


## CHAPTER 3: THE ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS CRT

Annually, Grade 3, 6 and 9 students complete the English Language Arts (ELA) CRT. The information obtained provides a snapshot of how well students are performing in this area.

In Grades 3 and 6, the CRT assesses student performance in reading, writing, listening and speaking. To assess reading comprehension, students read a passage and answer questions to show their level of understanding. Listening skills are assessed in a similar fashion but students listen to a recording and then answer questions. In the writing and speaking components, students are given a topic and asked to both write about it and develop a short presentation discussing it. Grade 9 students are assessed in two areas of English language arts - reading and writing.

## Primary Level (Grade 3)

By the end of Grade 3, students are expected to have developed the foundational skills needed for language arts. They should be able to demonstrate a basic proficiency in speaking, listening, reading and writing. In general, students should be able to:

- Describe, share, and discuss their thoughts, feelings and experiences, and consider other people's ideas;
- Choose reading material appropriate to their interests and learning needs; and,
- Experiment with a range of pre-writing, drafting, editing, proofreading and presentation strategies.

The CRT is administered to assess the degree primary students are able to demonstrate their ability in these tasks. To meet this goal, constructed response and multiple choice questions are used to assess the following strands of the ELA curriculum.

- Listening,
- Reading and viewing, and
- Writing and other ways of representing.

Constructed response questions require students to write a response or answer in the space provided in the CRT booklet. For the multiple choice section, students are provided with a question and a list of possible answers. From this, they try and choose the correct one.

## The constructed response section (2010/11)

Provincially, the majority of students were assessed at or above grade level (i.e., level 3 or above) on both the reading and writing components. Approximately two thirds of Grade 3 students were able to demonstrate at least an appropriate understanding of the content area assessed in each of the language learning strands (i.e., reading and writing).

At the district level, students in the Nova Central and Eastern School Districts performed at or above the provincial level while the other two districts were below on the reading component. The percentage of students at or above grade level ranged from a low of $57.6 \%$ in the Labrador School District to a high of $68.3 \%$ in Nova Central. On the writing component, the percentage of students at or above grade level was fairly consistent with 5.9 percentage points separating the highest and lowest percentages (see figure 3.1a).

Along gender lines, females demonstrated a greater proficiency in both the reading and writing components as compared to males (see figure 3.1b). The percentage of females at or above grade level was either 14.1 or 17.8 percentage points higher than the percentage of males.

Figure 3.1: Proficiency level - Primary ELA CRT (2010/11)
(a) District and Provincial Performance

(b) Gender Differences

(Source: Table 3.1)

## The multiple choice section (2010/11)

Reading and listening skills were assessed in the multiple choice section. Provincially, the majority of students answered these questions correctly. The average score on the listening component was higher than the reading component ( $87.9 \%$ and $79.7 \%$ respectively).

The average score in each district mirrored the provincial average on both the reading and listening components. It was fairly consistent across the four districts with approximately five percentage points separating the highest and lowest scores (see figure 3.2a). There was virtually no gender difference present on the multiple choice questions (see figure 3.2b).

Figure 3.2: Average score - Primary ELA CRT (2010/11)
(a) District and Provincial Performance

(b) Gender Differences


## Provincial trends - Primary level ELA (2006/07-2010/11)

Figure 3.3 reports student performance over the previous five years for both the constructed response (i.e., the percentage of students at or above grade level) and multiple choice (i.e., average score) sections.

Based on the figure, several observations can be made:

- Students consistently demonstrated a higher level of writing proficiency as compared to reading.
- The percentage of students at or above grade level remained fairly stable during this time.
- The average score on the reading and listening components was more varied during this five year period.
- On the reading component, the average score ranged from $88.3 \%$ in 2006/07 to $92.1 \%$ in 2009/10. The 2010/11 average score decreased by 12.4 percentage points from 2009/10.
- The listening component had a high degree of variability present with 14.5 percentage points separating the highest ( $95.4 \%$ in 2008/09) and lowest ( $80.9 \%$ in 2009/10) average scores. The average score in 2010/11 increased by 7.0 percentage points from the previous year.


Figure 3.3: Provincial trends - Primary ELA CRT (2006/07-2010/11)

(Source: Table 3.3)

## Elementary Level (Grade 6)

As students progress through the elementary years, they continue to build upon and expand the foundational language skills learned during the primary years. By the end of Grade 6, students are expected to be able to:

- Contribute thoughts, ideas, and questions to the group discussion and have the ability to support their opinions with evidence;
- Independently choose books and reading material appropriate to their range of interests and learning needs;
- Develop effective pieces of writing by using a range of pre-writing, drafting, revising, editing, proofreading, and presentation strategies; and,
- Use technology with increasing proficiency to create, revise, edit and publish texts.


The constructed response section (2010/11)
Provincially, students experienced more success on the writing component as compared to reading. The percentage of students at or above grade level was $74.7 \%$ on writing compared to $62.5 \%$ in reading. This is a difference of 12.2 percentage points.

The percentage of students at or above grade level was similar across the four districts for both the reading and writing components with one exception. The percentage of students in the Western School District at or above grade level on the writing component was between 3.0 and 8.1 percentage points higher than the other districts (see figure 3.4a).

Along gender lines, a higher percentage of girls than boys was assessed at or above grade level on both the reading and writing components. As shown in figure 3.4 b , this gender gap was at least 20.0 percentage points.

Figure 3.4: Proficiency level - Elementary ELA CRT (2010/11)
(a) District and Provincial Performance

(b) Gender Differences



## The multiple choice section (2010/11)

The multiple choice section assessed student ability in reading and listening. Overall, students performed better on the reading component, with an average score of $79.5 \%$, as compared to $67.0 \%$ on the listening component.

The average score on the reading component was fairly consistent across the four districts with approximately 3.8 percentage points separating the highest and lowest score. On the listening component, the average score were slightly more varied with 6.9 percentage points separating the highest and lowest average score (see figure 3.5a).

Girls performed slightly better than boys in the multiple choice section. However, this gender gap was not as considerable as was seen in the constructed response section. The average score achieved by girls was approximately four percentage points higher than boys on the reading and listening components (see figure 3.5b).

Figure 3.5: Average score - Elementary ELA CRT (2010/11)
(a) District and Provincial Performance

(b) Gender Differences


## Provincial trends - Elementary level ELA (2006/07-2010/11)

Student performance over the past five years has been quite varied in the constructed response section. As shown in figure 3.6, the percentage of students at or above grade level has fluctuated from year to year.

In both the reading and writing components:

- The percentage of students at or above grade level peaked in 2007/08, and
- Student performance in 2010/11 was 6.7 percentage points lower than the previous year (i.e., 2009/10) on both components.

On the multiple choice section:

- The average score in reading increased from 2006/07 to 2008/09 before declining over the next two years
- The average listening score steadily declined from its peak of $92.3 \%$ in 2006/07. The largest decline occurred between 2009/10 and 2010/11 when the average score dropped by 19.7 percentage points.


Figure 3.6: Provincial trends - Elementary ELA CRT (2006/07-2010/11)

(Source: Table 3.6)

## Intermediate Level (Grade 9)

During the intermediate years, students continue to build upon and broaden their language arts skills. By this stage, students are expected to have developed a good understanding of the skills needed for effective communication in both the written word and verbally. By the end of Grade 9, students are expected to be able to:

- Examine other peoples' ideas and actively take part in small and large group discussions and debate;
- Demonstrate active listening and respect for the needs, rights, and feelings of others. In other words, students must be able to go beyond simply listening to the words that are being said, to actually hearing and understanding the message being presented;
- Critically evaluate and question information;
- Adapt their writing style to meet the needs of specific audiences; and,
- Integrate information gathered from several sources to create and communicate meaning.



## The constructed response section (2010/11)

Provincially, students performed better on the writing component than the reading component. The percentage of students assessed at or above grade level was $83.3 \%$ for writing and $65.5 \%$ for reading.

Overall, there was little difference in the percentage of students at or above grade level among the districts. The only exception was in the Labrador School District on the reading component where the percentage of students at or above grade level was approximately 8.0 percentage points lower than the other three districts (see figure 3.7a).

As in previous grades, girls once again had the advantage over boys (see figure $3.7 \mathrm{~b})$, The largest difference occurred on the reading component where the percentage of girls at or above grade level was 21.2 percentage points higher than boys $(76.0 \%$ and $54.8 \%$ respectively).

Figure 3.7: Proficiency level - Intermediate ELA CRT (2010/11)
(a) District and Provincial Performance

(b) Gender Differences


The multiple choice section (2010/11)
The multiple choice section assessed informational and poetic reading. These two scores were combined to give an overall reading score. Provincially, the combined average reading score was $67.9 \%$. As shown in figure 3.8, there was little difference in the average reading score across the four districts and between females and males.

Figure 3.8: Average score - Intermediate ELA CRT (2010/11)

(Source: Table 3.8)

## Provincial trends - Intermediate level (2006/07-2010/11)

Figure 3.9 presents student performance over the past five years on both the constructed response and multiple choice sections. Based on the figure, it appears:

- The percentage of students at or above grade level on the reading component has gradually declined since peaking in 2008/09.
- Proficiency levels on the writing component have remained stable with approximately $84.0 \%$ of students assessed at or above grade level.
- The reading average score was stable at approximately 77.0\% between 2006/07 and 2008/09 before rising slightly in 2009/10. On the 2010/11 assessment, the average score dropped by 14.3 percentage points from the previous year.

Figure 3.9: Provincial trends - Intermediate ELA CRT (2006/07-2010/11)



## CHAPTER 4: THE MATHEMATICS CRT

## Primary Level (Grade 3)

During the primary grades, children begin to develop the specific skills and strategies necessary for mathematical problem solving. These skills form the foundation older students build upon as they learn about numbers, mathematical operations, geometric concepts, spatial relations, measurement processes, and basic statistical techniques.

The primary level mathematics CRT is made up of two sections. In the first section, students complete constructed response questions to assess their ability to reason, communicate and solve problems. The second section assesses four strands of mathematics:

- Number operations - the ability of students to add, subtract, multiply and divide, as well as create and solve problems with these four operations;
- Number concepts - knowledge of number sense and place value. For example, a student's ability to compare and order whole numbers to thousands, estimate the size of numbers to the nearest ten or hundred, etc.,
- Shape and space - knowledge in measurement and geometry; and
- Mental math - the ability to perform mathematics mentally.



## The constructed response section (2010/11)

Grade 3 students completed a series of constructed response questions to assess their ability in number operations. These questions are grouped into four components - reasoning, communication, connections and representations, and problem solving. Provincially, students experienced the most success on the problem solving component where $77.1 \%$ of students were assessed at or above grade level. In the other three components, this percentage ranged from $60.5 \%$ in communication to $65.3 \%$ in connections and representations.

With the exception of the Nova Central School District, the percentage of students at or above grade level was fairly consistent across the four districts with between 2.0 and 5.0 percentage points separating the highest and lowest percentage. Nova Central had the highest percentage of students at or above grade level in each of the four components. The largest differences were found in the reasoning and communications components. The percentage of students at or above grade level in the Nova Central School District was 10.0 percentage points higher than the other districts (see figure 4.1a).

Girls typically outperformed boys on the constructed response section. In each of the four components, a higher percentage of girls was assessed at or above grade level than boys. This gender difference ranged from a low of 6.0 percentage points on the problem solving component to 12.6 percentage points on the reasoning component (see figure 4.1b).

Figure 4.1: Proficiency level - Primary mathematics CRT (2010/11)

(a) District and Provincial Performance
(b) Gender Differences
$\square$ Female $\square$ Male
(Source: Table 4.1)


## The multiple choice and written response sections (2010/11)

The multiple choice questions assessed student ability in number concepts, number operations, shape and space and mental math. Provincially, students experienced the most difficulty on the mental math component. The average score was approximately 10.0 percentage points lower than the other three components.

At the district level, a slightly higher average score was found in the Nova Central School District in each of the four components. With the exception of shape and space, the average score was at least 3.0 percentage points higher than the other districts (see figure 4.2a).

There was virtually no gender difference present between the female and male average score in each component (see figure 4.2b).

Figure 4.2: Average score - Primary mathematics CRT (2010/11)
(a) District and Provincial Performance

$\square$ Labrador $\square$ Western $\square$ Nova Central $\square$ Eastern $\square$ Province
(b) Gender Differences

(Source: Table 4.2)

## Provincial trends - Primary level mathematics (2006/07-2010/11)

Figure 4.3 presents the five year trends for the constructed response as well as the multiple choice and written sections. As shown, the percentage of students at or above grade level in each of the four components:

- Experienced a general upward trend since 2006/07;
- Increased dramatically (by at least 22 percentage points) between 2006/07 and 2007/08; and
- Was similar between $2009 / 10$ and $2010 / 11$ in all sections except problem solving where the percentage of students at or above grade level increased by 8.8 percentage points.

The average score on the multiple choice and timed sections was more stable over the past five years in both the number concepts and shape and space components with approximately 7.0 percentage points separating the highest and lowest scores. With the exception of 2008/09, only 2.7 percentage points separated the high and low scores on the number operations section (see figure 4.3b). The mental math section on the 2010/11 CRT was not present in the previous CRTs.

Figure 4.3: Provincial trends - Primary mathematics CRT (2006/07-2010/11)

(a) Contructed Response

■ 2006/07 ■ 2007/08 - 2008/09 - 2009/10 ■ 2010/11
(b) Multiple Choice and Timed Sections

## Elementary Level (Grade 6)

During the elementary years, the mathematics curriculum is designed to help students further develop and strengthen specific skills and strategies for mathematical problem solving. These skills and strategies are applied as part of the development of basic geometric concepts, spatial relations, measurement processes, and basic statistical techniques. The elementary CRT assessment is composed of multiple-choice and constructed response questions in four strands of mathematics - number concepts, number operations, shape and space, and mental mathematics.

## The constructed response section (2010/11)

Grade 6 students completed a series of constructed response questions to assess proficiency in number operations. These questions can be grouped into four components - reasoning, communication, connections and representations, and problem solving.

Provincially, students experienced the most success on the problem solving component where $68.1 \%$ were assessed at or above grade level. Students experienced difficulty on both the communication and the connections and representations components. In each of these, less than half of the students were assessed at or above grade level.

At the district level, students experienced the most success on the problem solving component and the greatest difficulty on the connections and representations component (see figure 4.4a).

Females outperformed their male counterparts in each of the four components assessed. The difference between the percentage of female and male students at or above grade level ranged from 14.6 percentage points on the problem solving component to 20.6 percentage points on the communication component (see figure 4.4b).


Figure 4.4: Proficiency level - Elementary mathematics CRT (2010/11)


## The multiple choice and written response sections (2010/11)

The multiple choice questions assessed student ability in number concepts, number operations, patterns and relations, shape and space and mental math. Provincially, higher average scores were seen on the number concepts, number operations and shape and space components as compared to the patterns and relations, and mental math components.

A consistent average score was seen in each component across the four districts with between 2.0 and 4.0 percentage points separating the highest and lowest score (see figure 4.5a).

The average score of females on each of the components was higher than the males. This gender difference ranged from 1.7 percentage points in the shape and space component to 5.9 percentage points in number operations (see figure $4.5 b$ ).

Figure 4.5: Average score - Elementary mathematics CRT (2010/11)
(a) District and Provincial Performance


- Labrador $\square$ Western $\square$ Nova Central $\square$ Eastern ■ Province
(b) Gender Differences



## Provincial trends - Elementary level mathematics (2006/07-2010/11)

Figure 4.6 tracks student performance on both the constructed response and multiple choice/ written response sections over the past five years. On the constructed response section, the percentage of students at or above grade level:

- Was higher on the problem solving component as compared to the others. This was the only area where a general upward trend was seen.
- Followed a similar pattern in the reasoning and communication components, where the percentage of students at or above grade level was lowest during 2006/07 and 2008/09. The percentage for these two years was approximately 15.0 percentage points lower than the other years.

Between 2009/10 and 2010/11, the percentage of students at or above grade level declined in reasoning, communication and the connections and representations components. The only increase occurred in the problem solving component where the percentage increased by 10.3 points.

On the multiple choice and written response sections:

- The 2010/11 average score declined from 2009/10 in three of the four components. This decrease ranged from 5.0 percentage points on the number operations and shape and space components to 10.0 percentage points on the number concepts component. In the mental math section, the average score increased by 5.5 percentage points.
- A general decline occurred on the number operations component with the average scores decreasing each year between 2007/08 and 2010/11.


Figure 4.6: Provincial trends - Elementary mathematics CRT (2006/07-2010/11)
(a) Constructed Response
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(b) Multiple Choice and Written Response
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(Source: Table 4.6)


## Intermediate Level (Grade 9)

During the intermediate years, students continue to develop and practice the specific skills and strategies necessary for mathematical problem solving. These skills and strategies are applied as part of the consolidation of the concepts and skills of the real number system and measurement, and the development of introductory algebra, informal geometry and basic descriptive statistics.

The intermediate mathematics CRT assesses four strands of the curriculum: numbers, patterns and relations, shape and space, and statistics and probability. Specifically, it assesses each student's ability to:

- Demonstrate number sense and apply numbertheory concepts,
- Use patterns to solve problems,
- Represent algebraic expressions in multiple ways,
- Use measurement to solve problems,
- Describe the characteristics of 3-D objects and 2-D shapes, and analyze the relationships among them,
- Describe and analyze position and motion of objects and shapes,
- Collect and analyze data to solve problems, and
- Use experimental or theoretical probabilities to represent and solve problems involving uncertainty.

The CRT is made up of two sections. The first includes a series of selected response questions. These are multiplechoice questions where students are asked to select the correct response. The second section is composed of constructed response questions where students are expected to write or draw an answer to the question.


## The multiple choice and constructed response sections (2010/11)

Provincially, students experienced the most success on the statistics and probability section where the average score was at least 13.0 percentage points higher than the other components. At the district level, between 6.0 and 8.0 percentage points separated the highest and lowest average scores in each component (see figure 4.7a).

Females had slightly higher average scores than males in each of the four components. This gender difference ranged from 0.9 percentage points for statistics and probability to 4.6 percentage points for the numbers component (see figure 4.7b).

Figure 4.7: Average score - Intermediate mathematics CRT (2010/11)

(a) District and Provincial Performance
$\square$ Labrador $\square$ Western $\square$ Nova Central $\square$ Eastern $\square$ Province
(b) Gender Differences
(Source: Table 4.7)


## CHAPTER 5: PUBLIC EXAMINATIONS

A$t$ the end of the school year, students enrolled in select Level III courses complete public examinations. This chapter will explore student performance on the June 2011 final examinations. District and gender differences will be described as well as five year trends in student performance.

When exploring student performance at the district level and over time, the range will be used to assess the variability of the average final mark and success rate. The range is a simple statistical measure calculated by subtracting the lowest score from the highest score between 2006/07 and 2009/10. If the scores are close together, the range is low meaning student performance has been consistent. This is what would be expected.

Results from the CSF are not included in the chapter due to the low number of students enrolled in public examination courses. In June 2011, students were enrolled in only four public examination courses:
 Mathématiques 3231, Biologie 3231, Historie Mondiale 3231 and English 3201. Two of these courses (Mathématiques 3231 and Biologie 3231) were only offered in the CSF. The number of students in each of these courses ranged from 5 to 10. Student performance in these subjects is provided in the tables found in appendix A. The CSF results are included in the provincial totals in this chapter.

## Student Performance (2010/11)

In the 2010/11 school year, 8,181 students across the province wrote 20,238 public examinations in 14 courses. For the purpose of this chapter, public examination courses are grouped into four subjects: mathematics, language, science and social studies.

## Mathematics

Two mathematics courses had public examinations - Mathematics 3204 (Academic) and Mathematics 3205 (Advanced). As shown in figure 5.1a, final average course grades were fairly consistent across the four districts.

Final average grades in the advanced mathematics course tended to be higher than the academic mathematics course. The average grade in each of the districts ranged between $75.3 \%$ to $83.3 \%$ in Mathematics 3205 as compared to between $59.9 \%$ and $62.9 \%$ for Mathematics 3204. However, these differences must be interpreted with caution. Students who excel in mathematics or who plan on studying mathematics at the post-secondary level are typically encouraged to select advanced mathematics courses in high school rather than the academic mathematics courses. This may attribute to the higher course average seen in Mathematics 3205.

There was little gender difference present in the mathematics courses with between 1.3 and 2.7 points separating the female and male average grade (see figure 5.1b).

Figure 5.1: Student performance in mathematics (2010/11)
(a) District and Provincial Performance

(b) Gender Differences



## Science

In 2010/11, four science courses had public examinations - Biology 3201, Chemistry 3202, Earth Systems 3209 and Physics 3204. No students in the Labrador School District wrote a public examination in Earth Systems 3209. Among these courses, the lowest average grade was found in Biology 3201 and Earth Systems 3209. In both these courses, the average grade was in the low to mid 60's. In the other two courses, the average grade was in the low to mid 70's (see figure 5.2a).

Overall, there was little variation across the districts with approximately 3.0 percentage points separating the highest and lowest average grades. There was somewhat more variation in the Physics 3204 average grade where 6.0 percentage points separated the highest ( $77.8 \%$ in the Labrador School District) and the lowest average grade ( $71.7 \%$ in the Western School District).

There was virtually no gender difference present in the average grades of females and males in Chemistry 3202 and Earth Systems 3209. In the other two courses, Biology 3201 and Physics 3204, the female average grade was between 4.0 and 5.0 points higher (see figure 5.2b).

Figure 5.2: Student performance in science (2010/11)
(a) District and Provincial Performance

(b) Gender Differences


## Languages

Three language courses had public examinations in 2010/11 - English 3201, French 3200 (Core) and Français 3202 (Immersion). There were no students in the Labrador School District who wrote the French 3200 (Core) public examination.

Overall, students performed slightly better in Français 3202 (Immersion) as compared to the other courses. At the district level, the average grade in Français 3202 (Immersion) ranged from $72.5 \%$ to $77.6 \%$ (see figure 5.3a). There was little variation in student performance across the four districts with only three and five points separating the highest and lowest average grades in each course.

Along gender lines, the female average course grade was between 2.3 and 5.3 points higher than the male in each of the three language courses (see figure 5.3b).

Figure 5.3: Student performance in language (2010/11)
(a) District and Provincial Performance

(b) Gender differences


## Social studies

Public examinations occur in the three social studies courses: World History 3201, World Geography 3202 and Histoire mondiale 3231. Average grades in these courses tended to fall in the high 60's. There was little variation in the average grades across the districts in World History 3201 and World Geography 3202 with only 3.0 or 4.0 percentage points separating the highest and lowest grades. The average grade in Historie mondiale 3231 was somewhat more varied with 8.9 points separating the highest ( $70.0 \%$ in the Eastern School District) and lowest ( $61.2 \%$ in the Nova Central School district) average grades (see figure 5.4a). However, this increased variability may be partially attributed to the low course enrolment in the districts. In the Eastern School District, 345 students wrote the public examination. In the other three school districts, the number of students enrolled ranged from 16 to 35 .

The average final grade in the three social studies courses was similar for males and females with less than 2.0 percentage points separating them (see figure 5.4b).

Figure 5.4: Student performance in social studies courses (2010/11)
(a) District and Provincial Performance

(b) Gender Differences


## Five Year Trends (2006/07-2010/11)

The following section will explore provincial trends in student performance on public examinations. Rather than discussing the results of each individual course, they are combined into the same four subjects used throughout this chapter: science, mathematics, language and social studies. Figure 5.5 presents average course grade in each subject over the past five years (i.e., between 2006/07 and 2010/11). Student performance has been quite consistent in each subject with between 0.7 and 2.6 points separating the highest and lowest average grades.

