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## Executive Summary

## Study Background

This report presents the findings of the 2005 Newfoundland and Labrador Gambling Prevalence Study. This study is the first to address gambling issues specific to the province and provides current baseline information regarding the prevalence of gambling and problem gambling in Newfoundland and Labrador (NL). Specifically, the study provides important information measuring:

- The prevalence of gambling and problem gambling in the province
- Profiles of gambler sub-types and players of certain gambling activities
- The relationship between gambling and correlates of gambling including substance use and mental health
- Consequences related to gambling
- Awareness of support and treatment services


## Methodology

For the 2005 Newfoundland and Labrador Gambling Prevalence Study, sampling was conducted by health region, age, and gender. Disproportionate random sampling was employed to ensure that the sample size for each Regional Integrated Health Authority provided an acceptable margin of error. Data was weighted at the overall provincial level to accurately reflect the regional distribution of adults in Newfoundland and Labrador. In total, 2,596 adult residents of Newfoundland and Labrador completed the gambling prevalence survey (Eastern Region: 659, Central Region: 644, Western Region: 655, and Labrador-Grenfell Region: 638).

The questionnaire for the NL Gambling Prevalence Study was developed by the Department of Health and Community Services and is based on the Canadian Problem Gambling Index (CPGI). The questionnaire consisted of three major sections that were designed to assess gambling involvement, problem gambling, and the correlates of gambling. Nine items from the questionnaire were scored to create gambling sub-types (non gambling, non-problem gambling, low-risk gambling, moderate-risk gambling, problem gambling) and generate a prevalence rate for problem gambling. Other questionnaire items such as indicators and correlates of gambling behavior were used to develop profiles of gamblers and problem gamblers.

## Summary of Key Findings

## Gambling Behavior in Newfoundland and Labrador

- Overall, $84 \%$ of surveyed respondents have gambled at least once in the past 12 months. This percentage is similar to that found in other provincial gambling prevalence studies. Regionally, rates were similar, ranging from a low of $78 \%$ in Central to a high of 87\% in Eastern.
- Gamblers were equally split between males and females. The typical gambler was between the ages of $35-54$ (46\%), married (62\%), had completed at least
some post-secondary education (61\%), and was employed full-time (42\%). The typical income ranged from $\$ 20,001$ to $\$ 60,000$ (41\%).
- The typical non-gambler tended to be female (57\%) and over the age of 45 (63\%). Non-gamblers were significantly more likely than gamblers to be retired ( $36 \%$ vs. $23 \%$, respectively), have less than high school education ( $31 \%$ vs. $15 \%$, respectively), and have incomes of $\$ 20,000$ or less ( $17 \%$ vs. $10 \%$, respectively).
- Lottery tickets were the most popular gambling activity over the past 12 months (72\%), followed by raffles or fundraising tickets (45\%), scratch tickets (36\%), breakopen/ pull tab/ Nevada strips (25\%), VLT's, bingo, and poker (11\% each). Findings were similar across regions.
- Lottery ticket players and raffle/fundraising ticket purchasers were most representative of the general gambling population, however:
o Breakopen/pull tab/Nevada strip players were most likely to be female (59\%), married (56\%), between the ages of 35 and 54 (50\%), with postsecondary education (40\%).
o Scratch ticket players were most likely to be female (59\%), married ( $56 \%$ ), between the ages of 25 and 54 (67\%), with post-secondary education (44\%).
o Bingo players were most likely to be female (72\%), married (60\%), between the ages of 25 and 54 (65\%), with high school or less than high school education (53\%).
o VLT players were most likely to be male (58\%), married (49\%), under the age of 54 ( $83 \%$ ), with post-secondary education (50\%).
o Poker players were most likely to be male (72\%), married (46\%), between the ages of 19 to 34 (54\%), with post-secondary education (59\%).
- Gamblers tended to spend an average of 7 hours a month participating in gambling activities. Gamblers typically spent an average of $\$ 249.64$ in the past 12 months (approximately $\$ 21.00$ per month), however, half of gamblers reported spending $\$ 100.00$ yearly or less. On average, gamblers reported winning an average of $\$ 142.56$ and losing an average of $\$ 156.36$ over the past 12 months.
- When asked why they gamble, gamblers most commonly reported that it was because they can win money ( $60 \%$ ).


## Problem Gambling in Newfoundland and Labrador

- $90.5 \%$ of survey respondents were placed in the non-gambler or non-problem gambler categories defined by the CPGI. Of the remaining respondents:
o $6.1 \%$ were low-risk gamblers
o $2.2 \%$ were moderate-risk gamblers
o $1.2 \%$ were problem gamblers
- Based on a provincial adult population (ages 19+) of 386,782:
o Approximately 8,509 adult residents are moderate-risk gamblers.
o Approximately 4,641 adult residents are problem gamblers.
o Approximately 13,150 adult residents are moderate-risk or problem gamblers.
- These prevalence rates are similar to the rates found in other provincial gambling prevalence studies. Regionally, the problem gambling prevalence rate was similar to the provincial average, with the exception of Labrador-Grenfell, where the rate was $2.4 \%$.
- The typical moderate-risk gambler was male (67\%), between the ages of 35-44 (39\%), and married (46\%), with high school or less than high school education (51\%). The moderate-risk gambler was most likely to be employed full-time (43\%) and have an income in the $\$ 20,001$ to $\$ 40,000$ range (30\%).
- The typical problem gambler was male (67\%), between the ages of 25-34 (40\%), living common-law (39\%) or single (27\%), with at least some post-secondary education (49\%). Most problem gamblers were employed (36\%) or unemployed (34\%), with incomes in the $\$ 20,001$ to $\$ 40,000$ range (33\%).
o $34 \%$ of problem gamblers were unemployed, notably higher than the unemployment rate for all other gambling subtypes. Problem gamblers also had the highest percentage of divorce/separation (12\%) and homemakers (13\%) when compared to all other gambling subtypes.
- Lottery tickets were popular among all gambling subtypes, however, breakopen/ pull tab/ Nevada strips (88\%), lottery tickets (85\%), VLT's (80\%), scratch tickets ( $78 \%$ ), and poker ( $55 \%$ ) were the most common among problem gamblers.
o Rates of moderate-risk and problem gambling for pull tab players were $4.3 \%$ and $4.2 \%$, respectively. These rates are somewhat higher than the provincial rates.
o Rates of moderate-risk and problem gambling for scratch ticket players were $4.7 \%$ and $2.7 \%$, respectively. These rates are double the provincial rates.
o Rates of moderate-risk and problem gambling for bingo players were $7.0 \%$ and $3.3 \%$, respectively. These rates are notably higher than the provincial rates.
o $9.7 \%$ of VLT players were moderate-risk gamblers, while $8.6 \%$ were problem gamblers ( $18.3 \%$ combined). This combined rate is significantly higher than the combined provincial moderate-risk and problem gambling rate. Also of note, problem gamblers were significantly more likely (80\%)
than all other gambling subtypes to have played VLT's in the past 12 months.
o $8.2 \%$ of poker players were moderate-risk gamblers, while $6.0 \%$ were problem gamblers (14.2\% combined). This combined rate is significantly higher than the combined provincial moderate-risk and problem gambling rate.
- The average number of hours spent gambling in a typical month increased for each gambling subtype, however, moderate-risk gamblers spent the most time gambling in a typical month (23 hours). Problem gamblers spent an average of 15 hours a month gambling.
- The average amount spent gambling in the past 12 months increased for each gambling subtype, with average yearly spending reaching over $\$ 3,700$ for problem gamblers (approximately $\$ 310$ per month). Similarly, the amounts won and lost also increased for each gambler subtype, with provincial winnings for problem gamblers averaging $\$ 1,500$ and losses averaging $\$ 1,800$.
o Given that problem gamblers spend the most money gambling, but not the most time, it may be the case that they spend larger sums that disappear faster.
- Problem gamblers were more likely than all other gambling subtypes to cite decreasing their boredom as a reason for gambling (36\%) and the least likely to gamble as a way to support worthy causes/ charities (8\%).
- Over 99\% of non-problem gamblers reported experiencing no adverse consequences from gambling. However, this percentage dropped significantly for each gambling subtype, to a low of $22 \%$ for problem gamblers.
o 64\% of problem gamblers have experienced income loss/debt, 47\% have experienced relationship problems, and $33 \%$ have experienced mental health problems.
- Suicidal thoughts were most common among problem gamblers, as $12 \%$ of problem gamblers ( $n=4$ ) had contemplated suicide in the past 12 months as a result of gambling. No gamblers reported actually attempting suicide as a result of gambling.


## Correlates of Problem Gambling

- The average age in which respondents started gambling for money was 23 years. The majority of all gambling subtypes began gambling at age 19 or older, however a higher percentage of problem gamblers started gambling earlier in life than all other gambling subtypes ( $34 \%$ at 13 to 18 years of age, $17 \%$ at 6 to 12 years of age).
- The most common gambling activity that respondents first tried was purchasing lottery tickets (29\%), followed by bingo (15\%) and poker (13\%). Among problem
gamblers, the first gambling activities tried included poker (30\%), VLT's (26\%), and lottery tickets (15\%).
o Problem gamblers were significantly more likely to play VLT's as their first gambling experience (26\%) than non-problem gamblers.
- Generally, respondents did not remember their first big win or loss when they first started gambling. However:
o Problem gamblers were significantly more likely (59\%) to remember their first big win than non-gamblers, non-problem gamblers, and low-risk gamblers.
o Problem gamblers were significantly more likely (45\%) to remember their first big loss than non-problem and low-risk gamblers.
- Generally, respondents disagreed that "while gambling, after losing many times in a row, you are more likely to win" (35\% disagreed, $56 \%$ strongly disagreed) and that "while gambling, you could win more if you used a certain system or strategy" ( $32 \%$ disagreed, $50 \%$ strongly disagreed).
o Problem gamblers showed more agreement with the first statement (25\%) than all other gambling subtypes.
- $27 \%$ of respondents had family members with a history of alcohol or drug problems. However, problem gamblers were significantly more likely (61\%) to have family members with an alcohol or drug problem than non-gamblers and non-problem gamblers.
- $12 \%$ of respondents had family members with a history of gambling problems. Problem gamblers were significantly more likely (42\%) to have a family member with a history of gambling problems than non-gamblers, non-problem gamblers, and low-risk gamblers.
- Regarding substance use, problem gamblers were significantly more likely to smoke daily (61\%) and consume more alcohol (87\%) compared to non-gamblers. They were also more likely to use alcohol or drugs while gambling (53\%) and to gamble while drunk or high (56\%). There was generally not much illicit drug use, however problem gamblers were more likely to have used Marijuana or Hash in the past 12 months (39\%) than all other gambling subtypes.
- Problem gamblers were significantly more likely (50\%) than non-gamblers, nonproblem gamblers, and low-risk gamblers to have felt depressed in the past 12 months. Problem gamblers also expressed the urge to have a drink of alcohol (45\%), use drugs or medication (13\%), or gamble (50\%) when something painful happened more frequently than all other gambling subtypes.


## Awareness of Treatment and Support Services

- $71 \%$ of respondents were aware of the toll-free crisis help line for problem gamblers in Newfoundland and Labrador. Problem gamblers were the most likely to be aware among the gambling subtypes (89\%).
- $51 \%$ of respondents were aware of the Recovery Centre for individuals with substance use and gambling problems in Newfoundland and Labrador. Awareness of the Centre increased with age. Respondents in Labrador-Grenfell were least aware of the Centre (41\%), while respondents in Eastern were most aware (55\%). Moderate-risk gamblers were generally not as aware of the Centre (53\%) as low-risk or problem gamblers (59\% each).
- Awareness of the Humberwood Treatment Centre was generally low (36\% aware). Respondents in the Western region were most aware of the treatment center (44\%), while respondents in Labrador-Grenfell were the least aware (26\%). Awareness levels were similar among gambling subtypes, however, moderate-risk gamblers were the least aware (31\%).
- Awareness of local gambling counseling services was also low (30\% aware), and awareness generally decreased with age. Those in the Labrador-Grenfell region were the most aware (38\%), while those in Central were the least aware (18\%). There was a similar level of awareness among the gambling subtypes.


## Conclusions

Gambling, in general, is common among Newfoundlanders and Labradorians. Moderate-risk and problem gambling prevalence rates are similar to those of other provinces.

Provincially, $84 \%$ of respondents have gambled at least once in the past year. Of these respondents, $6.1 \%$ were classified as low-risk gamblers, $2.2 \%$ as moderate-risk gamblers, and $1.2 \%$ as problem gamblers. In Labrador-Grenfell, the problem gambling prevalence rate was double the provincial rate, at $2.4 \%$. Based on a provincial adult population of 386,782, approximately 8,509 adult residents can be considered moderaterisk gamblers and 4,641 can be considered problem gamblers (13,150 adult residents combined).

Moderate-risk and problem gamblers represent distinct segments of the general adult population that can be targeted.

Problem gamblers were mostly males (67\%) between the ages of 25-34 (40\%), with at least some post-secondary education (49\%), and incomes of \$20,001 to \$40,000 (33\%). Moderate-risk gamblers were mostly males (67\%) between the ages of 35-44 (39\%), with an education of high school or less (51\%), and incomes of \$20,001 to \$40,000 (30\%). These findings are comparable to other studies that identify young males as an at-risk population (Statistics Canada, 2003).

## VLT use is extensive among problem gamblers in Newfoundland and Labrador.

VLT's have been referred to as the "crack cocaine" of gambling (Statistics Canada, 2003). Supporting this statement is the evidence of a close relationship between VLT use and problem gambling. Regarding VLT use, 80\% of problem gamblers have played in the past 12 months, and 26\% of problem gamblers remembered the VLT as their first gambling experience. In addition, 9.7\% of VLT players can be considered moderate-risk gamblers and $8.6 \%$ problem gamblers. Combined, this rate is significantly higher than the provincial moderate-risk and problem gambling prevalence rate.

Poker is an increasingly frequent form of gambling among Newfoundlanders and Labradorians, and problem gamblers in particular.

Poker has become increasingly frequent among problem gamblers. For example, 55\% of problem gamblers have played poker in the past 12 months, and $30 \%$ of problem gamblers reported poker as their first gambling experience. Further supporting the relationship between poker and problem gambling, $8.2 \%$ of poker players can be considered moderate-risk gamblers and $6.0 \%$ problem gamblers. This combined rate is significantly higher than the provincial moderate-risk and problem gambling prevalence rate.

Problem gamblers experience negative consequences as a result of gambling, such as financial difficulty, relationship problems, suicidal thoughts, and depression.

In this study, problem gamblers had the highest unemployment rate of all the gambling subtypes (34\%). Furthermore, problem gamblers experienced income loss/debt (64\%), reported relationship problems (47\%) and mental health problems (33\%), experienced serious depression in the past 12 months (50\%), and had suicidal thoughts (12\%) as a result of their gambling. Although time spent gambling by problem gamblers was not the highest (15 hours in a typical month) amounts spent were the highest (\$3,700 yearly or $\$ 310$ monthly), indicating that problem gamblers spend large sums of money that disappear quickly.

## Early experiences play a role in later problem gambling behavior.

Thirty-four percent of problem gamblers started gambling at ages 13-18, while a further $17 \%$ started at ages 6-12. First gambling activities among problem gamblers were mostly poker (30\%) and VLT's (26\%), activities that have been shown in this study to be closely related to problem gambling. Furthermore, problem gamblers were also most likely to remember their first big win (59\%) and loss (45\%).

## Substance use is closely related to problem gambling.

Confirming a relationship between substance use and problem gambling, problem gamblers were significantly more likely to smoke daily (61\%) and consume more alcohol (87\%) compared to non-gamblers. Problem gamblers were also more likely to use alcohol or drugs while gambling (53\%) and to gamble while drunk or high (56\%). Some illicit drug use was higher among problem gamblers: 39\% have used Marijuana or Hash in the past 12 months, compared to 4\% of non-gamblers, 8\% of non-problem gamblers, $14 \%$ of low-risk gamblers, and 28\% of moderate-risk gamblers.

Awareness of gambling support and treatment services varies among Newfoundlanders and Labradorians.

Overall, 71\% of respondents were aware of the toll-free crisis help line. However, awareness of other support and treatment services such as the Recovery Centre, Humberwood, and local gambling counseling services varied (51\%, 36\%, and 30\% respectively). Of note, moderate-risk gamblers showed generally low awareness of the Recovery Centre (53\%), Humberwood (31\%), and local gambling counseling services (32\%).

### 1.0 Introduction

Gambling has become a popular past time for Canadians in recent decades. Recent estimates show that in Canada alone, there are 87,000 gambling machines, 33,000 lottery ticket centers, 60 permanent casinos, 250 race tracks, and 25,000 licenses for bingos, pull tickets, and charitable fundraisers (Azmier, 2005).

Gambling activities were not always as readily available in Canada as they are today. In 1892, all gambling activities were banned by the Canadian Criminal Code (Azmier, Jepson, \& Patton, 1999), with the exception of charitable gambling activities, including bingos and raffles (Azmier, Jepson, \& Patton, 1999). Widespread public support for these forms of gambling led the federal government to amend the Canadian Criminal Code in 1969, which allowed provincial government regulation over gambling activities (Azmier, Jepson, \& Patton, 1999). Provincially run lotteries generated new revenues for governments, creating a desire among governments to expand gambling activities. A further amendment of the Canadian Criminal Code in 1985 allowed provincial level administration and operation of Electronic Gaming Machines [EGM's] (including Video Lottery Terminals [VLT's] and slot machines) and exclusive provincial control over gambling regulation (Addictions Treatment Services Association, 2005; Azmier, Jepson, \& Patton, 1999).

Gambling in Newfoundland and Labrador experienced many changes as a result of the 1985 amendment to the Canadian Criminal Code. In 1991, the first VLT's were introduced to the province, though permitted only in licensed establishments (Addictions Treatment Services Association, 2005; Azmier, Jepson, \& Patton, 1999). A ban on advertising of Atlantic Lottery Corporation lottery jackpots followed in 1992, though the ban was later lifted in 1996 (Azmier, Jepson, \& Patton, 1999). Also in 1996, newly developed Video Lottery Regulations limited VLT installation to 5 terminals per site and a policy was passed preventing the introduction of permanent casinos in the province (Addictions Treatment Services Association, 2005; Azmier, Jepson, \& Patton, 1999).

Recent estimates (Addictions Treatment Services Association, 2005; Azmier, 2005) show that today, Newfoundland and Labrador has:

- 1,289 lottery ticket sites;
- 3,605 charitable licenses;
- 2,369 VLT's (translating into the highest provincial per capita number of VLT's in Canada: 1 for every 155 adults $^{1}$ ); and
- $2.6 \%$ of its annual revenues generated from gambling shares.

The rapid rise of gambling activities in Newfoundland and Labrador, as well as the rest of Canada, has led to a subsequent concern regarding the prevalence of problem gambling among the general population. Problem gambling is defined as "gambling behavior that creates negative consequences for the gambler, others in his or her social network, or for the community" (Ferris \& Wynne, 2001). Problem gambling is often associated with negative consequences such as financial difficulty, social/ relationship

[^0]problems, alcohol/ drug problems, stress/ anxiety, and depression and/or suicide (Statistics Canada, 2003).

In an effort to measure and track problem gambling rates, many provinces have undertaken their own gambling prevalence studies. The first provincial gambling study was conducted in Quebec in 1991 (Wynne, 2002). Since that time, gambling prevalence studies have emerged from every Canadian province, with the exception of Newfoundland and Labrador. Overall, these studies have shown problem gambling to be a major public health issue, with moderate-risk and problem gambling rates ranging from $2.1 \%$ in Nova Scotia to $5.9 \%$ in Saskatchewan (Focal Research, 2004; Wynne, 2002).

Nationally, the first gambling prevalence study was conducted by Statistics Canada in 2002 as a subcomponent of the comprehensive Canadian Community Health Survey (CCHS). Findings showed that almost 1.2 million adult Canadians (over 6\% of gamblers) exhibited at least one indicator of problem gambling behavior (Azmier, 2005; Statistics Canada, 2003). Negative consequences of gambling were common, with half of all problem gamblers reporting relationship problems with family and/ or friends caused by their gambling, and $18 \%$ of problem gamblers contemplating suicide in the previous year (Statistics Canada, 2003). Overall, the CCHS indicated that problem gambling is indeed of critical public health importance, and that attention must be paid to the growing implications of gambling among our population.

To date, Newfoundland and Labrador is the only province that has not conducted its own provincial gambling prevalence study. Preliminary data from the CCHS has indicated that problem gambling is an issue of concern in our province. For example, findings from the CCHS (Statistics Canada, 2003) have shown that $4.2 \%$ of the Newfoundland and Labrador adult population are considered to be at-risk for future gambling problems (2.8\% low-risk, $1.4 \%$ moderate-risk). However, a specific problem gambling prevalence rate could not be generated, due to extreme sampling variability (Statistics Canada, 2003).

Despite some preliminary data indicating that problem gambling is a concern for our province, there is still much that we do not know about problem gambling in Newfoundland and Labrador. Firstly, reliable data is needed providing a prevalence rate for at-risk and problem gambling among Newfoundlanders and Labradorians. Secondly, in order to address the growing concerns around problem gambling, there is a need for more information on problem gamblers. For example, who are problem gamblers? What are the demographics of problem gamblers? Are they more likely to be young males? Why do they gamble? What are the negative consequences surrounding problem gambling? Are there higher rates of unemployment among problem gamblers? More time and money spent gambling? More alcohol and drug use? More negative consequences such as debt, relationship problems, depression, and/ or suicidal thoughts? What is the relationship between VLT's and problem gambling? How aware are gamblers of support and treatment services? Questions such as these show the need for a provincial gambling prevalence study that provides reliable data measuring the nature and extent of gambling and problem gambling in our province.

This report presents the findings of the first gambling prevalence study for the province of Newfoundland and Labrador. The objective of the study is to assess the prevalence of gambling and problem gambling in our province. Specifically, the study will allow for the collection of baseline data measuring:

- The prevalence of gambling and problem gambling in the province
- Profiles of gambler sub-types and players of certain gambling activities
- The relationship between gambling and correlates of gambling including substance use and mental health
- Consequences related to gambling
- Awareness of support and treatment services

With this information, the Department of Health and Community Services, in conjunction with the Regional Health Authorities, can provide an assessment of issues relevant to this province and work towards enhancing programs and services to help problem gamblers in Newfoundland and Labrador.

### 2.0 Methodology

### 2.1 SAMPLE SeLECTION

A total of 2,596 Newfoundland and Labrador residents (aged 19 years and older) completed the gambling prevalence survey. Based on a population size of 386,782 (www.communityaccounts.ca), this sample size results in a margin of error of $\pm 1.92,19$ times out of 20.

To ensure a random and representative sample, sampling was conducted by health region, age, and gender. Quotas were established to ensure that the sample mirrored the population with regards to age and gender. Disproportionate random sampling was employed to ensure that the sample size for each Regional Integrated Health Authority provided an acceptable margin of error. The detailed sampling plan appears in Appendix A. Due to the use of disproportionate sampling, weights were developed to ensure that the sample was a proportionate representation of the population at the overall provincial level (See Appendix B for weights).

Table 1: Sampling Design

| Strata | Population <br> Size | Sample <br> Size | Margin of <br> Error* |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Eastern Regional Integrated Health Authority | 220,142 | 659 | $\pm 3.81$ |
| Central Regional Integrated Health Authority | 76,359 | 644 | $\pm 3.85$ |
| Western Regional Integrated Health Authority | 62,039 | 655 | $\pm 3.81$ |
| Labrador-Grenfell Regional Integrated Health <br> Authority | 28,242 | 638 | $\pm 3.84$ |

* 19 times out of 20.


### 2.2 Questionnaire Design

The questionnaire for the Newfoundland and Labrador Gambling Prevalence Study was developed by the Department of Health and Community Services and is based on the Canadian Problem Gambling Index (CPGI) (Ferris \& Wynne, 2001). The CPGI is an instrument that was designed to provide a meaningful measure of problem gambling in the general population, and was the first problem gambling instrument to be tested for reliability and validity prior to its inclusion in community based health surveys. The CPGI consists of three major sections that were designed to assess gambling involvement, problem gambling, and correlates of gambling. The 31-item instrument consists of 9 items which can be scored to create gambling sub-types (non gambling, non-problem gambling, low-risk gambling, moderate-risk gambling, problem gambling) and produce a prevalence rate for problem gambling. Other items are indicators and correlates of gambling behavior that can be used to develop profiles of gamblers and problem gamblers.

For this study, the language of the CPGI was modified to ensure relevance to the population of Newfoundland and Labrador (e.g, Using local terminology such as breakopen's). Gambling activity questions were adjusted for accuracy (e.g., Addition of local lottery tickets such as Atlantic Payday and Atlantic 49) and additional questions
were added to assess substance use, mental health and awareness of support and treatment services. The questionnaire was modified by Market Quest to ensure appropriate wording and format, as well as to ensure that it addressed all of the study objectives. Following final questionnaire review and approval, a pretest was conducted as a quality control procedure to confirm survey length, to ensure clarity of survey questions and instructions, to ensure an effective and efficient flow of information, and to ensure that the desired information was being obtained. A copy of the questionnaire is presented in Appendix C.