Figure 5.5: Trends in student performance (2006/07-2010/11)


ㅁ 2006/07 - 2007/08 ㅁ 2008/09 - 2009/10 - 2010/11


## CHAPTER 6: PROGRAMME FOR INTERNATIONAL STUDENT ASSESSMENT

The Programme for International Student Assessment (PISA) measures student ability in reading literacy, mathematics literacy, and scientific literacy. It was started in 2000 by the Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) and occurs every three years.

During each testing cycle, one of the three subject areas assessed (i.e., reading, mathematics or science) is considered a main domain and the other two are minor domains. The subject area identified as the major domain for that year involves a more intensive assessment. This allows information to be provided on several sub-domains. For example, the main focus in 2009 testing was on reading literacy and included the following reading sub-domains: accessing and retrieving, integrating and interpreting, reflecting and evaluating, continuous texts and noncontinuous texts.

Information in this chapter was obtained from Measuring Up: Canadian Results of the OECD PISA Study published by Statistics Canada. This report can be viewed at http://www.statcan.gc.ca/pub/81-590-x/81-590-x2010001-eng.pdf.

## Test Administration

In 2009, approximately 470,000 15 year old students from 65 countries and economies around the world were assessed (OECD, 2010, p.3). In Canada, roughly 23,000 students from about 1,000 schools across ten provinces participated. This includes 1,412 students from Newfoundland and Labrador (Knighton, Brochu \& Gluszynski, 2010, p8).

Students completed the 2009 PISA assessment during regular school hours between the months of April and May. This was a paper-and-pencil test that lasted two hours. Students also completed a 20-minute student background questionnaire providing information about themselves and their home and a 10-minute questionnaire on information technology and communications, while school principals completed a 20 -minute questionnaire about their schools. Canadian students completed an additional 20-minute student questionnaire to collect more information on the school experiences of 15-year-olds, their work activities and their relationships with others.

## Scoring

Two scores can be derived from the PISA assessment data: the mean (or average) score and the proficiency level. Since the assessment scales were developed according to levels of difficulty, student performance can be ranked according to proficiency. Each successive level is associated with tasks of increased difficulty (OECD, 2009a, p.134). In other words, a student achieving a proficiency of five is more knowledgeable in a subject matter compared to a student achieving a level of two. In general, a proficiency level of one means a student demonstrates a limited knowledge of the subject and a level of five or six means a student can identify more complex concepts and knowledge. Based on performance, each student is assigned to the highest proficiency level for which $\mathrm{s} /$ he would be expected to answer the majority of the assessment questions correctly.

Confidence intervals were used to determine if differences among the provinces were significantly different. PISA uses a $95 \%$ confidence interval to represent the actual high and low end points where the actual mean score should fall $95 \%$ of the time. Scores are considered to be significantly different if the respective confidence intervals do not overlap. If the confidence intervals overlap, then the differences are not significant.

The remainder of this chapter will focus on the performance of students in Newfoundland and Labrador on each of the three domains. This will include exploring the two measures of student performance (i.e., average scores and proficiency levels). Trend data over the four test administrations will also be provided.

## Assessing Reading Literacy

The reading assessment focuses on determining the ability of students to use written information in situations they will encounter in life. Specifically, PISA defines 'reading literacy' as the ability to understand, use, reflect on and engage with written texts to achieve one's goals, develop one's knowledge and potential and to participate in society (OECD, 2009b, p.23).

Since reading was the major domain, student performance was also assessed on five additional sub-domains. These include:

- Accessing and retrieving: Involves going to the information space provided and navigating in that space to locate and retrieve one or more distinct pieces of information.
- Integrating and interpreting: Involves processing what is read to make internal sense of a text.
- Reflecting and evaluating: Involves drawing upon knowledge, ideas or attitudes beyond the text in order to relate the information provided within the text to one's own conceptual and experiential frames of reference.
- Continuous texts: Are formed by sentences organized into paragraphs. These include newspaper articles, essays, short stories, reviews or letters.
- Non-continuous texts: Are documents that combine several text elements such as lists, tables, graphs, diagrams, advertisements, schedules, catalogues, indexes or forms.



## Average reading scores

Students in Newfoundland and Labrador achieved an average combined reading score of 506 on the 2009 assessment. As shown in figure 6.1, students in four provinces achieved significantly higher average scores. Students in Prince Edward Island scored a significantly lower average score.

Figure 6.1: Average reading scores across Canada (PISA 2009)

(Source: Table 6.1)

## Performance on the sub-domains

Table A presents student performance on the five sub-domains in relation to Newfoundland and Labrador. It reports the provinces where the average score was significantly higher, significantly lower, or similar to (i.e., no significant difference present) Newfoundland and Labrador. As shown, the province's students rank in the middle of the country. In each subdomain, Alberta and Ontario achieved a significantly higher score than Newfoundland and Labrador and Prince Edward Island consistently achieved a significantly lower average score.

Table A: Significant differences in average scores

| Reading sub-domain | List of provinces where the average score was: |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Significantly higher than NL | Not significantly different | Significantly lower than NL |
| Accessing and Retrieving | Alberta Ontario | British Columbia Saskatchewan Manitoba Québec <br> Nova Scotia New Brunswick | Prince Edward Island |
| Integrating and Integrating | British Columbia Alberta Ontario Québec | Saskatchewan Manitoba Nova Scotia New Brunswick | Prince Edward Island |
| Reflecting and Evaluating | British Columbia Alberta Ontario | Saskatchewan Québec Nova Scotia | Manitoba <br> New Brunswick Prince Edward Island |
| Continuous Texts | Alberta Ontario | British Columbia <br> Saskatchewan <br> Manitoba <br> Québec <br> Nova Scotia <br> New Brunswick | Prince Edward Island |
| Non-continuous Texts | British Columbia <br> Alberta <br> Ontario | Saskatchewan <br> Manitoba Québec <br> Nova Scotia | New Brunswick Prince Edward Island |

## Gender differences

Girls consistently outperform boys on the reading assessment. Significant differences existed between the average combined reading scores of boys and girls in each of the ten provinces. This gender gap ranged from a low of 29 points in Nova Scotia to a high of 48 points in Prince Edward Island (see figure 6.2). As shown in table 6.2 in Appendix A, this significant gender gap was also seen in five reading sub-domains. This gap was wider in the average scores of Canadian students in accessing and retrieving and the reflecting and evaluating (38 points) sub-domains. This significant gender gap in student performance on the subdomains was present in each province across Canada. In Newfoundland and Labrador, the female average score was between 5.0 and 10.0 percentage points higher than the male (see figure 6.3).

Figure 6.2: Gender differences in average combined reading scores across Canada (PISA 2009)


Figure 6.3: Gender differences in average reading scores of Newfoundland and Labrador students on the English sub-domains (PISA 2009)


## Reading proficiency

Student reading performance can be divided into six proficiency levels. According to the OECD, level 2 can be considered a baseline level where students begin to demonstrate the reading literacy competencies that will enable them to participate effectively and productively in life. These students are able to determine the main idea in a text, understand relationships or infer meaning when the information is not prominent.

Students assessed with a proficiency level below 2 are considered low performers. While they can still accomplish some reading tasks successfully, they lack some of the fundamental skills needed to prepare them to either enter the workforce or pursue post-secondary education. On the higher end of the reading scale, students with a level 4 or above proficiency level have acquired the level of literacy required to participate effectively and productively in life. These students are capable of the moderately difficult reading tasks. Finally, students assessed at level 5 and above can be considered to be the top performers. These students have a full and detailed understanding of a text whose content or form is unfamiliar (Jakubowski, 2011, p.3; Knighton, Brochu, \& Gluszynski, 2010, p.17).

Figure 6.4 reports Canadian and provincial proficiency levels for combined reading. These levels are grouped into three categories:
(1) Low performers (students performing below the baseline measure of level 2 ),
(2) Typical performers (those with a proficiency level between 2 and 4 ), and
(3) High performers (students achieving a proficiency level of 5 or higher)

Overall, Alberta had the highest percentage ( $16.1 \%$ ) of high performers and Ontario had the lowest percentage ( $8.5 \%$ ) of low performers in the country. Students in Prince Edward Island did not fare very well in the reading assessment. They had the highest percentage of low performers (21.2\%) and the lowest percentage of high performers (6.9\%) in the country.

The proficiency levels of students in Newfoundland and Labrador were in the same range as the other ten Canadian provinces. There were four provinces with a higher percentage of low performers compared to Newfoundland and Labrador and six with a higher percentage of high performers. With the exception of Prince Edward Island, there was a difference of five percentage points separating the high and low percentages of students across Canada with a proficiency level between two and four (the typical performers).


Figure 6.4: Reading proficiency levels across Canada (PISA 2009)

(Source: Table 6.4)
Proficiency on the reading sub-domains
Table B compares two groups of students (low performers and high performers) from each province. It reports the provinces with a higher percentage of low performers and high performers in relation to Newfoundland and Labrador.

Newfoundland and Labrador consistently ranks in the middle of the country with four provinces consistently having a higher percentage of low performers and four or five provinces and the country as a whole having a higher percentage of high performers. British Columbia, Alberta, Ontario and Nova Scotia consistently had a higher percentage of high performers.

The percentage of typical performers (i.e., students with a proficiency level between 2 and 4) was fairly consistent across the country in each of the five subdomains with the difference between the high and low percentages ranging between 5.0 and 10.0 percentage points. The data tables for each sub-domain are provided in table 6.4 in Appendix A.

Table B: Comparison of provincial and jurisdictional proficiency levels on the reading sub-domains

| Reading sub-domain | Provinces with a higher percentage of ... |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Low performers as compared to Newfoundland and Labrador | High performers as compared to Newfoundland and Labrador |
| Assessing and Retrieving | Saskatchewan Manitoba <br> New Brunswick Prince Edward Island | British Columbia <br> Alberta <br> Saskatchewan Ontario Québec Nova Scotia |
| Integrating and Interpreting | Saskatchewan Manitoba <br> New Brunswick Prince Edward Island | British Columbia <br> Alberta <br> Ontario <br> Québec <br> Nova Scotia |
| Reflecting and Evaluating | Saskatchewan Manitoba <br> New Brunswick Prince Edward Island | British Columbia <br> Alberta <br> Ontario <br> Nova Scotia |
| Continuous texts | Saskatchewan Manitoba <br> New Brunswick Prince Edward Island | British Columbia <br> Alberta <br> Ontario <br> Nova Scotia |
| Non Continuous texts | Saskatchewan Manitoba <br> New Brunswick Prince Edward Island | British Columbia <br> Alberta <br> Ontario <br> Québec <br> Nova Scotia |

In Newfoundland and Labrador, approximately three quarters of students were assessed as typical performers. As shown in figure 6.5, similar percentages of high and low performers were present across the five sub-domains.

Figure 6.5: Provincial student proficiency on the reading sub-domains (PISA 2009)

(Source: Table 6.5)

## Mathematical and Scientific Literacy

In PISA 2009, mathematics and science were the minor domains. In other words, there was less time devoted to assessing student performance in these two areas. Due to this, only the average scores were calculated. The proficiency levels were not determined.

To assess proficiency in mathematics, PISA uses the concept of mathematical literacy. This is defined as the 'capacity to identify, understand and to engage in mathematics and make well-founded judgements about the role that mathematics plays, as needed for individuals' current and future private life, occupational life, social life with peers and relatives and as a constructive, concerned and reflective citizen' (OECD, 2009b, p.14).

The science assessment was designed to determine how well students have learned fundamental scientific concepts and theories, and apply this information in life's experiences. To accomplish this, PISA measures scientific literacy or 'an individual's scientific knowledge and use of that knowledge to identify questions, to acquire new knowledge, to explain scientific phenomena, and to draw evidence based conclusions about science-related issues, understanding of the characteristic features of science as a form of human knowledge and enquiry, awareness of how science and technology shape our material, intellectual, and cultural environments, and willingness to engage in science-related issues, and with the ideas of science, as a reflective citizen' (OECD, 2009b, p.14).

## Average mathematical and science scores

Across Canada, the average mathematics score ranged from 487 in Prince Edward Island to 543 in Québec As shown in figure 6.6a, four provinces and Canada scored significantly higher average scores and one province (Prince Edward Island) scored significantly lower. For science, average scores ranged from 495 in Prince Edward Island to 545 in Alberta. There were three provinces and Canada where the average score was significantly higher than Newfoundland and Labrador and two provinces where it was significantly lower (see figure 6.6b).

Figure 6.6: Average scores across Canada (PISA 2009)
(a) Mathematics

(b) Science

(Source: Table 6.6)

## Gender differences in average scores

Overall, males performed better on both the mathematics and science assessments. In each of the provinces, males achieved a higher average score than females. As shown in figure 6.7a, there were five provinces where this gender difference in the average mathematics score was significantly different. For science, only two provinces (New Brunswick and Québec) had a significant gender difference (see figure 6.7b). In Newfoundland and Labrador, there was no significant gender difference present in either the mathematics or science assessments.

Figure 6.7: Gender differences in average scores (PISA 2009)
(a) Mathematics

(b) Science


[^0](Source: Table 6.7)

## Provincial Trends in Student Performance

Since PISA started in 2000, there have been four assessment cycles (i.e. in 2000, 2003, 2006 and 2009). Figure 6.8 reports the average scores of Newfoundland and Labrador students in each of the three subject areas assessed. When a subject is a major domain for that specific year, the combined score was used. For example, during the 2006 administration, science was the major domain. As a result, the average score in combined science was used.

While there has been some variation in the average scores during the four cycles, there was no significant difference present from year to year. In other words, students in Newfoundland and Labrador have consistently scored about the same during each of the four assessments conducted.

Figure 6.8: Trends in provincial average scores (2000-2009)


## CHAPTER 7: PAN-CANADIAN ASSESSMENT PROGRAM

In 2010, over 32,000 Grade 8 students from across Canada took part in the Pan-Canadian Assessment Program (PCAP). This included 1,861 students from Newfoundland and Labrador. This chapter will provide an overview of how this province's students are performing in the three areas assessed: reading, mathematics and science. Information in this chapter was obtained from the PCAP-2010 report produced by the Council of Ministers of Education. This report can be viewed at http://www.cmec.ca/Publications/Lists/Publications/ Attachments/270/pcap2010.pdf.

## What is PCAP?

The Pan-Canadian Assessment Program (PCAP) was created by the Council of Ministers of Education, Canada (CMEC) to assess the performance of students in Grade 8 across three core subjects: reading, mathematics and science. Since the PCAP assessment is not tied to any specific provincial or territorial curriculum, it can be considered to be a fair measurement of a student's ability to use his/her learning skills to solve real-life situations.

Similar to PISA, PCAP is administered once every three years with each cycle assessing one major domain and two minor domains. In its first cycle in 2007, reading was the major domain and in 2010, it was mathematics.

## Question types



The PCAP assessment is composed of two different question types. On selected response (or multiple choice) items, students are provided with a list of specific choices from which they must select a response. The second type is constructed response items where students must write a response to a question. This response can range from a single word or phrase to longer responses of two to three sentences. For the mathematics questions, responses can include symbols, numbers, graphs, diagrams, and calculations.

## Performance measures

Two performance measures can be derived from the assessment results: mean (or average) score and proficiency level. In PCAP, the Canadian average score was set at 500 points with a standard deviation of 100. In other words, about two thirds of all the Canadian students scored between 400 and 600 points in the assessments. This standardization of the Canadian mean allows comparisons to be made across provincial jurisdictions.

Significant differences among the jurisdictions were calculated in the same way as on the PISA assessment - based on confidence intervals. The reported average scores in this report provide an estimate of the achievement result students would have demonstrated if all students participated in the assessment. Since these were estimated (not exact) scores, there was some degree of error produced. To take into account this error, a range of scores is provided for each estimated average score. This range of scores is called a confidence interval. PCAP used a $95 \%$ confidence interval which means the actual mean score should fall between the low and high points of the range, $95 \%$ of the time. In the charts in this chapter, the confidence intervals are represented by the following symbol: $I-I$. If the confidence intervals overlap, then the differences among the average scores are defined as not statistically significant.

The second measure allows student performance to be ranked into four proficiency levels of increasing difficulty. A student assessed at a proficiency level of 4 would be able to demonstrate a greater depth of understanding as compared to a student assessed at level 1. Based on current curriculum expectations in mathematics across Canada, students in Grade 8 should demonstrate a proficiency of at least 2 . Students who demonstrate a proficiency level of one are performing below what is expected in Grade 8.

Since reading and science were the minor domains in the 2010 assessment, proficiency levels were not reported. Also, gender differences at the provincial level were not available in these two subject areas.

## The Mathematics Assessment

In Newfoundland and Labrador, the average score of the mathematics assessment was 472 . As shown in figure 7.1, this was significantly lower than the Canadian average and the average in four provinces (Québec, Ontario, Alberta and British Columbia). There was no significant difference present between Newfoundland and Labrador and the remaining provinces where the average score ranged between 460 and 478.

Figure 7.1: Average scores in mathematics (PCAP-2010)


## Proficiency levels

As previously stated, grade 8 students should be able to demonstrate a proficiency level of at least 2. As shown in figure 7.3, this was indeed the case. The percentage of students at or above level 2 ranged from $84 \%$ in Manitoba to $93 \%$ in Ontario. For Newfoundland and Labrador, this percentage was $89 \%$.

Figure 7.2: Percentage of students with a proficiency of level 2 or higher (PCAP-2010)

(Source: Table 7.2)
Mathematics sub-domains
PCAP assessed student ability in four sub-domains of mathematics: number and operations, geometry and measurement, patterns and relationships, and data management and probability.

In Newfoundland and Labrador, the average score ranged from a low of 467 on the geometry and measurement sub-domain to a high of 490 on the data management and probability sub-domain. The average score on the data management and probability sub-domain was significantly higher than the average score in both the number and operations, and the geometry and measurement sub-domains (see figure 7.3).

Table C compares the average score in Newfoundland and Labrador to the rest of Canada. As shown, the province performed the best on the data management and probability sub-domain where only two provinces (Ontario and Québec) had a significantly higher average score. On the other hand, on the number and operations sub-domain, six provinces had a significantly higher average score. Table 7.3 in Appendix A provides the actual average scores in each sub-domain.

Figure 7.3: Provincial average scores on the mathematics sub-domains (PCAP-2010)

(Source: Table 7.3)


Table C: $\quad$ Significant differences in average scores

| Mathematics sub-domain | List of provinces where the average score was: |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Significantly higher than NL | Not significantly different | Significantly lower than NL |
| Number and Operations | British Columbia <br> Alberta <br> Saskatchewan Ontario <br> Québec <br> New Brunswick Canada | Manitoba <br> Nova Scotia Prince Edward Island Yukon | -- |
| Geometry and Measurement | Alberta <br> Ontario <br> Québec <br> Nova Scotia Canada | British Columbia <br> Saskatchewan <br> New Brunswick Yukon | Manitoba Prince Edward Island |
| Patterns and Relationships | Alberta Ontario Québec Canada | British Columbia <br> Saskatchewan Manitoba New Brunswick Nova Scotia Yukon | Prince Edward Island |
| Data <br> Management and Probability | Ontario Québec Canada | British Columbia <br> Alberta <br> New Brunswick Nova Scotia | Saskatchewan Manitoba Prince Edward Island Yukon |

## Gender differences

In general, there were typically no significant difference between the female and male average score across Canada. This was the case in Newfoundland and Labrador (see figure 7.4).

There was one exception to this. The male average score on the number and operations sub-domain in British Columbia, Alberta, Québec and Canada was significantly higher than the female average score.

Figure 7.4: Provincial gender differences in the average scores on the mathematics sub-domains (PCAP-2010)

$\square$ Female $\square$ Male
(Source: Table 7.4)


Across Canada, the average score on the science assessment ranged from a low of 478 in the Yukon Territory to 515 in Alberta. In Newfoundland and Labrador, the average score was 487. As shown in figure 7.5a, only three provinces (British Columbia, Alberta and Ontario) had a significantly higher score.

On the reading assessment, Canadian scores ranged from 465 in the Yukon Territory to 515 in Ontario. In this province, the average score was 486 . Once again, only British Columbia, Alberta and Ontario achieved a significantly higher average score (see figure 7.5b).

In Newfoundland and Labrador, the female average score was significantly higher than the male average score. As shown in table D , this significant gender difference was present in most of the provinces across Canada. Table 7.5 in Appendix A provides the actual average scores.

Figure 7.5: Average scores on the science and reading assessments (PCAP-2010)
(a) Science

(b) Reading


Table D: $\quad$ Significant gender differences in the science and reading assessments

| Subject area | Jurisdictions with a significant <br> gender difference | Jurisdictions without a significant <br> gender difference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Science | Saskatchewan <br> Ontario <br> Québec <br> New Brunswick <br> Nova Scotia | British Columbia <br> Alberta <br> Manitoba |
| Reading | Newfoundland and Labrador <br> Canada | Prince Edward Island <br> Yukon |
|  | British Columbia <br> Alberta <br> Saskatchewan <br> Manitoba <br> Ontario <br> Québec <br> New Brunswick <br> Nova Scotia | Prince Edward Island |
|  | Newfon |  |



## Provincial Trends

Average scores on the mathematics, science and reading assessments were available for the 2007 and 2009 administrations. In Newfoundland and Labrador, the average score on mathematics and science did not change significantly. The 2009 reading average score was significantly higher than 2007 with a difference of approximately 22 points (see figure 7.6).

Figure 7.6: Average scores (PCAP 2007 and 2010)


ㅁ2007 - 2009

## PART III: SELECTED TOPICS

In the spring of 2010, the Quality of School Life (QSL) survey was administered to Grade 9 and Level III students across the province. The survey was composed of 49 statements where respondents stated how much they agreed or disagreed with each item on a four point scale.

This survey gathered information across eight dimensions:
(1) Student Satisfaction (or Positive Affect) reflects the favourable feelings students may have about school. A typical survey item is "School is a place where I really like to go each day".
(2)

Student Dissatisfaction (or Negative Affect) refers to negative feelings students may have about school in general. An example of item on the survey is "School is a place where I feel lonely".
Opportunity to Learn refers to the sense of confidence in ones ability to be successful in school work. A typical item is "School is a place where I am happy with how well I do".
(4) Extent to Which School is Useful assesses how relevant students feel their schooling is to them. A typical item is "School is a place where I learn the things I need to know".
(5) Extent Students Identify with the School assesses how well students are learning about other people and getting along with a diverse range of people. A sample item is "School is a place where I learn to get along with other people".
(6) Student Perception of their own Status within the School refers to the relative degree of prestige accorded to the individual by others within the school. A typical item is "School is a place where I feel important."
Student Perception of Teachers refers to how students feel about their teachers. "School is place teachers treat me fairly in class" is a sample item.
(8) Safety and Security assesses the degree students feel safe in their school environment. A sample item from the survey is "School is a place where I'm afraid I might get hurt".

## Respondent Information

A total of 7,780 Grade 9 and Level III students from 160 schools across the province completed the QSL survey. With the exception of the Labrador School District, approximately half the students were in Grade 9 and the remaining in Level III. In the Labrador School District, 71.8\% of the respondents were in Grade 9 and the remaining 28.2\% in Level III.

Along gender lines, the overall group was composed of a similar percentage of males and females $(47.9 \%$ and $50.4 \%$ respectively). This information was not available for the remaining $1.6 \%$ of the students.

The rest of this chapter will explore each of these eight dimensions. The average percentage in agreement is used to summarize the responses for each dimension. For example, there were seven statements that assessed student satisfaction. If the percentage of students agreeing with each of these seven statements was $80.8,75.4,90.5,78.5,68.7,87.4$ and 95.6 , the average percentage in agreement would be $82.4 \%([80.8+75.4+90.5+78.5+68.7+87.4+95.6] / 7)$. In other words, on average, $82.4 \%$ of students felt satisfied with their school

## Total Responses

In the following four dimensions, the average percentage of agreement was at least $70 \%$ (see figure 8.1). These include:

- $76.7 \%$ held a favourable perception of their teachers,
- $74.3 \%$ identified with their school,
- $72.3 \%$ felt they had sufficient opportunities to learn, and
- $71.1 \%$ felt safe and secure at school

At least half of the students were satisfied with their school, believed school was useful, and had a positive view of their status within their school.


Figure 8.1: Percentage of students in agreement across the eight dimensions

(Source: Table 8.1)

## Significant Differences

An independent t -test and one way ANOVA was used to identify significant differences among the various groups. The following figures highlight these differences. As shown in figure 8.2a, there were four categories where significant differences were present at the district level. In general,

- The Labrador School District had the highest percentage of students who were satisfied with school and had a positive perception of their teachers.
- The Western School District had the highest percentage of students dissatisfied with school and the lowest percentage who felt safe and secure at school.
- The Eastern School District had the lowest percentage of students with a positive status at school.

There were two categories where a significant difference was present between the average percentage in agreement of Grade 9 and Level III students. As shown in figure 8.2 b, Level III students typically held a more positive perception of their teachers and felt safe and secure while at school as compared to the Grade 9 students.

In terms of gender differences, girls were typically more satisfied with school, felt they had more opportunities to learn and had a more positive perception of their teachers as compared to boys. Boys on the other hand, tended to be more dissatisfied with school than girls (see figure 8.2c).

Figure 8.2: Significant differences in average percent in agreement

(b) Grade level

(c) Gender


## Focusing on Safety and Security

While $71.1 \%$ of students felt safe and secure at school, close to one third (28.9\%) of students did not. To further explore this dimension, responses to each of the four statements will be examined. Students were asked how much they agreed or disagreed with the following:

School is a place where:

- I feel safe from personal harm
- I'm afraid I might be hurt
- Students seem to hurt each other a lot
- Students pick on each other all the time

While the majority of students feel safe from personal harm at school, there is a high percentage who feel students either frequently hurt or pick on other students.

At the district level, significant differences were present in the responses to each of the three statements. In the Western School District, a lower percentage of students reported feeling safe as compared to the other districts. This district also had a higher percentage who agreed with 'students seem to hurt each other a lot' and 'Students pick on each other all the time' (see figure 8.3a).

Significant differences existed between the percentage of Grade 9 and Level III students in agreement with each of these statements. Grade 9 students appear to feel more at risk of harm and more exposed to situations where their peers frequently pick on or hurt one another (see figure 8.3b). Along gender lines, a significantly higher percentage of females reported feeling safe in school compared to males ( $80.7 \%$ vs. $76.0 \%, \mathrm{p}=0.000$ ). There were no other significant gender differences present (see figure 8.3c).


Figure 8.3: Percentage of students in agreement with the following safety statements

(Source: Table 8.3)

## CHAPTER 9: GRADUATION

TThis chapter will focus on describing the province's high school graduates. It is important to note that the provincial and gender percentages are based on the performance of all students in the province. When results are reported at the district level, the figures in this chapter only report four districts (the Labrador, Western, Nova Central and Eastern School Districts). The pass rate and graduation status of students in the CSF, private, First Nations and other schools are not included in the figures because of the low number of students. For example, in 2010/11, there were nine students eligible to graduate in the CSF. These percentages are included in the tables located in the appendix.

## Pass Rate (2010/11)

The pass rate is a provincial measure used to describe the number of students graduating from high school. It is calculated by dividing the actual number of graduates by the number of eligible ${ }^{1}$ graduates in a given school.

As shown in figure 9.1, the vast majority of students who were eligible to graduate in 2010/11 did. In 2010/11, the provincial pass rate was $91.7 \%$. At the district level, the pass rate ranged from a low of $91.1 \%$ in Nova Central to $92.8 \%$ in the Labrador School District. Along gender lines, the female pass rate was slightly higher than the male ( $92.4 \%$ vs. $90.9 \%$ ).

Figure 9.1: Provincial and district pass rates (2010/11)

(Source: Table 9.1)

[^1]
## Trends in provincial and district pass rate (2005/06-2010/11)

The provincial pass rate has remained stable at approximately $91.0 \%$ over the past five years. At the district level, the pass rate has also remained fairly stable. The only exception was in the Labrador School District where the pass rate increased every year between 2005/06 to 2009/10 before declining slightly in 2010/11 (see figure 9.2a).

Along gender lines, girls consistently had a higher pass rate than boys. Each year, the female pass rate was between 1.7 and 4.7 percentage points higher than the male pass rate (see 9.2b). This gender gap, however, is closing. In 2006/07, the female pass rate was 4.7 percentage points higher than the male but by 2010/11 this gap narrowed to only 1.5 points.

Figure 9.2: Pass rate trends (2006/07-2010/11)
(a) District trends

(b) Gender differences


## Graduation Status

There are three types of diploma students can earn upon graduation. Students receive an honours diploma upon graduation if they achieve an overall average of $80 \%$ in five subject areas (English, mathematics, science, social studies and an elective). If students meet the same criteria as the honours diploma but have a minimum mark of $50 \%$, they will graduate with an academic diploma. Finally, a student is awarded a general high school diploma if they meet the minimum graduation requirements but do not meet the additional requirements for an academic or honours diploma.

In 2010/11, the majority ( $61.5 \%$ ) of students graduated from school with either an academic or honours diploma. At the district level, the percentage of students who graduated with an academic or honours diploma ranged from $56.9 \%$ in Labrador to $71.3 \%$ in the Eastern district (see figure 9.3). A higher percentage of girls graduated with an academic or honours diploma than boys ( $73.5 \%$ vs. $60.2 \%$ ).

Figure 9.3: Graduation status (2010/11)

$\square$ Academic/Honours diploma $\square$ General diploma
(Source: Table 9.3)

green

## APPENDIX A: LIST OF TABLES

Chapter 3: The English Language Arts CRT

Note: In chapters 3 and 4, the number of students in the province (reported as the n -value in the following tables) is based on all students in the province. This includes students in the CSF, private schools, First Nation and other school types not included in the other five districts. However, the Mushuau Innu Natuashish and Peenamin McKenzie School are excluded from district and provincial results.

Table 3.1: Proficiency level - Primary ELA CRT (2010/11)
(a) District and provincial performance on the constructed response section

| District | Number of <br> students assessed | Percentage of students at or above grade <br> level |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Reading | Writing |
| Labrador | 782 | 67.6 | 71.0 |
| Western | 79.8 | 71.1 |  |
| Nova Central | 2,481 | 68.3 | 67.5 |
| Eastern | 4,315 | 65.4 | 73.2 |
| Province |  | 71.9 |  |

(b) Gender difference on the constructed response section

| Gender | Number of students <br> assessed | Percentage of students at or above grade <br> level |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Reading | Writing |
| Female | 2,132 | 72.2 | 80.9 |
| Male | 2,183 | 58.1 | 63.1 |
| Gender difference | -- | 14.1 | 17.8 |

Table 3.2: Average score - Primary ELA CRT (2010/11)
(a) District and provincial average scores

| District | Number of students <br> assessed | Average score |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Reading | Listening |
| Labrador | 782 | 75.7 | 83.6 |
| Western | 792 | 78.0 | 87.9 |
| Nova Central | 2,481 | 81.6 | 88.2 |
| Eastern | 4,315 | 79.8 | 87.9 |
| Province | 79.7 | 87.9 |  |

(b) Gender difference

| Gender | Number of students <br> assessed | Percentage of students at or above grade level |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Reading | Listening |
| Female | 2,183 | 80.3 | 87.9 |
| Male | -- | 79.0 | 87.9 |
| Gender difference | 1.3 | 0.0 |  |



Table 3.3: Provincial trends - Primary ELA CRT (2006/07-2010/11)
(a) Constructed response section

| Year | Number of students <br> assessed | Percentage of students at or above grade <br> level |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Reading | Writing |  |
| $2006 / 07$ | 4,975 | 60.9 | 74.5 |
| $2007 / 08$ | 4,509 | 62.5 | 72.8 |
| $2008 / 09$ | 4,506 | 59.2 | 74.8 |
| $2009 / 10$ | 4,317 | 67.5 | 73.9 |
| $2010 / 11$ | 4,315 | 65.4 | 71.9 |

(b) Multiple choice section

| Year | Number of students <br> assessed | Percentage of students at or above grade <br> level |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Listening |  |
| $2006 / 07$ | 4,975 | 89.7 | 92.1 |
| $2007 / 08$ | 4,509 | 88.6 | 85.5 |
| $2008 / 09$ | 4,506 | 88.3 | 95.4 |
| $2009 / 10$ | 4,317 | 92.1 | 80.9 |
| $2010 / 11$ | 4,315 | 79.7 | 80.9 |

Table 3.4: Proficiency level - Elementary ELA CRT (2010/11)
(a) District and provincial performance on the constructed response section

| District | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Number of students } \\ \text { assessed }\end{array}$ | Percentage of students at or above grade |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |$]$

(b) Gender difference on the constructed response section

| Gender | Number of students <br> assessed | Percentage of students at or above grade level |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Reading | Writing |
| Female | 2,553 | 74.4 | 84.6 |
| Male | -- | 49.9 | 64.4 |
| Gender difference |  | 24.5 | 20.2 |

## Table 3.5: Average score - Elementary ELA CRT (2010/11)

(a) District and provincial average scores

| District | Number of students assessed | Average score |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Reading | Listening |
| Labrador | 278 | 76.5 | 61.3 |
| Western | 864 | 78.4 | 64.0 |
| Nova Central | 951 | 78.8 | 66.9 |
| Eastern | 2,997 | 80.3 | 68.2 |
| Province | 5,157 | 79.5 | 67.0 |

(b) Gender difference

| Gender | Number of students <br> assessed | Reading | Listening |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 81.5 | 69.2 |
| Male | 2,553 | 77.4 | 64.7 |
| Gender difference | -- | 4.1 | 4.5 |



Table 3.6: Provincial trends - Elementary ELA CRT (2006/07-2010/11)
(a) Constructed response section

| Year | Number <br> of students <br> assessed | Percentage of students at or above grade level |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Reading | Writing |  |
| $2006 / 07$ | 5,327 | 62.2 | 76.1 |
| $2007 / 08$ | 5,273 | 80.7 | 85.1 |
| $2008 / 09$ | 5,221 | 61.7 | 78.7 |
| $2009 / 10$ | 5,179 | 69.2 | 81.4 |
| $2010 / 11$ | 5,157 | 62.5 | 74.7 |

(b) Multiple choice section

| Year | Number of students <br> assessed | Average score |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Reading | Listening |
| $2006 / 07$ | 5,273 | 78.0 | 92.3 |
| $2007 / 08$ | 5,221 | 84.9 | 91.0 |
| $2008 / 09$ | 5,179 | 87.1 | 87.7 |
| $2009 / 10$ | 5,157 | 81.0 | 86.7 |
| $2010 / 11$ |  | 79.5 | 67.0 |

Table 3.7: Proficiency level - Intermediate ELA CRT (2010/11)
(a) District and provincial performance on the constructed response section

| District | Number of students <br> assessed | Percentage of students at or above grade <br> level |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Reading | Writing |
| Western | 957 | 58.1 | 83.3 |
| Nova Central | 931 | 66.8 | 84.8 |
| Eastern | 3,083 | 64.3 | 83.5 |
| Province | 5,297 | 66.2 | 82.8 |


(b) Gender difference on the constructed response section

| Gender | Number of students <br> assessed | Percentage of students at or above grade level |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Female | 2,590 | Reading | Writing |
| Male | 2,707 | 76.0 | 92.3 |
| Gender difference | -- | 54.8 | 74.6 |

Table 3.8: Average score - Intermediate ELA CRT (2010/11)
(a) District and provincial average scores

| District | Number of students assessed | Average reading score |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Labrador | 259 | 66.0 |
| Western | 957 | 67.6 |
| Nova Central | 931 | 65.2 |
| Eastern | 3,083 | 68.9 |
| Province | 5,297 | 67.9 |

(b) Gender difference

| Gender | Number of students assessed | Average reading score |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Female | 2,590 | 68.6 |
| Male | 2,707 | 67.2 |
| Gender difference | -- | 1.4 |

Table 3.9: Provincial trends - Intermediate ELA CRT (2006/07-2010/11)
(a) Constructed response section

| Year | Number of students <br> assessed | Percentage of students at or above grade <br> level |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Reading | Writing |
| $2006 / 07$ | 5,879 | 73.4 | 83.5 |
| $2007 / 08$ | 5,352 | 75.3 | 86.1 |
| $2008 / 09$ | 5,268 | 77.5 | 83.0 |
| $2009 / 10$ | 5,306 | 71.6 | 85.5 |
| $2010 / 11$ | 5,297 | 65.3 | 83.3 |

(b) Multiple choice section

| Year | Number of students assessed | Average reading score |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2006 / 07$ | 5,327 | 77.0 |
| $2007 / 08$ | 5,273 | 77.7 |
| $2008 / 09$ | 5,221 | 77.1 |
| $2009 / 10$ | 5,179 | 82.2 |
| $2010 / 11$ | 5,297 | 67.9 |

## Chapter 4: The Mathematics CRT

Table 4.1: Proficiency level - Primary mathematics CRT (2010/11)
(a) District and provincial performance on the constructed response section

| District | Number of students assessed | Percentage of students at or above grade level |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Reasoning | Communication | Connections \& Representations | Problem Solving |
| Labrador | 230 | 62.7 | 56.8 | 65.8 | 72.4 |
| Western | 834 | 58.2 | 57.4 | 64.0 | 74.3 |
| Nova Central | 843 | 71.3 | 70.6 | 71.4 | 81.0 |
| Eastern | 2,833 | 58.8 | 58.8 | 63.8 | 77.4 |
| Province | 4,839 | 61.2 | 60.5 | 65.3 | 77.1 |

(b) Gender difference on the constructed response section

| Gender | Number of <br> students <br> assessed | Percentage of students at or above grade level |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Reasoning | Communication |  <br> Representations | Problem <br> Solving |  |
| Female | 2,455 | 67.3 | 66.0 | 70.7 | 80.1 |
| Male | 2,384 | 54.7 | 54.7 | 59.6 | 74.1 |
| Gender <br> difference | -- | 12.6 | 11.3 | 11.1 | 6.0 |



Table 4.2: Average score - Primary mathematics CRT (2010/11)
(a) District and provincial average scores

|  | Number of <br> District <br> students <br> assessed | Number <br> Concepts | Number <br> Operations | Shape and <br> Space | Mental <br> Math |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labrador |  | 73.1 | 72.4 | 77.3 | 65.3 |
| Western | 834 | 76.3 | 73.3 | 78.6 | 65.4 |
| Nova Central | 843 | 81.3 | 77.4 | 79.7 | 70.0 |
| Eastern | 2,833 | 76.9 | 74.2 | 76.7 | 67.1 |
| Province | 4,839 | 77.5 | 74.6 | 77.5 | 67.3 |

(b) Gender difference

| Gender | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Number of } \\ \text { students } \\ \text { assessed }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Number } \\ \text { Concepts }\end{array}$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | \(\left.\begin{array}{c}Number <br>

Operations\end{array} ~ $$
\begin{array}{c}\text { Shape and } \\
\text { Space }\end{array}
$$ \quad $$
\begin{array}{c}\text { Mental } \\
\text { Math }\end{array}
$$\right]\)

Table 4.3: Provincial trends - Primary mathematics CRT (2006/07-2010/11)
(a) Constructed response section

| $\begin{array}{c}\text { Year } \\ \text { Number } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { students } \\ \text { assessed }\end{array}$ | Reasoning |  |  |  | Communication |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | \(\left.\begin{array}{c}Connections \& <br>

Representations\end{array} \quad $$
\begin{array}{c}\text { Problem } \\
\text { Solving }\end{array}
$$\right]\)
(b) Multiple choice and written response section

| Year | Average score |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Number <br> Concepts | Number <br> Operations | Shape and <br> Space |
| $2006 / 07$ |  | 70.7 | 76.9 | 84.5 |
| $2007 / 08$ | 4,987 | 75.6 | 75.8 | 76.9 |
| $2008 / 09$ | 4,900 | 73.5 | 85.0 | 81.4 |
| $2009 / 10$ | 4,809 | 76.0 | 77.3 | 83.4 |
| $2010 / 11$ | 4,839 | 77.5 | 74.6 | 77.5 |

Table 4.4: Proficiency level - Elementary mathematics CRT (2010/11)
(a) District and provincial performance on the constructed response section

| Number <br> District <br> of students <br> assessed | Percentage of students at or above grade level |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Reasoning | Communication |  <br> Representations | Problem <br> Solving |  |
| Labrador | 264 | 46.2 | 38.9 | 35.5 | 64.1 |
| Western | 841 | 56.9 | 50.3 | 38.1 | 70.0 |
| Nova Central | 912 | 53.9 | 46.7 | 38.3 | 67.7 |
| Eastern | 2,943 | 51.2 | 42.5 | 33.2 | 67.9 |
| Province | 5,054 | 52.3 | 44.4 | 35.3 | 68.1 |

(b) Gender difference on the constructed response section

| Number <br> Gender <br> of students <br> assessed | Reasoning | Communication |  <br> Representations | Problem Solving |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Female | 2,553 | 61.3 | 54.6 | 43.3 | 75.2 |
| Male | 2,501 | 42.9 | 34.0 | 27.0 | 60.6 |
| Gender <br> difference | -- | 18.4 | 20.6 | 16.3 | 14.6 |

Table 4.5: Average score - Elementary mathematics CRT (2010/11)
(a) District and provincial average scores

|  |  | Average score |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District | Number <br> of students <br> assessed | Number <br> Concepts | Number <br> Operations | Patterns <br> and <br> Relations | Shape and <br> Space | Mental <br> Math |  |
| Labrador | 264 | 67.2 | 68.1 | 56.9 | 67.1 | 58.4 |  |
| Western | 841 | 66.4 | 69.0 | 57.0 | 66.8 | 56.9 |  |
| Nova <br> Central | 912 | 67.9 | 67.8 | 60.7 | 66.3 | 60.9 |  |
| Eastern | 2,943 | 66.9 | 66.6 | 56.6 | 65.0 | 58.7 |  |
| Province | 5,054 | 67.1 | 67.4 | 57.5 | 65.7 | 58.9 |  |

(b) Gender difference

| Gender | Number of students assessed | Average score |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Number Concepts | Number Operations | Patterns and <br> Relations | Shape and Space | Mental Math |
| Female | 2,553 | 68.7 | 70.3 | 59.9 | 66.5 | 60.4 |
| Male | 2,501 | 65.5 | 64.4 | 55.0 | 64.8 | 57.3 |
| Gender difference | -- | 3.2 | 5.9 | 4.9 | 1.7 | 3.1 |

Table 4.6: Provincial trends - Elementary mathematics CRT (2006/07-2010/11)
(a) Constructed response section

| Year | Number <br> of students <br> assessed | Percentage of students at or above grade level |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Reasoning | Communication |  <br> Representations | Problem <br> Solving |  |
| $2006 / 07$ | 5,327 | 33.2 | 30.7 | 40.2 | 50.6 |
| $2007 / 08$ | 5,197 | 48.4 | 42 | 41.3 | 55.4 |
| $2008 / 09$ | 5,147 | 36.5 | 30.6 | 36.2 | 42.5 |
| $2009 / 10$ | 5,083 | 54.5 | 46.5 | 43.9 | 57.8 |
| $2010 / 11$ | 5,054 | 52.3 | 44.4 | 35.3 | 68.1 |

(b) Multiple choice and written response section

| Year | Number <br> of students <br> assessed | Number <br> Concepts | Number <br> Operations | Shape and <br> Space | Mental <br> Math |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2006 / 07$ | 5,327 | 62.7 | 67.9 | 71.1 | 73.3 |
| $2007 / 08$ | 5,197 | 69.8 | 77.8 | 59.2 | 69.5 |
| $2008 / 09$ | 5,147 | 67.1 | 77.1 | 57.9 | 58.9 |
| $2009 / 10$ | 5,083 | 76.9 | 72.3 | 71.0 | 53.4 |
| $2010 / 11$ | 5,054 | 67.1 | 67.4 | 65.7 | 58.9 |

Table 4.7: Average score - Intermediate mathematics CRT (2010/11)
(a) District and provincial average scores

| District | Number of students assessed | Average score |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Numbers | Patterns and Relations | Shape and Space | Statistics and Probability |
| Labrador | 251 | 59.5 | 57.4 | 64.4 | 73.3 |
| Western | 918 | 63.4 | 64.5 | 69.0 | 81.2 |
| Nova Central | 897 | 54.9 | 59.7 | 62.2 | 76.7 |
| Eastern | 2,999 | 58.5 | 63.5 | 65.6 | 80.0 |
| Province | 5,132 | 59.0 | 62.8 | 65.7 | 79.4 |

(b) Gender difference

|  |  | Average score |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gender |  | Numbers | Patterns and <br> Relations | Shape and <br> Space | Statistics <br> and <br> Probability |
| Female |  | 61.4 | 64.7 | 66.9 | 79.8 |
| Male |  | 56.8 | 61.0 | 64.5 | 78.9 |
| Gender <br> difference |  | 4.6 | 3.7 | 2.4 | 0.9 |

## Chapter 5: Public Examinations

Table 5.1: Student performance in mathematics courses (2010/11)
(a) District and provincial results

| Course name | District | Number of students | Average final grade (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mathematics 3204 <br> (Academic) | Labrador | 83 | 59.9 |
|  | Western | 543 | 62.9 |
|  | Nova Central | 439 | 62.8 |
|  | Eastern | 1,601 | 61.4 |
|  | Other | 48 | 62.8 |
|  | Province | 2,714 | 61.9 |
| Mathematics 3205 <br> (Advanced) | Labrador | 49 | 81.7 |
|  | Western | 235 | 80.4 |
|  | Nova Central | 263 | 75.3 |
|  | Eastern | 749 | 80.2 |
|  | Other | 12 | 83.3 |
|  | Province | 1,308 | 79.3 |
| Mathématiques 3231 | CSF | 6 | 54.8 |
|  | Province | 6 | 54.8 |

Note: Other includes private schools, First Nation and other school types not included in the other five districts.
(b) Gender difference

| Course name | Female |  | Male |  | Gender difference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number of students | Average final grade (\%) | Number of students | Average final grade (\%) |  |
| Mathematics 3204 (Academic) | 1,466 | 63.2 | 1,248 | 60.5 | 2.7 |
| Mathematics 3205 (Advanced) | 726 | 79.9 | 582 | 78.6 | 1.3 |
| Mathématiques $3231$ | 4 | 51.0 | 2 | 62.5 | -11.5 |



Table 5.2: Student performance in science courses (2010/11)
(a) District and provincial results

| Course name | District | Number of students | Average final grade (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Biologie 3231 | CSF | 7 | 48.1 |
|  | Province |  |  |
| Biology 3201 | Labrador | 111 | 63.0 |
|  | Western | 507 | 62.1 |
|  | Nova Central | 572 | 63.7 |
|  | Eastern | 1,589 | 64.9 |
|  | Other | 70 | 64.1 |
|  | Province | 2,849 | 64.1 |
| Chemistry 3202 | Labrador | 50 | 72.6 |
|  | Western | 359 | 69.9 |
|  | Nova Central | 346 | 70.0 |
|  | Eastern | 1,065 | 71.7 |
|  | Other | 17 | 76.7 |
|  | Province | 1,837 | 71.1 |
| Earth Systems 3209 | Labrador | 0 | -- |
|  | Western | 79 | 60.1 |
|  | Nova Central | 51 | 63.2 |
|  | Eastern | 749 | 61.8 |
|  | Province | 879 | 61.7 |
| Physics 3204 | Labrador | 33 | 77.8 |
|  | Western | 152 | 71.7 |
|  | Nova Central | 151 | 74.2 |
|  | Eastern | 616 | 74.3 |
|  | Other | 8 | 61.0 |
|  | Province | 960 | 73.9 |