### 2.3 Data Collection and Analysis

A telephone survey of Newfoundland and Labrador residents was conducted from September $7^{\text {th }}$ to October $20^{\text {th }}, 2005$, using a Computer-Assisted Telephone Interviewing System (CATI). The sampling frame included all households within Newfoundland and Labrador and the sampling unit was the adult household member, aged 19 years and older, with the next birthday (a method used to randomly select an individual within the household). Each questionnaire took approximately 20 minutes to administer.

To identify differences between various demographic and gambling segments, statistical tests of significance have been completed at the $95 \%$ confidence level. Essentially, when comparing two values obtained from different populations, a statistical test will guide us to be confident that any apparent difference between the values is statistically real or significant. ${ }^{2}$ Throughout this report, differences between segments that are statistically significant are noted. Where this occurs, we can say that we are $95 \%$ confident that the difference between the values in question exists in the population and is not simply due to uncontrollable sampling error. It is important to note that the term 'significant' is used to denote statistically significant differences, and is not synonymous with 'important'.

It is important to note that, due to rounding, percentages presented throughout this report may not always add up to exactly $100 \%$. Furthermore, results presented throughout this report at the overall provincial level are weighted, meaning that the n's for each response do not always add up to the total N's that are presented.

In addition to provincial analysis, regional analysis is also presented in various sections throughout this report. Though overall regional sample sizes provide an acceptable margin of error, segmentations of the regional data create low sample sizes within each regional segment. Therefore the findings within each regional segmentation analysis should be interpreted with caution.

[^1]
### 3.0 Gambling Behavior in Newfoundland and Labrador

This section of the report provides an overview of gambling behavior in Newfoundland and Labrador. Specifically, this section covers topics such as the prevalence rate of gambling in the province, the types of activities played most often, profiles of individuals who engage in various gambling activities, time and money spent gambling, and reasons for gambling.

### 3.1 Prevalence Rate

### 3.1.1 Provincial and Regional Prevalence Rates

Participation in gambling activities appears to be fairly common in Newfoundland and Labrador. Provincially, $84 \%$ of respondents ( $n=2,191$ ) have bet or spent money on one or more of the following gambling activities in the past 12 months $^{3}$ :

- Lottery tickets such as Lotto 6-49, Super 7, Atlantic 49, Atlantic Payday or Keno
- Breakopen, Pull Tab, or Nevada Strips
- Scratch tickets such as Crossword, Bingo or Lucky 7
- Raffles or fundraising tickets
- Horse races, either live at the track or off track
- Bingo
- Video Lottery Terminals
- Pro-Line or Over/Under
- Sports Pools or the outcome of sporting events
- Cards (excluding poker) or board games at home, friends home or work
- Poker, either at home, friends home, at work or on the Internet
- Games of skill such as pool, bowling, golf or darts
- Arcade or video games
- Gambling on the Internet (excluding poker)
- Short Term Speculative Stock or Commodity Purchases such as say trading (excluding long-term investments such as mutual funds or RRSP's)
- Gambling at Casinos out of province
- Any other forms of gambling

Regionally, the prevalence rates of gambling ranged from 78\% in Central ( $n=503$ ) to 87\% in Eastern (n=570) (See Figure 1).

[^2]Figure 1: Provincial and Regional Gambling Prevalence Rates in Newfoundland and Labrador


## $\square$ Gamblers $\square$ Non-Gamblers

### 3.1.2 Provincial Comparisons

As previously stated, $84 \%$ of respondents have participated in some form of gambling in the past 12 months. This percentage is consistent with prevalence rates from other provincial gambling prevalence studies, where gambling rates range from $81 \%$ in Quebec and New Brunswick to 89\% in Nova Scotia (See Table 2).

Table 2: Gambling Prevalence Rates Across Canada

|  | Gambler | Non-Gambler |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Newfoundland and Labrador | $84 \%$ | $16 \%$ |
| Nova Scotia (Focal Research, 2004) | $89 \%$ | $11 \%$ |
| New Brunswick (Focal Research, 2001) | $81 \%$ | $19 \%$ |
| PEI (Doiron \& Nicki, 1999) | $83 \%$ | $17 \%$ |
|  <br> Hamel, 2005) | $81 \%$ | $19 \%$ |
| Ontario (Wiebe, Single, \& Falkowski-Ham, 2001) | $83 \%$ | $17 \%$ |
| Manitoba (Patton, Brown, Dhaliwal, Pankratz, \& Broszeit, <br> 2002) | $85 \%$ | $15 \%$ |
| Saskatchewan (Wynne, 2002) | $87 \%$ | $13 \%$ |
| Alberta (Smith \& Wynne, 2002) | $82 \%$ | $18 \%$ |
| British Columbia (Ministry of Public Safety and Solicitor <br> General, 2003) | $85 \%$ | $15 \%$ |

### 3.1.3 Demographic Profile of Gamblers

Gamblers and non-gamblers are profiled in Table 3. As shown in the table, gamblers were equally likely to be male and female. Close to half (46\%) were between the ages of 35-54. The majority of gamblers were married (62\%), had completed at least some postsecondary education (61\%), and were employed full-time (42\%). Forty-one percent of incomes were in the $\$ 20,001$ to $\$ 60,000$ range.

Compared to gamblers, non-gamblers tended to be female (57\%) and over the age of 45 (63\%). Non-gamblers were significantly more likely than gamblers to be over the age of 65 ( $29 \%$ vs. $14 \%$, respectively). Non-gamblers were also significantly more likely than gamblers to be retired ( $36 \%$ vs. $23 \%$, respectively), have less than high school education ( $31 \%$ vs. $15 \%$, respectively), and have incomes of $\$ 20,000$ or less ( $17 \%$ vs. $10 \%$, respectively).

Table 3: Demographic Profile of Gamblers and Non-Gamblers

|  | Gamblers$(N=2,191)$ |  | Non-Gamblers ( $\mathrm{N}=405$ ) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% |
| Gender |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 1,062 | 48.4 | 174 | 43.0 |
| Female | 1,130 | 51.6 | 231 | 57.0 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |
| 19-24 | 180 | 8.2 | 25 | 6.3 |
| 25-34 | 387 | 17.7 | 49 | 12.2 |
| 35-44 | 509 | 23.2 | 76 | 18.8 |
| 45-54 | 505 | 23.1 | 66 | 16.3 |
| 55-64 | 303 | 13.8 | 73 | 18.0 |
| 65+ | 306 | 14.0 | 116 | 28.6 |
| Marital Status |  |  |  |  |
| Married | 1,360 | 62.1 | 258 | 63.7 |
| Common law/ living with partner | 217 | 9.9 | 19 | 4.6 |
| Single | 351 | 16.0 | 56 | 13.7 |
| Widowed | 107 | 4.9 | 44 | 10.9 |
| Divorced or separated | 146 | 6.7 | 26 | 6.5 |
| Refused | 9 | <1 | 2 | <1 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |
| Some high school/ junior high or less | 330 | 15.1 | 125 | 30.9 |
| Completed high school | 513 | 23.4 | 78 | 19.3 |
| Some post-secondary | 264 | 12.1 | 35 | 8.5 |
| Completed post-secondary | 757 | 34.6 | 108 | 26.7 |
| Completed post-graduate education | 313 | 14.3 | 52 | 12.9 |
| Don't know | 3 | <1 | 2 | <1 |
| Refused | 10 | <1 | 4 | <1 |


|  | Gamblers$(N=2,191)$ |  | Non-Gamblers ( $\mathrm{N}=405$ ) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% |
| Employment Status |  |  |  |  |
| Employed full-time | 930 | 42.4 | 99 | 24.4 |
| Employed part-time | 240 | 10.9 | 51 | 12.7 |
| Unemployed | 249 | 11.4 | 50 | 12.3 |
| Student | 97 | 4.4 | 17 | 4.2 |
| Retired | 502 | 22.9 | 147 | 36.3 |
| Homemaker | 148 | 6.8 | 39 | 9.5 |
| Don't know | 8 | <1 | 1 | <1 |
| Refused | 17 | <1 | 2 | <1 |
| Income |  |  |  |  |
| \$20,000 or less | 207 | 9.5 | 68 | 16.7 |
| \$20,001 to \$40,000 | 553 | 25.3 | 92 | 22.6 |
| \$40,001 to \$60,000 | 341 | 15.6 | 39 | 9.4 |
| \$60,001 to \$80,000 | 227 | 10.3 | 26 | 6.4 |
| \$80,001 to \$100,000 | 129 | 5.9 | 15 | 3.8 |
| More than \$100,000 | 157 | 7.1 | 18 | 4.5 |
| Don't know | 121 | 5.5 | 55 | 13.5 |
| Refused | 456 | 20.8 | 93 | 23.1 |

### 3.2 Prevalence Rates of Various Gambling Activities

Prevalence rates for the 16 gambling activities explored in this study are presented in Table 4. Lottery tickets were the most popular activity, with almost three quarters of gamblers (72\%) having played in the past 12 months. Other popular past year gambling activities included raffles or fundraising tickets (45\%), scratch tickets (36\%), breakopen/ pull tab/ Nevada strips (25\%), VLT's, bingo, and poker (11\% each).

Table 4: Prevalence Rates for Various Gambling Activities (Newfoundland and Labrador)

|  |  | $\boldsymbol{f}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% (N=2,596) |  |
| Lottery tickets | 1,871 | 72.1 |
| Raffles or fundraising tickets | 1,175 | 45.2 |
| Scratch tickets | 921 | 35.5 |
| Breakopen, Pull Tab or Nevada Strips | 658 | 25.4 |
| Video Lottery Terminals | 295 | 11.4 |
| Bingo | 285 | 11.0 |
| Poker | 285 | 11.0 |
| Cards or board games (excluding poker) | 153 | 5.9 |
| Gambling at Casino's out of province | 131 | 5.0 |
| Games of skill such as pool, bowling, golf or darts | 98 | 3.8 |
| Sports pools/ outcome of sporting events | 89 | 3.4 |
| Pro-Line or Over/Under | 84 | 3.3 |
| Short term speculative stock or commodity purchases | 41 | 1.6 |
| Arcade or video games | 26 | 1.0 |
| Horse races | 11 | $<1$ |
| Gambling on the Internet (excluding poker) | 3 | $<1$ |
| Any other forms of gambling | 1 | $<1$ |

Regionally, the findings mirrored the provincial results with a similar rate of past year play evident across all regions (See Table 5).

Table 5: $\quad$ Regional Prevalence Rates for Various Gambling Activities

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Eastern } \\ & (N=659) \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Central } \\ & (N=644) \end{aligned}$ |  | Western(N=655) |  | LabradorGrenfell ( $\mathrm{N}=638$ ) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% |
| Lottery tickets | 483 | 73.3 | 423 | 65.7 | 502 | 76.6 | 445 | 69.7 |
| Raffles or fundraising tickets | 308 | 46.7 | 268 | 41.6 | 280 | 42.7 | 312 | 48.9 |
| Scratch tickets | 241 | 36.6 | 204 | 31.7 | 244 | 37.3 | 212 | 33.2 |
| Breakopen, pull tab or Nevada strips | 164 | 24.9 | 169 | 26.2 | 170 | 26.0 | 162 | 25.4 |
| Video Lottery Terminals | 82 | 12.4 | 59 | 9.2 | 64 | 9.8 | 80 | 12.5 |
| Bingo | 70 | 10.6 | 67 | 10.4 | 80 | 12.2 | 79 | 12.4 |
| Poker | 82 | 12.4 | 57 | 8.9 | 52 | 7.9 | 78 | 12.2 |
| Cards or board games (excluding poker) | 38 | 5.8 | 27 | 4.2 | 51 | 7.8 | 46 | 7.2 |
| Gambling at Casino's out of province | 41 | 6.2 | 19 | 3.0 | 27 | 4.1 | 23 | 3.6 |
| Games of skill such as pool, bowling, golf or darts | 31 | 4.7 | 11 | 1.7 | 19 | 2.9 | 27 | 4.2 |
| Sports pools/ outcome of sporting events | 26 | 3.9 | 17 | 2.6 | 14 | 2.1 | 28 | 4.4 |
| Pro-Line or Over/Under | 25 | 3.8 | 13 | 2.0 | 20 | 3.1 | 18 | 2.8 |
| Short term speculative stock or commodity purchases | 12 | 1.8 | 9 | 1.4 | 5 | <1 | 12 | 1.9 |
| Arcade or video games | 9 | 1.4 | 1 | <1 | 5 | <1 | 5 | <1 |
| Horse races | 4 | $<1$ | 0 | -- | 3 | <1 | 2 | $<1$ |
| Gambling on the Internet (excluding poker) | 1 | <1 | 0 | -- | 0 | -- | 3 | <1 |
| Any other forms of gambling | 0 | -- | 1 | <1 | 0 | -- | 0 | -- |

### 3.3 Gambling Activity Profiles

### 3.3.1 Profile of Lottery Ticket Players ( $N=1,871$ )

Overall, lottery tickets were the most popular gambling activity, with $72 \%$ of gamblers having played at least once in the past 12 months. In terms of demographics (See Table 6 ), lottery ticket players were equally distributed by gender. By age, lottery ticket players were slightly skewed towards the 35-54 age category (47\%). Lottery ticket players were most likely to be married (64\%), have completed at least some post-secondary education (61\%), and have incomes between $\$ 20,001$ and $\$ 60,000$ (42\%). Generally, these characteristics tend to be fairly representative of the gambling population.

Table 6: Demographic Profile of Lottery Ticket Players

|  | Lottery Ticket Players ( $\mathrm{N}=1,871$ ) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $f$ | \% |
| Gender |  |  |
| Male | 934 | 49.9 |
| Female | 937 | 50.1 |
| Age |  |  |
| 19-24 | 115 | 6.2 |
| 25-34 | 335 | 17.9 |
| 35-44 | 435 | 23.3 |
| 45-54 | 452 | 24.1 |
| 55-64 | 271 | 14.5 |
| 65+ | 262 | 14.0 |
| Marital Status |  |  |
| Married | 1,191 | 63.7 |
| Common law/ living with partner | 187 | 10.0 |
| Single | 268 | 14.3 |
| Widowed | 87 | 4.7 |
| Divorced or separated | 129 | 6.9 |
| Refused | 9 | <1 |
| Education |  |  |
| Some high school/ junior high or less | 271 | 14.5 |
| Completed high school | 451 | 24.1 |
| Some post-secondary | 217 | 11.6 |
| Completed post-secondary | 657 | 35.1 |
| Completed post-graduate education | 262 | 14.0 |
| Don't know | 3 | <1 |
| Refused | 10 | <1 |



Almost half of lottery ticket players tend to play on a weekly basis (46\%), while 30\% play monthly, and $24 \%$ play yearly (See Figure 2). On a typical occasion, lottery ticket players reported spending an average of $\$ 6.00$ during a typical gambling occasion (ranging from $\$ 1.00$ to $\$ 200.00$ ), winning an average of $\$ 3.00$ (ranging from $\$ 0.00$ to $\$ 14,000.00$ ), and losing an average of $\$ 6.00$ (ranging from $\$ 0.00$ to $\$ 3000.00$ ).

Figure 2: $\quad$ Frequency of Play for Lottery Ticket Players ( $\mathrm{N}=1,871$ )


It is estimated that approximately $2.8 \%$ of lottery ticket players are moderate-risk problem gamblers, while $1.4 \%$ are problem gamblers. These rates are similar to the moderate-risk and problem gambling rates among all Newfoundlanders and Labradorians ( $2.2 \%$ and $1.2 \%$ respectively; See Section 4.0 for a more detailed explanation).

### 3.3.2 Profile of Pull Tab/ Nevada Strip Players ( $N=658$ )

Overall, $25 \%$ of gamblers have played pull tabs at least once in the past 12 months. In terms of demographics, pull tab players were more likely to be female (59\%) as opposed to male (41\%) and were also more likely to be between the ages of 35 and 54 (50\%).

Pull tab players were most likely to be married (56\%), have a post-secondary education (40\%), and have incomes in the $\$ 20,001$ to $\$ 60,000$ range (51\%) (See Table 7).

Table 7: Demographic Profile of Pull Tab/Nevada Strip Players

|  | Pull Tab/Nevada Strip Players ( $\mathrm{N}=658$ ) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $f$ | \% |
| Gender |  |  |
| Male | 273 | 41.4 |
| Female | 386 | 58.6 |
| Age |  |  |
| 19-24 | 75 | 11.4 |
| 25-34 | 130 | 19.7 |
| 35-44 | 178 | 27.1 |
| 45-54 | 152 | 23.1 |
| 55-64 | 56 | 8.5 |
| 65+ | 67 | 10.1 |
| Marital Status |  |  |
| Married | 370 | 56.2 |
| Common law/ living with partner | 95 | 14.5 |
| Single | 114 | 17.4 |
| Widowed | 30 | 4.6 |
| Divorced or separated | 48 | 7.3 |
| Refused | 1 | <1 |
| Education |  |  |
| Some high school/ junior high or less | 129 | 19.7 |
| Completed high school | 187 | 28.4 |
| Some post-secondary | 75 | 11.4 |
| Completed post-secondary | 212 | 32.2 |
| Completed post-graduate education | 51 | 7.7 |
| Refused | 4 | <1 |
| Income |  |  |
| \$20,000 or less | 75 | 11.4 |
| \$20,001 to \$40,000 | 220 | 33.4 |
| \$40,001 to \$60,000 | 114 | 17.3 |
| \$60,001 to \$80,000 | 42 | 6.4 |
| \$80,001 to \$100,000 | 34 | 5.2 |
| More than \$100,000 | 23 | 3.5 |
| Don't know | 35 | 5.3 |
| Refused | 115 | 17.5 |

Over one-quarter of pull tab players tend to play weekly (26\%), while 31\% play monthly, and $39 \%$ play yearly (See Figure 3). On a typical occasion, pull tab players reported spending an average of $\$ 3.00$ during a typical playing occasion (ranging from $\$ 1.00$ to
$\$ 50.00$ ), winning an average of $\$ 12.00$ (ranging from $\$ 0.00$ to $\$ 100,000.00$ ), and losing an average of $\$ 3.00$ (ranging from $\$ 0.00$ to $\$ 180.00$ ).

## Figure 3: $\quad$ Frequency of Play for Pull Tab/Nevada Strip Players ( $\mathrm{N}=658$ )



It is estimated that approximately $4.3 \%$ of pull tab players are moderate-risk gamblers, while $4.2 \%$ are problem gamblers. These rates are somewhat higher than the moderaterisk and problem gambling rates among all Newfoundlanders and Labradorians (2.2\% and $1.2 \%$ respectively; See Section 4.0 for a more detailed explanation).

### 3.3.3 Profile of Scratch Ticket Players ( $N=921$ )

Overall, $36 \%$ of gamblers have played scratch tickets at least once in the past 12 months. In terms of demographics, scratch ticket players were more likely to be female (59\%) as opposed to male (41\%) and were also more likely to be between the ages of 25 and 54 (67\%). Scratch ticket players were most likely to be married (56\%), have a post-secondary education (44\%), and have incomes in the $\$ 20,001$ to $\$ 40,000$ range (29\%) (See Table 8).

## Table 8: Demographic Profile of Scratch Ticket Players

|  | Scratch Ticket Players ( $\mathrm{N}=921$ ) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $f$ | \% |
| Gender |  |  |
| Male | 377 | 41.0 |
| Female | 543 | 59.0 |
| Age |  |  |
| 19-24 | 107 | 11.6 |
| 25-34 | 205 | 22.3 |
| 35-44 | 210 | 22.8 |
| 45-54 | 198 | 21.5 |
| 55-64 | 105 | 11.4 |
| 65+ | 97 | 10.5 |
| Marital Status |  |  |
| Married | 513 | 55.8 |
| Common law/ living with partner | 120 | 13.0 |
| Single | 176 | 19.1 |
| Widowed | 46 | 5.0 |
| Divorced or separated | 61 | 6.6 |
| Refused | 4 | <1 |
| Education |  |  |
| Some high school/ junior high or less | 139 | 15.1 |
| Completed high school | 241 | 26.2 |
| Some post-secondary | 132 | 14.3 |
| Completed post-secondary | 282 | 30.6 |
| Completed post-graduate education | 122 | 13.2 |
| Refused | 6 | <1 |
| Income |  |  |
| \$20,000 or less | 105 | 11.4 |
| \$20,001 to \$40,000 | 270 | 29.2 |
| \$40,001 to \$60,000 | 141 | 15.3 |
| \$60,001 to \$80,000 | 87 | 9.4 |
| \$80,001 to \$100,000 | 51 | 5.6 |
| More than \$100,000 | 46 | 5.0 |
| Don't know | 55 | 5.9 |
| Refused | 167 | 18.1 |

Less than a quarter of scratch ticket players tend to play weekly (17\%), while 34\% play monthly, and $46 \%$ play yearly (See Figure 4). On a typical occasion, scratch ticket players reported spending an average of $\$ 4.00$ (ranging from $\$ 1.00$ to $\$ 400.00$ ), winning an average of $\$ 4.00$ (ranging from $\$ 0.00$ to $\$ 10,000.00$ ), and losing an average of $\$ 3.00$ (ranging from \$0.00 to \$50.00).

Figure 4: $\quad$ Frequency of Play for Scratch Ticket Players ( $\mathrm{N}=\mathbf{9 2 1 \text { ) }}$


It is estimated that approximately $4.7 \%$ of scratch ticket players are moderate-risk gamblers, while $2.7 \%$ are problem gamblers. These rates are double the moderate-risk and problem gambling rates among all Newfoundlanders and Labradorians (2.2\% and $1.2 \%$ respectively; See Section 4.0 for a more detailed explanation).

### 3.3.4 Profile of Raffle/Fundraising Ticket Purchasers ( $N=1,175$ )

Overall, $45 \%$ of gamblers have purchased raffle or fundraising tickets at least once in the past 12 months. In terms of demographics, raffle ticket purchasers were slightly more likely to be female (53\%) as opposed to male (47\%) and were also more likely to be between the ages of 35 and 54 (47\%). Raffle ticket purchasers were most likely to be married (65\%), have a post-secondary education (56\%), and have incomes in the $\$ 20,001$ to $\$ 60,000$ range (40\%) (See Table 9). Generally, these characteristics tend to be representative of the general gambling population.

## Table 9: Demographic Profile of Raffle/Fundraising Ticket Purchasers




Very few raffle ticket purchasers tend to purchase weekly (3\%), while $18 \%$ purchase monthly, and $76 \%$ purchase yearly (See Figure 5). On a typical occasion, raffle ticket purchasers reported spending an average of $\$ 6.00$ (ranging from $\$ 1.00$ to $\$ 300.00$ ), winning an average of $\$ 1.00$ (ranging from $\$ 0.00$ to $\$ 1,600.00$ ), and losing an average of $\$ 6.00$ (ranging from $\$ 0.00$ to $\$ 300.00$ ).

Figure 5: Frequency of Purchase for Raffle/Fundraising Ticket Purchasers ( $\mathrm{N}=1,175$ )


It is estimated that approximately $2.5 \%$ of raffle ticket purchasers are moderate-risk gamblers, while $1.3 \%$ are problem gamblers. These rates are virtually the same as moderate-risk and problem gambling rates among all Newfoundlanders and Labradorians ( $2.2 \%$ and $1.2 \%$ respectively; See Section 4.0 for a more detailed explanation).

### 3.3.5 Profile of Bingo Players ( $N=285$ )

Overall, $11 \%$ of gamblers have played bingo at least once in the past 12 months. In terms of demographics, bingo players were more likely to be female (72\%) as opposed to male (28\%) and were also more likely to be between the ages of 25 and 54 (65\%). Bingo players were most likely to be married (60\%), have high school or less than high school education (53\%), and have incomes less than \$40,000 (50\%) (See Table 10).

## Table 10: Demographic Profile of Bingo Players

|  | Bingo Players (N=285) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $f$ | \% |
| Gender |  |  |
| Male | 81 | 28.4 |
| Female | 204 | 71.6 |
| Age |  |  |
| 19-24 | 26 | 9.1 |
| 25-34 | 56 | 19.7 |
| 35-44 | 64 | 22.6 |
| 45-54 | 65 | 22.9 |
| 55-64 | 34 | 12.1 |
| 65+ | 38 | 13.5 |
| Marital Status |  |  |
| Married | 170 | 59.6 |
| Common law/ living with partner | 41 | 14.3 |
| Single | 34 | 12.0 |
| Widowed | 26 | 9.2 |
| Divorced or separated | 14 | 4.9 |
| Education |  |  |
| Some high school/ junior high or less | 84 | 29.3 |
| Completed high school | 68 | 23.9 |
| Some post-secondary | 29 | 10.1 |
| Completed post-secondary | 81 | 28.4 |
| Completed post-graduate education | 24 | 8.3 |
| Income |  |  |
| \$20,000 or less | 45 | 15.7 |
| \$20,001 to \$40,000 | 98 | 34.2 |
| \$40,001 to \$60,000 | 43 | 15.3 |
| \$60,001 to \$80,000 | 17 | 6.2 |
| \$80,001 to \$100,000 | 5 | 1.8 |
| More than \$100,000 | 11 | 4.0 |
| Don't know | 11 | 3.8 |
| Refused | 54 | 19.0 |

One third of bingo players tend to play weekly (30\%), while $17 \%$ play monthly, and $50 \%$ play yearly (See Figure 6). On a typical occasion, bingo players reported spending an average of $\$ 20.00$ (ranging from $\$ 1.00$ to $\$ 200.00$ ), winning an average of $\$ 25.00$ (ranging from $\$ 0.00$ to $\$ 4,000.00$ ), and losing an average of $\$ 13.00$ (ranging from $\$ 0.00$ to $\$ 100.00$ ).