Note: Other includes private schools, First Nation and other school types not included in the other five districts.
(b) Gender difference

| Course <br> name Female  <br>  Number of <br> students Average final <br> grade (\%) <br> Biologie 3231 6 50.7 <br> Number of   <br> students   | Average final <br> grade (\%) | Gender <br> difference |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1,793 | 65.8 | 1,056 | 33.0 | 17.7 |
| Chemistry 3202 | 1,122 | 71.4 | 715 | 71.1 | 4.7 |
| Earth Systems 3209 | 388 | 60.9 | 491 | 62.3 | 0.7 |
| Physics 3204 | 362 | 76.6 | 598 | 72.3 | 4.3 |



Table 5.3: Student performance in language courses (2010/11)
(a) District and provincial results

| Course name | District | Number of students | Average final grade (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| English 3201 | Labrador | 144 | 63.5 |
|  | Western | 774 | 66.4 |
|  | Nova Central | 664 | 66.6 |
|  | Eastern | 2,373 | 66.3 |
|  | CSF | 5 | 63.8 |
|  | Other | 61 | 62.7 |
|  | Province | 4,021 | 66.2 |
| French 3200 (Core) | Labrador | 0 | -- |
|  | Western | 131 | 73.9 |
|  | Nova Central | 219 | 70.0 |
|  | Eastern | 287 | 73.9 |
|  | Other | 23 | 77.0 |
|  | Province | 660 | 72.7 |
| Français 3202 <br> (Immersion) | Labrador | 24 | 77.6 |
|  | Western | 28 | 75.6 |
|  | Nova Central | 35 | 72.5 |
|  | Eastern | 417 | 73.5 |
|  | Other | 0 | -- |
|  | Province | 504 | 73.7 |

Note: Other includes private schools, First Nation and other school types not included in the other five districts.
(b) Gender difference

| Course <br> name | Number of <br> students | Average <br> final grade <br> $(\%)$ | Number of <br> students | Average <br> final grade <br> $(\%)$ | Gender <br> difference |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2,212 | 68.6 | 1,809 | 63.3 | 5.3 |
| French 3200 | 457 | 73.6 | 203 | 70.7 | 2.9 |
| Français 3202 | 328 | 74.5 | 176 | 72.2 | 2.3 |

Table 5.4: Student performance in social studies courses (2010/11)
(a) District and provincial results

| Course <br> name | District | Number of students | Average final grade <br> $(\%)$ |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Labrador | 38 | 69.1 |
|  | Western | 111 | 68.8 |
|  | Nova Central | 100 | 71.8 |
|  | Eastern | 867 | 68.7 |
|  | Other | 46 | 75.2 |
|  | Province | 1,162 | 69.2 |
| World Geography 3202 | Labrador | 108 | 65.1 |
|  | Western | 714 | 68.9 |
|  | Nova Central | 618 | 69.1 |
|  | Eastern | 1,453 | 67.3 |
|  | Other | 13 | 64.2 |
|  | Province | 2,906 | 68.0 |
|  | Labrador | 35 | 64.9 |
|  | Western | 19 | 68.9 |
|  | Nova Central | 16 | 61.2 |
|  | Eastern | 345 | 70.0 |
|  | Other | 10 | 60.4 |
|  | Province | 425 | 69.0 |

Note: Other includes private schools, First Nation and other school types not included in the other five districts.
(b) Gender difference

| Course name | Female |  | Male |  | Gender difference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number of students | Average final grade (\%) | Number of students | Average final grade (\%) |  |
| World History $3201$ | 598 | 69.5 | 564 | 69.0 | 0.5 |
| World Geography $3202$ | 1,511 | 67.7 | 1,395 | 68.3 | -0.6 |
| Histoire mondiale 3231 | 288 | 68.5 | 137 | 70.2 | -1.7 |

Table 5.5: Trends in student performance (2006/07-2010/11)

| Subject <br> area | Average course grade |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $2006 / 07$ | $2007 / 08$ | $2008 / 09$ | $2009 / 10$ | $2010 / 11$ |
| Science | 66.2 | 66.4 | 65.5 | 66.6 | 67.2 |
| Mathematics | 67.4 | 66.8 | 67.2 | 66.8 | 67.6 |
| Language | 66.0 | 67.7 | 66.0 | 68.0 | 67.8 |
| Social Studies | 68.0 | 67.7 | 67.8 | 65.8 | 68.4 |

Chapter 6: Programme for International Student Assessment (PISA)
Table 6.1: Significant differences in reading scores across Canada (PISA 2009)
(a) Combined reading

| Jurisdiction |  | Average score | Standard error | 95\% Confidence Interval |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Lower <br> limit |  | Upper <br> limit |
| Significantly higher than NL | Alberta |  | 533 | 4.6 | 524.0 | 542.0 |
|  | Ontario | 531 | 3.0 | 525.1 | 536.9 |
|  | British Columbia | 525 | 4.2 | 516.8 | 533.2 |
|  | Canada | 524 | 1.5 | 521.1 | 526.9 |
|  | Québec | 522 | 3.1 | 515.9 | 528.1 |
| No significant difference | Nova Scotia | 516 | 2.7 | 510.7 | 521.3 |
|  | Newfoundland and Labrador | 506 | 3.7 | 498.7 | 513.3 |
|  | Saskatchewan | 504 | 3.3 | 497.5 | 510.5 |
|  | New Brunswick | 499 | 2.5 | 494.1 | 503.9 |
|  | Manitoba | 495 | 3.6 | 487.9 | 502.1 |
| Significantly lower than NL | Prince Edward Island | 486 | 2.4 | 481.3 | 490.7 |

(b) Assessing and retrieving

| Jurisdiction |  | Average score | Standard error | 95\% Confidence Interval |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Lower limit |  | Upper limit |
| Significantly higher than NL | Alberta |  | 523 | 3.1 | 516.9 | 529.1 |
|  | Ontario | 522 | 4.5 | 513.2 | 530.8 |
|  | Canada | 517 | 1.5 | 514.1 | 519.9 |
| No significant difference | British Columbia | 516 | 4.5 | 507.2 | 524.8 |
|  | Québec | 515 | 3.6 | 507.9 | 522.1 |
|  | Nova Scotia | 506 | 3.3 | 499.5 | 512.5 |
|  | Newfoundland and Labrador | 501 | 3.8 | 493.6 | 508.4 |
|  | Saskatchewan | 501 | 3.7 | 493.7 | 508.3 |
|  | Manitoba | 496 | 3.8 | 488.6 | 503.4 |
|  | New Brunswick | 487 | 3.1 | 480.9 | 493.1 |
| Significantly lower than NL | Prince Edward Island | 481 | 2.5 | 476.1 | 485.9 |

(c) Integrating and interpreting

| Jurisdiction |  | Average score | Standard error | 95\% Confidence Interval |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Lower limit |  | Upper limit |
| Significantly higher than NL | Alberta |  | 532 | 4.8 | 522.6 | 541.4 |
|  | Ontario | 528 | 3.0 | 522.1 | 533.9 |
|  | Canada | 522 | 1.5 | 519.1 | 524.9 |
|  | British Columbia | 522 | 4.6 | 513.0 | 531.0 |
|  | Québec | 521 | 3.3 | 514.5 | 527.5 |
| No significant difference | Nova Scotia | 514 | 2.9 | 508.3 | 519.7 |
|  | Newfoundland and Labrador | 502 | 3.7 | 494.7 | 509.3 |
|  | Saskatchewan | 502 | 3.5 | 495.1 | 508.9 |
|  | New Brunswick | 499 | 2.6 | 493.9 | 504.1 |
|  | Manitoba | 493 | 4.0 | 485.2 | 500.8 |
| Significantly lower than NL | Prince Edward Island | 482 | 2.3 | 477.5 | 486.5 |

(d) Reflecting and evaluating

| Jurisdiction |  | Average score | Standard error | 95\% Confidence Interval |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Lower limit |  | Upper <br> limit |
| Significantly higher than NL | Alberta |  | 546 | 3.2 | 539.7 | 552.3 |
|  | Ontario | 546 | 4.4 | 537.4 | 554.6 |
|  | British Columbia | 536 | 4.2 | 527.8 | 544.2 |
|  | Canada | 535 | 1.6 | 531.9 | 538.1 |
| No significant difference | Nova Scotia | 527 | 3.0 | 521.1 | 532.9 |
|  | Québec | 525 | 3.3 | 518.5 | 531.5 |
|  | Newfoundland and Labrador | 519 | 3.3 | 512.5 | 525.5 |
|  | Saskatchewan | 517 | 3.5 | 510.1 | 523.9 |
| Significantly lower than NL | New Brunswick | 505 | 2.3 | 500.5 | 509.5 |
|  | Manitoba | 504 | 4.0 | 496.2 | 511.8 |
|  | Prince Edward <br> Island | 497 | 2.3 | 492.5 | 501.5 |

(e) Continuous texts

| Jurisdiction |  | Average score | Standard error | 95\% Confidence Interval |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Lower limit |  | Upper limit |
| Significantly higher than NL | Alberta |  | 533 | 4.7 | 523.8 | 542.2 |
|  | Ontario | 532 | 3.1 | 525.9 | 538.1 |
|  | Canada | 524 | 1.5 | 521.1 | 526.9 |
| No significant difference | British Columbia | 524 | 4.5 | 515.2 | 532.8 |
|  | Québec | 519 | 3.2 | 512.7 | 525.3 |
|  | Nova Scotia | 516 | 2.9 | 510.3 | 521.7 |
|  | Newfoundland and Labrador | 508 | 3.8 | 500.6 | 515.4 |
|  | Saskatchewan | 506 | 3.2 | 499.7 | 512.3 |
|  | New Brunswick | 500 | 2.5 | 495.1 | 504.9 |
|  | Manitoba | 497 | 4.0 | 489.2 | 504.8 |
| Significantly lower than NL | Prince Edward Island | 486 | 2.4 | 481.3 | 490.7 |

(f) Non-continuous texts

| Jurisdiction |  | Average score | Standard error | 95\% Confidence Interval |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Lower limit |  | Upper limit |
| Significantly higher than NL | Alberta |  | 539 | 4.7 | 529.8 | 548.2 |
|  | Ontario | 534 | 3.3 | 527.5 | 540.5 |
|  | British Columbia | 531 | 4.0 | 523.2 | 538.8 |
|  | Canada | 527 | 1.6 | 523.9 | 530.1 |
| No significant difference | Québec | 523 | 3.5 | 516.1 | 529.9 |
|  | Nova Scotia | 518 | 2.8 | 512.5 | 523.5 |
|  | Newfoundland and Labrador | 511 | 3.8 | 503.6 | 518.4 |
|  | Saskatchewan | 506 | 3.5 | 499.1 | 512.9 |
|  | Manitoba | 498 | 3.5 | 491.1 | 504.9 |
| Significantly lower than NL | Prince Edward Island | 492 | 2.4 | 487.3 | 496.7 |
|  | New Brunswick | 490 | 2.4 | 485.3 | 494.7 |

Table 6.2: Gender difference in reading performance
(a) Combined reading

| Jurisdiction | Female |  | Male |  | Gender difference |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Average <br> score | Standard <br> error | Average <br> score | Standard <br> error | Score <br> difference | Standard <br> error |
| Canada | 542 | 1.7 | 507 | 1.8 | $34^{*}$ | $1.9^{*}$ |
| Newfoundland <br> and Labrador | 529 | 4.5 | 483 | 4.7 | $45^{*}$ | $5.3^{*}$ |
| Prince Edward <br> Island | 510 | 3.3 | 462 | 4.0 | $48^{*}$ | $5.5^{*}$ |
| Nova Scotia | 530 | 3.2 | 501 | 3.9 | $29^{*}$ | $4.7^{*}$ |
| New Brunswick | 515 | 2.9 | 483 | 3.6 | $32^{*}$ | $4.4^{*}$ |
| Québec | 537 | 3.3 | 506 | 3.9 | $31^{*}$ | $3.9^{*}$ |
| Ontario | 549 | 3.3 | 513 | 3.6 | $36^{*}$ | $3.9^{*}$ |
| Manitoba | 511 | 5.4 | 479 | 4.6 | $32^{*}$ | $7.2^{*}$ |
| Saskatchewan | 524 | 3.2 | 486 | 4.5 | $37^{*}$ | $4.6^{*}$ |
| Alberta | 549 | 5.7 | 517 | 4.6 | $32^{*}$ | $4.9^{*}$ |
| British <br> Columbia | 543 | 4.1 | 507 | 5.4 | $36^{*}$ | $4.5^{*}$ |

* Significant gender difference present


(b) Assessing and retrieving

| Jurisdiction | Female <br> Average <br> score |  | Standard <br> error | Average <br> score | Standard <br> error | Score <br> difference |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 536 | 1.6 | 498 | 1.9 | $38^{*}$ | Standard <br> error |
| Newfoundland <br> and Labrador | 524 | 4.9 | 477 | $\mathbf{5 . 3}$ | $47^{*}$ | $\mathbf{6 . O}^{*}$ |
| Prince Edward <br> Island | 506 | 3.4 | 457 | 4.1 | $49^{*}$ | $5.7^{*}$ |
| Nova Scotia | 522 | 3.8 | 491 | 4.9 | $31^{*}$ | $5.6^{*}$ |
| New Brunswick | 504 | 3.4 | 470 | 4.3 | $34^{*}$ | $5.0^{*}$ |
| Québec | 532 | 3.8 | 499 | 4.3 | $33^{*}$ | $4.0^{*}$ |
| Ontario | 542 | 3.2 | 504 | 4.0 | $38^{*}$ | $4.0^{*}$ |
| Manitoba | 517 | 5.2 | 476 | 5.6 | $41^{*}$ | $7.7^{*}$ |
| Saskatchewan | 528 | 3.2 | 478 | 5.1 | $50^{*}$ | $5.0^{*}$ |
| Alberta | 540 | 5.3 | 504 | 4.9 | $37^{*}$ | $5.0^{*}$ |
| British |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Columbia | 537 | 4.3 | 496 | 5.9 | $42^{*}$ | $5.0^{*}$ |

* Significant gender difference present
(c) Integrating and interpreting

| Jurisdiction | Female |  | Mverage |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |\(\left.\quad \begin{array}{c}Standard <br>

error\end{array} \quad $$
\begin{array}{c}\text { Average } \\
\text { score }\end{array}
$$ \quad $$
\begin{array}{c}\text { Standard } \\
\text { error }\end{array}
$$ \quad $$
\begin{array}{c}\text { Score } \\
\text { difference }\end{array}
$$ \quad $$
\begin{array}{c}\text { Standard } \\
\text { error }\end{array}
$$\right]\)

* Significant gender difference present


(d) Reflecting and evaluating

| Jurisdiction | Female |  | Male |  | Gender difference |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Average score | Standard error | Average <br> score | Standard error | Score difference | Standard error |
| Canada | 555 | 1.9 | 516 | 1.9 | $38^{*}$ | 2.0 * |
| Newfoundland and Labrador | 541 | 4.3 | 496 | 4.3 | 44* | 5.3* |
| Prince Edward Island | 520 | 3.1 | 474 | 3.8 | 46* | $5.3 *$ |
| Nova Scotia | 541 | 3.6 | 513 | 4.2 | $28^{*}$ | $5.1{ }^{*}$ |
| New Brunswick | 524 | 2.6 | 486 | 3.8 | 37* | 4.6 * |
| Québec | 543 | 3.4 | 506 | 4.0 | 37* | $3.5 *$ |
| Ontario | 567 | 3.6 | 525 | 3.8 | $43^{*}$ | $4.1{ }^{*}$ |
| Manitoba | 520 | 5.9 | 487 | 5.1 | $34^{*}$ | 7.8* |
| Saskatchewan | 537 | 3.5 | 498 | 4.6 | 39* | 4.7* |
| Alberta | 563 | 5.9 | 529 | 4.2 | $33^{*}$ | 5.5* |
| British Columbia | 554 | 4.1 | 519 | 5.4 | $35^{*}$ | $4.7^{*}$ |

[^2](e) Continuous texts

| Jurisdiction | Female |  | Male |  | Gender difference |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Average score | Standard error | Average score | Standard error | Score difference | Standard error |
| Canada | 543 | 1.7 | 506 | 1.9 | 37* | $2.1{ }^{*}$ |
| Newfoundland and Labrador | 533 | 4.5 | 483 | 5.1 | 50* | 5.6* |
| Prince Edward Island | 512 | 3.3 | 461 | 4.0 | $51^{*}$ | 5.5* |
| Nova Scotia | 531 | 3.7 | 502 | 4.1 | $30^{*}$ | $5.3 *$ |
| New Brunswick | 517 | 3.0 | 482 | 3.6 | 35* | 4.4* |
| Québec | 536 | 3.4 | 501 | 3.9 | $35^{*}$ | $3.8{ }^{*}$ |
| Ontario | 551 | 3.4 | 513 | 3.9 | 38* | $4.3 *$ |
| Manitoba | 514 | 6.0 | 479 | 5.0 | $35^{*}$ | 7.6* |
| Saskatchewan | 527 | 3.2 | 488 | 4.5 | 39* | $5.0^{*}$ |
| Alberta | 550 | 5.7 | 516 | 4.7 | $34^{*}$ | $4.8{ }^{*}$ |
| British Columbia | 543 | 4.6 | 505 | 5.8 | $38^{*}$ | $5.3 *$ |

* Significant gender difference present

(f) Non-continuous texts

| Jurisdiction | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Female } \\ \text { Average } \\ \text { score }\end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Standard } \\ \text { error }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Average } \\ \text { score }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Standard } \\ \text { error }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Score } \\ \text { difference }\end{array}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 544 | 1.9 | 511 | 1.8 | $33^{*}$ | $2.0^{*}$ |
| error |  |  |  |  |  |  |$]$

* Significant gender difference present

Table 6.3: Gender differences in Newfoundland and Labrador (PISA 2009)

| Jurisdiction | Female |  | Male |  | Gender difference |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Average score | Standard error | Average score | Standard error | Score difference | Standard error |
| Combined Reading | 529 | 4.5 | 483 | 4.7 | 45* | $5.3 *$ |
| Assessing and Retrieving | 524 | 4.9 | 477 | 5.3 | 47* | $6.4 *$ |
| Integrating and Interpreting | 524 | 5.1 | 479 | 4.6 | 45* | 6.0* |
| Reflecting and Evaluating | 541 | 4.3 | 496 | 4.3 | 44* | $5.3 *$ |
| Continuous texts | 533 | 4.5 | 483 | 5.1 | 50* | 5.6* |
| Non- <br> Continuous texts | 534 | 4.8 | 487 | 4.7 | 47* | $5.4 *$ |

* Significant gender difference present

Table 6.4: Reading proficiency levels across Canada (PISA 2009)
(a) Combined reading

| Jurisdiction | Low achievers <br> (Below level 2) | Typical achievers <br> (Levels 2-4) | High achievers <br> (Level 5 and above) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Canada | 10.3 | 77.0 | 12.8 |
| Newfoundland and <br> Labrador | $\mathbf{1 3 . 8}$ | 77.8 | $\mathbf{8 . 5}$ |
| Prince Edward Island | 21.2 | 71.9 | 6.9 |
| Nova Scotia | 11.1 | 78.7 | 10.2 |
| New Brunswick | 16.2 | 76.1 | 7.7 |
| Québec | 10.4 | 78.9 | 10.8 |
| Ontario | 8.5 | 77.4 | 14.2 |
| Manitoba | 17.6 | 74.3 | 8.1 |
| Saskatchewan | 15.5 | 75.8 | 8.7 |
| Alberta | 10.1 | 73.8 | 16.1 |
| British Columbia | 10.8 | 75.9 | 13.3 |

(b) Assessing and retrieving

| Jurisdiction | Low achievers <br> (Below level 2) | Typical achievers <br> (Levels 2-4) | High achievers <br> (Level 5 and above) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Canada | 12.7 | 75.4 | 11.9 |
| Newfoundland and Labrador | $\mathbf{1 6 . 3}$ | 74.8 | $\mathbf{8 . 9}$ |
| Prince Edward Island | 23.6 | 69.5 | 6.9 |
| Nova Scotia | 14.6 | 75.5 | 9.8 |
| New Brunswick | 20.2 | 73.0 | 6.8 |
| Québec | 12.9 | 76.0 | 11.1 |
| Ontario | 10.5 | 77.2 | 12.4 |
| Manitoba | 19.6 | 70.7 | 9.9 |
| Saskatchewan | 17.1 | 72.6 | 10.3 |
| Alberta | 13.2 | 71.8 | 14.8 |
| British Columbia | 13.0 | 74.8 | 12.3 |

(c) Integrating and interpreting

| Jurisdiction | Low achievers <br> (Below level 2) | Typical achievers <br> (Levels 2-4) | High achievers <br> (Level 5 and above) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Canada | 11.8 | 74.5 | 13.7 |
| Newfoundland and Labrador | $\mathbf{1 5 . 2}$ | 76.1 | $\mathbf{8 . 7}$ |
| Prince Edward Island | 22.7 | 70.5 | 6.8 |
| Nova Scotia | 11.9 | 77.8 | 10.5 |
| New Brunswick | 16.9 | 74.3 | 8.7 |
| Québec | 11.9 | 75.5 | 12.7 |
| Ontario | 10.3 | 74.8 | 15.0 |
| Manitoba | 19.4 | 72.2 | 8.4 |
| Saskatchewan | 16.6 | 74.7 | 8.7 |
| Alberta | 10.8 | 72.1 | 17.1 |
| British Columbia | 12.1 | 74.0 | 13.9 |

d) Reflecting and evaluating

| Jurisdiction | Low achievers <br> (Below level 2) | Typical achievers <br> (Levels 2-4) | High achievers <br> (Level 5 and above) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Canada | 8.6 | 75.5 | 15.9 |
| Newfoundland <br> and Labrador | $\mathbf{1 1 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{7 6 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 . 1}$ |
| Prince Edward <br> Island | 17.8 | 74.0 | 8.2 |
| Nova Scotia | 8.7 | 78.9 | 12.4 |
| New Brunswick | 13.4 | 79.3 | 7.4 |
| Québec | 8.7 | 80.9 | 10.3 |
| Ontario | 6.7 | 74.1 | 19.1 |
| Manitoba | 16.3 | 72.8 | 10.9 |
| Saskatchewan | 13.5 | 74.2 | 12.3 |
| Alberta | 9.7 | 70.5 | 20.8 |
| British Columbia | 73.7 | 16.9 |  |

(e) Continuous texts

| Jurisdiction | Low achievers <br> (Below level 2) | Typical achievers <br> (Levels 2-4) | High achievers <br> (Level 5 and above) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Canada | 11.1 | 75.0 | 13.9 |
| Newfoundland and <br> Labrador | $\mathbf{1 4 . 2}$ | 75.3 | $\mathbf{1 0 . 6}$ |
| Prince Edward <br> Island | 21.9 | 70.6 | 7.5 |
| Nova Scotia | 11.2 | 77.7 | 11.0 |
| New Brunswick | 16.4 | 74.9 | 8.7 |
| Québec | 11.2 | 78.4 | 10.5 |
| Ontario | 9.3 | 74.8 | 15.9 |
| Manitoba | 18.6 | 71.8 | 9.6 |
| Saskatchewan | 15.6 | 74.6 | 9.8 |
| Alberta | 11.0 | 71.2 | 17.8 |
| British Columbia | 11.8 | 73.6 | 14.7 |

(f) Non-continuous texts

| Jurisdiction | Low achievers <br> (Below level 2) | Typical achievers <br> (Levels 2-4) | High achievers <br> (Level 5 and above) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Canada | 10.1 | 76.1 | 13.9 |
| Newfoundland and Labrador | $\mathbf{1 3 . 2}$ | 77.2 | 9.7 |
| Prince Edward Island | 19.3 | 73.9 | 6.9 |
| Nova Scotia | 10.5 | 79.0 | 10.6 |
| New Brunswick | 18.4 | 74.0 | 7.6 |
| Québec | 11.6 | 75.7 | 12.8 |
| Ontario | 8.4 | 76.7 | 14.9 |
| Manitoba | 16.6 | 75.6 | 7.8 |
| Saskatchewan | 14.3 | 76.9 | 8.8 |
| Alberta | 8.6 | 73.8 | 17.5 |
| British Columbia | 9.2 | 76.0 | 14.8 |