Figure 6: $\quad$ Frequency of Play for Bingo Players ( $\mathrm{N}=285$ )


It is estimated that approximately $7.0 \%$ of bingo players are moderate-risk gamblers, while $3.3 \%$ are problem gamblers. These rates are notably higher than the moderate-risk and problem gambling rates among all Newfoundlanders and Labradorians (2.2\% and $1.2 \%$ respectively; See Section 4.0 for a more detailed explanation).

### 3.3.6 Profile of VLT Players $(N=295)$

Overall, $11 \%$ of gamblers have played VLT's at least once in the past 12 months. In terms of demographics, VLT players were more likely to be male (58\%) as opposed to female (42\%) and were also more likely to be under the age of 54 (83\%). VLT players were most likely to be married (49\%), have a post-secondary education (50\%), and have incomes in the \$20,001 to \$40,000 range (28\%) (See Table 11).

Table 11: Demographic Profile of VLT Players

|  | VLT Players ( $\mathrm{N}=295$ ) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $f$ | \% |
| Gender |  |  |
| Male | 170 | 57.7 |
| Female | 125 | 42.3 |
| Age |  |  |
| 19-24 | 54 | 18.2 |
| 25-34 | 65 | 21.9 |
| 35-44 | 62 | 20.9 |
| 45-54 | 66 | 22.3 |
| 55-64 | 32 | 11.0 |
| 65+ | 17 | 5.8 |
| Marital Status |  |  |
| Married | 145 | 49.0 |
| Common law/ living with partner | 56 | 19.0 |
| Single | 66 | 22.4 |
| Widowed | 3 | <1 |
| Divorced or separated | 23 | 7.7 |
| Refused | 3 | 1.0 |
| Education |  |  |
| Some high school/ junior high or less | 46 | 15.7 |
| Completed high school | 55 | 18.7 |
| Some post-secondary | 44 | 14.8 |
| Completed post-secondary | 96 | 32.4 |
| Completed post-graduate education | 51 | 17.4 |
| Refused | 3 | 1.0 |
| Income |  |  |
| \$20,000 or less | 18 | 6.2 |
| \$20,001 to \$40,000 | 82 | 27.6 |
| \$40,001 to \$60,000 | 43 | 14.6 |
| \$60,001 to \$80,000 | 24 | 8.2 |
| \$80,001 to \$100,000 | 21 | 7.1 |
| More than \$100,000 | 36 | 12.3 |
| Don't know | 16 | 5.5 |
| Refused | 54 | 18.5 |

Almost a quarter of VLT players tend to play weekly (23\%), while 23\% play monthly, and $52 \%$ play yearly (See Figure 7). On a typical occasion, VLT players reported spending an average of $\$ 36.00$ (ranging from $\$ 1.00$ to $\$ 8,000.00$ ), winning an average of $\$ 36.00$ (ranging from $\$ 0.00$ to $\$ 4,200.00$ ), and losing an average of $\$ 28.00$ (ranging from $\$ 0.00$ to $\$ 4,800.00$ ).

## Figure 7: $\quad$ Frequency of Play for VLT Players ( $\mathrm{N}=295$ )



It is estimated that approximately $9.7 \%$ of VLT players are moderate-risk gamblers, while $8.6 \%$ are problem gamblers ( $18.3 \%$ combined). This combined rate is significantly higher than the combined moderate-risk and problem gambling rate evident among all Newfoundlanders and Labradorians (3.4\% combined, 2.2\% and 1.2\% respectively; See Section 4.0 for a more detailed explanation).

### 3.3.7 Profile of Poker Players ( $N=285$ )

Overall, $11 \%$ of gamblers have played poker at least once in the past 12 months. In terms of demographics, poker players were more likely to be male (72\%) as opposed to female (28\%) and were also more likely to be between the ages of 19 and 34 (54\%). Poker players were most likely to be married (46\%), have a post-secondary education (59\%), and have incomes in the $\$ 20,001$ to $\$ 40,000$ range (21\%) (See Table 12).

Table 12: Demographic Profile of Poker Players

|  | Poker Players (N=285) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $f$ | \% |
| Gender |  |  |
| Male | 206 | 72.2 |
| Female | 79 | 27.8 |
| Age |  |  |
| 19-24 | 63 | 22.1 |
| 25-34 | 92 | 32.3 |
| 35-44 | 54 | 18.9 |
| 45-54 | 46 | 16.1 |
| 55-64 | 12 | 4.3 |
| 65+ | 18 | 6.4 |
| Marital Status |  |  |
| Married | 131 | 45.8 |
| Common law/ living with partner | 48 | 16.9 |
| Single | 89 | 31.2 |
| Widowed | 7 | 2.3 |
| Divorced or separated | 11 | 3.8 |
| Education |  |  |
| Some high school/ junior high or less | 14 | 5.0 |
| Completed high school | 56 | 19.7 |
| Some post-secondary | 43 | 14.9 |
| Completed post-secondary | 117 | 40.9 |
| Completed post-graduate education | 53 | 18.5 |
| Refused | 3 | 1.0 |
| Income |  |  |
| \$20,000 or less | 17 | 5.9 |
| \$20,001 to \$40,000 | 61 | 21.3 |
| \$40,001 to \$60,000 | 40 | 14.0 |
| \$60,001 to \$80,000 | 38 | 13.2 |
| \$80,001 to \$100,000 | 27 | 9.3 |
| More than \$100,000 | 37 | 13.1 |
| Don't know | 17 | 6.1 |
| Refused | 49 | 17.1 |

Two out of ten poker players tend to play weekly (20\%), while $19 \%$ play monthly, and $54 \%$ play yearly (See Figure 8). On a typical occasion, poker players reported spending an average of $\$ 21.00$ (ranging from $\$ 1.00$ to $\$ 200.00$ ), winning an average of $\$ 32.00$ (ranging from $\$ 0.00$ to $\$ 1,000.00$ ), and losing an average of $\$ 7.00$ (ranging from $\$ 0.00$ to \$200.00).

## Figure 8: $\quad$ Frequency of Play for Poker Players ( $\mathrm{N}=285$ )



It is estimated that approximately $8.2 \%$ of poker players are moderate-risk gamblers, while $6.0 \%$ are problem gamblers ( $14.2 \%$ combined). This combined rate is significantly higher than the combined moderate-risk and problem gambling rate evident among all Newfoundlanders and Labradorians (3.4\% combined, 2.2\% and 1.2\% respectively; See Section 4.0 for a more detailed explanation).

### 3.4 Time and Money Spent Gambling

On average, gamblers spent a total of 7 hours participating in gambling activities in a typical month (ranging from 1 hour to 250 hours). For those who reported less than one hour per month, an average of 12 minutes during a typical month was reported (ranging from 1 minute to 59 minutes).

In the past 12 months, gamblers reported spending an average of $\$ 249.64$ on gambling activities (approximately $\$ 21.00$ per month), with spending ranging from $\$ 1.00$ to $\$ 55,740.00$. The majority of gamblers spent only a small amount over the past year, with $5 \%$ spending $\$ 10.00$ or less, $28 \%$ spending $\$ 11.00-\$ 50.00,17 \%$ spending $\$ 51.00-$ $\$ 100.00$, and $17 \%$ spending $\$ 101.00-\$ 200.00$. On average, gamblers reported winning an average of $\$ 142.56$ (ranging from $\$ 0.00$ to $\$ 35,000.00$ ) and losing an average of $\$ 156.36$ (ranging from $\$ 0.00$ to $\$ 54,240.00$ ) over the past 12 months.

### 3.5 Reasons for Gambling

When asked to identify the main reasons why they gamble, gamblers most commonly reported that it was because they can win money (60\%), to support worthy causes/ charities (30\%), because it's exciting/ fun (22\%) and because it's an opportunity to socialize (14\%) (See Table 13).

Table 13: Main Reasons for Gambling*

|  | $f$ | \% ( $N=2,191$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I can win money | 1317 | 60.1 |
| To support worthy causes/ charities | 648 | 29.6 |
| It's exciting/ fun | 480 | 21.9 |
| It's an opportunity to socialize | 314 | 14.3 |
| It decreases my boredom | 165 | 7.5 |
| Out of curiosity | 121 | 5.5 |
| It's a hobby | 92 | 4.2 |
| Try luck/ take a chance/ hope to win | 29 | 1.3 |
| If the jackpot is high | 23 | 1.1 |
| I can forget about my problems | 12 | <1 |
| Group activity at work | 11 | <1 |
| Change leftover after purchase | 11 | $<1$ |
| Entertainment | 10 | <1 |
| Gifts | 8 | <1 |
| It's always there, right in front of you at the stores | 8 | <1 |
| To be alone | 4 | <1 |
| Because I am good at it | 3 | <1 |
| Other | 29 | 1.3 |
| Don't know | 36 | 1.6 |
| Refused | 1 | <1 |

*Multiple responses allowed.

### 4.0 Problem Gambling in Newfoundland and Labrador

Problem gambling is defined as "gambling behavior that creates negative consequences for the gambler, others in his or her social network, or for the community" (Ferris \& Wynne, 2001). This section of the report explores the prevalence of problem gambling in Newfoundland and Labrador. Specifically this section classifies gambling behaviors according to CPGI classifications, profiles the gambler subtypes by demographics and gambling behavior, and explores the reasons for gambling and consequences of problem gambling for the gambler subtypes.

### 4.1 Classifying Problem Gambling

As previously stated, 9 items from the CPGI are used to determine the prevalence rate for problem gambling. These questions are asked only of respondents who have gambled in the past 12 months, and are designed to assess how often gamblers have felt or acted a certain way in the past 12 months. These 9 items were included in the Newfoundland and Labrador questionnaire and were scored to create gambling subtypes and produce a prevalence rate for problem gambling. Scoring for the 9 items is as follows (Ferris \& Wynne, 2001):

- Never $=$ Score of 0
- Sometimes = Score of 1
- Most of the time = Score of 2
- Almost always = Score of 3

In order to develop gambling subtypes, scores for each of the 9 items are summed and individuals are placed into one of the following categories based on their total score (Ferris \& Wynne, 2001):

- Score of $0=$ Non-problem gambler
- Score of 1-2 = Low-risk gambler
- Score of 3-7 = Moderate-risk gambler
- Score of 8 to 27 = Problem gambler

According to the CPGI, respondents in each of the gambling subtypes will display some or all of the following characteristics (Ferris \& Wynne, 2001):

## Non-problem gambler:

Though respondents in this category have responded "never" to all 9 items, frequent gamblers or "professional" gamblers who invest large amounts of time and money may also be classified here. This category of respondents probably have not experienced any adverse consequences from gambling and are unlikely to agree with the gambler's fallacies.

## Low-risk gambler:

Respondents in this category have responded "never" to most of the 9 items, but will have responded "sometimes" or "most of the time" to at least one item. These gamblers may be at risk if they are heavily involved in gambling and if they
respond positively to at least two problem gambling correlates. This category of respondents probably have not experienced any adverse consequences from gambling.

Moderate-risk gambler:
Respondents in this category have responded "never" to most of the 9 items, but will have responded "most of the time" or "almost always" to at least one item. These gamblers may be at risk if they are heavily involved in gambling and if they respond positively to at least three or four problem gambling correlates. This category of respondents may not have experienced any adverse consequences from gambling.

Problem gambler:
Respondents in this category have experienced adverse consequences from gambling and may have lost control of their behavior. Involvement in gambling is most likely to be heavy. Respondents in this category are most likely to agree with the gambler's fallacies and respond most positively to more of the correlates than respondents from other categories.
"Sometimes", "most of the time", and "almost always" responses to these 9 items among gamblers in Newfoundland and Labrador are presented in Figure 9. Generally, the strong majority of respondents said "never" to each of the 9 scoring items. Therefore, "never" responses are not included in Figure 9.

Figure 9: $\quad$ Answers to CPGI Questions ( $\mathrm{N}=2,191$ )

Thinking about the past 12 months, would you say you never, sometimes, most of the time, or almost always...


### 4.2 Prevalence rate

### 4.2.1 Provincial and Regional Prevalence Rates

Generally, the majority of respondents ( $90.5 \%, \mathrm{n}=2,349$ ) were placed into non-gambler or no-risk categories. More specifically, $15.6 \%$ of respondents were classified as nongamblers (having not gambled in the past 12 months), and $74.9 \%$ of respondents were classified as non-problem gamblers (overall score of 0 ). The remaining $9.5 \%$ of respondents ( $\mathrm{n}=246$ ) were placed into the at-risk or problem gambling categories, with $1.2 \%$ of respondents classified as problem gamblers (See Figure 10).

Figure 10: Provincial Problem Gambling Prevalence Rate in Newfoundland and Labrador ( $\mathrm{N}=2,596$ )


Regionally, problem gambling prevalence rates were similar to the provincial rate, except for Labrador-Grenfell, where the problem gambling prevalence rate was over one percentage point higher than the provincial average. Figure 11 details the findings for each region.

Figure 11: Regional Problem Gambling Prevalence Rates in Newfoundland and Labrador


### 4.2.2 Provincial Comparisons

As previously stated, $3.4 \%$ of respondents were classified as moderate-risk or problem gamblers according to CPGI classifications. This percentage is consistent with prevalence rates from other provincial gambling prevalence studies where the CPGI was used to classify problem gambling rates, however, it does tend to be on the lower end of the scale (See Table 14).

Table 14: Problem Gambling Prevalence Rates Across Canada According to CPGI Classifications ${ }^{4}$

|  | Non- <br> Gambler | Non- <br> Problem <br> Gambler | Low-Risk <br> Gambler | Moderate-Risk or <br> Problem <br> Gambler |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Newfoundland and Labrador | $15.6 \%$ | $74.9 \%$ | $6.1 \%$ | $3.4 \%$ |
| Nova Scotia (Focal Research, 2004) | $10.7 \%$ | $82.5 \%$ | $4.8 \%$ | $2.1 \%$ |
| New Brunswick (Focal Research, <br> 2001) | $19.0 \%$ | $72.9 \%$ | $4.9 \%$ | $3.2 \%$ |
| Ontario (Wiebe, Single, \& Falkowski- <br> Ham, 2001) | $16.8 \%$ | $69.8 \%$ | $9.6 \%$ | $3.8 \%$ |
| Saskatchewan (Wynne, 2002) | $13.4 \%$ | $71.4 \%$ | $9.3 \%$ | $5.9 \%$ |
| Alberta (Smith \& Wynne, 2002) | $18.0 \%$ | $67.0 \%$ | $9.8 \%$ | $5.2 \%$ |
| British Columbia (Ministry of Public <br> Safety and Solicitor General, 2003) | $15.0 \%$ | $69.3 \%$ | $11.1 \%$ | $4.6 \%$ |

### 4.2.3 Projection to the Adult Population of Newfoundland and Labrador

Using the CPGI, it is estimated that $74.9 \%$ of respondents are non-problem gamblers and $6.1 \%$ are low-risk gamblers. It is further estimated that $2.2 \%$ of respondents are moderate-risk gamblers and $1.2 \%$ are problem gamblers ( $3.4 \%$ combined). Based on a provincial adult population (ages 19+) of 386,782 (www.communityaccounts.ca), these percentages translate into:

- Approximately 289,700 adult residents who are non-problem gamblers.
- Approximately 23,594 adult residents who are low-risk gamblers.
- Approximately 8,509 adult residents who are moderate-risk gamblers.
- Approximately 4,641 adult residents who are problem gamblers.
- Approximately 13,150 adult residents who are moderate-risk or problem gamblers.

[^3]
### 4.3 Profile of Gambling Subtypes

Each of the gambling subtypes are profiled provincially and regionally in the following section. Again, it is important to note that sample sizes within each regional segmentation analysis are low, therefore the findings should be interpreted with caution.

### 4.3.1 Demographic Profile of Gambling Subtypes

## Newfoundland and Labrador

Table 15 provides a demographic profile of the gambling subtypes at the provincial level. Moderate-risk gamblers were mostly male (67\%) and between the ages of 35-44 (39\%). They were mostly married (46\%), and just over half (51\%) had high school or less than high school education. The majority of moderate-risk gamblers were employed full-time (43\%) and most had incomes in the $\$ 20,001$ to $\$ 40,000$ range (30\%).

Problem gamblers were mostly male (67\%) and most were between the ages of 25-34 (40\%). The majority of problem gamblers were common-law (39\%) or single (27\%), with almost half (49\%) having at least some post-secondary education. Most problem gamblers were employed (36\%), however, just over one-third of problem gamblers (34\%) were unemployed. As with moderate-risk gamblers, most problem gamblers had incomes in the $\$ 20,001$ to $\$ 40,000$ range (33\%).

When comparing across the gambler subtypes, non-problem gamblers were mostly female and the percentage of males increased with each risk category. Thirty-four percent of problem gamblers were unemployed, and this rate was notably higher than the unemployment rate for all other gambling subtypes. Also worth noting was the finding that problem gamblers had the highest percentage of divorce/separation (12\%) and homemakers (13\%) when compared to all other gambling subtypes.

## Table 15: Demographic Profile by Gambling Subtype (Newfoundland and Labrador)

|  | Non-Problem Gambler ( $N=1,944$ ) |  | Low-Risk Gambler$(N=157)$ |  | ModerateRisk Gambler ( $\mathrm{N}=58$ ) |  | Problem Gambler$(N=31)$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% |
| Gender |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 920 | 47.3 | 82 | 52.3 | 39 | 66.7 | 21 | 66.5 |
| Female | 1,025 | 52.7 | 75 | 47.7 | 19 | 33.3 | 11 | 33.5 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19-24 | 148 | 7.6 | 19 | 12.2 | 9 | 16.2 | 3 | 11.0 |
| 25-34 | 326 | 16.8 | 41 | 26.0 | 8 | 13.3 | 13 | 40.0 |
| 35-44 | 452 | 23.2 | 28 | 17.6 | 23 | 39.2 | 7 | 21.4 |
| 45-54 | 453 | 23.3 | 33 | 20.9 | 12 | 20.5 | 8 | 25.0 |
| 55-64 | 278 | 14.3 | 21 | 13.3 | 4 | 6.6 | 0 | -- |
| 65+ | 287 | 14.8 | 16 | 10.0 | 2 | 4.1 | 1 | 2.5 |


|  | Non-Problem Gambler$(N=1,944)$ |  | Low-Risk Gambler ( $N=157$ ) |  | ModerateRisk Gambler ( $\mathrm{N}=58$ ) |  | Problem Gambler$(N=31)$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% |
| Marital Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Married | 1,259 | 64.7 | 69 | 43.6 | 26 | 45.5 | 6 | 20.4 |
| Common Law/ Living With Partner | 173 | 8.9 | 16 | 9.9 | 16 | 28.4 | 12 | 38.6 |
| Single | 280 | 14.4 | 50 | 32.1 | 12 | 20.7 | 8 | 27.0 |
| Widowed | 98 | 5.0 | 8 | 5.3 | 1 | 1.4 | 0 | -- |
| Divorced or separated | 128 | 6.6 | 12 | 7.7 | 2 | 4.1 | 4 | 11.9 |
| Refused | 7 | $<1$ | 2 | 1.4 | 0 | -- | 1 | 2.0 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Some high school/ junior high or less | 282 | 14.5 | 27 | 17.2 | 16 | 27.9 | 5 | 16.4 |
| Completed high school | 448 | 23.0 | 41 | 26.0 | 14 | 23.5 | 10 | 32.8 |
| Some postsecondary | 237 | 12.2 | 17 | 11.1 | 5 | 8.9 | 5 | 15.5 |
| Completed postsecondary | 682 | 35.1 | 48 | 30.8 | 19 | 33.4 | 8 | 25.3 |
| Completed postgraduate education | 288 | 14.8 | 19 | 12.0 | 4 | 6.3 | 3 | 8.1 |
| Don't know | 3 | $<1$ | 0 | -- | 0 | -- | 0 | -- |
| Refused | 5 | <1 | 4 | 2.9 | 0 | -- | 1 | 2.0 |
| Employment Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employed full-time | 826 | 42.5 | 68 | 43.3 | 25 | 42.9 | 11 | 35.7 |
| Employed part-time | 206 | 10.6 | 21 | 13.6 | 11 | 19.5 | 1 | 3.9 |
| Unemployed | 207 | 10.6 | 23 | 14.3 | 10 | 16.4 | 11 | 33.6 |
| Student | 83 | 4.2 | 7 | 4.6 | 4 | 6.5 | 3 | 9.7 |
| Retired | 471 | 24.2 | 27 | 17.0 | 4 | 7.1 | 1 | 2.5 |
| Homemaker | 135 | 6.9 | 5 | 3.3 | 4 | 7.1 | 4 | 12.6 |
| Don't know | 6 | <1 | 1 | <1 | 1 | <1 | 0 | -- |
| Refused | 12 | <1 | 4 | 2.9 | 0 | -- | 1 | 2.0 |
| Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$20,000 or less | 170 | 8.7 | 24 | 15.0 | 11 | 18.3 | 3 | 10.1 |
| \$20,001 to \$40,000 | 480 | 24.7 | 46 | 29.0 | 17 | 30.1 | 10 | 32.8 |
| \$40,001 to \$60,000 | 298 | 15.3 | 31 | 19.7 | 4 | 7.1 | 8 | 26.3 |
| \$60,001 to \$80,000 | 203 | 10.5 | 15 | 9.6 | 7 | 11.7 | 2 | 4.9 |
| \$80,001 to \$100,000 | 116 | 5.9 | 9 | 5.6 | 5 | 7.6 | 0 | -- |
| More than \$100,000 | 147 | 7.6 | 3 | 1.6 | 7 | 11.6 | 0 | -- |
| Don't know | 108 | 5.5 | 8 | 5.1 | 2 | 3.9 | 3 | 10.6 |
| Refused | 422 | 21.7 | 23 | 14.5 | 6 | 9.9 | 5 | 15.5 |

## Eastern Region

Table 16 provides a demographic profile of the gambling subtypes within the Eastern region. Generally, the characteristics of gamblers in this region tend to mirror the provincial profile. Moderate-risk gamblers were mostly male (71\%) and between the ages of 35-44 (43\%). They were mostly married (50\%), and over half (57\%) had high school or less than high school education. The majority of moderate-risk gamblers were employed full-time (50\%) and most had incomes in the \$20,001 to \$40,000 range (29\%), though $21 \%$ had incomes of $\$ 100,000$ or more.

Problem gamblers also consisted of mostly males (71\%) and most were between the ages of 25-34 (57\%). The majority of problem gamblers were common-law (71\%), with just over seven in ten (72\%) having at least some post-secondary education. Most problem gamblers were employed (43\%) and had incomes in the $\$ 20,001$ to $\$ 60,000$ range (86\%).

Again, as seen provincially, non-problem gamblers were mostly female and the percentage of males increased with each risk category.