Table 6.5: NL student proficiency on the reading sub-domains

| Sub-domain | Low achievers <br> (Below level 2) | Typical achievers <br> (Levels 2-4) | High achievers <br> (Level 5 and above) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Assessing and Retrieving | 16.3 | 74.8 | 8.9 |
| Integrating and Interpreting | 15.2 | 76.1 | 8.7 |
| Reflecting and Evaluating | 11.6 | 76.3 | 12.1 |
| Continuous texts | 14.2 | 75.3 | 10.6 |
| Non-Continuous texts | 13.2 | 77.2 | 9.7 |



Table 6.6: Average scores across Canada (PISA 2009)
(a) Mathematics

| Jurisdiction |  | Average score | Standard error | 95\% Confidence Interval |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Lower limit |  | Upper limit |
| Significantly higher than NL | Québec |  | 543 | 3.4 | 536.3 | 549.7 |
|  | Alberta | 529 | 4.4 | 520.4 | 537.6 |
|  | Canada | 527 | 1.6 | 523.9 | 530.1 |
|  | Ontario | 526 | 3.2 | 519.7 | 532.3 |
|  | British Columbia | 523 | 4.6 | 514.0 | 532.0 |
| No significant difference | Nova Scotia | 512 | 2.3 | 507.5 | 516.5 |
|  | Saskatchewan | 506 | 3.2 | 499.7 | 512.3 |
|  | New Brunswick | 504 | 2.2 | 499.7 | 508.3 |
|  | Newfoundland and Labrador | 503 | 2.8 | 497.5 | 508.5 |
|  | Manitoba | 501 | 3.6 | 493.9 | 508.1 |
| Significantly lower than NL | Prince Edward Island | 487 | 2.3 | 482.5 | 491.5 |



(b) Science

| Jurisdiction |  | Average score | Standard error | 95\% Confidence Interval |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Lower limit |  | Upper limit |
| Significantly higher than NL | Alberta |  | 545 | 4.2 | 536.8 | 553.2 |
|  | British Columbia | 535 | 4.1 | 527.0 | 543.0 |
|  | Ontario | 531 | 3.3 | 524.5 | 537.5 |
|  | Canada | 529 | 1.6 | 525.9 | 532.1 |
| No significant difference | Québec | 524 | 3.2 | 517.7 | 530.3 |
|  | Nova Scotia | 523 | 2.7 | 517.7 | 528.3 |
|  | Newfoundland and Labrador | 518 | 3.0 | 512.1 | 523.9 |
|  | Saskatchewan | 513 | 3.7 | 505.7 | 520.3 |
|  | Manitoba | 506 | 4.0 | 498.2 | 513.8 |
| Significantly lower than NL | New Brunswick | 501 | 2.4 | 496.3 | 505.7 |
|  | Prince Edward Island | 495 | 2.4 | 490.3 | 499.7 |

Table 6.7: Gender differences in average scores across Canada (PISA 2009)
(a) Mathematics

| Jurisdiction | Female |  | Male |  | Gender difference |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Average <br> score | Standard <br> error | Average <br> score | Standard <br> error | Score <br> difference | Standard <br> error |
| Canada | 521 | 1.7 | 533 | 2.0 | $-12^{*}$ | $1.8^{*}$ |
| Newfoundland <br> and Labrador | $\mathbf{5 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 9}$ | $-\mathbf{4}$ | 4.9 |
| Prince Edward <br> Island | 485 | 3.5 | 490 | 3.9 | -4 | 5.8 |
| Nova Scotia | 504 | 3.0 | 520 | 3.4 | $-17^{*}$ | $4.5^{*}$ |
| New Brunswick | 495 | 3.1 | 513 | 3.2 | $-18^{*}$ | $4.4^{*}$ |
| Québec | 534 | 3.7 | 552 | 4.3 | $-17^{*}$ | $3.8^{*}$ |
| Ontario | 522 | 3.4 | 529 | 4.0 | -7 | 3.7 |
| Manitoba | 497 | 4.9 | 506 | 4.4 | -9 | 6.0 |
| Saskatchewan | 503 | 3.8 | 508 | 3.9 | -5 | 4.1 |
| Alberta | 521 | 5.3 | 537 | 4.5 | $-17^{*}$ | $4.5^{*}$ |
| British <br> Columbia | 515 | 4.7 | 531 | 5.4 | $-16^{*}$ | $4.5^{*}$ |

* Significant gender difference present

(b) Science

| Jurisdiction | Female |  | Male |  | Gender difference |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Average <br> score | Standard <br> error | Average <br> score | Standard <br> error | Score <br> difference | Standard <br> error |
| Canada | 526 | 1.9 | 531 | 1.9 | $-5^{*}$ | $1.9^{*}$ |
| Newfoundland and <br> Labrador | $\mathbf{5 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{5 . 5}$ |
| Prince Edward <br> Island | 498 | 3.5 | 491 | 3.9 | 6 | 5.7 |
| Nova Scotia | 520 | 3.2 | 526 | 3.9 | -6 | 4.7 |
| New Brunswick | 495 | 2.9 | 507 | 3.4 | $-12^{*}$ | $4.1^{*}$ |
| Québec | 519 | 3.5 | 529 | 4.1 | $-10^{*}$ | $3.9^{*}$ |
| Ontario | 530 | 3.9 | 533 | 3.7 | -3 | 3.9 |
| Manitoba | 503 | 5.2 | 509 | 5.2 | -6 | 6.9 |
| Saskatchewan | 512 | 3.7 | 515 | 4.9 | -3 | 4.9 |
| Alberta | 543 | 5.4 | 547 | 4.2 | -4 | 4.8 |
| British Columbia | 534 | 4.0 | 535 | 5.4 | -1 | 5.0 |

*Significant gender difference present
Table 6.8: Trends in provincial average scores (2000-2009)

| Subject area |  | 2000 | 2003 | 2006 | 2009 |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reading | Average score | 517 | 521 | 514 | 506 |
|  | Standard error | 2.8 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.7 |
| Mathematics | Average score | 509 | 517 | 507 | 503 |
|  | Standard error | 3.0 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.8 |
| Science | Average score | 516 | 521 | 526 | 518 |
|  | Standard error | 3.4 | 3.2 | 2.5 | 3.0 |

Chapter 7: Pan-Canadian Assessment Program (PCAP)
Table 7.1: Average scores on the mathematics assessment (PCAP-2010)

| Jurisdiction |  | Average score | Standard error | 95\% Confidence Interval |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Lower limit |  | Upper limit |
| Significantly higher than NL | Québec |  | 515 | 2.0 | 511.1 | 518.9 |
|  | Ontario | 507 | 2.0 | 503.0 | 511.0 |
|  | Canada | 500 | 1.1 | 497.8 | 502.2 |
|  | Alberta | 495 | 2.0 | 491.0 | 499.0 |
|  | British <br> Columbia | 481 | 1.8 | 477.4 | 484.6 |
| No significant difference | New Brunswick | 478 | 2.0 | 474.1 | 481.9 |
|  | Nova Scotia | 474 | 2.0 | 470.1 | 477.9 |
|  | Saskatchewan | 474 | 1.9 | 470.2 | 477.8 |
|  | Newfoundland and Labrador | 472 | 2.7 | 466.8 | 477.2 |
|  | Yukon | 469 | 3.9 | 461.3 | 476.7 |
|  | Manitoba | 468 | 2.1 | 463.8 | 472.2 |
|  | Prince Edward Island | 460 | 4.2 | 451.7 | 468.3 |



Table 7.2: Proficiency levels in mathematics across Canada (PCAP-2010)

| Jurisdiction | Percentage of students at each proficiency level |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Level 4 | Levels 2-4 combined |
| British Columbia | 11 | 50 | 37 | 2 | 89 |
| Alberta | 7 | 50 | 40 | 3 | 93 |
| Saskatchewan | 10 | 55 | 33 | 1 | 89 |
| Manitoba | 16 | 50 | 33 | 1 | 84 |
| Ontario | 8 | 43 | 45 | 5 | 93 |
| Quebec | 8 | 38 | 50 | 4 | 92 |
| New Brunswick | 11 | 52 | 35 | 2 | 89 |
| Nova Scotia | 12 | 53 | 32 | 2 | 87 |
| Prince Edward Island | 13 | 58 | 29 | 0 | 87 |
| Newfoundland and Labrador | 12 | 52 | 35 | 2 | 89 |
| Yukon | 14 | 53 | 30 | 3 | 86 |
| Canada | 9 | 45 | 43 | 4 | 92 |

Table 7.3: Average scores on the mathematics sub-domains (PCAP-2010)
(a) Numbers and operations

| Jurisdiction |  | Average score | Standard error | 95\% Confidence Interval |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Lower |  | Upper |
| Significantly higher than NL | Québec |  | 520 | 1.9 | 516.2 | 523.8 |
|  | Alberta | 501 | 2.2 | 496.7 | 505.3 |
|  | Canada | 500 | 1.1 | 497.9 | 502.1 |
|  | Ontario | 498 | 2.0 | 494.1 | 501.9 |
|  | British <br> Columbia | 488 | 1.9 | 484.3 | 491.7 |
|  | Saskatchewan | 488 | 1.9 | 484.3 | 491.7 |
|  | New Brunswick | 487 | 1.9 | 483.3 | 490.7 |
| No significant difference | Yukon | 482 | 4.0 | 474.2 | 489.8 |
|  | Nova Scotia | 477 | 1.9 | 473.2 | 480.8 |
|  | Manitoba | 476 | 2.3 | 471.5 | 480.5 |
|  | Newfoundland and Labrador | 475 | 2.9 | 469.3 | 480.7 |
|  | Prince Edward Island | 472 | 4.2 | 463.7 | 480.3 |

(b) Geometry and measurement

| Jurisdiction |  | Average score | Standard error | 95\% Confidence Interval |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Lower limit |  | Upper limit |
| Significantly higher than NL | Québec |  | 517 | 2.0 | 513.1 | 520.9 |
|  | Ontario | 513 | 2.0 | 509.0 | 517.0 |
|  | Canada | 500 | 1.0 | 498.0 | 502.0 |
|  | Alberta | 485 | 2.0 | 481.1 | 488.9 |
|  | Nova Scotia | 477 | 1.9 | 473.2 | 480.8 |
| No significant difference | British Columbia | 472 | 1.7 | 468.7 | 475.3 |
|  | New Brunswick | 472 | 2.0 | 468.1 | 475.9 |
|  | Newfoundland and Labrador | 467 | 2.3 | 462.4 | 471.6 |
|  | Yukon | 466 | 3.5 | 459.2 | 472.8 |
|  | Saskatchewan | 464 | 1.9 | 460.2 | 467.8 |
| Significantly lower than NL | Manitoba | 459 | 1.7 | 455.7 | 462.3 |
|  | Prince Edward Island | 449 | 4.1 | 440.9 | 457.1 |

(c) Patterns and relationships

| Jurisdiction |  | Average score | Standard error | 95\% Confidence Interval |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Lower limit |  | Upper limit |
| Significantly higher than NL | Ontario |  | 511 | 2.2 | 506.7 | 515.3 |
|  | Québec | 504 | 2.0 | 500.1 | 507.9 |
|  | Canada | 500 | 1.1 | 497.9 | 502.1 |
|  | Alberta | 495 | 2.0 | 491.0 | 499.0 |
| No significant difference | British Columbia | 487 | 1.9 | 483.2 | 490.8 |
|  | Newfoundland and Labrador | 479 | 2.7 | 473.8 | 484.2 |
|  | Manitoba | 478 | 2.1 | 473.8 | 482.2 |
|  | New Brunswick | 476 | 2.2 | 471.7 | 480.3 |
|  | Nova Scotia | 475 | 1.9 | 471.2 | 478.8 |
|  | Saskatchewan | 473 | 2.0 | 469.0 | 477.0 |
|  | Yukon | 473 | 3.9 | 465.3 | 480.7 |
| Significantly lower than NL | Prince Edward Island | 463 | 4.4 | 454.4 | 471.6 |

(d) Data management and probability

| Jurisdiction |  | Average <br> score | Standard <br> error | $95 \%$ Confidence Interval |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Lower limit | Upper limit |  |  |  |
| Significantly <br> higher than <br> NL | Québec | 510 | 2.7 | 504.7 | 515.3 |
|  | Ontario | 505 | 3.1 | 499.0 | 511.0 |
|  | Canada | 500 | 1.6 | 496.9 | 503.1 |
| Alberta | 496 | 2.8 | 490.6 | 501.4 |  |
| Newfoundland <br> and Labrador | 490 | $\mathbf{3 . 4}$ | 483.3 | 496.7 |  |
| No <br> significant <br> difference | British <br> Columbia | 489 | 2.3 | 484.4 | 493.6 |
| New Bruns- <br> wick | 489 | 2.8 | 483.6 | 494.4 |  |
| Nova Scotia | 488 | 2.6 | 482.9 | 493.1 |  |
| Prince Edward | 469 | 5.1 | 459.0 | 479.0 |  |
| Significantly <br> lower than <br> NL | Saskatchewan | 477 | 2.6 | 472.0 | 482.0 |
|  | Manitoba | 473 | 2.9 | 467.3 | 478.7 |



Table 7.4: Gender differences in average scores on the mathematics sub-domains (PCAP-2010)
(a) Numbers and operations

| Jurisdiction | Average score |  | 95\% Confidence Interval |  | Gender difference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Female | Male | Female | Male |  |
| British Columbia* | 481 | 498 | 5.1 | 5.5 | -17 |
| Alberta* | 493 | 509 | 5.2 | 5.3 | -16 |
| Saskatchewan | 484 | 495 | 5.6 | 5.2 | -11 |
| Manitoba | 472 | 482 | 5.0 | 6.0 | -10 |
| Ontario | 496 | 502 | 6.1 | 5.4 | -6 |
| Québec* | 514 | 529 | 4.5 | 5.7 | -15 |
| New Brunswick | 489 | 486 | 6.2 | 5.1 | 3 |
| Nova Scotia | 477 | 479 | 4.8 | 6.1 | -2 |
| Prince Edward Island | 461 | 481 | 11.6 | 12.6 | -20 |
| Newfoundland and Labrador | 473 | 478 | 6.0 | 8.4 | -5 |
| Yukon | 477 | 498 | 12.4 | 12.1 | -21 |
| Canada* | 496 | 507 | 2.8 | 2.6 | -11 |

*Significant gender difference present
(b) Geometry and measurement

| Jurisdiction | Average score |  | $95 \%$ Confidence <br> Interval |  | Gender <br> difference |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Female | Male | Female | Male |  |
| British Columbia* | 466 | 482 | 4.5 | 4.8 | -16 |
| Alberta | 483 | 487 | 4.6 | 4.9 | -4 |
| Saskatchewan | 464 | 466 | 5.0 | 4.7 | -2 |
| Manitoba | 461 | 459 | 4.1 | 5.4 | 2 |
| Ontario | 516 | 513 | 5.3 | 5.7 | 3 |
| Québec | 514 | 524 | 5.0 | 5.1 | -10 |
| New Brunswick | 477 | 470 | 5.2 | 5.5 | 7 |
| Nova Scotia | 480 | 476 | 4.8 | 5.4 | 4 |
| Prince Edward Island | 441 | 456 | 10.4 | 12.5 | -15 |
| Newfoundland and <br> Labrador | 468 | 468 | 6.6 | 7.4 | 0 |
| Yukon | 468 | 473 | 11.1 | 10.7 | -5 |
| Canada | 499 | 503 | 3.3 | 3.1 | -4 |

*Significant gender difference present
(c) Patterns and relationships

| Jurisdiction | Average score |  | $95 \%$ Confidence Interval |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | \(\left.\begin{array}{c}Gender <br>

difference\end{array}\right]\)
*Significant gender difference present
(d) Data management and probability

| Jurisdiction | Average score |  | $95 \%$ Confidence Interval |  | Gender <br> difference |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Female | Male | Female | Male | 8.3 |
| British Columbia | 485 | 496 | 7.9 | 71 |  |
| Alberta | 498 | 495 | 7.5 | 7.2 | 3 |
| Saskatchewan | 480 | 476 | 8.3 | 7.7 | 4 |
| Manitoba | 476 | 472 | 7.8 | 8.2 | 4 |
| Ontario | 509 | 502 | 7.2 | 8.1 | 7 |
| Québec | 512 | 513 | 6.5 | 8.3 | -1 |
| New Brunswick | 496 | 483 | 9.6 | 7.7 | 13 |
| Nova Scotia* | 498 | 480 | 8.4 | 8 | 18 |
| Prince Edward Island | 464 | 474 | 20.7 | 14.6 | -10 |
| Newfoundland and <br> Labrador | 499 | 484 | $\mathbf{1 1 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 5}$ |
| Yukon | 475 | 469 | 19.8 | 22.7 | 6 |
| Canada | 502 | 500 | 4.7 | 4.1 | 2 |

*Significant gender difference present

Table 7.5: Average scores in science and reading (PCAP-2010)
(a) Science

| Jurisdiction |  | Average score | Standard error | 95\% ConfidenceInterval |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Lower limit |  | Upper limit |
| Significantly higher than NL | Alberta |  | 515 | 1.9 | 511.3 | 518.7 |
|  | Ontario | 510 | 2.1 | 505.9 | 514.1 |
|  | Canada | 500 | 1.0 | 498.0 | 502.0 |
|  | British <br> Columbia | 497 | 1.7 | 493.6 | 500.4 |
| No significant difference | Prince Edward Island | 493 | 5.2 | 482.8 | 503.2 |
|  | Nova Scotia | 489 | 2.0 | 485.0 | 493.0 |
|  | Saskatchewan | 488 | 2.1 | 483.8 | 492.2 |
|  | New Brunswick | 487 | 2.0 | 483.1 | 490.9 |
|  | Newfoundland and Labrador | 487 | 3.0 | 481.2 | 492.8 |
|  | Manitoba | 486 | 2.0 | 482.1 | 489.9 |
|  | Québec | 486 | 1.9 | 482.2 | 489.8 |
|  | Yukon | 478 | 4.0 | 470.2 | 485.8 |



(b) Gender differences in average science scores

| Jurisdiction | Average score |  | $95 \%$ Confidence Interval |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | \(\left.\begin{array}{c}Gender <br>

difference\end{array}\right]\)
*Significant gender difference present
(c) Reading

| Jurisdiction |  | Average score | Standard error | 95\% Confidence Interval |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Lower limit |  | Upper limit |
| Significantly higher than NL | Ontario |  | 515 | 2.0 | 511.1 | 518.9 |
|  | Alberta | 506 | 2.0 | 502.0 | 510.0 |
|  | Canada | 500 | 1.1 | 497.8 | 502.2 |
|  | British Columbia | 499 | 1.9 | 495.3 | 502.7 |
| No significant difference | Saskatchewan | 491 | 2.0 | 487.1 | 494.9 |
|  | Nova Scotia | 489 | 2.0 | 485.0 | 493.0 |
|  | Newfoundland and Labrador | 486 | 2.7 | 480.8 | 491.2 |
|  | Québec | 481 | 1.8 | 477.4 | 484.6 |
|  | Prince Edward Island | 481 | 4.6 | 472.0 | 490.0 |
|  | New Brunswick | 479 | 2.0 | 475.1 | 482.9 |
|  | Manitoba | 478 | 1.9 | 474.2 | 481.8 |
| Significantly lower than NL | Yukon | 465 | 3.6 | 457.9 | 472.1 |


(d) Gender differences in average reading scores

| Jurisdiction | Average score |  | $95 \%$ Confidence Interval |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | \(\left.\begin{array}{c}Gender <br>

difference\end{array}\right]\)
*Significant gender difference present

Table 7.6: Differences in provincial average scores (PCAP-2007 and PCAP-2010)

| Jurisdiction | Average score |  | $95 \%$ Confidence Interval |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007 | 2010 | 2007 | 2010 | Difference |
| Mathematics | 478 | 472 | 7.9 | 5.2 | -6 |
| Science | 485 | 487 | 7.6 | 5.8 | 2 |
| Reading $^{*}$ | 464 | 486 | 4.1 | 5.2 | 22 |

*Significant difference present

Chapter 8: The Quality of School Life Survey
Table 8.1: Average percentage in agreement

| Dimension | Total <br> $(\mathrm{n}=7,780)$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| Student satisfaction | 54.3 |
| Student dissatisfaction | 38.1 |
| Opportunity to learn | 72.3 |
| Extent school is useful | 52.5 |
| Extent student identifies with their school | 74.3 |
| Student perception of their status within the school | 58.4 |
| Student perception of teachers | 76.7 |
| Safety and security | 71.1 |

Table 8.2: Differences in average percentage in agreement
(a) District results

| Dimension | Labrador $(\mathrm{n}=309)$ | Western $(\mathrm{n}=1,569)$ | Nova Central ( $\mathrm{n}=1,384$ ) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Eastern } \\ & (\mathrm{n}=4,390) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Student satisfaction* | 59.0 | 51.0 | 54.0 | 55.0 |
| Student dissatisfaction ${ }^{* *}$ | 37.0 | 41.0 | 38.0 | 38.0 |
| Opportunity to learn | 73.0 | 73.0 | 72.0 | 72.0 |
| Extent school is useful | 55.0 | 51.0 | 53.0 | 52.0 |
| Extent student identifies with their school | 74.0 | 74.0 | 75.0 | 74.0 |
| Student perception of their status within the school*** | 59.0 | 60.0 | 59.0 | 57.0 |
| Student perception of teachers ${ }^{* * * *}$ | 81.0 | 77.0 | 77.0 | 76.0 |
| Safety and security* | 71.0 | 67.0 | 71.0 | 72.0 |

* $\mathrm{p}=0.000$
** $\mathrm{p}=0.003$
${ }^{* * *} \mathrm{p}=0.021$
${ }^{* * * *} \mathrm{p}=0.023$
(b) Grade level and gender differences

| Dimension | Grade level |  | Gender |  | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Grade 9 <br> $(\mathrm{n}=4,302)$ | Level III <br> $(\mathrm{n}=3,478)$ | Females <br> $(\mathrm{n}=3,923)$ | Males <br> $(\mathrm{n}=3,729)$ |  |
| Student satisfaction | 54.0 | 55.0 | $57.5^{*}$ | $51.0^{*}$ | 54.3 |
| Student dissatisfaction | 39.0 | 37.0 | $35.9^{* *}$ | $40.3^{* *}$ | 38.1 |
| Opportunity to learn | 73.0 | 72.0 | $75.6^{* *}$ | $68.9^{* *}$ | 72.3 |
| Extent school is useful | 52.0 | 53.0 | 55.1 | 49.7 | 52.5 |
| Extent student identi- <br> fies with their school | 74.0 | 75.0 | 74.9 | 73.8 | 74.3 |
| Student perception of <br> their status within the <br> school | 58.0 | 59.0 | 58.6 | 58.2 | 58.4 |
| Student perception of <br> teachers | $75.0^{* *}$ | $79.0^{* *}$ | $79.1^{* *}$ | $74.4^{* *}$ | 76.7 |
| Safety and security | $67.0^{* *}$ | $76.0^{* *}$ | 27.6 | 30.0 | 71.1 |

* $\mathrm{p}=0.018$
** $\mathrm{p}=0.000$
Table 8.3: Percentage of students agreeing with the following statements