## Table 16: Demographic Profile by Gambling Subtype (Eastern Region) ${ }^{6}$

|  | Non-Problem Gambler ( $\mathrm{N}=505$ ) |  | Low-Risk Gambler$(N=44)$ |  | ModerateRisk Gambler ( $\mathrm{N}=14$ ) |  | Problem Gambler$(N=7)$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% |
| Gender |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 236 | 46.7 | 23 | 52.3 | 10 | 71.4 | 5 | 71.4 |
| Female | 269 | 53.3 | 21 | 47.7 | 4 | 28.6 | 2 | 28.6 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19-24 | 44 | 8.7 | 6 | 13.6 | 2 | 14.3 | 1 | 14.3 |
| 25-34 | 86 | 17.0 | 13 | 29.5 | 2 | 14.3 | 4 | 57.1 |
| 35-44 | 113 | 22.4 | 7 | 15.9 | 6 | 42.9 | 1 | 14.3 |
| 45-54 | 117 | 23.2 | 8 | 18.2 | 3 | 21.4 | 1 | 14.3 |
| 55-64 | 70 | 13.9 | 6 | 13.6 | 1 | 7.1 | 0 | -- |
| 65+ | 75 | 14.9 | 4 | 9.1 | 0 | -- | 0 | -- |
| Marital Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Married | 316 | 62.6 | 16 | 36.4 | 7 | 50.0 | 0 | -- |
| Common Law/ Living With Partner | 38 | 7.5 | 3 | 6.8 | 4 | 28.6 | 5 | 71.4 |
| Single | 90 | 17.8 | 17 | 38.6 | 3 | 21.4 | 2 | 28.6 |
| Widowed | 27 | 5.3 | 2 | 4.5 | 0 | -- | 0 | -- |
| Divorced or separated | 32 | 6.3 | 5 | 11.4 | 0 | -- | 0 | -- |
| Refused | 2 | <1 | 1 | 2.3 | 0 | -- | 0 | -- |

[^4]|  | Non-Problem Gambler ( $\mathrm{N}=505$ ) |  | Low-Risk Gambler$(N=44)$ |  | ModerateRisk Gambler ( $\mathrm{N}=14$ ) |  | Problem Gambler$(N=7)$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Some high school/ junior high or less | 54 | 10.7 | 3 | 6.8 | 4 | 28.6 | 0 | -- |
| Completed high school | 109 | 21.6 | 12 | 27.3 | 4 | 28.6 | 2 | 28.6 |
| Some postsecondary | 68 | 13.5 | 5 | 11.4 | 1 | 7.1 | 1 | 14.3 |
| Completed postsecondary | 181 | 35.8 | 15 | 34.1 | 4 | 28.6 | 3 | 42.9 |
| Completed postgraduate education | 91 | 18.0 | 7 | 15.9 | 1 | 7.1 | 1 | 14.3 |
| Don't know | 1 | $<1$ | 0 | -- | 0 | -- | 0 | -- |
| Refused | 1 | <1 | 2 | 4.5 | 0 | -- | 0 | -- |
| Employment Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employed full-time | 225 | 44.6 | 22 | 50.0 | 7 | 50.0 | 3 | 42.9 |
| Employed part-time | 44 | 8.7 | 5 | 11.4 | 3 | 21.4 | 0 | -- |
| Unemployed | 48 | 9.5 | 5 | 11.4 | 2 | 14.3 | 2 | 28.6 |
| Student | 29 | 5.7 | 2 | 4.5 | 1 | 7.1 | 1 | 14.3 |
| Retired | 124 | 24.6 | 7 | 15.9 | 0 | -- | 0 | -- |
| Homemaker | 29 | 5.7 | 1 | 2.3 | 1 | 7.1 | 1 | 14.3 |
| Don't know | 2 | <1 | 0 | -- | 0 | -- | 0 | -- |
| Refused | 4 | <1 | 2 | 4.5 | 0 | -- | 0 | -- |
| Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$20,000 or less | 41 | 8.1 | 7 | 15.9 | 3 | 21.4 | 0 | -- |
| \$20,001 to \$40,000 | 180 | 23.4 | 11 | 25.0 | 4 | 28.6 | 3 | 42.9 |
| \$40,001 to \$60,000 | 70 | 13.8 | 9 | 20.5 | 0 | -- | 3 | 42.9 |
| \$60,001 to \$80,000 | 52 | 10.3 | 5 | 11.3 | 1 | 7.1 | 0 | -- |
| \$80,001 to \$100,000 | 30 | 6.0 | 3 | 6.8 | 1 | 7.1 | 0 | -- |
| More than \$100,000 | 48 | 9.5 | 1 | 2.3 | 3 | 21.4 | 0 | -- |
| Don't know | 29 | 5.7 | 3 | 6.8 | 3 | 6.8 | 1 | 14.3 |
| Refused | 117 | 23.2 | 5 | 11.4 | 5 | 11.4 | 0 | -- |

## Central Region

Table 17 provides a demographic profile of the gambling subtypes within the Central region. Generally, the characteristics of gamblers in this region tend to mirror the provincial profile. Moderate-risk gamblers were mostly male (71\%) and between the ages of 35-44 (36\%). They were mostly married (43\%), and over half (57\%) had at least some post-secondary education. The majority of moderate-risk gamblers were employed full-time (36\%) and 57\% had incomes in the \$20,001 to \$60,000 range.

Problem gamblers were also mostly male (57\%) and most were between the ages of 2534 (43\%) and married (43\%). In this region, problem gamblers were the least educated of all the gambling subtypes, with the majority (86\%) having high school or less than high school education. Most problem gamblers were unemployed (43\%) and had incomes in the $\$ 20,001$ to $\$ 40,000$ range (43\%).

Table 17: Demographic Profile by Gambling Subtype (Central Region) ${ }^{7}$

|  | Non-Problem Gambler ( $N=454$ ) |  | Low-Risk Gambler$(N=28)$ |  | ModerateRisk Gambler$(N=14)$ |  | Problem Gambler$(N=7)$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% |
| Gender |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 212 | 46.7 | 15 | 53.6 | 10 | 71.4 | 4 | 57.1 |
| Female | 242 | 53.3 | 13 | 46.4 | 4 | 28.6 | 3 | 42.9 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19-24 | 27 | 5.9 | 1 | 3.6 | 2 | 14.3 | 0 | -- |
| 25-34 | 71 | 15.6 | 5 | 17.9 | 1 | 7.1 | 3 | 42.9 |
| 35-44 | 108 | 23.8 | 8 | 28.6 | 5 | 35.7 | 1 | 14.3 |
| 45-54 | 102 | 22.5 | 8 | 28.6 | 3 | 21.4 | 2 | 28.6 |
| 55-64 | 73 | 16.1 | 3 | 10.7 | 2 | 14.3 | 0 | -- |
| 65+ | 73 | 16.1 | 3 | 10.7 | 1 | 7.1 | 1 | 14.3 |
| Marital Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Married | 324 | 71.4 | 17 | 60.7 | 6 | 42.9 | 3 | 42.9 |
| Common Law/ Living With Partner | 45 | 9.9 | 4 | 14.3 | 4 | 28.6 | 0 | -- |
| Single | 31 | 6.8 | 5 | 17.9 | 2 | 14.3 | 2 | 28.6 |
| Widowed | 18 | 4.0 | 2 | 7.1 | 1 | 7.1 | 0 | -- |
| Divorced or separated | 35 | 7.7 | 0 | -- | 1 | 7.1 | 2 | 28.6 |
| Refused | 1 | <1 | 0 | -- | 0 | -- | 0 | -- |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Some high school/ junior high or less | 92 | 20.3 | 11 | 39.3 | 4 | 28.6 | 3 | 42.9 |
| Completed high school | 127 | 28.0 | 5 | 17.9 | 2 | 14.3 | 3 | 42.9 |
| Some postsecondary | 46 | 10.1 | 4 | 14.3 | 1 | 7.1 | 1 | 14.3 |
| Completed postsecondary | 141 | 31.1 | 6 | 21.4 | 6 | 42.9 | 0 | -- |
| Completed postgraduate education | 47 | 10.4 | 2 | 7.1 | 1 | 7.1 | 0 | -- |
| Don't know | 1 | <1 | 0 | -- | 0 | -- | 0 | -- |

[^5]|  | Non-Problem Gambler ( $N=454$ ) |  | Low-Risk Gambler ( $\mathrm{N}=28$ ) |  | ModerateRisk Gambler ( $N=14$ ) |  | Problem Gambler$(N=7)$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% |
| Employment Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employed full-time | 168 | 37.0 | 8 | 28.6 | 5 | 35.7 | 1 | 14.3 |
| Employed part-time | 65 | 14.3 | 6 | 21.4 | 3 | 21.4 | 0 | -- |
| Unemployed | 50 | 11.0 | 8 | 28.6 | 2 | 14.3 | 3 | 42.9 |
| Student | 5 | 1.1 | 0 | -- | 0 | -- | 1 | 14.3 |
| Retired | 125 | 27.5 | 4 | 14.3 | 4 | 28.6 | 1 | 14.3 |
| Homemaker | 40 | 8.8 | 1 | 3.6 | - | -- | 1 | 14.3 |
| Don't know | 0 | -- | 1 | 3.6 | 0 | -- | 0 | -- |
| Refused | 1 | <1 | 0 | -- | 0 | -- | 0 | -- |
| Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$20,000 or less | 38 | 8.4 | 4 | 14.3 | 1 | 7.1 | 2 | 28.6 |
| \$20,001 to \$40,000 | 138 | 30.4 | 10 | 35.7 | 4 | 28.5 | 3 | 42.9 |
| \$40,001 to \$60,000 | 88 | 19.4 | 5 | 17.8 | 4 | 28.5 | 0 | -- |
| \$60,001 to \$80,000 | 44 | 9.7 | 2 | 7.2 | 3 | 21.4 | 0 | -- |
| \$80,001 to \$100,000 | 25 | 5.5 | 1 | 3.6 | 0 | -- | 0 | -- |
| More than \$100,000 | 17 | 3.7 | 0 | -- | 0 | -- | 0 | -- |
| Don't know | 24 | 5.3 | 0 | -- | 0 | -- | 1 | 14.3 |
| Refused | 80 | 17.6 | 6 | 21.4 | 2 | 14.3 | 1 | 14.3 |

## Western Region

Table 18 provides a demographic profile of the gambling subtypes within the Western region. Moderate-risk gamblers were evenly split between males and females, and most were between the ages of $35-44$ (44\%). They were mostly married (44\%), and over half (56\%) had at least some post-secondary education. The majority of moderate-risk gamblers were employed full-time (31\%), however, one-quarter were unemployed (25\%). Most had incomes in the $\$ 20,001$ to $\$ 40,000$ range (38\%).

Problem gamblers consisted of mostly males (78\%) and most were slightly older than problem gamblers provincially (44\% between the ages of $45-54$ ). The majority of problem gamblers were married (44\%). Problem gamblers in this region tended to have high school or less than high school education (67\%), and were equally likely to be employed or unemployed (33\% each). Most incomes were $\$ 20,000$ or less (22\%).

Table 18: Demographic Profile by Gambling Subtype (Western Region) ${ }^{8}$

|  | Non-Problem Gambler ( $N=499$ ) |  | Low-Risk Gambler ( $\mathrm{N}=42$ ) |  | ModerateRisk Gambler ( $\mathrm{N}=16$ ) |  | Problem Gambler$(N=9)$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% |
| Gender |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 249 | 49.9 | 20 | 47.6 | 8 | 50.0 | 7 | 77.8 |
| Female | 250 | 50.1 | 22 | 52.4 | 8 | 50.0 | 2 | 22.2 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19-24 | 34 | 6.8 | 5 | 11.9 | 2 | 12.5 | 1 | 11.1 |
| 25-34 | 78 | 15.6 | 8 | 19.0 | 2 | 12.5 | 1 | 11.1 |
| 35-44 | 120 | 24.0 | 5 | 11.9 | 7 | 43.8 | 3 | 33.3 |
| 45-54 | 115 | 23.0 | 11 | 26.2 | 3 | 18.8 | 4 | 44.4 |
| 55-64 | 72 | 14.4 | 7 | 16.7 | 0 | -- | 0 | -- |
| 65+ | 80 | 16.0 | 6 | 14.3 | 2 | 12.5 | 0 | -- |
| Marital Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Married | 312 | 62.5 | 24 | 57.1 | 7 | 43.8 | 4 | 44.4 |
| Common Law/ Living With Partner | 57 | 11.4 | 7 | 16.7 | 4 | 25.0 | 1 | 11.1 |
| Single | 61 | 12.2 | 7 | 16.7 | 3 | 18.8 | 1 | 11.1 |
| Widowed | 29 | 5.8 | 3 | 7.1 | 0 | -- | 0 | -- |
| Divorced or separated | 38 | 7.6 | 1 | 2.4 | 2 | 12.5 | 2 | 22.2 |
| Refused | 2 | $<1$ | 0 | -- | 0 | -- | 1 | 11.1 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Some high school/ junior high or less | 104 | 20.8 | 14 | 33.3 | 4 | 25.0 | 2 | 22.2 |
| Completed high school | 113 | 22.6 | 12 | 28.6 | 3 | 18.8 | 4 | 44.4 |
| Some postsecondary | 52 | 10.4 | 3 | 7.1 | 1 | 6.3 | 1 | 11.1 |
| Completed postsecondary | 175 | 35.1 | 11 | 26.2 | 7 | 43.8 | 1 | 11.1 |
| Completed postgraduate education | 51 | 10.2 | 2 | 4.8 | 1 | 6.3 | 0 | -- |
| Refused | 4 | $<1$ | 0 | -- | 0 | -- | 1 | 11.1 |

[^6]|  | Non-Problem Gambler ( $N=499$ ) |  | Low-Risk Gambler$(N=42)$ |  | ModerateRisk Gambler ( $\mathrm{N}=16$ ) |  | Problem Gambler$(N=9)$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% |
| Employment Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employed full-time | 190 | 38.1 | 12 | 28.6 | 5 | 31.3 | 3 | 33.3 |
| Employed part-time | 62 | 12.4 | 7 | 16.7 | 3 | 18.8 | 1 | 11.1 |
| Unemployed | 69 | 13.8 | 5 | 11.9 | 4 | 25.0 | 3 | 33.3 |
| Student | 19 | 3.8 | 3 | 7.1 | 1 | 6.3 | 0 | -- |
| Retired | 113 | 22.6 | 11 | 26.2 | 1 | 6.3 | 0 | -- |
| Homemaker | 41 | 8.2 | 3 | 7.1 | 2 | 12.5 | 1 | 11.1 |
| Don't know | 2 | $<1$ | 1 | 2.4 | 0 | -- | 0 | -- |
| Refused | 3 | $<1$ | 0 | -- | 0 | -- | 1 | 11.1 |
| Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$20,000 or less | 63 | 12.6 | 6 | 14.3 | 3 | 18.8 | 2 | 22.2 |
| \$20,001 to \$40,000 | 124 | 24.8 | 17 | 40.4 | 6 | 37.6 | 0 | -- |
| \$40,001 to \$60,000 | 74 | 14.8 | 8 | 19.1 | 1 | 6.3 | 1 | 11.1 |
| \$60,001 to \$80,000 | 53 | 10.6 | 2 | 4.8 | 1 | 6.3 | 1 | 11.1 |
| \$80,001 to \$100,000 | 25 | 5.0 | 1 | 2.4 | 2 | 12.5 | 0 | -- |
| More than \$100,000 | 22 | 4.4 | 0 | -- | 0 | -- | 0 | -- |
| Don't know | 26 | 5.2 | 1 | 2.4 | 0 | -- | 0 | -- |
| Refused | 112 | 22.4 | 7 | 16.7 | 3 | 18.8 | 5 | 55.6 |

## Labrador-Grenfell Region

Table 19 provides a demographic profile of the gambling subtypes within the LabradorGrenfell region. In this region, moderate-risk gamblers were mostly male (61\%) and between the ages of 19-24 (39\%). Most were common-law or single (33\% each), and evenly distributed in terms of education. The majority of moderate-risk gamblers were employed full-time (39\%) and most had incomes in the $\$ 20,001$ to $\$ 40,000$ range (28\%).

In this region, problem gamblers were mostly female (53\%), with most between the ages of $35-44$ ( $40 \%$ ) and single ( $40 \%$ ). Over half ( $53 \%$ ) had high school or less than high school education. Problem gamblers in this region were equally likely to be employed and unemployed ( $40 \%$ each). Twenty-seven percent of incomes were in the $\$ 20,001$ to \$40,000 range.

Table 19: Demographic Profile by Gambling Subtype (Labrador-Grenfell Region) ${ }^{9}$

|  | Non-Problem Gambler ( $\mathrm{N}=450$ ) |  | Low-Risk Gambler ( $N=32$ ) |  | ModerateRisk Gambler ( $\mathrm{N}=18$ ) |  | Problem Gambler$(N=15)$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% |
| Gender |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 214 | 47.6 | 20 | 62.5 | 11 | 61.1 | 7 | 46.7 |
| Female | 236 | 52.4 | 12 | 37.5 | 7 | 38.9 | 8 | 53.3 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19-24 | 22 | 4.9 | 6 | 18.8 | 7 | 38.9 | 2 | 13.3 |
| 25-34 | 92 | 20.4 | 9 | 28.1 | 4 | 22.2 | 2 | 13.3 |
| 35-44 | 122 | 27.1 | 8 | 25.0 | 3 | 16.7 | 6 | 40.0 |
| 45-54 | 121 | 26.9 | 5 | 15.6 | 3 | 16.7 | 5 | 33.3 |
| 55-64 | 59 | 13.1 | 2 | 6.3 | 0 | -- | 0 | -- |
| 65+ | 34 | 7.6 | 2 | 6.3 | 1 | 5.6 | 0 | -- |
| Marital Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Married | 317 | 70.4 | 13 | 40.6 | 5 | 27.8 | 5 | 33.3 |
| Common Law/ Living With Partner | 53 | 11.8 | 4 | 12.5 | 6 | 33.3 | 1 | 6.7 |
| Single | 51 | 11.3 | 13 | 40.6 | 6 | 33.3 | 6 | 40.0 |
| Widowed | 15 | 3.3 | 1 | 3.1 | 0 | -- | 0 | -- |
| Divorced or separated | 14 | 3.1 | 1 | 3.1 | 1 | 5.6 | 3 | 20.0 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Some high school/ junior high or less | 72 | 16.0 | 9 | 28.1 | 5 | 27.8 | 5 | 33.3 |
| Completed high school | 103 | 22.9 | 8 | 25.0 | 4 | 22.2 | 3 | 20.0 |
| Some postsecondary | 50 | 11.1 | 4 | 12.5 | 5 | 27.8 | 4 | 26.7 |
| Completed postsecondary | 176 | 39.1 | 10 | 31.3 | 4 | 22.2 | 2 | 13.3 |
| Completed postgraduate education | 48 | 10.7 | 1 | 3.1 | 0 | -- | 1 | 6.7 |
| Refused | 1 | $<1$ | 0 | -- | 0 | -- | 0 | -- |

[^7]|  | Non-Problem Gambler ( $\mathrm{N}=450$ ) |  | Low-Risk Gambler ( $\mathrm{N}=32$ ) |  | ModerateRisk Gambler ( $\mathrm{N}=18$ ) |  | Problem Gambler$(N=15)$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% |
| Employment Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employed full-time | 224 | 49.8 | 16 | 50.0 | 7 | 38.9 | 6 | 40.0 |
| Employed part-time | 54 | 12.0 | 3 | 9.4 | 1 | 5.6 | 2 | 13.3 |
| Unemployed | 52 | 11.6 | 6 | 18.8 | 3 | 16.7 | 6 | 40.0 |
| Student | 5 | 1.1 | 3 | 9.4 | 3 | 16.7 | 0 | -- |
| Retired | 72 | 16.0 | 3 | 9.4 | 1 | 5.6 | 0 | -- |
| Homemaker | 41 | 9.1 | 1 | 3.1 | 2 | 11.1 | 1 | 6.7 |
| Don't know | 1 | <1 | 0 | -- | 1 | 5.6 | 0 | -- |
| Refused | 1 | $<1$ | 0 | -- | 0 | -- | 0 | -- |
| Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$20,000 or less | 26 | 5.8 | 3 | 9.4 | 4 | 22.2 | 1 | 6.7 |
| \$20,001 to \$40,000 | 91 | 20.2 | 7 | 21.9 | 5 | 27.8 | 4 | 26.6 |
| \$40,001 to \$60,000 | 80 | 17.8 | 6 | 18.7 | 1 | 5.6 | 3 | 20.0 |
| \$60,001 to \$80,000 | 61 | 13.6 | 3 | 9.4 | 5 | 27.8 | 3 | 20.0 |
| \$80,001 to \$100,000 | 43 | 9.6 | 2 | 6.3 | 3 | 16.7 | 0 | -- |
| More than \$100,000 | 41 | 9.1 | 1 | 3.1 | 0 | -- | 0 | -- |
| Don't know | 24 | 5.3 | 2 | 6.3 | 0 | -- | 1 | 6.7 |
| Refused | 84 | 18.7 | 8 | 25.0 | 0 | -- | 3 | 20.0 |

### 4.3.2 Gambling Activities Played in the Past 12 Months

Newfoundland and Labrador ( $N=2,191$ )
At the provincial level, breakopen/ pull tab/ Nevada strips (88\%), lottery tickets (85\%), VLT's (80\%), scratch tickets (78\%), and poker (55\%) were the most common gambling activities among problem gamblers (See Table 20).

Among moderate-risk gamblers, the most common gambling activities were lottery tickets (90\%), scratch tickets (75\%), raffles or fundraising tickets (51\%), VLT's and breakopen/ pull tab/ Nevada strips (49\% each).

Among low-risk gamblers, the most common gambling activities were lottery tickets (90\%), scratch tickets (59\%), raffles or fundraising tickets (52\%), and breakopen/ pull tab/ Nevada strips (50\%). Among non-problem gamblers, lottery tickets (85\%) and raffles or fundraising tickets (54\%) were the most popular.

As shown in the table, lottery tickets were popular among all gambling subtypes, however, there were some noteworthy differences regarding the popularity of other gambling activities among the gambling subtypes. Problem gamblers were significantly more likely ( $88 \%$ ) than all other gambling subtypes to have played breakopen/pull tab/Nevada strips in the past 12 months.

With regards to VLT use, problem gamblers were significantly more likely (80\%) than all other gambling subtypes to have played in the past 12 months. Regarding poker, nonproblem gamblers were significantly less likely (10\%) than all other gambling subtypes to have played in the past 12 months.

Table 20: Prevalence Rates for Various Gambling Activities by Gambling Subtype (Newfoundland and Labrador)

|  | Non-Problem Gambler ( $N=1,944$ ) |  | Low-Risk Gambler ( $N=157$ ) |  | ModerateRisk Gambler ( $\mathrm{N}=58$ ) |  | Problem Gambler$(N=31)$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% |
| Lottery tickets | 1,650 | 84.9 | 142 | 90.3 | 52 | 90.3 | 27 | 85.0 |
| Breakopen, pull tab or Nevada strips | 524 | 26.9 | 79 | 50.0 | 29 | 49.2 | 28 | 88.2 |
| Scratch tickets | 760 | 39.1 | 93 | 59.3 | 43 | 74.7 | 25 | 78.4 |
| Raffles or fundraising tickets | 1,048 | 53.9 | 82 | 52.0 | 30 | 51.0 | 15 | 49.2 |
| Horse races | 10 | $<1$ | 1 | <1 | 0 | -- | 1 | 3.0 |
| Bingo | 225 | 11.6 | 31 | 19.5 | 20 | 34.2 | 9 | 29.5 |
| VLT's | 184 | 9.5 | 58 | 36.6 | 29 | 49.4 | 25 | 80.4 |
| Pro-line or Over/Under | 64 | 3.3 | 12 | 7.9 | 5 | 9.2 | 3 | 9.2 |
| Sports pools or the outcome of sporting events | 69 | 3.5 | 9 | 5.5 | 7 | 11.5 | 5 | 16.8 |
| Cards (excluding poker) | 114 | 5.8 | 27 | 17.1 | 7 | 11.7 | 5 | 17.5 |
| Poker | 195 | 10.0 | 50 | 31.9 | 23 | 40.4 | 17 | 54.5 |
| Games of skill such as pool, bowling, golf or darts | 77 | 4.0 | 8 | 4.9 | 7 | 11.3 | 7 | 21.6 |
| Arcade or video games | 17 | <1 | 4 | 2.4 | 3 | 5.0 | 2 | 7.1 |
| Gambling on the Internet (excluding poker) | 1 | <1 | 3 | 1.6 | 0 | -- | 0 | -- |
| Short-term speculative stock or commodity purchases | 28 | 1.4 | 8 | 5.4 | 3 | 5.7 | 1 | 3.0 |
| Gambling at casino's out of province | 103 | 5.3 | 17 | 10.8 | 6 | 11.2 | 4 | 13.2 |
| Any other forms of gambling | 1 | <1 | 0 | -- | 0 | -- | 0 | -- |

## Eastern Region

In the Eastern region, breakopen/ pull tab/ Nevada strips (100\%), lottery tickets (86\%), VLT's (86\%), scratch tickets (71\%), and poker (71\%) were the most common gambling activities among problem gamblers (See Table 21).

Among moderate-risk gamblers, the most common gambling activities were lottery tickets (93\%), scratch tickets (86\%), breakopen/ pull tab/ Nevada strips, raffles or fundraising tickets, bingo, VLT's, and poker (43\% each).

Among low-risk gamblers, the most common gambling activities were lottery tickets (93\%), scratch tickets (61\%), raffles or fundraising tickets (55\%), and breakopen/ pull tab/ Nevada strips (48\%). Among non-problem gamblers, lottery tickets (84\%) and raffles or fundraising tickets (55\%) were the most popular.

Table 21: Prevalence Rates for Various Gambling Activities by Gambling Subtype (Eastern Region) ${ }^{10}$

|  | Non-Problem Gambler ( $\mathrm{N}=505$ ) |  | Low-Risk Gambler ( $N=44$ ) |  | ModerateRisk Gambler$(N=14)$ |  | Problem Gambler$(N=7)$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | f | \% | $f$ | \% | f | \% | $f$ | \% |
| Lottery tickets | 423 | 83.8 | 41 | 93.2 | 13 | 92.9 | 6 | 85.7 |
| Breakopen, pull tab or Nevada strips | 130 | 25.7 | 21 | 47.7 | 6 | 42.9 | 7 | 100.0 |
| Scratch tickets | 197 | 39.0 | 27 | 61.4 | 12 | 85.7 | 5 | 71.4 |
| Raffles or fundraising tickets | 275 | 54.5 | 24 | 54.5 | 6 | 42.9 | 3 | 42.9 |
| Horse races | 4 | $<1$ | 0 | -- | 0 | -- | 0 | -- |
| Bingo | 56 | 11.1 | 6 | 13.6 | 6 | 42.9 | 2 | 28.6 |
| VLT's | 52 | 10.3 | 18 | 40.9 | 6 | 42.9 | 6 | 85.7 |
| Pro-line or Over/Under | 19 | 3.8 | 4 | 9.1 | 1 | 7.1 | 1 | 14.3 |
| Sports pools or the outcome of sporting events | 19 | 3.8 | 3 | 6.8 | 2 | 14.3 | 2 | 28.6 |
| Cards (excluding poker) | 27 | 5.3 | 8 | 18.2 | 2 | 14.3 | 1 | 14.3 |
| Poker | 54 | 10.7 | 17 | 38.6 | 6 | 42.9 | 5 | 71.4 |
| Games of skill such as pool, bowling, golf or darts | 27 | 5.3 | 1 | 2.3 | 1 | 7.1 | 2 | 28.6 |
| Arcade or video games | 6 | 1.2 | 1 | 2.3 | 1 | 7.1 | 1 | 14.3 |
| Gambling on the Internet (excluding poker) | 0 | -- | 1 | 2.3 | 0 | -- | 0 | -- |
| Short-term speculative stock or commodity purchases | 8 | 1.6 | 3 | 6.8 | 1 | 7.1 | 0 | -- |
| Gambling at casino's out of province | 34 | 6.7 | 5 | 11.4 | 1 | 7.1 | 1 | 14.3 |

## Central Region

In the Central region, scratch tickets (86\%), lottery tickets (71\%), breakopen/ pull tab/ Nevada strips (71\%), VLT's and raffles or fundraising tickets ( $57 \%$ each) were the most common gambling activities among problem gamblers (See Table 22).