## (a) District results

| Safety and security statements | Labrador <br> $(\mathrm{n}=309)$ | Western <br> $(\mathrm{n}=1,569)$ | Nova <br> Central <br> $(\mathrm{n}=1,384)$ | Eastern <br> $(\mathrm{n}=4,390)$ | Total <br> $(\mathrm{n}=7,652)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I feel safe from personal harm ${ }^{*}$ | 79.9 | 74.4 | 80.0 | 79.1 | 78.3 |
| I'm afraid I might be hurt | 14.1 | 16.8 | 13.4 | 14.7 | 14.9 |
| Students seem to hurt each <br> other a lot** | 34.3 | 36.6 | 33.4 | 31.3 | 32.9 |
| Students pick on each other all <br> the time | 47.2 | 53.0 | 49.5 | 44.6 | 47.3 |
| ${ }^{*} \mathrm{p}=0.000$ |  |  |  |  |  |

* $\mathrm{p}=0.000$
** $\mathrm{p}=0.002$
(b) Grade level and gender differences

|  | Grade level |  | Gender |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Safety and security <br> statements | Grade 9 <br> $(\mathrm{n}=4,302)$ | Level III <br> $(\mathrm{n}=3,478)$ | Females <br> $(\mathrm{n}=3,923)$ | Males <br> $(\mathrm{n}=3,729)$ | Total <br> $(\mathrm{n}=7,780)$ |
| I feel safe from <br> personal harm | $75.3^{*}$ | $82.2^{*}$ | $80.7^{*}$ | $76.0^{*}$ | 78.4 |
| I'm afraid I might <br> be hurt | $17.1^{*}$ | $12.1^{*}$ | 14.0 | 15.5 | 14.7 |
| Students seem to <br> hurt each other a <br> lot | $38.7^{*}$ | $25.6^{*}$ | 31.9 | 33.8 | 32.8 |
| Students pick on <br> each other all the <br> time | $53.1^{*}$ | $39.9^{*}$ | 46.8 | 47.6 | 47.2 |

* $\mathrm{p}=0.000$



## Chapter 9: Graduation

Table 9.1: Pass rates (2010/11)
(a) Provincial and district results

| District | Number of students who were |  | Pass rate (\%) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Eligible to graduate | An actual graduate |  |
| Labrador | 203 | 188 | 92.6 |
| Western | 992 | 921 | 92.8 |
| Nova Central | 909 | 828 | 91.1 |
| Eastern | 2,774 | 2,536 | 91.4 |
| CSF | 9 | 7 | 77.8 |
| Other | 137 | 126 | 92.0 |
| Province | 5,024 | 4,606 | 91.7 |

Note: Other includes private schools, First Nation and other school types not included in the other five districts.
(b) Gender differences

| Gender |  | Number of students who were |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | An actual graduate | Pass rate (\%) |
| Female | 2,568 | 2,373 | 92.4 |
| Male | 2,456 | 2,233 | 90.9 |
| Province | 5,024 | 4,606 | 91.7 |

Table 9.2: Trends in pass rates (2006/07-2010/11)

## (a) Provincial trends

| School year |  | Number of students who were |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | An actual graduate | Pass rate (\%) |
| $2006 / 07$ | 6,013 | 5,357 | 89.1 |
| $2007 / 08$ | 5,809 | 5,287 | 91.0 |
| $2008 / 09$ | 5,516 | 4,982 | 90.3 |
| $2009 / 10$ | 5,450 | 5,025 | 92.2 |
| $2010 / 11$ | 5,024 | 4,606 | 91.7 |

(b) District trends

| School <br> year | Labrador | Western | Nova <br> Central | Eastern | CSF | Other |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2006 / 07$ | 84.4 | 88.3 | 90.8 | 88.8 | 100.0 | 95.0 |
| $2007 / 08$ | 87.6 | 91.8 | 92.0 | 90.5 | 100.0 | 93.5 |
| $2008 / 09$ | 88.3 | 89.6 | 90.4 | 90.6 | 100.0 | 90.4 |
| $2009 / 10$ | 94.0 | 93.5 | 92.5 | 91.4 | 100.0 | 93.2 |
| $2010 / 11$ | 92.6 | 92.8 | 91.1 | 91.4 | 77.8 | 92.0 |

Note: Other includes private schools, First Nation and other school types not included in the other five districts.
(c) Gender trends

| School <br> year | Female | Male | Gender <br> difference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2006 / 07$ | 91.4 | 86.7 | 4.7 |
| $2007 / 08$ | 91.9 | 90.0 | 1.9 |
| $2008 / 09$ | 92.4 | 88.2 | 4.2 |
| $2009 / 10$ | 93.1 | 91.4 | 1.7 |
| $2010 / 11$ | 92.4 | 90.9 | 1.5 |

Table 9.3: Graduation status (2010/11)
(a) District and province

| District | Total number <br> of graduates | Percentage of students who graduated with a/an: |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Academic <br> diploma | Honours <br> diploma |  |
| Labrador | 188 | 43.1 | 35.1 | 21.8 |
| Western | 921 | 35.4 | 42.1 | 22.5 |
| Nova Central | 828 | 36.7 | 39.0 | 24.3 |
| Eastern | 2,536 | 28.7 | 41.8 | 29.5 |
| CSF | 7 | 57.1 | 42.9 | 0.0 |
| Other | 126 | 58.7 | 31.7 | 9.5 |
| Province | 4,606 | 32.9 | 40.8 | 26.2 |

Note: Other includes private schools, First Nation and other school types not included in the other five districts.
(b) Gender

| Gender | Total number <br> of graduates | Ceneral <br> diploma | Academic <br> diploma | Honours <br> diploma |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 26.5 | 41.8 | 31.7 |
| Male | 2,233 | 39.8 | 39.8 | 20.4 |
| Province | 4,606 | 32.9 | 40.8 | 26.2 |




## APPENDIX B: SCHOOL LEVEL INDICATORS






${ }^{2}$ Average score


|  |  |  | SCHOOL INFORMATION | SCHOOL DEMOGRAPHICS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | CRITERION REFERENCED TESTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 厄un } \\ & \substack{\bar{x}} \end{aligned}$ | School/ Community |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 을 } \\ & \text { U } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | Full-time EquivalentTeachers |  |  |  | Primary Language Arts |  | Elementary Language Arts |  | Primary Mathematics |  | Elementary Mathematics |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 154 | Y | Hillside Elementary - La Scie | K-6 | 104 | 100-199 | 14.9 |  | 14.9 | 9.8 | 10.2 | 13.1 | 70.0 | 20.8 | 33.3 | 55.4 | 94.5 | 72.9 | 72.9 | 70.6 | 55.9 |
| 3 | 426 | Y | Hillview Academy - Norris Arm | K-9 | 116 | 100-199 | 11.6 |  | 11.6 | 12.5 | 9.0 | 15.8 | 69.2 | 33.3 | 58.3 | 70.8 | 100.0 | 77.6 | 70.8 | 81.7 | 81.2 |
| 4 | 272 | Y | Holy Cross Elementary - Holyrood | K-6 | 202 | 200-299 | 15.5 |  | 28.9 | 16.6 | 11.1 | 11.4 | 58.8 | 87.9 | 89.7 | 59.4 | 90.5 | 86.4 | 84.7 | 68.8 | 76.2 |
| 4 | 339 |  | Holy Cross Elementary - St. John's | K-6 | 159 | 100-199 | 19.9 |  | 22.7 | 14.6 | 10.2 | 13.6 | 80.0 | 23.9 | 73.9 | 48.4 | 67.6 | 55.2 | 23.1 | 65.9 | 39.1 |
| 4 | 258 | Y | Holy Family Elementary - Chapel Arm | K-6 | 119 | 100-199 | 17.0 |  | 17.0 | 10.0 | 11.6 | 16.2 | 30.0 | 71.8 | 81.8 | 46.2 | 76.9 | 76.6 | 66.3 | 79.4 | 67.3 |
| 4 | 318 |  | Holy Family Elementary - Paradise | K-6 | 601 | 400+ | 20.0 | Y | 85.9 | 39.6 | 13.9 | 16.6 | 77.5 | 66.4 | 85.9 | 66.2 | 83.6 | 84.2 | 71.0 | 69.8 | 54.6 |
| 4 | 285 | Y | Holy Redeemer Elementary - Spaniard's Bay | K-9 | 307 | 300-399 | 17.1 |  | 30.7 | 25.0 | 11.7 | 17.8 | 84.0 | 79.8 | 86.7 | 70.0 | 100.0 | 80.6 | 85.3 | 58.6 | 21.7 |
| 4 | 367 |  | Holy Trinity Elementary - Torbay | K-6 | 627 | 400+ | 19.6 | Y | 89.6 | 43.1 | 13.4 | 15.4 | 82.2 | 62.7 | 64.6 | 75.0 | 84.7 | 68.0 | 52.5 | 66.2 | 43.2 |
| 2 | 065 |  | Humber Elementary - Corner Brook | K-6 | 391 | 300-399 | 20.6 |  | 55.9 | 29.0 | 12.8 | 15.8 | 82.8 | 69.2 | 84.1 | 55.0 | 72.6 | 79.6 | 71.8 | 65.2 | 38.1 |
| 4 | 260 | Y | Immaculate Conception Elementary - Colliers | K-6 | 142 | 100-199 | 20.3 |  | 20.3 | 11.4 | 11.7 | 14.0 | 91.7 | 95.8 | 92.9 | 77.8 | 90.0 | 87.8 | 86.7 | 82.0 | 65.8 |
| 3 | 409 | Y | Indian River Academy - Springdale | K-6 | 255 | 200-299 | 18.2 |  | 36.4 | 20.5 | 11.7 | 16.8 | 76.2 | 74.6 | 68.0 | 67.7 | 90.9 | 80.2 | 81.4 | 71.7 | 49.1 |
| 2 | 066 |  | J.J. Curling Elementary - Corner Brook | K-6 | 302 | 300-399 | 21.6 |  | 43.1 | 22.0 | 13.0 | 16.1 | 86.4 | 71.1 | 85.1 | 78.0 | 86.9 | 84.3 | 83.0 | 84.2 | 80.1 |
| 1 | 381 |  | J.R. Smallwood Middle School - Wabush | 4-7 | 434 | 400+ | 20.7 | Y | 108.5 | 31.5 | 13.8 | 11.3 | 53.1 | - | - | 54.3 | 70.9 | - | - | 68.5 | 45.3 |
| 4 | 213 | Y | Lake Academy - Fortune | K-7 | 286 | 200-299 | 19.1 |  | 35.8 | 21.9 | 12.3 | 10.7 | 50.0 | 66.9 | 82.8 | 50.7 | 75.0 | 77.2 | 55.0 | 62.4 | 38.9 |
| 4 | 334 |  | Larkhall Academy - St. John's | K-6 | 313 | 300-399 | 18.4 |  | 44.7 | 25.6 | 11.5 | 14.3 | 70.4 | 56.4 | 59.1 | 66.6 | 64.0 | 73.7 | 68.3 | 61.4 | 43.6 |
| 2 | 103 | Y | LeGallais Memorial - Isle aux Morts | K-9 | 67 | 50-99 | 11.2 |  | 6.7 | 7.0 | 9.4 | 9.7 | 100.0 | 81.8 | 100.0 | 87.5 | 87.5 | 83.3 | 93.2 | 60.9 | 68.8 |
| 3 | 189 | Y | Lewisporte Academy - Lewisporte | K-6 | 345 | 300-399 | 20.3 |  | 49.3 | 24.5 | 13.0 | 13.2 | 56.0 | 51.8 | 69.6 | 70.2 | 52.6 | 77.0 | 60.9 | 65.4 | 40.6 |
| 2 | 106 | Y | Lourdes Elementary - Lourdes | K-8 | 180 | 100-199 | 20.0 |  | 20.0 | 15.0 | 11.6 | 12.9 | 26.7 | 69.2 | 80.0 | 55.3 | 88.0 | 81.6 | 86.5 | 58.1 | 23.9 |
| 3 | 192 | Y | Lumsden Academy - Lumsden | K-9 | 76 | 50-99 | 10.9 |  | 7.6 | 10.0 | 7.4 | 14.0 | 70.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 59.0 | 88.9 | 61.5 | 43.7 | 60.9 | 50.0 |
| 4 | 342 |  | MacDonald Drive Elementary - St. John's | K-6 | 386 | 300-399 | 18.5 | Y | 55.1 | 27.3 | 13.2 | 15.1 | 60.7 | 75.1 | 90.7 | 73.7 | 76.3 | 76.8 | 67.7 | 69.0 | 59.9 |
| 4 | 466 |  | Macpherson Elementary - St. John's | K-6 | 132 | 100-199 | 18.9 |  | 18.9 | 14.2 | 8.7 | 15.8 | 73.3 | 23.1 | 30.0 | 63.1 | 66.7 | 58.4 | 36.4 | 56.3 | 25.0 |
| 4 | 345 |  | Mary Queen of Peace Elementary - St. John's | K-6 | 746 | 400+ | 20.7 | Y | 106.6 | 47.5 | 14.6 | 15.6 | 79.2 | 71.4 | 72.2 | 80.1 | 85.9 | 77.8 | 69.8 | 76.0 | 62.5 |
| 4 | 308 |  | Mary Queen of the World Elementary - Mount Pearl | K-6 | 406 | 400+ | 18.5 |  | 58.0 | 29.0 | 12.9 | 16.2 | 82.8 | 73.8 | 71.7 | 53.5 | 76.3 | 75.0 | 57.7 | 66.4 | 52.2 |
| 4 | 232 | Y | Matthew Elementary School - Bonavista | K-8 | 328 | 300-399 | 18.2 |  | 36.4 | 27.0 | 11.6 | 9.6 | 51.9 | 46.6 | 43.9 | 68.8 | 64.9 | 74.0 | 66.7 | 69.9 | 66.7 |
| 3 | 133 |  | Memorial Academy - Botwood | K-6 | 338 | 300-399 | 18.8 |  | 48.3 | 25.8 | 12.2 | 14.8 | 46.2 | 53.3 | 61.1 | 64.3 | 55.1 | 73.7 | 64.4 | 67.6 | 43.9 |
| 3 | 143 |  | Millcrest Academy - Grand Falls-Windsor | 4-6 | 327 | 300-399 | 20.4 | Y | 109.0 | 25.0 | 13.1 | 13.1 | 80.0 | - | - | 68.6 | 94.4 | - | - | 70.6 | 58.9 |
| 1 | 013 | Y | Mud Lake School - Mud Lake | 1,8-9 | 3 | < 50 | 3.0 |  | 1.0 | 1.0 | 3.0 |  |  | - | - |  |  | - | - |  | - |
| 4 | 312 |  | Newtown Elementary - Mount Pearl | K-6 | 411 | 400+ | 21.6 |  | 58.7 | 27.6 | 14.0 | 17.8 | 92.9 | 70.3 | 81.6 | 65.3 | 80.3 | 74.5 | 51.9 | 72.8 | 47.0 |
| 2 | 115 | Y | Our Lady of Mercy Elementary - St. George's | K-8 | 133 | 100-199 | 14.8 |  | 14.8 | 14.8 | 8.6 | 15.0 | 60.0 | 46.4 | 83.3 | 76.0 | 84.2 | 66.7 | 50.0 | 60.5 | 40.8 |
| 2 | 096 | Y | Our Lady of the Cape School - Cape St. George | K-8 | 68 | 50-99 | 13.6 |  | 7.6 | 8.0 | 8.1 | 15.2 | 50.0 | 90.0 | 100.0 | 94.4 | 80.0 | 88.9 | 100.0 | 77.2 | 83.3 |
|  |  |  | Province | - | 68,729 | - | 18.2 | - | 5,287 | 5,544.0 | 12.0 | 14.4 | 70.2 | 65.4 | 71.9 | 62.5 | 74.7 | 76.8 | 66.0 | 68.4 | 50.0 |

Note: $\quad{ }_{2}^{1}$ Percentage of students achieving at or above the provincial stanadard






| SCHOOL INFORMATION |  |  |  | SCHOOL DEMOGRAPHICS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | CRITERION REFERENCED TESTS |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{\cong}{\stackrel{\varrho}{\mathbb{D}}} \underset{\sim}{\otimes}$ | $\stackrel{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\bar{\omega}}}{\stackrel{0}{0}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{9}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | iate Arts | Intermediate Mathematics |
| 悥 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { O} \\ & \text { © } \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\sim}{~}$ |  | $\frac{\pi}{0}$ | 추 | ᄃ | $\text { 畗 } \underset{\underline{E}}{\stackrel{0}{E}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 248 |  | Amalgamated Academy - Bay Roberts | 4-9 | 718 | 400+ | Y | 119.7 | 47.9 | 15.0 | 12.3 | 77.1 | 58.5 | 81.7 | 59.8 |
| 4 | 324 |  | Beaconsfield Junior High - St. John's | 7-9 | 422 | 400+ | Y | 140.7 | 32.5 | 13.0 | 13.0 | 78.8 | 70.0 | 88.7 | 59.4 |
| 4 | 330 |  | Brother Rice Junior High - St. John's | 7-9 | 340 | 300-399 | Y | 113.3 | 26.7 | 12.8 | 16.1 | 85.2 | 48.9 | 78.0 | 60.2 |
| 4 | 428 |  | Clarenville Middle School - Clarenville | 4-8 | 311 | 300-399 | Y | 62.2 | 23.0 | 13.5 | 16.6 | 91.3 | - | - | - |
| 3 | 481 |  | Exploits Valley Intermediate - Grand Falls-Windsor | 7-9 | 443 | 400+ | Y | 147.7 | 30.0 | 14.8 | 18.1 | 71.0 | 67.8 | 89.6 | 59.3 |
| 4 | 300 |  | Frank Roberts Junior High - Conception Bay South (Foxtrap) | 7-9 | 548 | 400+ | Y | 182.7 | 40.3 | 13.6 | 12.5 | 75.6 | 70.5 | 76.6 | 67.5 |
| 2 | 062 |  | G.C. Rowe Junior High - Corner Brook | 7-9 | 378 | 300-399 |  | 126.0 | 28.5 | 13.3 | 17.1 | 69.0 | 69.5 | 87.6 | 66.9 |
| 4 | 465 |  | Holy Cross Junior High - St. John's | 7-9 | 154 | 100-199 |  | 51.3 | 15.8 | 9.7 | 14.9 | 87.5 | 44.5 | 54.7 | 38.9 |
| 4 | 341 |  | I.J. Samson Junior High - St. John's | 7-9 | 302 | 300-399 | Y | 100.7 | 24.3 | 12.5 | 12.3 | 88.0 | 75.0 | 87.5 | 67.2 |
| 4 | 335 |  | Leary's Brook Junior High - St. John's | 7-9 | 501 | 400+ | Y | 167.0 | 34.3 | 14.6 | 12.7 | 80.0 | 57.5 | 75.8 | 57.9 |
| 3 | 486 | Y | Lewisporte Intermediate - Lewisporte | 7-9 | 164 | 100-199 |  | 54.7 | 11.3 | 14.6 | 11.7 | 58.3 | 75.0 | 92.5 | 61.0 |
| 4 | 343 |  | MacDonald Drive Junior High - St. John's | 7-9 | 688 | 400+ | Y | 229.3 | 45.9 | 15.0 | 14.4 | 76.6 | 64.5 | 83.0 | 66.3 |
| 4 | 310 |  | Mount Pearl Intermediate - Mount Pearl | 5-9 | 780 | 400+ | Y | 156.0 | 56.5 | 13.8 | 11.6 | 78.0 | 72.6 | 90.9 | 67.4 |
| 4 | 209 | Y | Pearce Junior High School - Salt Pond | 8-9 | 269 | 200-299 | Y | 134.5 | 20.0 | 13.5 | 13.5 | 60.0 | 74.4 | 83.2 | 62.4 |
| 2 | 067 |  | Presentation Junior High - Corner Brook | 7-9 | 438 | 400+ | Y | 146.0 | 30.0 | 14.6 | 11.3 | 73.3 | 77.6 | 94.4 | 71.7 |
| 4 | 269 | Y | St. Francis School - Harbour Grace | 6-9 | 349 | 300-399 | Y | 87.3 | 27.6 | 12.7 | 14.3 | 71.4 | 50.0 | 77.8 | 73.0 |
| 4 | 353 |  | St. Kevin's Junior High - St. John's (Goulds) | 7-9 | 284 | 200-299 | Y | 94.7 | 21.5 | 13.2 | 15.2 | 81.8 | 59.9 | 84.6 | 66.4 |
| 3 | 420 |  | St. Paul's Intermediate School - Gander | 7-9 | 407 | 400+ | Y | 135.7 | 27.5 | 14.8 | 15.3 | 71.4 | 72.9 | 89.5 | 72.5 |
| 4 | 359 |  | St. Paul's Junior High - St. John's | 7-9 | 373 | 300-399 | Y | 124.3 | 30.0 | 12.4 | 16.2 | 90.3 | 79.8 | 92.1 | 67.9 |
| 4 | 315 |  | St. Peter's Junior High - Mount Pearl | 7-9 | 640 | 400+ | Y | 213.3 | 41.6 | 15.4 | 12.3 | 71.4 | 68.1 | 80.2 | 64.6 |
| 2 | 396 |  | Stephenville Middle School - Stephenville | 6-8 | 291 | 200-299 | Y | 97.0 | 23.8 | 12.3 | 16.5 | 60.0 | - | - | - |
| 2 | 391 | Y | Xavier Junior High - Deer Lake | 6-9 | 286 | 200-299 |  | 71.5 | 20.0 | 14.3 | 14.9 | 95.2 | 45.0 | 80.8 | 56.1 |
|  |  |  | Province | - | 68,729 | - | - | 5,286.8 | 5,544.0 | 12.0 | 14.4 | 70.2 | 65.3 | 83.3 | 67.6 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Note: | Percenta <br> Average | of students <br> re | eving at | e the | cial stanadard |



| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 言 } \\ & \frac{\text { deb }}{6} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\stackrel{\overline{\underline{x}}}{\substack{x}}$ | SCHOOL INFORMATION | SCHOOL DEMOGRAPHICS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | CRITERION REFERENCED TESTS |  |  | HIGH SCHOOL PERFORMANCE |  |  |  |  |  | GRADUATES |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | School／ Community |  | $\stackrel{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0}}{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{4}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{0}{n} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{n} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | diate <br> Arts <br> 은 3 3 | Intermediate Mathematics <br>  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 476 | Y | Baccalieu Collegiate－Old Perlican | 7－12 | 205 | 200－299 | r |  | 34.2 | 18.5 | 11.1 | 15.1 | 84.2 | 80.0 | 73.9 | 70.5 | 51.0 | 74.4 | 72.7 | 69.6 | 23.8 | 82.4 | 97.1 | 38.2 | 26.5 | 35.3 |
| 3 | 125 | Y | Baie Verte Collegiat－Baie Verte | 7－12 | 203 | 200－299 | Y |  | 33.8 | 15.8 | 12.9 | 13.9 | 68.8 | 78.9 | ${ }^{72.3}$ | 65.0 | 49.0 | 67.9 | 62.9 | 60.0 | 17.2 |  | 87.9 | 10.3 | 31.0 | 58.6 |
| 2 | 387 | Y | Bayview Regional Collegiate－St．Lunaire | 7－12 | 53 | 50－99 | $Y$ |  | 8.8 | 7.0 | 7.6 | 12.4 | 28.6 | 67.9 | 75.0 | 75.2 | 37.0 | 72.0 | 68.5 | 66.3 | 30.0 |  | 100.0 | 10.0 | 40.0 | 50.0 |
| 3 | 132 |  | Botwood Collegiate－Botwood | 7－12 | 347 | 300－399 | Y |  | 57.8 | 26.0 | 13.4 | 13.9 | 73.1 | 58.2 | ${ }^{65.3}$ | 54.8 | 60.0 | 69.5 | 61.4 | 61.3 | 30.6 | 76.1 | 92.0 | 10.9 | 37.0 <br> 125 | 52．2 |
| 3 | 153 | Y | Cape John Collegiate－La Scie | 7－12 | 121 | 100－199 | r |  | 20.2 | 10.0 | 12.1 | 12.0 | 60.0 | 45.0 | 80.0 | 46.6 | 45.0 | 68.2 | 53.2 | 62.7 | 0.0 |  | 96.0 | 8.3 | 12.5 | 79.2 |
| 4 | 464 | Y | Crescent Collegiate－Blaketown | 7－12 | 575 | $400+$ |  | Y | 95.8 | 42.0 | 13.7 | 14.2 | 73.8 | 50.7 | 81.1 | 60.5 | 62.0 | 69.3 | 62.2 | 68.1 | 26.0 | 76.9 | 94.4 | 21.2 | 37.6 | 41.2 |
| 4 | 452 |  | District School－St．John＇s | ${ }^{7-11}$ | 28 | ＜50 |  |  | 5.6 | 40.5 | ${ }^{0.7}$ | 17.6 | 87.8 667 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | － |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 162 | Y | Dorset Collegiate－Pilley＇s Island | 7－12 | 184 | 100－199 | r |  | 30.7 | 15.0 | 12.3 | 14.4 | 66.7 | 69.6 | 92.9 | 58.0 | 45.0 | 66.9 | 52.5 | 59.7 | 4.9 | 798 | 80.8 | 9.5 | 47.6 | 42.9 |
| 2 | 052 | Y | Harriot Curtis Collegiate－St．Anthony | 8－12 | 171 | 100－199 | Y |  | 34.2 | 13.9 | 12.4 | 10.1 | 50.0 | 81.1 | 97.0 | 81.3 | 46.0 | 75.1 | 67.9 | 66.6 | 42.4 | 79.8 | 91.9 | 29.4 | 35.3 | 35.3 |
| 4 | 471 | Y | Heritage Collegiate－Lethbridge | 7－12 | 205 | 200－299 |  |  | 34.2 | 14.5 | 14.1 | 18.2 | 66.7 | 82.4 | 87.5 | 68.8 | 46.0 | 66.6 | 65.4 | 70.3 | 52.9 | 75.9 | 92.6 | 20.0 | 32.0 | 48.0 |
| 4 | 368 |  | Holy Trinity High－Torbay | 7－12 | 718 | $400+$ |  | Y | 119.7 | 44.8 | 16.0 | 14.8 | 86.7 | 74.9 | 85.0 | 64.5 | 67.0 | 69.4 | 64.1 | 63.5 | 21.9 | 79.9 | 85.7 | 30.2 | 45.8 | 24.0 |
| 3 | 171 | Y | Indian River High School－Springdale | 7－12 | 266 | 200－299 |  |  | 44.3 | 20.8 | 12.8 | 17.6 | 77.3 | 48.0 | 71.1 | 46.5 | 54.0 | 72.4 | 64.0 | 63.9 | 26.8 | 66.5 | 92.5 | 27.0 | 32.4 | 40.5 |
| 3 | 201 | Y | J．M．Olds Collegiate－Twilingate | 7－12 | 168 | 100－199 | $Y$ |  | 28.0 | 13.0 | 12.9 | 15.2 | 23.1 | 84.2 | 100.0 | 74.9 | 46.0 | 78.4 | 72.6 | 72.9 | 22.6 | 82.3 | 96.6 | 39.3 | 32.1 | 28.6 |
| 4 | 214 | Y | John Burke High School－Grand Bank | 8－12 | 198 | 100－199 | Y |  | 39.6 | 13.5 | 14.7 | 12.8 | 50.0 | 56.6 | 77.8 | 58.5 | 50.0 | 63.6 | 55.3 | 65.0 | 4.2 |  | 93.8 | 20.0 | 33.3 | 46.7 |
| 3 | 149 | Y | King Academy－Harbour Breton | 7－12 | 147 | 100－199 | Y |  | 24.5 | 12.0 | 12.3 | 18.8 | 58.3 | 45.0 | 85.0 | 60.1 | 44.0 | 74.9 | 70.5 | 68.6 | 29.6 | 90.4 | 96.3 | 30.8 | 34.6 | 34.6 |
| 4 | 280 | r | Laval High School－Placentia | 7.12 | 305 | 300－399 | Y |  | 50.8 | 24.5 | 12.5 | 12.0 | 72.0 | 76.4 | 84.9 | 74.9 | 51.0 | 70.7 | 59.0 | 55.9 | 32.7 | 71.8 | 88.5 | 17.4 | 43.5 | 39.1 |
| 3 | 402 | Y | Leo Burke Academy－Bishop＇s Falls | 7－12 | 219 | 200－299 | Y |  | 36.5 | 17.8 | 12.3 | 16.7 | 72.2 | 68.6 | 100.0 | 53.2 | 50.0 | 70.2 | 66.3 | 67.4 | 14.3 |  | 92.6 | 32.0 | 36.0 | 32.0 |
| 1 | 477 |  | Mealy Mountain Collegiate－Happy Valley－Goose Bay | 8－12 | 509 | $400+$ |  | r | 101.8 | 31.0 | 16.4 | 13.0 | 54.8 | 62.1 | 88.9 | 59.2 | 72.0 | 68.9 | 67.5 | 66.1 | 32.1 | 79.5 | 92.9 | 26.6 | 39.2 | 34.2 |
| 1 | 010 |  | Menihek High School－Labrador City | 8－12 | 591 | $400+$ |  | Y | 118.2 | 37.0 | 16.0 | 16.1 | 64.9 | 56.4 | 81.4 | 62.5 | 69.0 | 69.2 | 65.7 | 63.4 | 25.8 | 84.2 | 93.6 | 27.4 | 32.9 | 39.7 |
| 4 | 307 | Y | Mobile Central High－Mobile | 7－12 | 218 | 200－299 | Y |  | 36.3 | 18.5 | 11.8 | 15.6 | 68.4 | 67.2 | 78.6 | 72.9 | 48.0 | 73.2 | 68.5 | 71.6 | 37.0 | 83.6 | 97.6 | 36.6 | 31.7 | 31.7 |
| 2 | 083 | Y | Pasadena Academy－Pasadena | 7－12 | 226 | 200－299 | Y |  | 37.7 | 18.0 | 12.6 | 17.1 | 50.0 | 66.0 | 87.2 | 63.4 | 51.0 | 79.1 | 72.1 | 72.6 | 28.3 | 83.5 | 94.1 | 43.8 | 37.5 | 18.8 |
| 4 | 247 | Y | Roncalli Central High－Avondale | 7－12 | 298 | 200－299 |  |  | 49.7 | 21.7 | 13.7 | 15.5 | 72.7 | 62.3 | 73.6 | 68.4 | 52.0 | 68.4 | 64.0 | 63.7 | 23.2 | 80.1 | 89.4 | 31.0 | 42.9 | 26.2 |
| 2 | 099 | Y | St．James＇Regional High School－Channel－Port Aux Basques | 7－12 | 338 | 300－399 |  |  | 56.3 | 23.0 | 14.7 | 13.4 | 60.9 | 41.8 | 81.4 | 49.8 | 60.0 | 71.5 | 62.3 | 65.2 | 23.5 | 80.3 | 100.0 | 16.3 | 55.1 | 28.6 |
| 4 | 296 | Y | St．Michael＇s High－Bell lsland | 7－12 | 197 | 100－199 | Y |  | 32.8 | 19.9 | 9.9 | 8.5 | 50.0 | 55.6 | 68.4 | 45.7 | 54.0 | 70.0 | 54.8 | 64.5 | 24.2 | 63.6 | 91.4 | 6.3 | 25.0 | 68.8 |
|  |  |  | Province | ． | 68，729 | ． | － | ． | 5，286．8 | 5，544．0 | 12.0 | 14.4 | 70.2 | 65.3 | 83.3 | 67.6 | 147.0 | 63.8 | 63.4 | 66.5 | 31.4 | 77.9 | 92.2 | 24.7 | 39.2 | 36.0 |



| SCHOOL InFormation |  |  |  | school democraphics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | CRITERION REFERENCED TESTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | HIGH SCHOOL PERFORMANCE |  |  |  | graduates |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 읗 } \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{6} \end{aligned}$ | 宕 | ${ }_{\text {Schoul }}^{\text {Schooly }}$ Communiy |  | 힌 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Primary } \\ & \text { Language Arts } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Elementary } \\ & \text { Language Arts } \end{aligned}$ |  | Intermediate Language Arts |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Primary } \\ \text { Mathematics } \end{gathered}$ |  | Elementary Mathematics |  | Intermediate Mathematics |  |  |  |  |  |  | Graduates－Academic |  |
| 은 音 号 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 第 |  | 管 |  | 㜢 | $\frac{0}{20}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 180 | Y | A．R．Scammell Academy－Change Islands | $\underbrace{k-1.20}_{k-12}$ | 24 | ＜50 | 6.0 | r | 1.8 | 5.0 | 4.6 73 | 7.2 | 20.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 31.9 | 25.0 |  |  |  | 24.0 | 58.9 | ${ }^{48.1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }_{1}^{2}$ | 102 | Y | All Saints Al－Grade－Grey River | $\underbrace{\text { k }}_{\substack{\text { k－1，3，6－8，11－12 } \\ k-12}}$ | ${ }_{132}^{16}$ | －${ }_{\text {＜} 50}$ | 6.5 9.2 | r | 2.0 10.2 | $\begin{aligned} & 2.0 \\ & 19.0 \end{aligned}$ | 7.3 6.8 | $\begin{aligned} & 5.8 \\ & 9.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50.0 \\ & 31.6 \end{aligned}$ | 23.6 | 44.4 | 42.7 | 63.6 | 10.0 | 57.1 | 59.9 | 38.9 | 74.0 | 52.3 |  | 18.0 33.0 | 70.1 | 55.4 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 16.7 |  |
| 1 | 016 | r | B．L．Morison－Postvile | K－12 | 43 | ＜50 | 5.6 | r | ${ }_{3.3}$ | 7.0 | 6.1 | 11.3 | 14.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 77.7 | 75.0 |  |  |  | 30.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 447 | r | Batimore School Comple－Ferryland | K－12 | 268 | 200－299 | 18.0 | r | 20.6 | 21.3 | 12.4 | 13.7 | 81.8 | 77.8 | 78.9 | 88.9 | 83.3 | 82.1 | 92.9 | 87.4 | 85.3 | 77.1 | 76.4 | 67.1 | 51.0 | 72.7 | 63.7 | 6.5 | 96.6 | 3.6 | 46.4 | 50.0 |
| 2 | 050 | r | Basque Memorial－Red Bay | K，3－4，6－12 | 23 | ＜50 | 5.0 | $r$ | 2.3 | 5.0 | 4.4 | 14.3 | 33.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 97.2 | 100.0 |  |  |  | 50， | 69.2 | 60.2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 407 | Y | Bay d＇Espoir Academy－Miltown | K－12 | 277 | 200－299 | 20.4 |  | 21.3 | 22.5 | 11.9 | 10.3 | 65.2 | 69.4 | ${ }^{63.6}$ | 79.8 | 84.6 | ${ }^{71.7}$ | 83.3 | 75.4 | 75.0 | 73.1 | 67.3 | 60.7 | 41.0 | 78.2 | 67.5 | 72.7 | 100.0 | 42.9 | 28.6 | 28.6 |
|  | 397 | Y | Belanger Memorial School－Upper Ferry | K－12 | 207 | 200－299 | 15.7 | $r$ | 15.9 | 17.5 | ${ }^{11.5}$ | 14.5 | 55.6 | 44.1 | ${ }^{61.5}$ | 65.6 | 92.9 |  | 100.0 | 67.4 | 26.7 | 68.4 | 51.9 | 81.2 | 36.0 | 68.9 | 63.5 | 0.0 | 93．8 | 13.3 |  |  |
|  | 240 | Y | Bishop White School－Port Rexton | K－12 | 113 | 100－199 | 10.9 | r | 8.7 | 14.5 | 7.7 | 10.4 | 80.0 | ${ }^{87.5}$ | ${ }^{50.0}$ | 90.0 | 100.0 | 75.0 | 100.0 | ${ }_{85} 8.9$ | 75.0 | 79.2 | 92.5 | 61.4 | 27.0 | ${ }^{67.2}$ | 58.9 | 12.5 | 100.0 | 12.5 | 37.5 | 50.0 |
| 2 | 393 091 | r | Bone Eay Academy－Woody Point | ${ }_{\substack{k-12 \\ k-12}}^{\text {k }}$ | 66 139 | 50．99 100－199 | 15.3 11.9 | r | ${ }_{10.1} 10.7$ | ${ }^{9.0} 16$ | 7.3 8.2 | 10.4 10.5 | 44.4 47.1 | ${ }^{991.7}$ | 83．3 70.0 | 42.9 | 71.4 | 60.2 | 100.0 | 85.2 82.4 | 75．0 83.3 | 75.2 | 35.7 | 61.1 | 27.0 30.0 | ${ }_{76.1}^{71.8}$ | 63.0 63.0 | $\stackrel{0.0}{27.3}$ | 83.3 100.0 | 5.6 | 44.4 |  |
| 2 | 027 | $r$ | Canon Richards Memorial Academy－Fowers Cove | K－12 | ${ }_{238}$ | $200-299$ | 18.2 | r | ${ }_{18.3}$ | 19.5 | ${ }_{11.7}$ | ${ }_{8.9}$ | 50.0 | ${ }_{58.9}$ | 81.3 | ${ }_{58.3}$ | ${ }^{82.6}$ | ${ }_{65.3}$ | 100.0 | 75.5 | 55.4 | 66.5 | 55.7 | 64.7 | 38.0 | 76.1 | ${ }_{63.5}^{63.5}$ | ${ }_{11.1}^{21.3}$ | 100.0 | ${ }_{26.1}^{56.1}$ | 43.5 | 50．4 |
| 4 | 223 | r | Christ the King School－Rushoon | K－12 | 127 | 100－199 | 9.0 | $r$ | 9.8 | 16.0 | 7.7 | 15.0 | 62.5 | ${ }^{75.0}$ | 87.5 | 28.6 | 35.7 | ${ }^{62.5}$ | 88.9 | 81.5 | 78.6 | 55.8 | 44.6 | 70.1 | 36.0 | 72.9 | 62.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 20.0 | 50.0 | 30.0 |
| 2 | 474 | r | Cloud River Academy－Roddickton | K－12 | 181 | 100－199 | 11.7 | $r$ | 13.9 | 19.0 | ${ }^{9.3}$ | 10.5 | 31.6 | 8.3 | 0.0 | 29.9 | ${ }^{3.7}$ | 39.5 | 83.3 | 74.0 | 15.6 | 71.2 | 41.1 | 67.3 | 46.0 | 73.9 | 57.0 | 5.6 | 88.0 | 9.1 | 50.0 | 40.9 |
| 3 | 405 | r | Cotrell＇s Cove Academy－Cotrell＇s Cove | к－2，4－5，7－12 | 28 | ＜ 50 | 5.7 | $r$ | 2.5 | 5.0 | 5.3 | 6.1 | 20.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 94.4 | 25.0 |  |  |  | 21.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ${ }^{236}$ | Y | D．C．Young School－Port Hope Simpson |  | 72 <br> 13 <br> 13 | －50．99 | ${ }^{9.0}$ | r | ${ }^{5.5}$ | 10.0 154 | ${ }^{6.9}$ | 13.3 177 | ${ }_{4}^{40.0}$ | 318 | 818 | 682 | 81.8 | 40.6 | 87.5 | 80.6 | 75.0 | 805 | 850 |  | 27.0 350 | ${ }_{58.7}^{58.7}$ | ${ }_{74.5}^{43.5}$ |  | 88.3 |  |  |  |
| 2 | 394 | $r$ | E．A．Buter All Grade－MCKay＇s | k－12 | 132 | 100－199 | 12.0 | $r$ | 10．2 | 11.0 | ${ }_{8.1}^{8.5}$ | ${ }_{13.6}$ | ${ }_{56.3}^{56.3}$ | 67.0 | 50.0 | ${ }_{37.5}^{60.2}$ | 50.0 | ${ }_{5}^{4.6}$ | ${ }_{72.7}$ | 63.4 | 40.9 | 63.5 | 85．0 | 59.9 | 35.0 38.0 | 74.9 | 60．8 | 5.3 | 100.0 | ${ }^{38.9}$ | 38．5 | 23．1 |
|  | 472 |  | Ecole Boreale－Happy Valley－Goose Bay | k－5，7，10 | 15 | ＜ 50 | 4.7 | r | 1.9 | 3.0 | 5.0 | 2.5 | 40.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 84.3 | 41.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | 107 | r | Ecole Ste－Anne－La Grand Terre（Mainland） | K－12 | 75 | 50．99 | 10.0 | r | 5.8 | ${ }^{8.3}$ | 9.1 | 6.4 | 45.5 | － | － | － | － | － | － | 49.1 | 25.0 | 79.4 | 54.2 |  | 29.0 | 65.5 | 47.4 |  | 77.8 | 0.0 | 42. |  |
| 5 | ${ }^{460}$ | ， | Ecole des Crands－Vents－St．John＇s | K－12 | ${ }_{93}^{95}$ | 50．99 | ${ }^{13.1}$ | $r$ | 7.3 | 12.0 | 7.9 | 6.2 | 41.7 |  |  |  |  |  | 75.0 | 87.2 | 78.1 | 78.6 | 75.0 |  | 17.0 |  | － |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | ${ }^{286}$ | Y | Fatima Academy－St．Bride＇s | K－12 |  | 50．99 $100-199$ | ${ }^{9.7}$ |  | ${ }^{7} 1.2$ | ${ }^{12.0}$ | ${ }^{7.5}$ | ${ }^{10.1}$ | 50．0 | 50.0 788 | 83.3 286 | ${ }^{70.0}$ | ${ }^{90.0}$ | ${ }^{90.9}$ |  | 7778 | 87.5 | 71.4 | 77.8 | 67.5 | 27.0 | 75.7 | 63.0 | 14.3 |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }_{3}$ | ${ }_{414}^{406}$ | r | Firgeral Academy Engiss Harbourwest | K－12 | ${ }_{284}^{188}$ | ${ }_{200-299}$ | ${ }^{13.6}$ | r | ${ }^{14.5}$ | ${ }^{12.5}$ | ${ }_{12.8}^{9.8}$ | ${ }_{9.7}^{13.9}$ | ${ }_{68.2}^{52.6}$ | 78.6 79.7 | 28.6 68.2 | 31.0 30.0 | 50.0 86.4 | 30.9 43.8 | 66.7 84.2 | 77.8 82.6 | 75.0 91.7 | 67.5 | 45.8 | 60.1 61.1 | 42.0 50.0 | 67.8 73.8 | 68．5 6 | 11.8 17.2 | ${ }^{100.0}$ | ${ }_{26.9}^{11.1}$ | 424．4 |  |
| 4 | 226 | r | Fortune Bay Academy－St．Bermard＇s－Jacques Fontaine | K－12 | 134 | 100－199 | 10.0 | r | 10.3 | 15.0 | 8.9 | 12.8 | 73.3 | 20.0 | 6.0 | 38.9 | ${ }_{66.7}$ | ${ }^{71.4}$ | 57.1 | 73.0 | 39.3 | 47.1 | 18.8 | 60.1 | 33.0 | 75.2 | 63.5 | 15.4 | 92.3 | 16.7 | 41.7 | 41.7 |
| 2 | 488 | r | French Shore Academy－Port Saunders | K－12 | 263 | 200－299 | 19.6 |  | 20.2 | 24.0 | 10.7 | 14.8 | 58.3 | ${ }^{56.3}$ | ${ }^{56.3}$ | 45.7 | ${ }^{83.3}$ | ${ }^{78.6}$ | 95.0 | 67.0 | 32.8 | 62．2 | 38.0 | 67.2 | 41.0 | 76.3 | 69.0 | 14.8 | 96.0 | 29.2 | 45.8 |  |
| 3 | ${ }_{422}^{194}$ | Y | Gill Memorial Academy－Musgrave Harbour Gioverown Academy－Gloverown | ${ }_{\text {K－12 }}^{\text {k－12 }}$ | 128 368 | ${ }_{\text {loo－399 }}^{100}$ | 13.7 15.9 | r | ${ }_{28.3}^{9.8}$ | 13.8 30.3 | ${ }_{11.7}^{9.1}$ | 15.8 14.4 | 71.4 64.5 | ${ }_{\text {cher }}^{61.7}$ | 83.3 65.0 | 31.1 65.9 | 41.7 69.6 | 78．6 58.7 | 85.7 79.3 | 84.7 81.0 | ${ }_{75.0}^{10.0}$ | 78.4 70.7 | 42.5 60.0 | 52.3 49.1 | 32.0 50.0 | ${ }_{68.2}^{63.3}$ | 54.9 59.2 | ${ }_{23}^{0.0}$ | 100.0 93.9 | 14.3 12.9 | ${ }_{45.7}{ }_{4}$ | 50．0 |
| 2 | 092 | r | Grandys River collegiate－－uunt slands | K－12 | ${ }_{131} 13$ | 100－199 | 17.4 | $r$ | 10.1 | 17.5 | 7.4 | 12.4 | ${ }_{44.4}^{64.5}$ | ${ }_{64.3}^{61.8}$ | ${ }_{7} \mathbf{6 . 4}$ | ${ }_{66.7}^{66.9}$ | ${ }_{66.7}^{69.6}$ | ${ }_{68.8}^{53.7}$ | ${ }_{100.0}$ | ${ }_{71.4}$ | ${ }_{53.6}$ | 78.9 | 46.9 | ${ }_{77.9}$ | 40.0 | 68.9 | 694．1 | 11.1 | 100.0 | 12.5 | ${ }_{31.3}$ | 56．3 |
| 2 | 086 | r | Gros Morne Academy－Rocky Harbour | k－12 | 240 | 200－299 | 18.9 | $r$ | 18.5 | 20.8 | 11.3 | 14.8 | 38.1 | 72.5 | 82.6 | 56.4 | 78.9 | 70.8 | 79.2 | 78.3 | 64.1 | 60.8 | 55.9 | 74.4 | 44.0 | 75.4 | 69.3 | 9.1 | 100.0 | 38.5 | 46.2 |  |
| 3 | 156 | Y | H．L．Strong Academy－Litite Bay Islands | 5，8，12 | 4 | ＜50 | 2.0 | $r$ | 1.3 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 15.0 | 50.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 15.0 | 88.0 | 84.8 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 075 002 | Y | Hampden Acadeny－Hampden | ${ }_{\text {k－12 }}^{\text {k－12 }}$ | 70 81 | 50．99 50.99 | 9.2 8.3 | r | 5.4 6.2 | 10.0 10.0 | ${ }_{7.8}^{6.8}$ | 12.9 12.9 | 50.0 30.0 | 50.0 | 66.7 | 61.1 | 77.8 | 75.0 | 100.0 | 86.1 67.1 | 33.3 50.0 | 71.6 | 63.9 | 90.5 | 27.0 36.0 | 74.7 64.4 | ${ }_{58.7}^{63.0}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0.0}$ | 100.0 | 0.0 | 55.6 |  |
| 2 | 072 | r | Holy Cross All Grade School－Daniel＇s Harbour | K－12 | 35 | ＜50 | ${ }_{8.0}$ | $r$ | ${ }_{2} .7$ | 6.0 | 5.8 | 14.8 | 66.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 97.2 | 87.5 |  |  |  | 21.0 | 65.4 | 62.1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 413 | r | Holy Cross School Complex－Eastrort | k－12 | 112 | 100－199 | 11.0 | $r$ | 8.6 | 12.0 | 9.0 | 8.4 | 58.3 | ${ }^{66.7}$ | 66.7 | － | － |  |  | 87.6 | 85.0 |  | ． |  | 34.0 | 65.3 | 57.8 | 5.6 | 87.5 | 21.4 | 28.6 | 50.0 |
| 4 | 427 | Y | Holy Name of Mary Academy－Lawn | K－12 | 119 | 100－199 | 9.5 | $r$ | 9.2 | 15.0 | 7.8 | 11.4 | 46.7 | ${ }^{50.0}$ | 85.7 | － | － | 94.4 | 77.8 | 73.5 | 28.6 |  |  | 67.0 | 39.0 | 66.6 | 60.2 | 28.6 | 100.0 | 9.5 | 52.4 |  |
| 1 | 012 089 | r | J．C．Emard Memorial School－Makkovik | ${ }_{\text {K－12 }}^{\text {K－12 }}$ | 68 | 50.99 50.99 | ${ }^{9.4}$ | r | 5.2 <br> 6.5 | 10.0 11.5 | ${ }_{6}^{6.6}$ | 12.5 | 10.0 | ${ }^{35.7}$ | ${ }_{5}^{57.1}$ | － | － |  |  | ${ }_{6}^{61.1}$ | 53.6 |  |  |  | 36.0 36.0 | 62.0 825 | 54.1 |  | 85.7 | 0.0 | 16.7 | 83.3 |
| 2 | ${ }_{0}^{029}$ | r | Jakeman Al Grade－－Trout Rerer | ${ }_{\text {K，} 4.12}^{\mathrm{K}}$ | ${ }_{8}^{84}$ | ${ }_{\text {c }}^{50} 5$ | ${ }^{11.6}$ | r | ${ }^{6.5}$ | ${ }_{5.0}^{11.5}$ | ${ }_{4.8}^{6.9}$ | 12.4 17.3 | 58.3 40.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 83.3 |  |  |  | 36.0 26.0 | ${ }^{82} 8.9$ | ${ }^{73.5}$ |  |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| 1 | 014 | r | Jens Haven Memorial－Nain | k－12 | 233 | 200－299 | 17.6 | r | 17.9 | 26.0 | 8.7 | 11.7 | 30.8 | 72.7 | 72.7 | 40.9 | 52.9 | ${ }^{73.9}$ | 61.5 | 58.1 | 38.5 | 61.7 | 19.5 | 60.4 | 40.0 | 61.5 | 55.7 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 487 | Y | John Wakkins Academy－Hermitage | ${ }_{\text {k－12 }}^{\text {k－12 }}$ | 74 209 | 50－99 $200-299$ | ${ }_{1}^{9.8}$ | Y | 5.7 16.1 16. | ${ }_{20.5}^{10.8}$ | 6.6 9.8 | 14.6 20.3 | 81.8 61.9 | 83.3 | 88.9 | 95.5 | 100.0 | 87.5 66.3 | 100.0 61.9 | ${ }_{80.5}^{87.2}$ | ${ }_{84.4}^{85.0}$ | 71.3 | 84.1 | 81.8 73.7 | 28.0 40.0 | 79.2 78.5 | ${ }_{72.4}^{69.7}$ | ${ }^{25.0}$ | 100.0 100.0 | 50.0 30.4 | ${ }_{17.4}^{37.5}$ | ${ }_{52.2}^{12.5}$ |
| 1 | 015 | r | Lake Mevilile School－North West River | k－12 | 98 | 50－99 | 12.7 | r | 7.5 | 11.0 | 8.6 | 18.4 | 36.4 |  |  | 70.0 | 100.0 | ${ }_{4}^{41.7}$ | 83.3 | 60.2 | 41.7 | 63.7 | 30.0 | 65.5 | 40.0 | 64.2 | 55.5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 403 | Y | Lakeside Academy－Buchans | k－12 | 95 | 50－99 | 14.0 | r | 7.3 | 11.5 | 8.0 | 6.3 | 25.0 |  |  | 34.1 | 54.6 | 28.6 | 57.1 | 75.6 | 30.0 | 67.7 | 43.2 | 42.7 | 28.0 | 62.9 | 55.6 |  | 83.3 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Province |  | 68，729 |  | 18.2 | － | 5，286．8 | 5，544．0） | 12.0 | 14.4 | 70.2 | 65.4 | 71.9 | 62.5 | 74.7 | 65.3 | 83.3 | 76.8 | 66.0 | 68.4 | 50.0 | 67.6 | 147.0 | 63.8 | 63.4 | 31.4 | 92.2 | 24.7 | 39.2 |  |