[^8]Among moderate-risk gamblers, the most common gambling activities were lottery tickets (86\%), raffles or fundraising tickets (64\%), VLT's (64\%), breakopen/ pull tab/ Nevada strips (57\%), scratch tickets (50\%), and poker (43\%).

Among low-risk gamblers, the most common gambling activities were lottery tickets (89\%), breakopen/ pull tab/ Nevada strips (71\%), scratch tickets (64\%), and raffles or fundraising tickets (46\%). Among non-problem gamblers, lottery tickets (84\%) and raffles or fundraising tickets (53\%) were the most popular.

Table 22: Prevalence Rates for Various Gambling Activities by Gambling Subtype (Central Region) ${ }^{11}$

|  | Non-Problem Gambler ( $N=454$ ) |  | Low-Risk Gambler$(N=28)$ |  | ModerateRisk Gambler ( $N=14$ ) |  | Problem Gambler$(N=7)$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% |
| Lottery tickets | 381 | 83.9 | 25 | 89.3 | 12 | 85.7 | 5 | 71.4 |
| Breakopen, pull tab or Nevada strips | 136 | 30.0 | 20 | 71.4 | 8 | 57.1 | 5 | 71.4 |
| Scratch tickets | 173 | 38.1 | 18 | 64.3 | 7 | 50.0 | 6 | 85.7 |
| Raffles or fundraising tickets | 242 | 53.3 | 13 | 46.4 | 9 | 64.3 | 4 | 57.1 |
| Bingo | 56 | 12.3 | 7 | 25.0 | 3 | 21.4 | 1 | 14.3 |
| VLT's | 37 | 8.1 | 9 | 32.1 | 9 | 64.3 | 4 | 57.1 |
| Pro-line or Over/Under | 11 | 2.4 | 2 | 7.1 | 0 | -- | 0 | -- |
| Sports pools or the outcome of sporting events | 16 | 3.5 | 0 | -- | 0 | -- | 1 | 14.3 |
| Cards (excluding poker) | 24 | 5.3 | 1 | 3.6 | 1 | 7.1 | 1 | 14.3 |
| Poker | 44 | 9.7 | 5 | 17.9 | 6 | 42.9 | 2 | 28.6 |
| Games of skill such as pool, bowling, golf or darts | 9 | 2.0 | 1 | 3.6 | 0 | -- | 1 | 14.3 |
| Arcade or video games | 1 | <1 | 0 | -- | 0 | -- | 0 | -- |
| Short-term speculative stock or commodity purchases | 7 | 1.5 | 1 | 3.6 | 1 | 7.1 | 0 | -- |
| Gambling at casino's out of province | 13 | 2.9 | 3 | 10.7 | 3 | 21.4 | 0 | -- |
| Any other forms of gambling | 1 | <1 | 0 | -- | 0 | -- | 0 | -- |

[^9]
## Western Region

In the Western region, lottery tickets (100\%) were the most common activity among problem gamblers, followed closely by breakopen/ pull tab/ Nevada strips, scratch tickets and VLT's (89\% each) (See Table 23).

Among moderate-risk gamblers, the most common gambling activities were lottery tickets (94\%), scratch tickets (75\%), breakopen/ pull tab/ Nevada strips (63\%), raffles or fundraising tickets (56\%), and VLT's (50\%).

Among low-risk gamblers, the most common gambling activities were lottery tickets (86\%), scratch tickets (50\%), raffles or fundraising tickets (50\%), and breakopen/ pull tab/ Nevada strips (43\%). Among non-problem gamblers, lottery tickets (89\%) and raffles or fundraising tickets (49\%) were the most popular.

Table 23: Prevalence Rates for Various Gambling Activities by Gambling Subtype (Western Region) ${ }^{12}$

|  | Non-Problem Gambler ( $\mathrm{N}=499$ ) |  | Low-Risk Gambler$(N=42)$ |  | ModerateRisk Gambler$(N=16)$ |  | Problem Gambler$(N=9)$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% | f | \% | $f$ | \% |
| Lottery tickets | 442 | 88.6 | 36 | 85.7 | 15 | 93.8 | 9 | 100.0 |
| Breakopen, pull tab or Nevada strips | 134 | 26.9 | 18 | 42.9 | 10 | 62.5 | 8 | 88.9 |
| Scratch tickets | 203 | 40.7 | 21 | 50.0 | 12 | 75.0 | 8 | 88.9 |
| Raffles or fundraising tickets | 245 | 49.1 | 21 | 50.0 | 9 | 56.3 | 5 | 55.6 |
| Horse races | 1 | <1 | 1 | 2.4 | 0 | -- | 1 | 11.1 |
| Bingo | 59 | 11.8 | 14 | 33.3 | 4 | 25.0 | 3 | 33.3 |
| VLT's | 36 | 7.2 | 12 | 28.6 | 8 | 50.0 | 8 | 88.9 |
| Pro-line or Over/Under | 15 | 3.0 | 1 | 2.4 | 3 | 18.8 | 1 | 11.1 |
| Sports pools or the outcome of sporting events | 10 | 2.0 | 2 | 4.8 | 2 | 12.5 | 0 | -- |
| Cards (excluding poker) | 37 | 7.4 | 11 | 26.2 | 1 | 6.3 | 2 | 22.2 |
| Poker | 37 | 7.4 | 7 | 16.7 | 4 | 25.0 | 4 | 44.4 |
| Games of skill such as pool, bowling, golf or darts | 10 | 2.0 | 4 | 9.5 | 4 | 25.0 | 1 | 11.1 |
| Arcade or video games | 3 | <1 | 1 | 2.4 | 1 | 6.3 | 0 | -- |
| Short-term speculative stock or commodity purchases | 3 | <1 | 1 | 2.4 | 0 | -- | 1 | 11.1 |
| Gambling at casino's out of province | 18 | 3.6 | 4 | 9.5 | 2 | 12.5 | 3 | 33.3 |

## Labrador-Grenfell Region

Findings in the Labrador-Grenfell region mirror those discussed thus far. Lottery tickets, scratch tickets, VLT's (80\% each), and breakopen/ pull tab/ Nevada strips (67\%) were the most common activities among problem gamblers in this region (See Table 24).

Among moderate-risk gamblers, the most common gambling activities were lottery tickets (78\%), scratch tickets (61\%), raffles or fundraising tickets (61\%), VLT's (56\%), poker (50\%), and breakopen/ pull tab/ Nevada strips (44\%).

[^10]Among low-risk gamblers, the most common gambling activities were lottery tickets (75\%), scratch tickets (53\%), raffles or fundraising tickets (44\%), and breakopen/ pull tab/ Nevada strips (44\%). Among non-problem gamblers, lottery tickets (88\%) and raffles or fundraising tickets (62\%) were the most popular.

Table 24: Prevalence Rates for Various Gambling Activities by Gambling Subtype (Labrador-Grenfell Region) ${ }^{13}$

|  | Non-Problem Gambler ( $\mathrm{N}=450$ ) |  | Low-Risk Gambler$(N=32)$ |  | Moderate-Risk Gambler$(N=18)$ |  | Problem Gambler$(N=15)$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% | f | \% | $f$ | \% |
| Lottery tickets | 395 | 87.8 | 24 | 75.0 | 14 | 77.8 | 12 | 80.0 |
| Breakopen, pull tab or Nevada strips | 130 | 28.9 | 14 | 43.8 | 8 | 44.4 | 10 | 66.7 |
| Scratch tickets | 172 | 38.2 | 17 | 53.1 | 11 | 61.1 | 12 | 80.0 |
| Raffles or fundraising tickets | 279 | 62.0 | 14 | 43.8 | 11 | 61.1 | 8 | 53.3 |
| Horse races | 1 | <1 | 0 | -- | 0 | -- | 1 | 6.7 |
| Bingo | 58 | 12.9 | 9 | 28.1 | 5 | 27.8 | 7 | 46.7 |
| VLT's | 50 | 11.1 | 8 | 25.0 | 10 | 55.6 | 12 | 80.0 |
| Pro-line or Over/Under | 10 | 2.2 | 4 | 12.5 | 4 | 22.2 | 0 | -- |
| Sports pools or the outcome of sporting events | 23 | 5.1 | 2 | 6.3 | 3 | 16.7 | 0 | -- |
| Cards (excluding poker) | 35 | 7.8 | 4 | 12.5 | 3 | 16.7 | 4 | 26.7 |
| Poker | 51 | 11.3 | 12 | 37.5 | 9 | 50.0 | 6 | 40.0 |
| Games of skill such as pool, bowling, golf or darts | 11 | 2.4 | 7 | 21.9 | 6 | 33.3 | 3 | 20.0 |
| Arcade or video games | 2 | <1 | 3 | 9.4 | 0 | -- | 0 | -- |
| Gambling on the Internet (excluding poker) | 2 | <1 | 1 | 3.1 | 0 | -- | 0 | -- |
| Short-term speculative stock or commodity purchases | 9 | 2.0 | 1 | 3.1 | 1 | 5.6 | 1 | 6.7 |
| Gambling at casino's out of province | 18 | 4.0 | 3 | 9.4 | 2 | 11.1 | 0 | -- |

[^11]
### 4.3.3 Time Spent Gambling

Table 25 presents the average number of hours spent gambling in a typical month, and, for those who reported less than one hour per month, the average number of minutes spent gambling in a typical month. As shown in Table 25, moderate risk gamblers spent the most time gambling in a typical month ( 23 hours). Problem gamblers spent approximately 15 hours a month gambling.

Several significant differences did emerge provincially. For example, problem gamblers spent significantly more hours gambling in a typical month than did low-risk or nonproblem gamblers. In addition, moderate-risk gamblers also spent significantly more hours gambling in a typical month than low-risk or non-problem gamblers.

Table 25: Time Spent Gambling In a Typical Month by Gambling Subtype

|  | Non-Problem Gambler |  | Low-Risk Gambler |  | Moderate-Risk Gambler |  | Problem Gambler |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Avg | Range | Avg | Range | Avg | Range | Avg | Range |
| Newfoundland and Labrador |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hours | 6 | 1-250 | 7 | 1-200 | 23 | 1-100 | 15 | 1-200 |
| Minutes | 12 | 1-59 | 18 | 2-59 | 13 | 2-30 | 11 | 5-25 |
| Eastern Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hours | 6 | 1-250 | 6 | 1-30 | 27 | 1-100 | 11 | 1-30 |
| Minutes | 11 | 1-59 | 19 | 2-45 | 13 | 5-30 | 5 | -- |
| Central Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hours | 5 | 1-100 | 5 | 1-12 | 10 | 1-30 | 30 | 2-50 |
| Minutes | 12 | 1-50 | 15 | 2-35 | 16 | 5-30 | -- | -- |
| Western Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hours | 5 | 1-250 | 9 | 1-57 | 25 | 1-100 | 14 | 2-50 |
| Minutes | 12 | 1-59 | 20 | 5-59 | 7 | 2-15 | 25 | -- |
| Labrador-Grenfell Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hours | 5 | 1-100 | 33 | 1-200 | 24 | 3-100 | 39 | 1-200 |
| Minutes | 12 | 1-59 | 15 | 2-30 | 25 | 15-30 | 18 | 15-20 |

### 4.3.4 Money Spent/Won/Lost Gambling

Table 26 details the average amount of money spent, won, and lost while gambling in the past 12 months for each gambling subtype. As expected, the average amount spent gambling in the past 12 months increased for each gambling subtype, with average yearly spending reaching over $\$ 3,700$ for problem gamblers in this province (approximately $\$ 310$ per month). Similarly, the amounts won and lost also increased for each gambler subtype, with provincial winnings for problem gamblers averaging \$1,500 and losses averaging $\$ 1,800$.

Provincially, problem gamblers spent significantly more money in the past 12 months on gambling than all other gambling subtypes. Recall the previous finding that problem gamblers spent less time gambling than moderate-risk gamblers. Given that problem gamblers spend the most money, it may be the case that they spend larger sums that disappear quickly, contributing to the finding of more money but less time spent on gambling.

In terms of winnings over the past 12 months, problem gamblers in the province won significantly more money than all other gambling subtypes. In terms of losses over the past 12 months, problem gamblers in the province lost significantly more money gambling than all other gambling subtypes. Similarly, moderate-risk gamblers in the province lost significantly more money than low-risk and non-problem gamblers. (See Table 26).

Table 26: Money Spent/Won/Lost Gambling in the Past 12 Months by Gambling Subtype

|  | Non-Problem Gambler |  | Low-Risk Gambler |  | Moderate-Risk Gambler |  | Problem Gambler |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Avg | Range | Avg | Range | Avg | Range | Avg | Range |
| Newfoundland and Labrador |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Amt spent | \$201.79 | $\begin{gathered} \$ 1- \\ \$ 45,000 \end{gathered}$ | \$461.95 | $\begin{gathered} \$ 15- \\ \$ 8,000 \end{gathered}$ | \$1,405.65 | $\begin{gathered} \$ 20- \\ \$ 55,740 \end{gathered}$ | \$3,729.73 | $\begin{gathered} \$ 10- \\ \$ 33,460 \end{gathered}$ |
| Amt won | \$112.74 | $\begin{gathered} \$ 0- \\ \$ 35,000 \end{gathered}$ | \$239.21 | $\begin{gathered} \$ 0- \\ \$ 15,000 \end{gathered}$ | \$283.67 | $\begin{gathered} \$ 0- \\ \$ 10,100 \end{gathered}$ | \$1,538.74 | $\begin{gathered} \$ 0- \\ \$ 25,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| Amt lost | \$109.53 | $\begin{gathered} \$ 0- \\ \$ 10,000 \end{gathered}$ | \$276.80 | $\begin{gathered} \$ 0- \\ \$ 2,500 \end{gathered}$ | \$654.55 | $\begin{gathered} \$ 0- \\ \$ 54,240 \end{gathered}$ | \$1756.94 | $\begin{gathered} \$ 0- \\ \$ 15,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| Eastern Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Amt spent | \$184.21 | $\begin{gathered} \$ 1- \\ \$ 6015 \end{gathered}$ | \$466.95 | $\begin{gathered} \$ 30- \\ \$ 1972 \end{gathered}$ | \$1,562.50 | $\begin{gathered} \$ 20- \\ \$ 10,100 \end{gathered}$ | \$3,414.29 | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 400- \\ & \$ 7,000 \end{aligned}$ |
| Amt won | \$114.93 | $\begin{gathered} \$ 0- \\ \$ 25,000 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | \$178.13 | $\begin{gathered} \$ 0- \\ \$ 15,000 \end{gathered}$ | \$223.33 | $\begin{gathered} \$ 0- \\ \$ 10,100 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | \$2,245.71 | $\begin{gathered} \$ 0- \\ \$ 5,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| Amt lost | \$107.07 | $\begin{gathered} \$ 0- \\ \$ 2,000 \end{gathered}$ | \$296.00 | $\begin{gathered} \$ 0- \\ \$ 1,600 \end{gathered}$ | \$633.64 | $\begin{gathered} \$ 0- \\ \$ 4,900 \end{gathered}$ | \$1583.33 | $\begin{gathered} \$ 0- \\ \$ 5,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| Central Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Amt spent | \$170.30 | $\begin{gathered} \$ 1- \\ \$ 3,500 \end{gathered}$ | \$340.08 | $\begin{gathered} \$ 20- \\ \$ 6,368 \end{gathered}$ | \$796.67 | $\begin{gathered} \$ 20- \\ \$ 2,500 \end{gathered}$ | \$4,898.57 | $\begin{gathered} \$ 10- \\ \$ 20,000 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Amt won | \$80.24 | $\begin{gathered} \$ 0- \\ \$ 4,000 \end{gathered}$ | \$255.00 | $\begin{gathered} \$ 0- \\ \$ 1,800 \end{gathered}$ | \$333.33 | $\begin{gathered} \$ 0- \\ \$ 2,000 \end{gathered}$ | \$937.14 | $\begin{gathered} \$ 0- \\ \$ 3,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| Amt lost | \$101.17 | $\begin{gathered} \$ 0- \\ \$ 2,769 \end{gathered}$ | \$170.83 | \$0-\$960 | \$634.55 | $\begin{gathered} \$ 0- \\ \$ 2,500 \end{gathered}$ | \$3,682.86 | $\begin{gathered} \$ 10- \\ \$ 15,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| Western Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Amt spent | \$188.15 | $\begin{gathered} \$ 1- \\ \$ 4,500 \end{gathered}$ | \$556.08 | $\begin{gathered} \$ 20- \\ \$ 5,054 \end{gathered}$ | \$1,554.62 | $\begin{gathered} \$ 20- \\ \$ 55,740 \end{gathered}$ | \$2,625.00 | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 500- \\ & \$ 8,000 \end{aligned}$ |
| Amt won | \$96.00 | $\begin{gathered} \$ 0- \\ \$ 11,000 \end{gathered}$ | \$180.26 | $\begin{gathered} \$ 0- \\ \$ 5,000 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | \$299.64 | $\begin{gathered} \$ 0- \\ \$ 8,000 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | \$683.33 | $\begin{gathered} \$ 0- \\ \$ 2,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| Amt lost | \$110.65 | $\begin{gathered} \$ 0- \\ \$ 1,530 \end{gathered}$ | \$334.59 | $\begin{gathered} \$ 0- \\ \$ 2,500 \end{gathered}$ | \$769.23 | $\begin{gathered} \$ 0- \\ \$ 54,240 \end{gathered}$ | \$2,633.33 | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 400- \\ & \$ 6,500 \end{aligned}$ |
| Labrador-Grenfell Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Amt spent | \$260.19 | $\begin{gathered} \$ 1- \\ \$ 45,000 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | \$651.21 | $\begin{gathered} \$ 15- \\ \$ 8,000 \end{gathered}$ | \$1,500.00 | $\begin{gathered} \$ 60- \\ \$ 5,000 \end{gathered}$ | \$6,557.50 | $\begin{gathered} \$ 80- \\ \$ 33,460 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Amt won | \$169.22 | $\begin{gathered} \$ 0- \\ \$ 35,000 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | \$501.50 | $\begin{gathered} \$ 0- \\ \$ 8,000 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | \$335.38 | $\begin{gathered} \$ 0- \\ \$ 3,000 \end{gathered}$ | \$281.67 | $\begin{gathered} \$ 0- \\ \$ 25,000 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Amt lost | \$142.50 | $\begin{gathered} \$ 0- \\ \$ 10,000 \end{gathered}$ | \$154.23 | $\begin{gathered} \$ 0- \\ \$ 1,855 \end{gathered}$ | \$582.50 | $\begin{gathered} \$ 0- \\ \$ 2,000 \end{gathered}$ | \$1,890.00 | $\begin{gathered} \$ 0- \\ \$ 4,800 \end{gathered}$ |

### 4.4 Reasons For Gambling

Among problem gamblers, the most common reasons for gambling were that it decreases boredom (36\%), it is exciting/ fun (33\%), and they can win money (32\%). Among moderate-risk gamblers, the most common reasons for gambling were that they can win money (60\%) and it's an opportunity to socialize (24\%) (See Table 27).

For low-risk gamblers, the most common reasons were that they can win money (57\%), it's exciting/ fun (27\%), it's an opportunity to socialize (26\%) and to support worthy causes/ charities (24\%). Non-problem gamblers cited winning money (61\%), supporting worthy causes/charities (31\%), and excitement/ fun (21\%) as the main reasons for gambling.

Of note, problem gamblers were more likely to cite decreasing their boredom as the main reason for gambling (36\%) than any other gambling subtype. Furthermore, problem gamblers were the least likely of all the gambling subtypes to gamble as a way to support worthy causes/ charities (8\%).

Table 27: Main Reasons for Gambling by Gambling Subtype*

|  | Non-Problem Gambler ( $N=1,944$ ) |  | Low-Risk Gambler ( $N=157$ ) |  | ModerateRisk Gambler ( $\mathrm{N}=58$ ) |  | Problem Gambler$(N=31)$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% | f | \% | $f$ | \% |
| I can win money | 1,183 | 60.8 | 89 | 56.7 | 35 | 59.9 | 10 | 32.0 |
| To support worthy causes/ charities | 597 | 30.7 | 38 | 24.3 | 11 | 18.3 | 2 | 7.5 |
| It's exciting/ fun | 400 | 20.6 | 43 | 27.1 | 27 | 4.0 | 10 | 33.3 |
| It's an opportunity to socialize | 255 | 13.1 | 42 | 26.4 | 14 | 23.6 | 4 | 13.6 |
| It decreases my boredom | 121 | 6.2 | 25 | 16.2 | 7 | 12.0 | 11 | 35.6 |
| Out of curiosity | 116 | 5.9 | 5 | 3.4 | 0 | -- | 0 | -- |
| It's a hobby | 77 | 4.0 | 10 | 6.6 | 2 | 3.5 | 2 | 7.9 |
| Try luck/ take a chance/ hope to win | 25 | 1.3 | 3 | 1.8 | 1 | 1.9 | 0 | -- |
| If the jackpot is high | 23 | 1.2 |  |  | 1 | 1.1 | 0 | -- |
| I can forget about my problems | 5 | <1 | 3 | 1.9 | 3 | 4.4 | 1 | 3.9 |
| Group activity at work | 11 | <1 | 0 | -- | 0 | -- | 0 | -- |
| Change leftover after purchase | 11 | <1 | 0 | -- | 0 | -- | 0 | -- |
| Entertainment | 7 | $<1$ | 3 | 1.8 | 1 | $<1$ | 0 | -- |
| Gifts | 8 | $<1$ | 0 | -- | 0 | -- | 0 | -- |
| It's always there, right in front of you at the stores | 8 | <1 | 1 | <1 | 0 | -- | 0 | -- |
| To be alone | 0 | -- | 1 | $<1$ | 2 | 3.9 | 1 | 3.0 |
| Because I am good at it | 1 | <1 | 1 | <1 | 0 | -- | 1 | 2.5 |
| Other | 18 | <1 | 5 | 3.3 | 2 | 3.9 | 4 | 12.6 |
| Don't know | 36 | 1.8 | 0 | -- | 0 | -- | 1 | <1 |
| Refused | 1 | <1 | 0 | -- | 0 | -- | 0 | -- |

*Multiple responses allowed.

### 4.5 Consequences of Gambling

Respondents who had engaged in gambling activities in the past 12 months were asked several questions to gauge the extent to which they have experienced problems as a result of their gambling behavior. Provincial and regional findings are presented below. Again, recall that sample sizes within each regional segmentation are low, therefore the findings should be interpreted with caution.

## Newfoundland and Labrador

As shown in Table 28, over 99\% of non-problem gamblers reported experiencing no adverse consequences from gambling. This percentage fell significantly for each gambling subtype, dropping to $92 \%$ for low-risk gamblers, $83 \%$ for moderate-risk gamblers, and $22 \%$ for problem gamblers. Conversely, adverse consequences became more common with each gambling subtype. For problem gamblers, $64 \%$ have experienced income loss/debt, 47\% have experienced relationship problems, and 33\% have experienced mental health problems.

Table 28: Adverse Consequences of Gambling by Gambling Subtype (Newfoundland and Labrador)*

|  | Non-Problem Gambler ( $N=1,944$ ) |  | Low-Risk Gambler ( $\mathrm{N}=157$ ) |  | ModerateRisk Gambler ( $\mathrm{N}=58$ ) |  | Problem Gambler$(N=31)$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% |
| Income loss/debt | 6 | <1 | 7 | 4.5 | 4 | 6.2 | 20 | 64.3 |
| Relationship problems | 2 | <1 | 7 | 4.1 | 5 | 8.1 | 15 | 46.7 |
| Physical health problems | 1 | <1 | 2 | 1.4 | 1 | 2.2 | 7 | 22.1 |
| Mental health problems | 1 | <1 | 2 | 1.4 | 2 | 3.3 | 10 | 32.8 |
| Work problems | 1 | $<1$ | 2 | 1.4 | 0 | -- | 6 | 18.6 |
| Loneliness/ increased isolation | 3 | <1 | 5 | 3.0 | 3 | 5.0 | 10 | 32.4 |
| Other | 2 | <1 | 1 | <1 | 0 | -- | 4 | 13.6 |
| None | 1,931 | 99.3 | 145 | 92.2 | 48 | 83.4 | 7 | 22.2 |
| Don't know | 3 | <1 | 1 | <1 | 0 | -- | 0 | -- |

*Multiple responses allowed.
When asked about further consequences of gambling such as engaging in petty crime or other criminal activity to support a gambling addiction in the past 12 months, there was little variation between gambling subtypes. In terms of engaging in petty crime or other criminal activity, only two gamblers reported doing so (one low-risk gambler and one problem gambler).

As can be expected, suicidal thoughts were most common among problem gamblers, as $12 \%$ of problem gamblers ( $n=4$ ) had contemplated suicide in the past 12 months as a
result of gambling. Thoughts of suicide were not reported by any other gambling subtype. No gamblers reported actually attempting suicide as a result of gambling.

## Eastern Region

As found provincially, over $99 \%$ of non-problem gamblers reported experiencing no adverse consequences from gambling (See Table 29). Again, this percentage fell significantly for each gambling subtype, dropping to $93 \%$ for low and moderate-risk gamblers, and $14 \%$ for problem gamblers. As expected, adverse consequences became more common with each gambling subtype. For problem gamblers, 71\% have experienced income loss/debt, 57\% experienced relationship problems, and 43\% experienced mental health problems.

Table 29: Adverse Consequences of Gambling by Gambling Subtype (Eastern Region)* ${ }^{14}$

|  | Non-Problem Gambler ( $N=505$ ) |  | Low-Risk Gambler$(N=44)$ |  | ModerateRisk Gambler$(N=14)$ |  | Problem Gambler$(N=7)$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% |
| Income loss/debt | 2 | <1 | 2 | 4.5 | 0 | -- | 5 | 71.4 |
| Relationship problems | 0 | -- | 2 | 4.5 | 0 | -- | 4 | 57.1 |
| Physical health problems | 0 | -- | 1 | 2.3 | 0 | -- | 2 | 28.6 |
| Mental health problems | 0 | -- | 1 | 2.3 | 0 | -- | 3 | 42.9 |
| Work problems | 0 | -- | 1 | 2.3 | 0 | -- | 1 | 14.3 |
| Loneliness/ increased isolation | 1 | <1 | 2 | 4.5 | 1 | 7.1 | 2 | 28.6 |
| Other | 1 | $<1$ | 0 | -- | 0 | -- | 1 | 14.3 |
| None | 501 | 99.2 | 41 | 93.2 | 13 | 92.9 | 1 | 14.3 |

*Multiple responses allowed.
No gamblers in the Eastern region reported engaging in petty crime or criminal activity in the past 12 months to support their gambling. One problem gambler did report thoughts of suicide in the past 12 months as a result of gambling, however, no gamblers reported actually attempting suicide as a result of gambling.

## Central Region

In the Central region, almost all non-problem gamblers reported experiencing no adverse consequences from gambling (See Table 30). Again, this percentage fell significantly for each gambling subtype, dropping to $89 \%$ for low-risk gamblers, $79 \%$ for moderate-risk gamblers, and 57\% for problem gamblers. As found previously, adverse consequences were more common among moderate-risk and problem gamblers.

[^12]Table 30: Adverse Consequences of Gambling by Gambling Subtype (Central Region)* ${ }^{15}$

|  | Non-Problem Gambler ( $\mathrm{N}=454$ ) |  | Low-Risk Gambler$(N=28)$ |  | ModerateRisk Gambler ( $\mathrm{N}=14$ ) |  | Problem Gambler$(N=7)$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% |
| Income loss/debt | 0 | -- | 2 | 7.1 | 1 | 7.1 | 2 | 28.6 |
| Relationship problems | 0 | -- | 1 | 3.6 | 2 | 14.3 | 1 | 14.3 |
| Physical health problems | 0 | -- | 0 | -- | 0 | -- | 1 | 14.3 |
| Mental health problems | 0 | -- | 0 | -- | 0 | -- | 1 | 14.3 |
| Work problems | 0 | -- | 0 | -- | 0 | -- | 1 | 14.3 |
| Loneliness/ increased isolation | 0 | -- | 0 | -- | 0 | -- | 1 | 14.3 |
| Other | 0 | -- | 0 | -- | 0 | -- | 1 | 14.3 |
| None | 452 | 99.6 | 25 | 89.3 | 11 | 78.6 | 4 | 57.1 |
| Don't know | 2 | <1 | 1 | 3.6 | 0 | -- | 0 | -- |

*Multiple responses allowed.
When asked about further consequences of gambling such as engaging in petty crime or other criminal activity to support a gambling addiction, there was little variation between gambling subtypes, as only two gamblers reported doing so in the past 12 months (one low-risk gambler and one problem gambler).

One problem gambler in the Central region reported seriously contemplating suicide in the past 12 months as a result of gambling. Thoughts of suicide were not reported by any other gambling subtype. No gamblers reported actually attempting suicide as a result of gambling.

## Western Region

In the Western region, almost all non-problem gamblers reported experiencing no adverse consequences from gambling (See Table 31). This percentage fell significantly, however, for each gambling subtype, dropping to $93 \%$ for low-risk gamblers, $63 \%$ for moderate-risk gamblers, and $22 \%$ for problem gamblers. As found previously, adverse consequences were more common among moderate-risk and problem gamblers. For problem gamblers, income loss/debt was the most common consequence of gambling (78\%).

[^13]Table 31: Adverse Consequences of Gambling by Gambling Subtype (Western Region)* ${ }^{16}$

|  | Non-Problem Gambler ( $\mathrm{N}=499$ ) |  | Low-Risk Gambler$(N=42)$ |  | ModerateRisk Gambler ( $\mathrm{N}=16$ ) |  | Problem Gambler$(N=9)$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% |
| Income loss/debt | 2 | <1 | 1 | 2.4 | 3 | 18.8 | 7 | 77.8 |
| Relationship problems | 2 | <1 | 1 | 2.4 | 4 | 25.0 | 4 | 44.4 |
| Physical health problems | 1 | <1 | 0 | -- | 2 | 12.5 | 2 | 22.2 |
| Mental health problems | 1 | <1 | 0 | -- | 3 | 18.8 | 3 | 33.3 |
| Work problems | 1 | $<1$ | 0 | -- | 0 | -- | 3 | 33.3 |
| Loneliness/ increased isolation | 1 | <1 | 0 | -- | 1 | 6.3 | 4 | 44.4 |
| Other | 0 | -- | 1 | 2.4 | 0 | -- | 1 | 11.1 |
| None | 496 | 99.4 | 39 | 92.9 | 10 | 62.5 | 2 | 22.2 |
| Don't know | 1 | <1 | 0 | -- | 0 | -- | 0 | -- |

*Multiple responses allowed.
No gamblers in the Western region reported engaging in petty crime or other criminal activity in the past 12 months to support gambling activities. Similarly no gamblers reported contemplating or attempting suicide in the past 12 months as a result of gambling.

## Labrador-Grenfell Region

Ninety-nine percent of non-problem gamblers in Labrador-Grenfell reported experiencing no adverse consequences from gambling (See Table 32). However, this percentage fell significantly for each gambling subtype, dropping to $88 \%$ for low-risk gamblers, $78 \%$ for moderate-risk gamblers, and $7 \%$ for problem gamblers. As found previously, adverse consequences became increasingly common with each gambling subtype. For problem gamblers, income loss/debt was the most common consequence of gambling (67\%), followed closely by relationship problems and loneliness/increased isolation (53\% each).

[^14]Table 32: Adverse Consequences of Gambling by Gambling Subtype (Labrador-Grenfell Region)* ${ }^{17}$

|  | Non-Problem Gambler ( $N=450$ ) |  | Low-Risk Gambler$(N=32)$ |  | ModerateRisk Gambler ( $\mathrm{N}=18$ ) |  | Problem Gambler$(N=15)$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% |
| Income loss/debt | 2 | <1 | 1 | 3.1 | 3 | 16.7 | 10 | 66.7 |
| Relationship problems | 2 | <1 | 2 | 6.3 | 2 | 11.1 | 8 | 53.3 |
| Physical health problems | 2 | <1 | 0 | -- | 0 | -- | 4 | 26.7 |
| Mental health problems | 0 | -- | 0 | -- | 0 | -- | 3 | 20.0 |
| Work problems | 0 | -- | 0 | -- | 0 | -- | 3 | 20.0 |
| Loneliness/ increased isolation | 0 | -- | 1 | 3.1 | 0 | -- | 8 | 53.3 |
| Other | 0 | -- | 0 | -- | 0 | -- | 2 | 13.3 |
| None | 446 | 99.1 | 28 | 87.5 | 14 | 77.8 | 1 | 6.7 |
| Don't know | 1 | $<1$ | 1 | 3.1 | 0 | -- | 0 | -- |

*Multiple responses allowed.
In the past 12 months, no gamblers reported engaging in petty crime or other criminal activities to support their gambling. One problem gambler did report contemplating suicide as a result of gambling, however, no gamblers attempted suicide.
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### 5.0 Correlates of Problem Gambling

This section of the report provides an overview of the relationship between gambling subtypes and correlates of problem gambling behavior in Newfoundland and Labrador. Specifically, this section covers topics such as gambling experiences, beliefs, alcohol and drug use, and health-related issues. Questions regarding these topics were asked of gamblers and non-gamblers.

### 5.1 First Experiences

Respondents reported the average age that they began gambling for money was 23 years, with ages ranging from 5 years or younger to 75 years of age. The majority of all gambling subtypes first gambled for money when they were 19 years of age or older. Seventeen percent of problem gamblers first gambled between the ages of 6 and 12 years, with a further $34 \%$ starting between the ages of 13 and 18 years (See Table 33).

## Table 33: Age First Gambled for Money by Gambling Subtype

|  | NonGambler ( $\mathrm{N}=405$ ) |  | NonProblem Gambler ( $N=1,944$ ) |  | Low-Risk Gambler ( $N=157$ ) |  | ModerateRisk Gambler ( $\mathrm{N}=58$ ) |  | Problem Gambler ( $\mathrm{N}=31$ ) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% |
| Never tried gambling | 230 | 56.8 | 0 | -- | 0 | -- | 0 | -- | 0 | -- |
| 5 years of age or younger | 0 | -- | 5 | <1 | 0 | -- | 0 | -- | 0 | -- |
| 6 to 12 years of age | 7 | 1.6 | 96 | 4.9 | 20 | 12.9 | 3 | 4.3 | 5 | 16.5 |
| 13 to 18 years of age | 25 | 6.3 | 461 | 23.7 | 44 | 27.9 | 25 | 43.0 | 11 | 34.4 |
| 19 years of age or older | 106 | 26.3 | 1,130 | 58.1 | 89 | 56.9 | 27 | 46.0 | 15 | 46.5 |
| Don't know | 33 | 8.1 | 230 | 11.8 | 4 | 2.3 | 4 | 6.6 | 1 | 2.5 |
| Refused | 4 | 1.0 | 23 | 1.2 | 0 | -- | 0 | -- | 0 | -- |

Among respondents, the most common gambling activities first tried were purchasing lottery tickets followed by bingo and poker (See Table 34). Most frequently, the first gambling activities among problem gamblers included poker (30\%), VLT's (26\%), and purchasing lottery tickets (15\%).

Problem gamblers were significantly more likely (26\%) to play VLT's as their first gambling experience than non-problem gamblers (3\%).

Table 34: First Gambling Activity Tried by Gambling Subtype

|  | NonGambler ( $\mathrm{N}=175$ ) |  | NonProblem Gambler ( $N=1,944$ ) |  | Low-Risk Gambler ( $N=157$ ) |  | ModerateRisk Gambler ( $N=58$ ) |  | Problem Gambler ( $N=31$ ) |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ (N=2,365) \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% | f | \% | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% |
| Lottery tickets | 48 | 27.0 | 594 | 30.5 | 30 | 19.2 | 10 | 17.8 | 5 | 15.2 | 687 | 29.0 |
| Breakopen, pull tab, or Nevada strips | 7 | 3.7 | 122 | 6.3 | 20 | 12.5 | 6 | 9.6 | 1 | 4.0 | 155 | 6.6 |
| Scratch tickets | 15 | 8.8 | 157 | 8.1 | 18 | 11.5 | 5 | 9.3 | 2 | 7.1 | 198 | 8.4 |
| Raffles or fundraising tickets | 19 | 10.5 | 143 | 7.4 | 8 | 4.9 | 1 | 2.5 | 0 | -- | 171 | 7.2 |
| Horse races | 0 | -- | 11 | $<1$ | 0 | -- | 2 | 3.9 | 0 | -- | 14 | $<1$ |
| Bingo | 25 | 14.2 | 286 | 14.7 | 26 | 16.6 | 9 | 15.4 | 2 | 7.4 | 348 | 14.7 |
| Video Lottery Terminals | 6 | 3.3 | 48 | 2.5 | 14 | 8.7 | 7 | 11.8 | 8 | 25.6 | 83 | 3.5 |
| Pro Line or Over/Under | 1 | <1 | 8 | <1 | 3 | 1.6 | 1 | <1 | 1 | 2.0 | 13 | <1 |
| Sports pools/ outcome of sporting events | 0 | -- | 11 | <1 | 3 | 1.9 | 1 | 1.6 | 0 | -- | 15 | <1 |
| Cards or board games (excluding poker) | 13 | 7.4 | 145 | 7.5 | 9 | 5.4 | 2 | 2.6 | 2 | 6.0 | 170 | 7.2 |
| Poker | 20 | 11.3 | 244 | 12.5 | 23 | 14.5 | 12 | 20.2 | 9 | 30.2 | 308 | 13.0 |
| Games of skill such as pool, bowling, darts or golf | 1 | <1 | 40 | 2.1 | 1 | <1 | 1 | 1.0 | 0 | -- | 42 | 1.8 |
| Arcade or video games | 0 | -- | 3 | <1 | 0 | -- | 0 | -- | 0 | -- | 3 | <1 |
| Casinos out of province | 7 | 3.8 | 20 | 1.0 | 1 | <1 | 2 | 3.9 | 0 | -- | 30 | 1.2 |
| Games of chance | 1 | <1 | 9 | $<1$ | 1 | $<1$ | 0 | -- | 0 | -- | 10 | <1 |
| Other | 1 | $<1$ | 13 | $<1$ | 3 | 1.8 | 0 | -- | 0 | -- | 16 | $<1$ |
| Don't know | 11 | 6.2 | 82 | 4.2 | 1 | $<1$ | 0 | -- | 1 | 2.5 | 94 | 4.0 |
| Refused | 4 | 2.4 | 8 | $<1$ | 0 | -- | 0 | -- | 0 | -- | 12 | $<1$ |

### 5.2 Early Wins and Losses

Generally, respondents did not remember a first big win or loss when they started gambling. However, problem gamblers (59\%) were significantly more likely to remember their first big win compared to non-gamblers (4\%), non-problem gamblers (13\%) and low-risk gamblers (29\%) (See Figure 12).

## Figure 12: Percentage who Remember a First Big Win by Gambling Subtype



Ninety-four percent of surveyed respondents did not remember a first big loss when they started gambling. However, problem gamblers (45\%) were significantly more likely to remember their first big loss compared to low-risk gamblers (6\%) and non-problem gamblers (2\%) (See Figure 13).

Figure 13: Percentage who Remember a First Big Loss by Gambling Subtype


### 5.3 Gambler's FALLACIES

Respondents were asked to rate their agreement level with two different statements about gambling. First, respondents were asked if they agreed with the statement "while gambling, after losing many times in a row, you are more likely to win." Overall, 91\% of respondents disagreed ( $35 \%$ disagreed, $56 \%$ strongly disagreed) that after losing many times in a row they are more likely to win. Generally, all gambling subtypes responded similarly to this statement (See Table 35).

## Table 35: Belief that Winning Follows Losing by Gambling Subtype

|  | NonGambler ( $\mathrm{N}=405$ ) |  | NonProblem Gambler ( $N=1,944$ ) |  | Low-Risk Gambler ( $N=157$ ) |  | ModerateRisk Gambler ( $\mathrm{N}=58$ ) |  | Problem Gambler$(N=31)$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ (N=2,596) \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% |
| Strongly agree | 0 | -- | 6 | $<1$ | 2 | 1.3 | 3 | 5.3 | 3 | 9.4 | 14 | <1 |
| Agree | 10 | 2.5 | 82 | 4.2 | 17 | 10.9 | 6 | 10.5 | 5 | 15.6 | 120 | 4.6 |
| Disagree | 135 | 33.4 | 687 | 35.3 | 65 | 41.7 | 23 | 40.4 | 6 | 18.8 | 916 | 35.3 |
| Strongly disagree | 225 | 55.7 | 1,110 | 57.1 | 71 | 45.5 | 23 | 40.4 | 18 | 56.3 | 1,447 | 55.8 |
| Don't know | 33 | 8.2 | 59 | 3.0 | 1 | $<1$ | 2 | 3.5 | 0 | -- | 95 | 3.7 |
| Refused | 1 | <1 | 0 | -- | 0 | -- | 0 | -- | 0 | -- | 1 | $<1$ |

The second statement respondents evaluated was "while gambling, you could win more if you used a certain system or strategy." Overall, $82 \%$ of respondents disagreed ( $32 \%$ disagreed, $50 \%$ strongly disagreed) with this statement. However, $10 \%$ agreed that you can win if you use certain systems or strategies. Moderate-risk gamblers (30\%) were significantly more likely to agree with the statement than non-gamblers (6\%) (See Table 36).

Table 36: Belief in Gambling Systems by Gambling Subtype

|  | NonGambler ( $N=405$ ) |  | NonProblem Gambler ( $N=1,944$ ) |  | Low-Risk Gambler$(N=157)$ |  | ModerateRisk Gambler ( $\mathrm{N}=58$ ) |  | Problem Gambler$(N=31)$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ (N=2,596) \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% |
| Strongly agree | 5 | 1.2 | 13 | $<1$ | 1 | $<1$ | 3 | 5.3 | 1 | 3.1 | 23 | <1 |
| Agree | 26 | 6.4 | 190 | 9.8 | 23 | 14.6 | 17 | 29.8 | 3 | 9.4 | 259 | 10 |
| Disagree | 123 | 30.4 | 635 | 32.6 | 63 | 39.9 | 12 | 21.1 | 7 | 21.9 | 840 | 32.3 |
| Strongly disagree | 194 | 47.9 | 993 | 51.1 | 63 | 39.9 | 25 | 43.9 | 20 | 62.5 | 1,295 | 49.9 |
| Don't know | 56 | 13.8 | 114 | 5.9 | 8 | 5.1 | 0 | -- | 1 | 3.1 | 179 | 6.9 |
| Refused | 1 | $<1$ | 0 | -- | 0 | -- | 0 | -- | 0 | -- | 1 | $<1$ |

### 5.4 Other's Gambling Activity

All respondents were asked to indicate whether anyone in their family had ever had an alcohol, drug, or gambling problem. Overall, $27 \%$ of respondents had a family member with a history of alcohol or drug problems. However, problem gamblers (61\%) were significantly more likely to have someone in their family with an alcohol or drug problem than non-problem gamblers (26\%) and non-gamblers (22\%). Also, low-risk gamblers (37\%) were significantly more likely to have a member of their family with an alcohol or drug problem than non-gamblers (22\%) (See Figure 14).

Figure 14: Family History of Alcohol and Drug Problems by Gambling Subtype


Overall, $12 \%$ of respondents had a family member with a history of gambling problems. Nevertheless, problem gamblers (42\%) were significantly more likely to have a member of their family with a history of gambling problems than low-risk gamblers (10\%), nonproblem gamblers (11\%) and non-gamblers (9\%). Similarly, moderate-risk gamblers (34\%) were significantly more likely to have had someone in their family with a gambling problem than non-problem gamblers (11\%) and non-gamblers (9\%) (See Figure 15).

Figure 15: Family History of Problem Gambling by Gambling Subtype


Respondents were also asked whether they had ever experienced problems as a result of someone else's gambling (See Figure 16). Ten percent of respondents had experienced problems because of someone else's gambling. Nevertheless, problem gamblers (34\%) were significantly more likely to have experienced problems as a result of someone else's gambling compared to non-problem gamblers (9\%) and non-gamblers (8\%).

## Figure 16: Problems Caused by Someone Else's Gambling by Gambling Subtype



### 5.5 Substance Use

Questions regarding the use of substances while gambling were asked to gamblers. Overall, $17 \%$ of gamblers have used alcohol or drugs while gambling in the past 12 months. Problem gamblers (53\%) were significantly more likely to use alcohol and drugs while gambling than non-problem gamblers (14\%). Furthermore, moderate-risk gamblers (36\%) were significantly more likely to use alcohol and drugs while gambling than lowrisk gamblers (32\%) and non-problem gamblers (14\%) (See Figure 17).

Figure 17: Use of Alcohol or Drugs While Gambling in the Past 12 Months by Gambling Subtype


Overall, only a small percentage of gamblers have gambled while drunk or high in the past 12 months (7\%) (See Figure 18). However, problem gamblers (56\%) were significantly more likely to have gambled while drunk or high than all other gambling subtypes.

Figure 18: Gambling While Drunk or High in the Past 12 Months by Gambling Subtype


Respondents were also asked whether they ever felt they might have had an alcohol or drug problem. Overall, $95 \%$ of respondents have never felt that they might have an alcohol or drug problem. Nevertheless, problem gamblers (47\%) were significantly more likely to have had an alcohol or drug problem in the past compared to low-risk gamblers (8\%), non-problem gamblers (4\%) and non-gamblers (4\%). (See Figure 19).

## Figure 19: Alcohol or Drug Problems by Gambling Subtype



### 5.5.1 Cigarette Use

All respondents were asked several questions about smoking. Overall, 72\% of respondents have at some point in their life smoked cigarettes. When examined by gambling subtype, several relationships were evident. Problem gamblers (97\%) were significantly more likely to have smoked cigarettes in their lifetime than all other gambling subtypes (62\% non-gamblers, 73\% non-problem gamblers, 83\% low-risk gamblers, $81 \%$ moderate-risk gamblers).

At the time of the survey, $29 \%$ of respondents smoked cigarettes daily, $6 \%$ smoked cigarettes occasionally, while 65\% did not smoke at all (See Table 37).

Table 37: Cigarette Smoking by Gambler Subtype

|  | NonGambler ( $N=250$ ) |  | NonProblem Gambler ( $N=1,416$ ) |  | Low-Risk Gambler ( $\mathrm{N}=130$ ) |  | ModerateRisk Gambler ( $\mathrm{N}=47$ ) |  | Problem Gambler ( $\mathrm{N}=31$ ) |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ (N=1,874) \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% |
| Daily | 55 | 22.0 | 385 | 27.2 | 62 | 47.7 | 26 | 55.3 | 19 | 61.3 | 547 | 29.2 |
| Occasionally | 10 | 4.0 | 87 | 6.1 | 7 | 5.4 | 5 | 10.6 | 1 | 3.2 | 110 | 5.9 |
| Not at all | 185 | 74.0 | 944 | 66.7 | 59 | 45.4 | 16 | 34.0 | 11 | 35.5 | 1,215 | 64.8 |
| Refused | 0 | -- | 0 | -- | 2 | 1.5 | 0 | -- | 0 | -- | 2 | <1 |

Problem gamblers (61\%), moderate-risk gamblers (55\%) and low-risk gamblers (48\%) were significantly more likely to smoke on a daily basis compared to non-problem gamblers (27\%) and non-gamblers (22\%).

### 5.5.2 Alcohol Use

Respondents were asked several questions regarding alcohol use. Overall, 93\% of respondents reported ever having an alcoholic beverage. Gamblers from all subtypes were significantly more likely to have had an alcoholic beverage compared to nongamblers (80\%).

Furthermore, $84 \%$ of respondents have had an alcoholic beverage in the past 12 months. All gambling subtypes were significantly more likely than non-gamblers (60\%) to have had an alcoholic beverage in the past 12 months (See Figure 20).

Figure 20: Consumption of Alcoholic Beverages in the Past 12 Months by Gambling Subtype


Respondents who consumed at least one alcoholic beverage over the past 12 months were asked how many drinks they consume on a typical day. On average, respondents consumed 3 alcoholic beverages on a typical day, ranging from less than one a day to 60 drinks per day. The amount of alcoholic beverages consumed on a typical day was similar among gambling subtype.

### 5.5.3 Drug Use

All respondents were asked if they had ever used various illicit drugs in their lifetime. Respondents who indicated that they used a particular drug were then asked if they had used that drug in the past 12 months (See Table 38). Overall, 68\% of problem gamblers have used Marijuana or Hash in their lifetime and 39\% have used it in the past year, the highest percentage of all the gambling subtypes. Indeed, problem gamblers were significantly more likely than non-gamblers, non-problem gamblers and low-risk gamblers to have ever used Marijuana or Hash.

Among moderate-risk gamblers, Marijuana or Hash was also the most popular illicit drug ever used (53\%) and used in the past year (28\%). Among low-risk, non-problem, and non-gamblers, Marijuana or Hash was also the most popular (14\%, 8\%, and 4\% used in the past year, respectively). The use of other drugs such as Cocaine, LSD, Crystal Methamphetamine, Heroin, Ecstasy, and Oxycontin was relatively uncommon regardless of gambling subtype.