$\mathrm{K}=12$（ametran

| SCHOOL INFORMATION |  |  |  | SCHOOL DEMOGRAPHICS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | CRITERION REFERENCED TESTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | HIGH SCHOOL PERFORMANCE |  |  |  | GRADUATES |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \overline{\bar{訁}} \\ & \text { 亳 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \overline{\bar{o}} \\ & \stackrel{\bar{\circ}}{\dot{\circ}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 㝻 } \end{aligned}$ | School／ Community |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 421 |  | Lakewood Academy－Glenwood | K－12 | 206 | 200－299 | 16.0 | Y | 15.8 | 18.0 | 11.1 | 18.2 | 72.2 | 72.3 | 72.2 | 91.7 | 83.3 | 60.7 | 92.9 | 75.8 | 75.0 | 76.8 | 100.0 | 73.6 | 43.0 | 68.2 | 63.1 | 11.8 | 92.3 | 16.7 | 58.3 |  |
| 3 | 128 | Y | Long Island Academy－Beaumont | 6，8，10－11 | 6 | ＜ 50 | 2.0 | Y | 1.5 | 2.0 | 3.0 | 11.9 | 50.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 17.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 388 | Y | Long Range Academy－Cow Head | K－12 | 162 | 100－199 | 13.3 | Y | 12.5 | 17.0 | 9.2 | 13.9 | 47.1 | 41.7 | 83.3 | 58.6 | 70.0 | 40.0 | 70.0 | 70.3 | 58.3 | 65.5 | 66.2 | 65.6 | 44.0 | 64.2 | 54.1 | 16.7 | 80.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 |  |
| 3 | 158 | Y | MSB Regional Academy－Middle Arm | k－12 | 160 | 100－199 | 12.3 | Y | 12.3 | 18.0 | 8.8 | 13.0 | 50.0 | 66.7 | 55.6 | 34.6 | 53.9 | 57.7 | 68.8 | 77.5 | 72.2 | 73.2 | 47.7 | 58.9 | 35.0 | 74.2 | 67.7 | 16.7 | 100.0 | 37.5 | 25.0 |  |
| 2 | 088 | Y | Main River Academy－Pollard＇s Point | K，2－12 | 94 | 50－99 | 11.4 | Y | 7.8 | 13.0 | 7.1 | 16.9 | 76.9 |  |  | 73.2 | 87.5 | 60.0 | 66.7 | 75.0 | 37.5 | 77.3 | 84.4 |  | 36.0 | 77.0 | 64.2 | 45.5 | 93．8 | 20.0 | 33.3 |  |
| 2 | 039 | Y | Mary Simms All－Grade－Main Brook | k－12 | 30 | ＜ 50 | 10.5 | Y | 2.3 | 5.0 | 5.8 | 12.6 | 60.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 72.2 | 25.0 |  |  | 84.0 | 26.0 | 68.9 | 65.7 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 478 | Y | New World Island Academy－Summerford | K－12 | 399 | 300－399 | 15.4 | Y | 30.7 | 34.0 | 11.4 | 14.7 | 61.8 | 70.4 | 57.7 | 51.7 | 57.6 71.4 | 41.2 | 94.1 | 71.2 | 55.7 | 55.0 | 20.7 | 62.8 | 45.0 | 72.3 | 66.6 | 2.9 | 94.3 | 27.3 | 36.4 |  |
| 1 | ${ }_{204}^{017}$ | Y | Northern Light Academy－Rigolet Pearson Academy－Weslevvile | K－12 | 49 | $<50$ $300-399$ | 9.8 | Y | 3.8 | 6.0 25.0 | 7.7 12.0 | 15．3 | 33.3 | 81.9 | 57.1 | 100.0 30.4 | 71.4 66.7 | 47.4 | 72.2 | 80.6 | 50.0 | 57.5 | 50.0 | 57.9 | 15.0 | 68.8 | 65.3 | 36.1 | 91.2 | 16.1 | 41.9 |  |
| 3 | 178 | Y | Pearson Academy－Weslevville | K－12 | 251 | 200－299 | 16.1 | Y | 19.3 | 21.8 | 11.3 | 12.9 | 59.1 | ${ }_{53.6}$ | 85.7 | 30．4 | ${ }_{81.8}^{66.7}$ | 20.2 | 53.3 | 89.3 | 66.1 | 59．0 | 77.3 | 43.3 | 49.0 | 64.6 | 54.2 | 0.0 | 87.0 | 10.0 | 35.0 | 55 |
| 3 | 163 | Y | Point Leamington Academy－Point Leamington | K－12 | 108 | 100－199 | 11.1 | Y | 8.3 | 12.5 | 8.4 | 11.7 | 46.2 | 50.0 | 16.7 | 45.0 | 66.7 | 91.7 | 92.3 | 56.0 | 54.2 | 63.3 | 45.5 | 66.0 | 35.0 | 70.2 | 71.2 | 36.4 | 92.9 | 38.5 | 23.1 |  |
| 4 | 242 | Y | Random Island Academy－Hickman＇s Harbour | K－12 | 181 | 100－199 | 12.1 | Y | 13.9 | 19.0 | 9.4 | 10.5 | 73.7 | 79.5 | 60.0 | 43.2 | 78.6 | 34.6 | 61.5 | 88.9 | 63.6 | 74.9 | 65.4 | 40.8 | 44.0 | 69.5 | 61.9 | 15.8 | 100.0 | 16.7 | 25.0 | 58 |
| 3 | 206 | r | Riverwood Academy－Wing＇s Point | K－12 | 305 | 300－399 | 19.5 | Y | 23.5 | 24.5 | 12.2 | 8.9 | 72.0 | 60.0 | 55.6 | 45.5 | 56.5 | 41.9 | 60.0 | 82.4 | 75.0 | 72.4 | 50.0 | 47.4 | 40.0 | 64.1 | 50.6 | 0.0 | 78.9 | 0.0 | 40.0 |  |
| 2 | 023 | Y | Sacred Heart AG－Conche | K，2－4，¢－9， $911-12$ | 16 | ＜50 | 4.0 | Y | 1.6 | 3.0 | 5.3 | 20.3 | 66.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 94.4 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 26.0 | 78.0 | 72.8 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 416 | Y | Smallwood Academy－Gambo | k－12 | 285 | 200－299 | 18.1 | Y | 21.9 | 24.5 | 11.3 | 15.0 | 76.0 | 82.1 | 85.7 | 67.5 | 82.4 | 60.9 | 96.3 | 84.2 | 96.7 | 69.7 | 40.6 | 53.6 | 49.0 | 72.1 | 65.0 | 24.1 | 96.7 | 17.2 | 31.0 |  |
| 4 | 431 | Y | Southwest Arm Academy－Little Hearts Ease | k－12 | 94 | 50－99 | 11.7 | Y | 7.2 | 11.4 | 8.0 | 13.8 | 75.0 | 61.1 | 45.5 | － | － | ${ }^{36.1}$ | 77.8 | 81.8 | 61.4 |  |  | 59.3 | 38.0 | 68.6 | 70.1 | 25.0 | 100.0 | 28.6 | 14.3 | 57 |
| 4 | 225 | Y | St．Anne＇s School－South East Bight | 1－10 | 13 | ＜50 | 3.3 | Y | 1.3 | 3.0 | 4.3 | 7.3 | 33.3 |  |  |  |  |  | － | 83.3 | 100.0 |  |  |  | 1.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 113 | Y | St．Boniface All Grade－Ramea | k－11 | 52 | 50－99 | 8.2 | Y | 4.3 | 7.0 | 7.1 | 6.5 | 57.1 |  |  | 66.7 | 85.7 |  |  | 80.6 | 62.5 | 69.2 | 50.0 |  | 24.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 274 196 | Y | St．Catherine＇s Academy－Mount Carmel |  | 134 15 15 | 100－199 | 10.9 | Y | 10.3 | 17.0 3.0 | 7.8 | 7.8 | 70.6 | 74.4 | 100.0 |  |  | 19.9 | 5.6 | 80.1 | 86.4 |  |  | 54.2 | 46.0 | 78.7 | 74.7 | 20.0 | 100.0 | 35.7 | 35 |  |
| 2 | 079 | Y | St．James All Grade－Lark Harbour | K－12 | 124 | 100－199 | 11.6 | Y | ${ }_{9} 9$ | 16.5 | 7.3 | 12.8 | 52.9 | 88.9 | 88.9 | 64.6 | 75.0 | 62.5 | 77.8 | 90.2 | 100.0 | 68.1 | 81.3 | 77.1 | ${ }_{27.0}$ | ${ }^{75.6}$ | 69．9 | 0.0 | 81.8 | 11.1 | 66.7 |  |
| 4 | 218 | Y | St．Joseph＇s Academy－Lamaline | K－12 | 111 | 100－199 | 10.3 | Y | 8.5 | 14.0 | 7.7 | 11.3 | 64.3 |  |  |  |  | 38.1 | 85.7 | 74.1 | 75.0 |  |  | 42.8 | 33.0 | 69.0 | 63.2 | 0.0 | 80.0 | 37.5 | 12.5 |  |
| 4 | 229 | Y | St．Joseph＇s All Grade－Terrenceville | K－12 | 128 | 100－199 | 9.9 | Y | 9.8 | 16.0 | 7.9 | 7.4 | 43.8 |  |  | 14.1 | 33.3 | 40.0 | 66.7 | 66.7 | 66.7 | 52.7 | 18.7 | 46.0 | 34.0 | 75.1 | 62.6 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 10.0 | 80.0 |  |
| 4 | 228 | r | St．Lawrence Academy－St．Lawrence | K－12 | 209 | 200－299 | 14.7 | Y | 16.1 | 20.0 | 10.1 | 11.3 | 60.0 | 47.4 | 53.3 | 50.0 | 46.7 | 44.5 | 52.6 | 69.2 | 57.1 | 74.1 | 55.8 | 66.2 | 50.0 | 77.3 | 63.3 | 24.1 | 91.7 | 13.6 | 54.5 |  |
| 2 | 054 | r | St．Lewis Academy－St．Lewis | k－1，3－6，8－812 | 30 | ＜50 | 6.7 | Y | 2.7 | 6.0 | 4.8 | 17.4 | 66.7 | － |  |  |  | 83.3 | 100.0 | 90.3 | 75.0 |  |  | 72.0 | 35.0 | 63.8 | 63.3 |  | 83.3 |  |  |  |
| 4 | 430 | r | St．Mark＇s School－King＇s Cove | K－12 | 119 | 100－199 | 11.3 | Y | 9.2 | 13.0 | 9.0 | 12.5 | 69.2 |  |  | 100.0 | 62.5 | ${ }^{83.3}$ | 72.7 | 78.7 | 58.3 | 77.0 | 59.4 | 71.2 | 33.0 | ${ }^{73.3}$ | 68.4 | 26.3 | 94.1 | 25.0 | 43.8 |  |
| 2 | 040 | Y | St．Mary＇s AG－Mary＇s Harbour | K－12 | 86 | 50－99 | 11.8 | Y | 6.6 | 12.0 | 7.1 | 13.0 | 50.0 | 71.4 | 100.0 |  |  | 88.9 | 88.9 | 81.8 | 67.9 |  |  | 69.8 | 26.0 | 80.9 | 73.1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 157 | Y | St．Peter＇s AG－McCallum | 1，4－5，7－11 | 9 | ＜ 50 | 3.0 | Y | 1.1 | 2.8 | 3.3 | 10.4 | 33.3 | － | － | － | － | － | － |  |  | ． |  |  | 13.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 174 | r | St．Peter＇s Academy－Westport | K，3－12 | 37 | ＜ 50 | 8.3 | Y | 3.4 | 5.8 | 5.9 | 3.3 | 16.7 | － | － | － | － | － | － | 44.4 | 0.0 | － | － |  | 15.0 | 76.6 | 72.3 | － | － | － |  |  |
| 1 | 001 | Y | St．Peter＇s School－Black Tickle | K－2，4－12 | 33 | ＜ 50 | 8.3 | Y | 2.8 | 5.0 | 6.1 | 11.2 | 20.0 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 19.0 | － | － | － | － | － |  |  |
| 2 | 137 | Y | St．Simon and St．Jude Academy－Francois | 2，4－9，11－12 | 15 | ＜50 | 5.5 | Y | 1.7 | 3.0 | 5.0 | 4.6 | 33.3 | － | － | － | － | － | － |  |  | － | － | － | 23.0 | － | － | ． | － | ． |  |  |
| 3 | 165 | Y | St．Stephen＇s AG－Rencontre East | K－1，3，5－6，8－11 | 17 | ＜50 | 3.7 | Y | 1.9 | 4.0 | 4.1 | 9.9 | 25.0 | － | － | － | － | － | － | 94.4 | 100.0 | － |  | － | 14.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 370 | r | Stella Maris Academy－Trepassey | K－12 | 85 | 50－99 | 11.3 | r | 6.5 | 12.0 | 7.1 | 11.2 | 41.7 | － | － | － | － | － | － | 79.6 | 66.7 | － | － |  | 36.0 | 73.3 | 65.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 10.0 | 90.0 |  |
| 4 | 246 | r | Switt Current Academy－Switt Current | K－12 | 57 | 50－99 | 7.2 | Y | 4.4 | ${ }^{9.0}$ | ${ }^{6.2}$ | 9.9 | 66.7 | 47. |  |  |  |  |  | 98.6 | 62.5 |  |  | 78.2 | 21.0 | 71.4 | 55.6 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 080 | Y | Templeton Academy－Meadows | K－12 | 520 295 | $\stackrel{400+}{200-299}$ | 19.8 | Y | 40.0 22.7 | 36.8 24.0 | 13.8 12.0 | 14.1 15.3 | 51.4 72.0 | 47.4 68.3 | 46.3 62.5 | 40.2 376 | 77.2 652 | 72.2 78.8 218 | ${ }^{83.3}$ | 65.6 70.3 | 47.4 53.6 | ${ }_{6}^{66.1}$ | 44.5 | 62.0 59 | 50.0 480 | 76.2 64.5 | 71.5 | 28.1 | 97.5 | 28.2 129 | 43.6 |  |
| 3 | 152 | r | Valmont Academy－King＇s Point | K－12 | 136 | 100－199 | 11.3 | r | 10.5 | 13.8 | 9.9 | 10.2 | 64.3 | 67.9 | 71.4 | 62.5 | 62.5 | 42.3 | 46.2 | 84.5 | 100.0 | 77.3 | 59.4 | 63.8 | 34.0 | 67.0 | 56.3 | 0.0 | 60.0 | 22.2 | 33.3 |  |
| 3 | 138 | Y | Victoria Academy－Gaultois | 1－4，6－9，9，11 | 27 | ＜50 | 8.7 | r | 3.0 | 5.0 | 5.4 | 11.9 | 40.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 89.6 | 100.0 |  |  |  | 13.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 475 | Y | Viking Trail Academy－Plum Point | K－12 | 195 | 100－199 | 14.7 | Y | 15.0 | 19.0 | 9.9 | 18.6 | 63.2 | 78.6 | 100.0 | 92.3 | 92.3 | 83.3 | 73.7 | 65.0 | 55.0 | 77.1 | 84.6 | 75.8 | 36.0 | 73.3 | 60.2 | 25.0 | 91.7 | 27.3 | 54.5 |  |
| 2 | 022 | Y | William Gillett Academy－Charlotetown，LAB | K－12 | ${ }_{65}^{65}$ | 50－99 | 9.0 | Y | 5.0 | 9.0 | 7.1 | 15.9 | 66.7 | 92.9 | 100.0 |  |  |  |  | 84.9 | 85.7 |  |  |  | 25.0 | 60.1 | 56.7 | 33.3 | 83.3 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Province |  | 68，729 |  | 18.2 | ． | 5，286．8 |  | 12.0 | 14.4 | 70.2 | 65.4 | 71.9 | 62.5 | 74.7 | 65.3 | 83.3 | 76.8 | 66.0 | 68.4 | 50.0 | 67.6 | 147.0 | 63.8 | 63.4 | 31.4 | 92.2 | 24.7 | 39.2 |  |



## APPENDIX C: DESCRIPTION OF INDICATORS

District ID identifies the school district.
$\begin{array}{ll}1 & \text { Labrador } \\ 2 & \text { Western }\end{array}$
3 Nova Central
4 Eastern
5 Conseil scolaire francophone provincial
803 Private schools
804 First Nations schools
903 NL Youth Centre

School ID is a 3 digit unique identifier for each school.
Rural identifies schools located in rural communities (i.e., those with a population of less than 5,000 residents).

School/community is the name of the school and the community in which it is located.

Grades offered is the grades in which students are enrolled in the school.
Enrolment is the head count enrolment in the school.
School size groups schools based on total school enrolment. Schools are grouped into one of six categories (less than 50 students, 50-99, 100-199, 200-299, 300300 or 400 or more students).

K-9 average class size is the average size of all homeroom classes in K-6 and the Language Arts classes in Grades 7-9.

Distance education indicates whether a school offers high school courses using distance education.

French Immersion indicates if a school offers a French immersion program, either early or late immersion.

Average students per grade is the enrolment divided by the number of grades. This indicator is one measure of school size.

Full-time equivalent (FTE) teachers is the head count of full-time teachers, plus part-time teachers according to the percent of allocated unit. Teacher is a generic term used in this document to refer to regular classroom teachers, principals, vice-principals, guidance counsellors, special services personnel, itinerant teachers, and other school-based educators.

Average years teaching experience is the average number of years that teachers have been teaching in the school system.

Percentage of teachers above Level 5 certificate is the percentage of teachers that have Level 6 or more on a 7 level scale.

Primary Language Arts is the percentage of grade 3 students achieving at or above the provincial standard in the reading and writing assessment.

Elementary Language Arts is the percentage of grade 6 students achieving at or above the provincial standard in the reading and writing assessment.

Intermediate Language Arts is the percentage of grade 9 students achieving at or above the provincial standard in the reading and writing assessment.

Primary Mathematics is the average score achieved by grade 3 students in the mathematics assessment on the multiple choice questions and those scored by a rubric.

Elementary Mathematics is the average score achieved by grade 6 students in the mathematics assessment on the multiple choice questions and those scored by a rubric.

Intermediate Mathematics is the overall average score for grade 9 students on the mathematics assessment.

Number of high school (HS) courses offered is the total number of high school courses (i.e., Levels I-IV) offered by each school.

Average school mark on public exam courses is the average mark awarded by the school before adjustment, on all public examination courses.

Average public exam mark on public examinations is the public examination average mark on all public examination courses.

Average final mark in English 3201 is the final mark average where the final mark is a $50-50$ blend between the school mark and the public exam mark.

Percent taking Mathematics 3205 (Advanced) is the ratio of students taking Level III advanced mathematics to the total students taking all Level III mathematics courses in June 2011..

Average final mark in Mathematics 3205 (Advanced) is the final mark average where the final mark is a $50-50$ blend between the school mark and the public exam mark.

Pass rate is defined by the ratio of total graduates to the total of students who are eligible to graduate in June 2011. A graduate is a student who has satisfied the graduation requirements, and includes those who passed supplementary examinations. An eligible graduate is defined as a student who is attempting sufficient and appropriate credits to graduate.

Graduates - Honours is the percentage of students attaining the minimum average of $80 \%$ using 10 credits in Level III academic and/or advanced courses. At least two credits must be selected from each of English, mathematics, science, and social studies or French.

Graduates - Academic is the percentage of students attaining the same course criteria as for honours status but with a minimum of $50 \%$ in each of the required courses.

Graduates - General is the percentage of students attaining the minimum graduation requirements, but did not meet the requirements for either honours or academic status.
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[^0]:    * Difference is significantly different

[^1]:    1 Eligible graduates include students who have completed a minimum of 22 credits and are attempting sufficient and appropriate credits to graduate.

[^2]:    * Significant gender difference present