Table 38: Illicit Drug Use by Gambling Subtype ${ }^{18}$

|  | NonGambler ( $N=405$ ) |  | NonProblem Gambler ( $N=1,944$ ) |  | Low-Risk Gambler ( $N=157$ ) |  | ModerateRisk Gambler ( $N=58$ ) |  | Problem Gambler$(N=31)$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ (N=2,596) \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% |
| Marijuana or Hash |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ever used | 64 | 15.8 | 554 | 28.5 | 67 | 42.7 | 31 | 53.4 | 21 | 67.7 | 737 | 28.4 |
| Used in past 12 months | 14 | 3.5 | 152 | 7.8 | 22 | 14.0 | 16 | 27.6 | 12 | 38.7 | 216 | 8.3 |
| Cocaine |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ever used | 5 | 1.2 | 50 | 2.6 | 12 | 7.6 | 4 | 6.9 | 10 | 32.3 | 81 | 3.1 |
| Used in past 12 months | 0 | -- | 14 | <1 | 0 | -- | 0 | -- | 1 | 3.2 | 15 | <1 |
| LSD or Other Psychedelics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ever used | 11 | 2.7 | 77 | 4.0 | 16 | 10.1 | 4 | 6.9 | 7 | 22.6 | 115 | 4.4 |
| Used in past 12 months | 0 | -- | 7 | <1 | 0 | -- | 1 | 1.7 | 1 | 3.2 | 9 | <1 |
| Crystal Methamphetamine |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ever used | 0 | -- | 8 | $<1$ | 3 | 1.9 | 1 | 1.7 | 0 | -- | 12 | $<1$ |
| Used in past 12 months | 0 | -- | 3 | <1 | 0 | -- | 0 | -- | 0 | -- | 3 | <1 |
| Heroin |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ever used | 0 | -- | 2 | <1 | 0 | -- | 0 | -- | 0 | -- | 2 | $<1$ |
| Used in past 12 months | 0 | -- | 0 | -- | 0 | -- | 0 | -- | 0 | -- | 0 | -- |
| Ecstasy |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ever used | 2 | <1 | 25 | 1.3 | 6 | 3.8 | 2 | 3.4 | 3 | 9.7 | 38 | 1.5 |
| Used in past 12 months | 0 | -- | 12 | <1 | 4 | 2.5 | 0 | -- | 1 | 3.2 | 17 | <1 |
| Oxycontin |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ever used | 0 | -- | 1 | $<1$ | 2 | 1.3 | 2 | 3.4 | 0 | -- | 5 | $<1$ |
| Used in past 12 months | 0 | -- | 1 | <1 | 0 | -- | 0 | -- | 0 | -- | 1 | $<1$ |

[^16]
### 5.6 Physical And Mental Health

Respondents were asked several questions about their physical and mental health. The majority of respondents (84\%) rated their physical health as very good (42\%) or good (42\%). Problem gamblers (66\%) were significantly more likely than non-problem gamblers (42\%) and non-gamblers (39\%) to rate their physical health as only good (See Table 39).

Table 39: $\quad$ Physical Health Status by Gambling Subtype

|  | NonGambler ( $N=405$ ) |  | NonProblem Gambler$(N=1,944)$ |  | Low-Risk Gambler ( $N=157$ ) |  | ModerateRisk Gambler ( $\mathrm{N}=58$ ) |  | Problem Gambler$(N=31)$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ (N=2,596) \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $f$ | \% | f | \% | $f$ | \% | f | \% | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% |
| Very good | 169 | 41.7 | 840 | 43.2 | 57 | 36.3 | 14 | 24.1 | 5 | 15.6 | 1,085 | 41.8 |
| Good | 159 | 39.3 | 810 | 41.7 | 67 | 42.7 | 29 | 50.0 | 21 | 65.6 | 1,086 | 41.8 |
| Fair | 62 | 15.3 | 228 | 11.7 | 30 | 19.1 | 7 | 12.1 | 5 | 15.6 | 332 | 12.8 |
| Poor | 12 | 3.0 | 62 | 3.2 | 3 | 1.9 | 8 | 13.8 | 1 | 3.1 | 86 | 3.3 |
| Very poor | 3 | <1 | 3 | <1 | 0 | -- | 0 | -- | 0 | -- | 6 | $<1$ |
| Don't know | 0 | -- | 1 | $<1$ | 0 | -- | 0 | -- | 0 | -- | 1 | $<1$ |

Concerning mental health, $95 \%$ of respondents considered their mental health to be very good (54\%) or good (41\%). Problem gamblers were significantly less likely (20\%) than non-problem gamblers to rate their health as very good (56\%) (See Table 40).

## Table 40: Mental Health Status by Gambling Subtype

|  | NonGambler ( $N=405$ ) |  | NonProblem Gambler ( $N=1,944$ ) |  | Low-Risk Gambler ( $N=157$ ) |  | ModerateRisk Gambler ( $\mathrm{N}=58$ ) |  | Problem Gambler$(N=31)$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ (N=2,596) \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% |
| Very good | 230 | 56.8 | 1,080 | 55.5 | 71 | 44.9 | 19 | 32.8 | 6 | 20.0 | 1,406 | 54.1 |
| Good | 165 | 40.7 | 780 | 40.1 | 74 | 46.8 | 28 | 48.3 | 19 | 63.3 | 1,066 | 41.0 |
| Fair | 8 | 2.0 | 69 | 3.5 | 12 | 7.6 | 8 | 13.8 | 4 | 13.3 | 101 | 3.9 |
| Poor | 2 | <1 | 11 | <1 | 1 | <1 | 2 | 3.4 | 1 | 3.3 | 17 | <1 |
| Very poor | 0 | -- | 3 | <1 | 0 | -- | 0 | -- | 0 | -- | 3 | <1 |
| Don't know | 0 | -- | 3 | <1 | 0 | -- | 1 | 1.7 | 0 | -- | 4 | <1 |

All respondents were asked whether they have been under a doctor's care because of physical or emotional problems brought on by stress in the past 12 months (See Table 41). The likelihood of having been under a doctor's care for stress was similar among all gambling subtypes.

Table 41 also examines depression by gambling subtype. As shown in the table, problem gamblers (50\%) were significantly more likely than non-gamblers (4\%), nonproblem gamblers (7\%) and low-risk gamblers (8\%) to have felt seriously depressed in the past 12 months.

Table 41: $\quad$ Stress Indicators by Gambling Subtype

|  | NonGambler ( $N=405$ ) |  | NonProblem Gambler$(N=1,944)$ |  | Low-Risk Gambler$(N=157)$ |  | ModerateRisk Gambler ( $\mathrm{N}=58$ ) |  | Problem Gambler$(N=31)$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% | f | \% | $f$ | \% |
| Under a doctor's care for stress in the past 12 months | 30 | 7.4 | 202 | 10.4 | 15 | 9.6 | 7 | 12.1 | 5 | 16.1 |
| Feeling seriously depressed in the past 12 months | 18 | 4.4 | 141 | 7.2 | 13 | 8.3 | 10 | 17.2 | 16 | 50.0 |

A number of other questions were asked related to how individuals have dealt with painful events in their lives over the past 12 months. Respondents were asked if they have had an urge to have a drink of alcohol when something painful happened in their life. The majority ( $90 \%$ ) of respondents did not have the urge to drink when something painful happened in their life (See Figure 21).

However, problem gamblers (45\%) were significantly more likely to have had the urge to have a drink of alcohol when something painful happened in their life compared to nonproblem gamblers (10\%) and non-gamblers (4\%). Similarly, non-gamblers were significantly more likely not to have had the urge to drink than all other gambling subtypes.

Figure 21: Urge to Have a Drink of Alcohol When Something Painful Happened in the Past 12 Months by Gambling Subtype


Respondents were also asked whether they have had the urge to use drugs or medication when something painful happened in their life over the past 12 months. Generally, the urge to use drugs or medication was low, however, problem gamblers were the most likely to have the urge to use drugs or medication compared to all other subtypes (See Figure 22).

Figure 22: Urge to Use Drugs or Medication When Something Painful Happened in the Past 12 Months by Gambling Subtype


Similar to the previous questions, gamblers were asked if they have had the urge to gamble if something painful happened in their life in the past 12 months (See Figure 23). Overall, problem gamblers (50\%) were significantly more likely to have had the urge to gamble when something painful happened in their life in the past 12 months compared to low-risk gamblers (5\%) and non-problem gamblers (<1\%).

Figure 23: Urge to Gamble When Something Painful Happened in the Past 12 Months by Gambling Subtype


### 6.0 Awareness of Support and Treatment Services

### 6.1 People to Turn to for Help

Overall, respondents indicated that, on average, they could turn to 9 people for support or help if they had a serious personal problem. When asked to identify who they would turn to if they or someone close to them had a gambling problem, $58 \%$ of respondents said that they would turn to a family member, while $41 \%$ said that they would seek help from a friend (See Table 42).

Table 42: Sources of Help if Respondents or Someone Close to Them Had a Gambling Problem*

|  | $\boldsymbol{f}$ | \% (N=2,596) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Family | 1,515 | 58.4 |
| Friend | 1,071 | 41.2 |
| Addictions counselor | 842 | 32.4 |
| Gamblers anonymous | 726 | 28.0 |
| Family doctor | 719 | 27.7 |
| Minister/ priest/ rabbi | 528 | 20.3 |
| Social worker/ psychologist/ psychiatrist | 460 | 17.7 |
| Employee/ family assistance program | 298 | 11.5 |
| Instructor/ teacher | 207 | 8.0 |
| Law enforcement official | 193 | 7.4 |
| Pharmacist | 129 | 5.0 |
| Go to person with problem themselves | 11 | $<1$ |
| Call or give 1-800 number | 7 | $<1$ |
| Other | 23 | $<1$ |
| None | 22 | $<1$ |
| Don't Know | 207 | 8.0 |
| Refused | 1 | $<1$ |

*Multiple responses allowed.

### 6.2 Toll-Free Crisis Help Line

Just over seven in ten respondents (71\%) said they were aware of a toll-free gambling help line in Newfoundland and Labrador. The awareness level of this help line was consistent across the regions, however problem gamblers were significantly more likely (89\%) to be aware of this help-line than non-problem gamblers (71\%) and non-gamblers (61\%) (See Figure 24).

Figure 24: Awareness Levels of the Toll-Free Crisis Help Line by Gambling Subtype


### 6.3 Recovery Centre

Slightly more than half of respondents (51\%) reported awareness of the Recovery Centre, a detox center available for individuals with substance use and gambling problems in Newfoundland and Labrador. By region, respondents from the LabradorGrenfell region were significantly less aware of the Centre (41\%) compared to those living in the Eastern region (55\%). In addition, awareness of the Centre tended to increase with age, with respondents ages 55-64 and 65+ significantly more likely to be aware of the Centre ( $57 \%$ and $60 \%$, respectively) compared to those $19-24$ years of age (41\%). Males and females did not differ in their awareness of the Recovery Centre (52\% and 50\% respectively).

Awareness levels of the Recovery Centre were fairly similar among all of the gambling subtypes, although it is worth noting that problem gamblers were more aware of the Centre (59\%) than moderate-risk gamblers (53\%) (See Figure 25).

Figure 25: Awareness Levels of the Recovery Centre by Gambling Subtype


### 6.4 Humberwood Treatment Centre

Just over one-third of respondents (36\%) were aware of Humberwood, the provincial treatment centre for problem gamblers. Perhaps due to the fact that Humberwood is located on the west coast of the province, respondents living in the Western region were significantly more aware of this treatment centre (44\%) than those living in the LabradorGrenfell and Central regions ( $26 \%$ and $28 \%$ respectively), however, there was no difference in awareness by age or gender.

Awareness of this treatment centre was similar across gambling subtypes (See Figure 26).

Figure 26: Awareness Levels of the Humberwood Treatment Centre for Problem Gamblers in Newfoundland and Labrador by Gambling Subtype


### 6.5 Local Gambling Counseling Services

Overall, $30 \%$ of respondents said that they were aware of gambling counseling services available in their community. Those living in the Labrador-Grenfell region displayed a greater awareness of counseling services in their communities (38\%) compared to those living in the Western, Central, and Eastern region (29\%, 18\%, and 34\%, respectively). Knowledge of local gambling counseling services was similar for males and females ( $31 \%$ and $30 \%$, respectively), however, awareness of local counseling services generally decreased with age.

In terms of gambling subtypes, there was a similar level of awareness among gamblers, however non-gamblers were least likely to be aware of local gambling counseling services (See Figure 27).

Figure 27: Awareness Levels of Local Gambling Counseling Services in Newfoundland and Labrador by Gambling Subtype


### 7.0 Conclusions

This report presents the findings from the 2005 Newfoundland and Labrador Gambling Prevalence Study. This study is the first to address gambling issues specific to the province and provides current baseline information regarding the prevalence of gambling and problem gambling in Newfoundland and Labrador. Furthermore, the study provides important information profiling the gambling subtypes and players of certain gambling activities, identifying correlates of gambling and problem gambling behavior, exploring consequences of gambling, and gauging awareness of support and treatment services. Presented below are some of the key conclusions that can be drawn from this study:

Gambling, in general, is common among Newfoundlanders and Labradorians. Moderate-risk and problem gambling prevalence rates are similar to those of other provinces.

Provincially, 84\% of respondents have gambled at least once in the past year. Of these respondents, $6.1 \%$ were classified as low-risk gamblers, $2.2 \%$ as moderate-risk gamblers, and $1.2 \%$ as problem gamblers. Problem gambling was more prevalent in the Labrador-Grenfell region, where the problem gambling prevalence rate was double the provincial rate.

Based on a provincial adult population of 386,782, approximately 8,509 adult residents can be considered moderate-risk gamblers and 4,641 residents can be considered problem gamblers.

Moderate-risk and problem gamblers represent distinct segments of the general adult population that can be targeted.

The findings of this study indicate that problem gamblers were mostly males (67\%) between the ages of 25-34 (40\%). Most problem gamblers were well educated, with 49\% having at least some post-secondary education, and incomes were moderate, with $33 \%$ in the $\$ 20,001$ to $\$ 40,000$ range.

Moderate-risk gamblers were mostly males (67\%) between the ages of 35-44 (39\%). Incomes were also in the $\$ 20,001$ to $\$ 40,000$ range (30\%), however, moderate-risk gamblers were less educated ( $51 \%$ with high school or less than high school education).

These findings are comparable to other studies that identify young males as an at-risk population (Statistics Canada, 2003).

## VLT use is extensive among problem gamblers in Newfoundland and Labrador.

Overall, $11 \%$ of survey respondents have played VLT's in the past 12 months. Among problem gamblers, the rate was much higher: $80 \%$ have played in the past 12 months. In addition, $26 \%$ of problem gamblers remembered the VLT as their first gambling experience.

It has been noted in the past that VLT's are the "crack cocaine" of gambling (Statistics Canada, 2003). The findings of this study support that statement, as $9.7 \%$ of VLT players can be considered moderate-risk gamblers and $8.6 \%$ problem gamblers.

Combined, this rate is significantly higher than the provincial moderate-risk and problem gambling prevalence rate.

Poker is an increasingly frequent form of gambling among Newfoundlanders and Labradorians, and problem gamblers in particular.

Eleven percent of survey respondents have played poker in the past 12 months. Poker appears to be most frequent however, among problem gamblers, as 55\% reported having played in the past 12 months. Furthermore, 30\% of problem gamblers reported poker as their first gambling experience.

As with VLT's, poker appears to have a close relationship with problem gambling. It is estimated that $8.2 \%$ of poker players can be considered moderate-risk gamblers and $6.0 \%$ problem gamblers. Again, this combined rate is significantly higher than the provincial moderate-risk and problem gambling prevalence rate.

Problem gamblers experience negative consequences as a result of gambling, such as financial difficulty, relationship problems, suicidal thoughts, and depression.

Consistent with past research (Statistics Canada, 2003), negative consequences were common among problem gamblers in this study. Problem gamblers had the highest unemployment rate of all the gambling subtypes (34\%). Related to finances, $64 \%$ of problem gamblers reported experiencing income loss/debt. Although time spent gambling by problem gamblers was not the highest among the gambling subtypes (15 hours in a typical month) amounts spent were the highest ( $\$ 3,700$ in the past 12 months or $\$ 310$ per month), indicating that problem gamblers spend large sums of money that disappear quickly.

Other negative consequences were also evident. Forty-seven percent of problem gamblers reported relationship problems and 33\% reported mental health problems. Furthermore, 50\% of problem gamblers reported experiencing serious depression in the past 12 months and $12 \%$ had suicidal thoughts as a result of gambling.

## Early experiences play a role in later problem gambling behavior.

This study found that while most gamblers reported starting gambling at ages 19 or older, problem gamblers tended to start much younger. Thirty-four percent of problem gamblers started gambling at ages 13-18, while a further 17\% started at ages 6-12. First gambling activities among problem gamblers were mostly poker (30\%) and VLT's (26\%), activities that have been shown to be closely related to problem gambling. Furthermore, problem gamblers were most likely to remember their first big win (59\%) and loss (45\%).

## Substance use is closely related to problem gambling.

The findings of this study have identified a relationship between problem gambling and substance use. Problem gamblers were significantly more likely to smoke daily (61\%) and consume more alcohol (87\%) compared to non-gamblers. Further confirming this relationship, problem gamblers were more likely to use alcohol or drugs while gambling (53\%) and to gamble while drunk or high (56\%).

Some illicit drug use was higher among problem gamblers, for example, 39\% have used Marijuana or Hash in the past 12 months, compared to 4\% of non-gamblers, 8\% of nonproblem gamblers, $14 \%$ of low-risk gamblers, and $28 \%$ of moderate-risk gamblers. However, no relationship was found to exist between gambling and the use of drugs such as Ecstasy, Crystal Methamphetamine, LSD, Heroin, Cocaine, and Oxycontin.

Awareness of gambling support and treatment services varies among Newfoundlanders and Labradorians.

While awareness of the toll-free crisis help line reached 71\% among respondents, awareness of other support and treatment services such as the Recovery Centre, Humberwood, and local gambling counseling services varied (51\%, 36\%, and 30\% respectively). Of note, moderate-risk gamblers showed generally low awareness of the Recovery Centre (53\%), Humberwood (31\%), and local gambling counseling services (32\%).
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## Appendix A: Sampling Plan

| Labrador-Grenfell Health Authority |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | Female | Prop. \% | Prop. N | Male | Prop. \% | Prop. N | Total | Prop. \% | Prop. N |
| 19-24 | 1,393 | 4.93 | 32 | 1,414 | 5.01 | 33 | 2,807 | 9.94 | 65 |
| 25-34 | 3,030 | 10.73 | 70 | 2,870 | 10.16 | 66 | 5,900 | 20.89 | 136 |
| 35-44 | 3,420 | 12.11 | 79 | 3,360 | 11.90 | 77 | 6,780 | 24.01 | 156 |
| 45-54 | 3,165 | 11.21 | 73 | 3,285 | 11.63 | 76 | 6,450 | 22.84 | 149 |
| 55-64 | 1,625 | 5.75 | 37 | 1,835 | 6.50 | 42 | 3,460 | 12.25 | 79 |
| 65+ | 1,410 | 4.99 | 32 | 1,435 | 5.08 | 33 | 2,845 | 10.07 | 65 |
| Total | 14,043 | 49.72 | 323 | 14,199 | 50.28 | 327 | 28,242 | 100.00 | 650 |
| Western Health Authority |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age | Female | Prop. \% | Prop. N | Male | Prop. \% | Prop. N | Total | Prop. \% | Prop. N |
| 19-24 | 2,593 | 4.18 | 27 | 2,511 | 4.05 | 26 | 5,104 | 8.23 | 53 |
| 25-34 | 4,990 | 8.04 | 52 | 4,280 | 6.90 | 45 | 9,270 | 14.94 | 97 |
| 35-44 | 7,065 | 11.39 | 74 | 6,560 | 10.58 | 69 | 13,625 | 21.97 | 143 |
| 45-54 | 6,980 | 11.25 | 73 | 6,900 | 11.12 | 72 | 13,880 | 22.37 | 145 |
| 55-64 | 4,615 | 7.44 | 48 | 4,600 | 7.41 | 48 | 9,215 | 14.85 | 96 |
| 65+ | 5,955 | 9.60 | 62 | 4,990 | 8.04 | 52 | 10,945 | 17.64 | 114 |
| Total | 32,198 | 51.90 | 336 | 29,841 | 48.10 | 312 | 62,039 | 100.00 | 648 |

## Central Health Authority

| Age | Female | Prop. \% | Prop. N | Male | Prop. \% | Prop. N | Total | Prop. \% | Prop. N |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $19-24$ | 3,013 | 3.95 | 26 | 3,081 | 4.03 | 26 | 6,094 | 7.98 | 52 |
| $25-34$ | 6,320 | 8.28 | 54 | 5,865 | 7.68 | 50 | 12,185 | 15.96 | 104 |
| $35-44$ | 8,295 | 10.86 | 71 | 7,990 | 10.46 | 68 | 16,285 | 21.32 | 139 |
| $45-54$ | 8,230 | 10.78 | 70 | 8,150 | 10.67 | 69 | 16,380 | 21.45 | 139 |
| $55-64$ | 5,610 | 7.35 | 48 | 5,595 | 7.33 | 48 | 11,205 | 14.68 | 96 |
| $65+$ | 7,515 | 9.84 | 64 | 6,695 | 8.77 | 57 | 14,210 | 18.61 | 121 |
| Total | 38,983 | 51.06 | 333 | 37,376 | 48.94 | 318 | 76,359 | 100.00 | 651 |

Eastern Health Authority


## Appendix B: Design Weights

| Region | Pop N | Pop \% | Sample n | Sample \% | Weight |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| Labrador-Grenfell | 28242 | 0.07301788 | 638 | 0.24576271 | 0.297107 |
| Western | 62039 | 0.16039785 | 655 | 0.25231125 | 0.635714 |
| Central | 76359 | 0.19742129 | 644 | 0.24807396 | 0.795816 |
| Eastern | 220142 | 0.56916299 | 659 | 0.25385208 | 2.242105 |
| Total | 386782 |  | 2596 |  |  |

## Appendix C: Questionnaire

## Government of Newfoundland and Labrador-Gambling Prevalence Study Final- August 30, 2005

## INTRODUCTION

Hello, my name is $\qquad$ and I am calling from Market Quest Research, a professional survey research firm. We are conducting a survey on behalf of the Department of Health and Community Services, Government of Newfoundland and Labrador. Today/Tonight we are conducting a research survey on the gambling activities and attitudes of residents of Newfoundland and Labrador and we would like to include your views.

Your response will help researchers better understand gambling behaviour and develop programs and services for Newfoundlanders and Labradorians with a gambling problem. First of all, can you tell me how many adults 19 years of age or older live in this household?
(Record the number).
99 Refused (Terminate call and thank them for their time)

## INTERVIEWER: If no one 19 or older in household, terminate interview. If only one person 19 years or older in household say:

I would like to speak to that person--would that be you?

INTERVIEWER: If more than one person 19 years or older in household say:

I would like to speak to the person in your household 19 years of age or older who has the next birthday--would that be you?

```
INTERVIEWER: If no, ask to speak to that person.
    If the person is not available, arrange call-back.
```

Great! I would like to interview you and I'm hoping that now is a good time for you. The interview will take about 20 minutes, depending on how many of the questions apply to you.

Before we start, l'd like to assure you that your participation is voluntary and that any information you provide will be kept completely confidential. If there are any questions that you do not wish to answer, please feel free to point these out to me and I'll go on to the next question. You have the right to terminate the interview at any time. If you have any questions about the survey, you can phone Market Quest Research at 1-800-560-1360 for further information.

## INTERVIEWER: If the person never gambles, doesn't believe in it, etc. say:

We understand that not everyone gambles, but your opinions are still very important to us.

1. Agreed to do interview (Thank them and go to Q.1)
2. Refused to do interview (Terminate and thank them for their time)

## GAMBLING INVOLVEMENT AND ACTIVITIES

First, we'd like to ask some questions about gambling activities you may participate in. People spend money and gamble on many different things including buying lottery tickets, playing bingo, or card games with their friends. I am going to list some activities that you might have bet or spent money on.

1. In the past 12 months, have you bet or spent money on ( $\qquad$ )? READ LIST
2. Lottery tickets such as Lotto 649, Super 7, Atlantic 49, Atlantic Payday or Keno
3. Breakopen, Pull Tab or Nevada Strips
4. Scratch tickets such as Crossword, Bingo or Lucky 7
5. Raffles or fundraising tickets
6. Horse Races, either live at the track or off track
7. Bingo
8. Video lottery terminals (VLT machines)
9. Pro-Line or Over/Under
10. Sports Pools or the outcome of sporting events (through a bookie, charity, with friends or at work)
11. Cards (not including poker) or board games at home, friends home or at work
12. Poker, either at home, friends home, at work or on the Internet
13. Games of Skill such as pool, bowling, golf or darts
14. Arcade or Video Games
15. Gambling on the Internet (not including poker)
16. Short Term Speculative Stock or Commodity Purchases such as day trading, not including long-term investments such as mutual funds or RRSPs
17. Gambling at Casinos out of province

1b. Have you participated in any other forms of gambling? (Please Specify)

```
INTERVIEWER: If respondent does not say "Yes" to any activity, or says "I do not gamble" twice, go to Q13.
```


## INTERVIEWER: $\quad$ Repeat Q2 to Q5 for all activities selected in Q1

2. In the past 12 months, how often did you bet or spend money on [INSERT ACTIVITY FROM Q1]? READ LIST

| Daily | 01 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2 to 6 times per week | 02 |
| About once per week | 03 |
| 2 to 3 times per month | 04 |
| About once per month | 05 |
| Between 6-11 times per year | 06 |
| Between 1-5 times per year | 07 |
| Don't Know | 98 |
| Refused | 99 |

3. On a typical occasion when you spend money on [INSERT ACTIVITY FROM Q1], how much money do you spend, not including winnings? ENTER NUMBER OF DOLLARSROUND UP TO NEAREST DOLLAR.
\$ $\qquad$

| Don't Know | 98 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Refused | 99 |

INTERVIEWER: If asked for clarification, we mean spending that is out of pocket, and doesn't include money won and THEN spent.
4. On a typical occasion when you spend money on [INSERT ACTIVITY FROM Q1], how much money do you win? ENTER NUMBER OF DOLLARS- ROUND UP TO NEAREST DOLLAR.
\$ $\qquad$
Don't Know 98
Refused 99
5. On a typical occasion when you spend money on [INSERT ACTIVITY FROM Q1], how much money do you lose? ENTER NUMBER OF DOLLARS- ROUND UP TO NEAREST DOLLAR.
\$
Don't Know 98
Refused 99

Next, I would like you to think about all of the gambling activities we discussed.
6. During a typical month, how much time do you spend gambling? Please give the total amount of time spent gambling in a typical month. USE MINUTES/HOURS TO RECORD EXACT TIME SPECIFIED BY RESPONDENT.
$\qquad$ Minutes
$\qquad$ Hours

| Don't Know | 98 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Refused | 99 |

7. In the past 12 months, how much money have you spent gambling, not including winnings? ENTER NUMBER OF DOLLARS- ROUND UP TO NEAREST DOLLAR.
\$ $\qquad$

| Don't Know | 98 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Refused | 99 |

8. In the past 12 months, how much money have you won gambling?

ENTER NUMBER OF DOLLARS- ROUND UP TO NEAREST DOLLAR.
\$ $\qquad$
Don't Know
98
Refused
99
9. In the past 12 months, how much money have you lost gambling?

ENTER NUMBER OF DOLLARS- ROUND UP TO NEAREST DOLLAR.
\$ $\qquad$
Don't Know
98
Refused 99
10. What are the main reasons why you gamble? IF NECESSARY READ TO PROMPT, ACCEPT ALL ANSWERS

It's an opportunity to socialize 01
I can forget about my problems 02
It is exciting/fun 03
It decreases my boredom 04
I can win money 05
It's a hobby 06
To support worthy causes/charities 07
Out of curiosity 08
To be alone 09
Because I am good at it 10
Other: (Please Specify) 90
Don't Know 98
Refused 99

## PROBLEM GAMBLING BEHAVIOUR AND ADVERSE CONSEQUENCES

The next questions are part of a standard measurement scale that was recently developed in Canada for use in gambling surveys similar to this one. Some of these questions may not apply to you but please try to answer as accurately as possible. Remember that all of your answers are strictly confidential.
11. Thinking about the past 12 months, would you say you never, sometimes, most of the time or almost always... READ LIST

|  | Never | Sometimes | Most of <br> the <br> Time | Almost <br> Always | Don't <br> Know | Refused |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bet more than you could really afford to <br> lose | 00 | 01 | 02 | 03 | 98 | 99 |
| Needed to gamble with larger amounts <br> of money to get the same feeling of <br> excitement | 00 | 01 | 02 | 03 | 98 | 99 |
| Went back another day to try and win <br> back the money you lost | 00 | 01 | 02 | 03 | 98 | 99 |
| Borrowed money or sold anything to get <br> money to gamble | 00 | 01 | 02 | 03 | 98 | 99 |
| Felt that you might have a problem with <br> gambling | 00 | 01 | 02 | 03 | 98 | 99 |
| Felt gambling has caused you any <br> health problems including stress or <br> anxiety | 00 | 01 | 02 | 03 | 98 | 99 |
| Had people criticize your betting or tell <br> you that you have a gambling problem <br> regardless of whether or not you think it <br> is true | 00 | 01 | 02 | 03 | 98 | 99 |
| Felt your gambling has caused financial <br> problems for you or your household | 00 | 01 | 02 | 03 | 98 | 99 |
| Felt guilty about the way you gamble or <br> what happens when you gamble | 00 | 01 | 02 | 03 | 98 | 99 |

12. Which, if any, of the following problems have you experienced from gambling? READ LIST, CIRCLE ALL THAT APPLY
Income loss/debt ..... 01
Relationship problems ..... 02
Physical health problems ..... 03
Mental health problems ..... 04
Work problems ..... 05
Loneliness/Increased isolation ..... 06
Other: Please Specify ..... 90
None ..... 96
Don't Know ..... 98
Refused ..... 99

## PROBLEM GAMBLING CORRELATES

The next questions explore some of your gambling experiences, beliefs, alcohol and drug use, and health-related issues. Once again, all your answers will be kept strictly confidential.
13. How old were you when you first gambled for money? (If "never tried gambling," SKIP to Q17)

## ENTER AGE IN YEARS

| Never tried gambling | 95 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Don't Know | 98 |
| Refused | 99 |

14. What type of gambling activity did you first try? DO NOT READ LIST, ACCEPT ONLY ONE ANSWER

Lottery tickets (e.g. Lotto 649, Super 7, Atlantic 49, Atlantic Payday, or Keno) 01
Breakopen, pull tabs or Nevada strips 02
Scratch tickets like Crossword, Bingo or Lucky 703
Raffles or Fundraising Tickets 04
Horse races (either live at the track or off track) 05
Bingo 06
Video Lottery Terminals (VLT's) 07
Pro Line or Over/Under 08
Sports pools/Outcome of sporting events (through a bookie, charity, with friends 09
or at work)
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Cards or board games (excluding poker) } & 10\end{array}$
Poker 11
Games of skill such as pool, bowling, darts or golf 12
Arcade or video games 13
Gambling on the internet (excluding poker) 14
Short-term speculative stock or commodity purchases such as day trading, not 15
including long-term investments such as mutual funds or RRSPs
Casinos out of province 16
Other (Please Specify) 90
Don't Know 98
Refused 99
15. Do you remember a big WIN when you first started gambling?

Yes 01
No 02
Don't Know 98
Refused 99
16. Do you remember a big LOSS when you first started gambling?

Yes

01

No 02
Don't Know 98
Refused 99
17. Please tell me if you strongly agree, agree, disagree, or strongly disagree with the following statement: "While gambling, after losing many times in a row, you are more likely to win."

Strongly Agree 01
Agree 02
Disagree 03
Strongly Disagree 04
Don't Know 98
Refused 99
18. Please tell me if you strongly agree, agree, disagree, or strongly disagree with the following statement: "While gambling, you could win more if you used a certain system or strategy."

Strongly Agree

01

Agree 02
Disagree 03
Strongly Disagree 04
Don't Know 98
Refused 99
(If "non gambler" (Do not say "yes" to any activity in q1) or "never tried gambling" (q13=95), SKIP to Q26)
20. In the past 12 months, have you used alcohol or drugs while gambling?

```
Yes 01
No 02
Don't Know 98
Refused 99
```

21. In the past 12 months, have you gambled while drunk or high?

| Yes | 01 |
| :--- | :--- |
| No | 02 |
| Don't Know | 98 |
| Refused | 99 |

22. In the past 12 months, if something painful happened in your life, did you have the urge to gamble?
Yes (includes doing as well as having the urge) ..... 01
No ..... 02
Don't Know ..... 98
Refused ..... 99
23. In the past 12 months, have you engaged in petty crime or other criminal activities to support your gambling?

Yes 01
No 02
Don't Know 98
Refused 99
24. In the past 12 months, have you seriously thought about suicide as a result of your gambling?

```
Yes 01
```

No 02
Don't Know 98
Refused 99
25. In the past 12 months, have you attempted suicide as a result of your gambling?

| Yes | 01 |
| :--- | :--- |
| No | 02 |
| Don't Know | 98 |
| Refused | 99 |

Next, I would like to ask you some questions about cigarette smoking.
26. Have you EVER smoked cigarettes?

| Yes | 01 |
| :--- | :--- |
| No | 02 GO TO Q30 |
| Don't Know | 98 GO TO Q30 |
| Refused | 99 GO TO Q30 |

27. Have you smoked at least 100 cigarettes in your life?
Yes 01
No 02
Don't Know 98
Refused 99
28. At the present time, do you smoke cigarettes daily, occasionally or not at all?

| Daily | 01 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Occasionally | 02 |
| Not at all | 03 GO TO Q30 |
| Refused | 99 GO TO Q30 |

29. During a typical day, how many cigarettes do you smoke (1 pack=20 cigarettes)

## RECORD NUMBER OF CIGARETTES

Less than one cigarette a day ..... 97
Don't Know ..... 98
Refused ..... 99

Now, I would like to ask you some questions about drinking alcohol. In these questions, when I use the word "drink", it means one 12 ounce bottle of beer, glass of draft, or cooler, one 5 ounce glass of wine or one straight or mixed drink with one and a half ounces of hard liquor.
30. Have you EVER had a drink of any alcoholic beverage?

| Yes | 01 |
| :--- | :--- |
| No | 02 GO TO Q35 |
| Don't Know | 98 |
| Refused | 99 |

31. In the past 12 months, have you had a drink of any alcoholic beverage?

| Yes | 01 |
| :--- | :--- |
| No | 02 GO TO Q35 |
| Don't Know | 98 GO TO Q35 |
| Refused | 99 GO TO Q35 |

32. In the past 12 months, how often did you drink alcoholic beverages. Would you say you drank alcoholic beverages.. READ LIST

More than once a day 01
6 to 7 times a week 02
4 to 5 times a week 03
2 to 3 times a week 04
Once a week 05
2 to 3 times a month 06
Once a month 07
Less than once a month 08
Don't Know 98
Refused 99
33. On a typical day when you have a drink of alcoholic beverage, approximately how many drinks do you consume?

## $\qquad$ <br> RECORD NUMBER OF DRINKS

Less than one drink 97
Don't Know 98
Refused 99
34. In the past 12 months, how often did you have 5 or more drinks at the same sitting or occasion, would you say it was.. READ LIST
More than once a day ..... 01
6 to 7 times a week ..... 02
4 to 5 times a week ..... 03
2 to 3 times a week ..... 04
Once a week ..... 05
2 to 3 times a month ..... 06
Once a month ..... 07
Less than once a month ..... 08
Never in the past year ..... 09
Don't Know ..... 98
Refused ..... 99

The next few questions deal with drug use. Some people use drugs in private, with friends or in other types of situations.
35. Have you EVER used...

|  | Yes | No | Don't <br> Know | Refused |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Marijuana or hash | 01 | 02 | 98 | 99 |
| Cocaine | 01 | 02 | 98 | 99 |
| LSD or other <br> psychedelics | 01 | 02 | 98 | 99 |
| Crystal <br> Methamphetamine, <br> otherwise known as <br> Crystal Meth. | 01 | 02 | 98 | 99 |
| Heroin | 01 | 02 | 98 | 99 |
| Ecstasy | 01 | 02 | 98 | 99 |

## INTERVIEWER: Repeat Q36 to Q37 for all drugs selected in Q35

36. In the past 12 months, have you used [INSERT DRUG FROM Q35 ]?

| Yes | 01 |
| :--- | :--- |
| No | 02 |
| Don't Know | 98 |
| Refused | 99 |

INTERVIEWER: If respondent has not used drug in the past 12 months/ Don't Knowl Refused, go to next drug or to Q38
37. In the past 12 months, how often have you used [INSERT DRUG FROM Q35] ? Would you say you have used it.. READ LIST

| More than once a day | 01 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 6 to 7 times a week | 02 |
| 4 to 5 times a week | 03 |
| 2 to 3 times a week | 04 |
| Once a week | 05 |
| 2 to 3 times a month | 06 |
| Once a month | 07 |
| Less than once a month | 08 |
| Don't Know | 98 |
| Refused | 99 |

38. Some people use the drug Oxycontin, more commonly known as "oxies" or "hillbilly heroine", in ways other than those prescribed by a physician. Have you EVER used Oxycontin in ways other than those prescribed by a physician?

| Yes | 01 |
| :--- | :--- |
| No | 02 GO TO Q41 |
| Don't Know | 98 |
| Refused | 99 |

39. In the past 12 months, have you used Oxycontin?

| Yes | 01 |
| :--- | :--- |
| No | 02 GO TO Q41 |
| Don't Know | 98 GO TO Q41 |
| Refused | 99 GO TO Q41 |

40. In the past 12 months, how often have you used Oxycontin? Would you say you have used it.. READ LIST

| More than once a day | 01 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 6 to 7 times a week | 02 |
| 4 to 5 times a week | 03 |
| 2 to 3 times a week | 04 |
| Once a week | 05 |
| 2 to 3 times a month | 06 |
| Once a month | 07 |
| Less than once a month | 08 |
| Don't Know | 98 |
| Refused | 99 |

The next few questions deal with your mental and physical health...
41. How would you rate your current physical health? Would you say it is...READ LIST

| Very Good | 01 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Good | 02 |
| Fair | 03 |
| Poor | 04 |
| Very Poor | 05 |
| Don't Know | 98 |
| Refused | 99 |

42. How would you rate your current mental health? Would you say it is...READ LIST

| Very Good | 01 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Good | 02 |
| Fair | 03 |
| Poor | 04 |
| Very Poor | 05 |
| Don't Know | 98 |
| Refused | 99 |

43. Have you EVER felt you might have an alcohol or drug problem?
Yes 01

No 02
Don't Know 98
Refused 99
44. Has anyone in your family EVER had an alcohol or drug problem?
Yes 01
No 02
Don't Know 98
Refused 99
45. In the past 12 months, if something painful happened in your life, did you have the urge to have a drink of alcohol?

```
Yes 01
No 02
Don't Know 98
Refused 99
```

46. In the past 12 months, if something painful happened in your life, did you have the urge to use drugs or medication?

| Yes | 01 |
| :--- | :--- |
| No | 02 |
| Don't Know | 98 |
| Refused | 99 |

47. In the past 12 months, have you been under a doctor's care because of physical or emotional problems brought on by stress?
Yes 01

No 02
Don't Know 98
Refused 99
48. In the past 12 months, have you felt seriously depressed?

Yes 01
No 02
Don't Know 98
Refused 99
49. How many people, if any, could you turn to for support or help if you had a serious personal problem?

RECORD NUMBER OF PEOPLE
Don't Know ..... 98
Refused ..... 99

## The next questions deal with problem gambling.

50. Has anyone in your family EVER had a gambling problem?

| Yes | 01 |
| :--- | :--- |
| No | 02 |
| Don't Know | 98 |
| Refused | 99 |

51. Have you EVER experienced problems as a result of someone else's gambling?

| Yes | 01 |
| :--- | :--- |
| No | 02 |
| Don't Know | 98 |
| Refused | 99 |

52. If you or someone close to you had a gambling problem, whom might you go to for help? READ LIST AND CIRCLE ALL THAT APPLY.

| Family | 01 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Friend | 02 |
| Family Doctor | 03 |
| Minister/Priest/Rabbi | 04 |
| Social Worker/Psychologist/Psychiatrist | 05 |
| Addictions Counsellor | 06 |
| Gamblers Anonymous | 07 |
| Instructor/Teacher | 08 |
| Employees/Family Assistance Program | 09 |
| Law Enforcement Official | 10 |
| Pharmacist | 11 |
| Any other? (Please Specify) | 90 |
| None | 96 |
| Don't Know | 98 |
| Refused | 99 |

53. Are you aware that there is a toll free crisis help line for problem gamblers in Newfoundland and Labrador?

| Yes | 01 |
| :--- | :--- |
| No | 02 |
| Don't Know | 98 |
| Refused | 99 |

54. Are you aware that there is a detox center available for problem gamblers in Newfoundland and Labrador called the Recovery Centre?
Yes 01

No 02
Don't Know 98
Refused 99
55. Are you aware that there is a provincial treatment center for problem gamblers in Newfoundland and Labrador called Humberwood?
Yes 01
No 02
Don't Know 98
Refused 99
56. To your knowledge, are there gambling counseling services available in your community?

| Yes | 01 |
| :--- | :--- |
| No | 02 |
| Don't Know | 98 |
| Refused | 99 |

Finally, I would like to ask you some demographic questions. All answers you provide to these questions will be kept strictly confidential.
57. Into which of the following age categories do you fall...READ LIST

| $19-24$ | 01 |
| :--- | :--- |
| $25-34$ | 02 |
| $35-44$ | 03 |
| $45-54$ | 04 |
| $55-64$ | 05 |
| 65 or older | 06 |
| Refused | 99 |

58. Which of the following best describes your marital status....READ LIST

Married 01
Common Law/Living with Partner 02
Single (never married and not living with partner) 03
Widowed (not remarried) 04
Divorced or separated (not remarried) 05
Refused 99
59. Which of the following best describes the highest level of education you have completed? READ LIST
Some high school/junior high or less ..... 01
Completed high school ..... 02
Some post secondary school ..... 03
Completed post secondary school ..... 04
Completed post graduate education ..... 05
Don't Know ..... 98
Refused ..... 99
60. Which of the following best describes your present job status? Are you... READ LIST

| Employed full time (30 or more hours/week) | 01 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Employed part time (Less than 30 hours/week) | 02 |
| Unemployed | 03 GO TO Q62 |
| Student | 04 GO TO Q62 |
| Retired | 05 GO TO Q62 |
| Homemaker | 06 GO TO Q62 |
| Don't Know | 98 GO TO Q62 |
| Refused | 99 GO TO Q62 |

61. What type of work do you do? Probe: What is your occupation? RECORD RESPONSE
62. Which of the following broad categories best describes how much income you and other members of your household received in the year ending December 31, 2004? Please include income from all sources such as savings, pensions, rent and employment insurance, as well as wages. READ LIST
$\$ 20,000$ or less 01
\$20,001 to \$30,000 02
$\$ 30,001$ to $\$ 40,000 \quad 03$
$\$ 40,001$ to $\$ 50,000 \quad 04$
\$50,001 to \$60,000 05
\$60,001 to \$70,000 06
\$70,001 to \$80,000 07
\$80,001 to \$90,000 08
\$90,001 to \$100,000 09
More than \$100,000 10
Don't Know 98
Refused 99
63. How many people under the age of 18 live in your household? $\qquad$ RECORD RESPONSE
64. To what ethnic or cultural group did you or your ancestors belong on first coming to this country? IF RESPONDENT IS NOT CLEAR SAY "Are you Scottish, Chinese, Irish or something else?" IF RESPONDENT SAYS CANADIAN ASK "In addition to being Canadian, to what ethnic or cultural group did you or your ancestors belong on first coming to this country?" DO NOT READ LIST, CIRCLE ALL THAT APPLY

| Innu | 01 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Innuit | 02 |
| Bangladeshi | 03 |
| Black/African | 04 |
| English/British | 05 |
| Canadian | 06 |
| Chinese | 07 |
| East Indian | 08 |
| French | 09 |
| German | 10 |
| Greek | 11 |
| Irish | 12 |
| Italian | 13 |
| Japanese | 14 |
| Jewish | 14 |
| Korean | 15 |

Metis ..... 17
Pakistani ..... 18
Polish ..... 19
Scottish ..... 20
Sikh ..... 21
Sri Lankin ..... 22
Welsh ..... 23
Other: (Please Specify) ..... 90
Don't Know ..... 98
Refused ..... 99
64. How important is religion in your life? Would you say it is... READ LIST
Very Important 01
Somewhat Important ..... 02
Not Very Important ..... 03
Not at all Important ..... 04
Don't Know ..... 98
Refused ..... 99
65. What are the first three digits of your postal code?

$\qquad$
RESPONSE

Thank you for your time! Have a great day/evening!
Record Gender:
Male ..... 01
Female ..... 02

## Appendix D: Demographic Profile

|  | Overall ( $\mathrm{N}=2,596$ ) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $f$ | \% |
| Gender |  |  |
| Male | 1,236 | 47.6 |
| Female | 1,360 | 52.4 |
| Age |  |  |
| 19-24 | 206 | 7.9 |
| 25-34 | 437 | 16.8 |
| 35-44 | 585 | 22.5 |
| 45-54 | 571 | 22.0 |
| 55-64 | 376 | 14.5 |
| 65+ | 422 | 16.2 |
| Marital Status |  |  |
| Married | 1,618 | 62.3 |
| Common law/ living with partner | 236 | 9.1 |
| Single | 407 | 15.7 |
| Widowed | 151 | 5.8 |
| Divorced or separated | 173 | 6.6 |
| Refused | 12 | <1 |
| Education |  |  |
| Some high school/ junior high or less | 455 | 17.5 |
| Completed high school | 591 | 22.8 |
| Some post-secondary | 299 | 11.5 |
| Completed post-secondary | 865 | 33.3 |
| Completed post-graduate education | 365 | 14.1 |
| Don't know | 5 | <1 |
| Refused | 15 | <1 |
| Employment Status |  |  |
| Employed full-time | 1,028 | 39.6 |
| Employed part-time | 291 | 11.2 |
| Unemployed | 299 | 11.5 |
| Student | 114 | 4.4 |
| Retired | 649 | 25.0 |
| Homemaker | 187 | 7.2 |
| Don't know | 9 | <1 |
| Refused | 19 | <1 |


|  | Overall (N=2,596) |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\boldsymbol{f}$ | \% |
| Income |  |  |
| $\$ 20,000$ or less | 275 | 10.6 |
| $\$ 20,001$ to $\$ 40,000$ | 645 | 24.9 |
| $\$ 40,001$ to $\$ 60,000$ | 380 | 14.6 |
| $\$ 60,001$ to $\$ 80,000$ | 253 | 9.7 |
| $\$ 80,001$ to $\$ 100,000$ | 144 | 5.6 |
| More than $\$ 100,000$ | 175 | 6.7 |
| Don't know | 176 | 6.8 |
| Refused | 549 | 21.1 |


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ This per capita rate is a comparison of VLT machines only, as Newfoundland and Labrador does not have slot machines. When comparing per capita rates of EGM's (which includes slot machines), Newfoundland and Labrador has the $4^{\text {th }}$ highest per capita rate.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ What may seem to be a difference between percentages may simply be the result of sampling error or the margin of error associated with the sample size, and not a real or significant difference in the population.

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ Respondents who have gambled in the past 12 months are referred to as gamblers throughout the rest of this report. Respondents who have not gambled in the past 12 months are referred to as non-gamblers.

[^3]:    ${ }^{4}$ PEI and Quebec are excluded from this table because the most recent published studies use the SOGS classification system, making the data not directly comparable. Manitoba is excluded because the most recent published study did not provide detail on all gambling classification categories.
    ${ }^{5}$ These categories are combined in other provincial studies, therefore cannot be separated in this table to provide a prevalence rate for each category.

[^4]:    ${ }^{6}$ Within the regions, sample sizes for moderate-risk and problem gamblers are low, therefore, findings should be interpreted with caution.

[^5]:    ${ }^{7}$ Within the regions, sample sizes for moderate-risk and problem gamblers are low, therefore, findings should be interpreted with caution.

[^6]:    ${ }^{8}$ Within the regions, sample sizes for moderate-risk and problem gamblers are low, therefore, findings should be interpreted with caution

[^7]:    ${ }^{9}$ Within the regions, sample sizes for moderate-risk and problem gamblers are low, therefore, findings should be interpreted with caution.

[^8]:    ${ }^{10}$ Within the regions, sample sizes for moderate-risk and problem gamblers are low, therefore, findings should be interpreted with caution.

[^9]:    ${ }^{11}$ Within the regions, sample sizes for moderate-risk and problem gamblers are low, therefore, findings should be interpreted with caution.

[^10]:    ${ }^{12}$ Within the regions, sample sizes for moderate-risk and problem gamblers are low, therefore, findings should be interpreted with caution.

[^11]:    ${ }^{13}$ Within the regions, sample sizes for moderate-risk and problem gamblers are low, therefore, findings should be interpreted with caution.

[^12]:    ${ }^{14}$ Within the regions, sample sizes for moderate-risk and problem gamblers are low, therefore, findings should be interpreted with caution.

[^13]:    ${ }^{15}$ Within the regions, sample sizes for moderate-risk and problem gamblers are low, therefore, findings should be interpreted with caution.

[^14]:    ${ }^{16}$ Within the regions, sample sizes for moderate-risk and problem gamblers are low, therefore, findings should be interpreted with caution.

[^15]:    ${ }^{17}$ Within the regions, sample sizes for moderate-risk and problem gamblers are low, therefore, findings should be interpreted with caution.

[^16]:    ${ }^{18}$ Questions about the use of drugs in the past 12 months were only asked of respondents who indicated that they had used a given drug at least once in their lifetime.

